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Abstract

Understanding the ambient scene is imperative for several applications such as autonomous driving and navigation. While obtaining real-world image data with per-pixel labels is challenging, existing accurate synthetic image datasets primarily focus on indoor spaces with fixed lighting and scene participants, thereby severely limiting their application to outdoor scenarios.

In this work we introduce OmniHorizon, a synthetic dataset with 24,335 omnidirectional views comprising of a broad range of indoor and outdoor spaces consisting of buildings, streets, and diverse vegetation. Our dataset also accounts for dynamic scene components including lighting, different times of a day settings, pedestrians, and vehicles. Furthermore, we also demonstrate a learned synthetic-to-real cross-domain inference method for in-the-wild 3D scene depth and normal estimation method using our dataset. To this end, we propose UBotNet, an architecture based on a UNet and a Bottleneck Transformer, to estimate scene-consistent normals. We show that UBotNet achieves significantly improved depth accuracy (4.6%) and normal estimation (5.75%) compared to several existing networks such as U-Net with skip-connections. Finally, we demonstrate in-the-wild depth and normal estimation on real-world images with UBotNet trained purely on our OmniHorizon dataset, showing the promise of proposed dataset and network for scene understanding.

1. Introduction

The task of monocular depth estimation from omnidirectional images has gained substantial attention in recent years [5, 17, 21, 30, 42]. Monocular depth estimation presents unique set of challenges including handling distortions from the equirectangular projections. Moreover, the quality and diversity of the datasets play an important role in the robustness of depth estimation [46]. Although there has been a growing interest for realistic scene representations from real-world [4, 43], obtaining real omnidirectional images with accurate per-pixel depth data is challenging. On the other hand, most existing synthetic datasets are skewed towards indoor spaces with limited depth range [45] which unfortunately do not generalize well for in-the-wild depth estimation in outdoor scenarios with diverse scene components and large depth ranges [1, 14]. While there are synthetic scene simulators [11] and datasets [33] for generating outdoor scenarios, these datasets specifically cater to the task of autonomous driving applications. The lack of generalized datasets for diverse outdoor and indoor environments has left a large research gap, especially for in-the-wild monocular scene depth estimation.

In this work we propose OmniHorizon, a synthetic omnidirectional dataset for jointly estimating the scene depth and normal information in diverse indoor and outdoor environments. Specifically, our dataset addresses several as-
Figure 2. Overview of the OmniHorizon dataset. The dataset consists of three outdoors scenarios: City Park, Downtown and Desert, and one interior scene: Dungeons. City Park and Downtown represent urban landscape and streets with pedestrians and vehicles. Desert covers landscape with emphasis on vegetation and terrain. Dungeons is a detailed indoors scene with stone walls, archs and narrow passages.

Table 1. Comparison between the various proposed omnidirectional datasets. While existing datasets are predominantly indoors, our proposed dataset includes both indoor and outdoor environments, dynamic lighting and scene participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>Domain Type</th>
<th>No. of panoramic views</th>
<th>Scene Lighting</th>
<th>Dynamic components</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matterport3D 360°</td>
<td>Real Indoors</td>
<td>9,684</td>
<td>Static</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replica 360° 2k/4k RGBD</td>
<td>Real Indoors</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>Static</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford 2D-3D</td>
<td>Real Indoors</td>
<td>1,413</td>
<td>Static</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PanoSUNCG</td>
<td>Synthetic Indoors</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>Static</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zillow</td>
<td>Real Indoors</td>
<td>71,474</td>
<td>Static</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fukuoka</td>
<td>Real Outdoors + Indoors</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>Static</td>
<td>Vehicles and Pedestrians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OmniHorizon</td>
<td>Synthetic Indoors</td>
<td>24,335</td>
<td>Dynamic + Static</td>
<td>Vehicles and Pedestrians</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

pects that are typically found lacking or under-represented in prior synthetic indoor and outdoor datasets. For example, OmniHorizon dataset represents both urban scenarios as well naturally occurring vegetation and rocks, and incorporates dynamic scene participants such as pedestrians and vehicles. Furthermore, we also consider different time of day settings for scenarios in the dataset allowing us to robustly estimate the depth and normals of the scene in various lighting conditions. We show through several ablation experiments that considering dynamic lighting and scene participants improve the accuracy and robustness of monocular depth and normal estimation.

We further identify drawbacks with existing U-Net-based architectures for normal estimation, and propose a novel neural network architecture based on U-Net and Bottleneck transformer, dubbed UBotNet, which we find to improve the depth and normal estimation for both synthetic and real-world scenes. Moreover, we also analyze the cross-domain inference performance of UBotNet network trained on our OmniHorizon dataset and the state-of-the-art Fukuoka dataset [24]. The proposed dataset and network demonstrates significant improvements in cross-domain inference, wherein we train the network on purely synthetic scenes and use it for in-the-wild real-world scene understanding (see Figure 1).

To summarise, our two core contributions in this work:

- **OmniHorizon**: A synthetic omnidirectional dataset with 24,335 images for diverse scene depth and normal estimation, and cross-domain inference. The dataset includes dynamic scene elements such as dynamic lighting, cloud formations, pedestrians and vehicles.

- **UBotNet**: U-Net and Bottleneck Transformer based neural network for estimating depth and scene consistent normals, and generalizable for cross-domain inference. We also discuss UBotNet Lite, a compact version of UBotNet with 71.2% less parameters than the original model.

2. Related Work

The broadly classify the datasets in the literature related to depth and normal estimation into two categories based on whether the data was curated from the real world (Realistic dataset) or generated using a 3D rendering engine (Synthetic dataset). An overview of the datasets are also presented in Table 1.

**Realistic datasets** Matterport 3D [4] is a real world indoors dataset with 10,800 panoramic views captured from 90 building-scale scenes. It provides access to depth, normal, surface reconstruction, camera poses and semantic segmentations extracted from the scenes. Matterport3D 360° [30] is an extension with 9684 high resolution equirectan-
gular 360 samples for monocular depth estimation. Gibson [43] is a real-world based virtual environment that provides photorealistic interior spaces from 572 full buildings which are composed of 1447 floors. The dataset contains the 3D reconstruction, RGB images, depth, surface normals along with semantic annotations for few spaces. Stanford2D3D [2] is a dataset collected from 6 large-scale indoor areas providing 70,496 regular RGB and 1,413 equiangular RGB images, along with their corresponding depths, surface normals and other data. HM3D [28] is currently the largest dataset for 3D indoor spaces with 1.4 – 3.7 × the navigable space compared to other datasets. Replica [38] is a dataset with 18 3D indoor scene reconstructions of rooms. Replica 360° 2k/4k RGBD [30] extends the above Replica dataset and provides 130 RGB-D pairs rendered at 2048 × 1024 and 4096 × 2048 resolution. Zillow [9] is one of the largest indoors dataset with 71,474 panoramas along with 21,596 room layouts, and 2,564 floor plans captured from 1524 homes. Fukuoka [24] is an outdoors dataset proposed for the challenge of place categorization. It provides 650 panoramic RGB views along with 3D depth and reflectance maps. The views are recorded from various outdoor settings such as forests, urban areas, coastal areas, parking and residential areas. KITTI-360 [22] is a dataset designed for autonomous driving systems that contains 320k images, 100k laser scans, and semantic annotations across both 2D and 3D domains.

Synthetic datasets Structured 3D [45] is a synthetic indoor dataset with 3500 scenes providing multiple furniture configurations. They also provide warm and cold lighting conditions in the dataset. PanoSUNCg [41] provides 103 scenes with 25K omnidirectional images rendered using environments from SUNCG [35]. 360D which was introduced in [46] provides 360 color images along with corresponding depth rendered from two synthetic (SunCG, SceneNet [23]) and two realistic (Matterport 3D, Stanford2D3D) datasets.

As compared in Table 1, our OmniHorizon dataset contains both indoors and outdoors scenes with dynamic and static scene lighting as well as static scene participants. This bridges a significant gap in existing datasets which predominantly considers indoor environments with static scene components and lack context for outdoor spaces.

3. Dataset

We rendered the OmniHorizon dataset using Unreal Engine 4. As part of the dataset, we provide color images, scene depth and world normal in stereo (top-bottom) format. All data is rendered at 1024 × 512 resolution. All the scene assets for the dataset were acquired from the Unreal Marketplace. We designed training path which is an animated sequence of 1521 frames captured using a moving camera. For each scene, we rendered 2-6 training paths depending on the scale of the scene. As a result, the dataset contains 24,335 omnidirectional views for outdoors scene depth and normal estimation. We clamp the depth to 150m (Unreal units) and use world-space normals for the normal maps (see supplementary material for discussion). We used an image split of 85 : 15 for the training (19392) and the validation (3423) sets. Specifically, we reserved the entire training-path 4 (Figure 3 and Figure 6) from City Park scene as test image data (1520 images). The training-path 4 is isolated from other paths in the scene and contains underpass, stairs, uneven terrain, building and pedestrians, thereby making it an ideal test image data. We discuss in detail several attributes of the dataset in the following subsections.

3.1. Scene Attributes

We notice that the scene attributes and context plays a crucial role in the performance of the neural networks on real-world scene inferences [1]. Our dataset is designed to capture a wider variety of scene attributes including urban environments consisting of buildings and roads, as well as more naturally occurring uneven terrains and vegetation, and several other entities that generally make an outdoors environment. Figure 2 provide a snapshot overview of our dataset. For example, Downtown [27] and CityPark [34] scenes of our dataset represent urban areas covering buildings, houses, parks and other street props. While CityPark scenes contain wider roads and streets, Downtown scenes are composed of narrower streets and alley ways. Scene such as Desert [15] is composed of rocks, roads, uneven terrain and wild vegetation. While we predominantly focused on outdoors scenes in OmniHorizon dataset, we also include an indoor environment called Dungeons [37]. There are darker rooms with details like arches, stone walls, narrow passages, and stairs that give the scene more context and variety.

3.2. Dynamic Lighting

A typical outdoor environment undergoes a range of lighting variations depending on the time of the day and complex cloud patterns in the sky. Existing datasets do not model such dynamic changes in lighting, causing compromised performance of trained neural networks, especially on scene understanding tasks in outdoor environments. Note that, the scene depth and normals are independent of the scene brightness or color. In other words, during inference, ideally the network has to generate consistent scene depth and normal estimates irrespective of the scene lighting conditions. To cater to this, we generated the scene depth and normal data in a separate rendering pass isolated from the scene color, allowing us to prototype changes in the scene lighting, brightness and color yet rendering consistent depth and normal data. We modeled the dynamic changes in lighting according to varying time of the day.
by modulating a directional light source (sunlight) and secondary light source (diffuse light from the sky). Both the position of the light sources and the respective intensities are changed throughout in an animated sequence to model an entire day. Figure 3 shows an exemplar illustration of the changes in lighting conditions of the scene via modulating the light sources.

To further model more complex lighting changes due to a variety of cloud formations in the sky during different times of the day, we also rendered various sky-cloud settings using a sky plugin [12] in our rendering pass. Our sky settings included formations such as Stratus, Cumulus and Cirriform clouds. We further varied the cloud coverage between a range of very light to extremely heavy. The overall time of day settings in our dataset span early morning to late evening. We restrict the implementation of the dynamic lighting only to City Park scene. For other scenes, we used a stationary light source to model real-world scenarios where the lighting changes are not abrupt.

3.3. Dynamic Scene Participants

Of the several entities that make outdoor spaces, vehicles and pedestrians form important scene components. To modeled these dynamic scene participants, we model multiple classes of vehicles including trucks, hatchbacks, SUVs, pickup and sports cars. We rendered both automatically and randomly placed vehicles in outdoor environments as well as manually placed vehicles in parking lots and roads. We further used 3D scanned avatars [29] and Metahumans [13] to increase the visual diversity of the pedestrians used in the dataset. Metahumans used in our dataset are high-fidelity realistic virtual avatars with diverse skin tones and detailed grooming. We have used highest LOD level (LOD 0) for Metahumans (see supplementary material). For rendering human scene participants, we used three settings: idle poses, sitting and walking. The walking behaviour and trajectories of the pedestrians are controlled using spline path and the blueprint. Figure 4 depicts several examples of the placement of vehicles and human avatars in the dataset. It can be seen that the avatars are placed throughout the scene in various realistic locations such as sitting outside a cafeteria, walking on the street and discussing in a group.

4. Neural Cross-domain Inference

In this section, we describe UBotNet architecture based on U-Net [32] and a Bottleneck transformer [36] for cross-domain inference, and our network training procedure. We conduct four different experiments for evaluating the proposed dataset and network architectures: a) Benchmark on OmniHorizon dataset, b) Ablation study of the dataset, c) Sim-to-Real domain transfer, and d) In-the-wild depth and normal estimation from real-world omnidirectional images.

4.1. UBotNet Architecture

Compared to high capacity encoders which include ResNet and DenseNet architectures, UNet with skip-connections has demonstrated better performance in Pano3D benchmarks [1]. However, we observed that U-Net architecture predicted inconsistent normals that vary for a range of images for both synthetic and real-world scenes. To circumvent this shortcoming, we intended to improvised the network such that it can learn information in a broader context and model long range dependencies, a restriction evident in CNN-based architectures. Vision Transformers (ViT), on the other hand, have achieved state-of-the-art results by using pure transformer architecture for image clas-
Figure 5. Proposed UBotNet architecture. UBotNet is a hybrid architecture based on UNet and Bottleneck Transformer (BoTNet). Anti-aliased max pooling is used for the pooling operation. The transformer block is placed in the middle of the encoder and decoder paths of the UNet. UBotNet Lite uses separable convolutions in place of standard convolution layers; otherwise, it is identical to UBotNet. A simplified illustration of BoTNet is also shown which contains Multi-Head Self-Attention (MHSA) for learning global context.

Motivated by the aforementioned prior work, we propose an improvised architecture called UBotNet that efficiently learns local features using convolutional layers and employs self-attention to aggregate information in a global context. The proposed UBotNet is based on U-Net and Bottleneck transformer [36]. Specifically, we place the self-attention transformer block at the lowest resolution feature maps in the U-Net bottleneck since self-attention requires $O(n^2d)$ memory and computation [40]. Figure 5 depicts the overall architecture. We also replace the max pooling layer with anti-aliased max pooling layer [44].

Additionally, we also show a compact version of UBotNet, UBotNet Lite, where we used separable convolution [8] similar to SepUNet [19] to reduce the number of parameters in the architecture. UBotNet Lite (38.3M) has 71.2% less parameters compared to its bigger sibling UBotNet (133M). We further place two branches of fully-connected layers towards the end with sigmoid activation to predict scene depth and consistent normals. The CNN blocks learn the local image features whereas the Multi-Head Self-Attention (MHSA) block from the bottleneck transformer learns the global contextual features. Learning both local and global scale features are essential for robust normal estimation, as we also validate and demonstrate later in the experiments in Section 5.

4.2. Network Training and Experiments

The following network training and experiment configurations are maintained for all the benchmark, evaluation and ablation experiments.

**Training configuration.** We used an Nvidia RTX 3090 with 24GB onboard memory for training all network models. The batch size is set to 4 and Adam optimizer [18] is used with a learning rate of $1 \times 10^{-4}$ and decay rate of $1 \times 10^{-5}$. The images were rescaled to a resolution of $512 \times 256$ for training and evaluation due to memory constraints. All the networks were trained for 40 epochs.

**Loss Functions.** The networks were all trained for joint-learning of both depth and normal information from the input monocular omnidirectional images. We used $L_{\text{huber}}$ (Reverse-Huber) function [20] as the loss objective for depth and $L_1$ penalty as the objective function for estimating scene normals.

The overall loss function for joint learning is therefore a sum of both Depth and Normal objectives:

$$L_{\text{Total}} = L_{\text{Depth}} + L_{\text{Normal}}$$

**Data Augmentation.** We adapt two techniques augment the color data (Channel Shuffle [31] and Color Jitter [31]) of the input images and a third to perform rotation-based augmentation [16, 17].

**Baseline architectures and Evaluation criteria.** We evaluated our dataset on five different architectures - UResNet [46], RectNet [46], UNet$_{128}$ and the proposed UBotNet and UBotNet Lite architecture. The final layers of the UResNet and RectNet were modified to support joint learning of depth and normals. The UNet$_{128}$ is similar to the vanilla architecture but uses a base of 128 feature channels and goes up to 2048 channels. We have increased the number of feature channels to make it identical to the UBotNet resulting in 124 M parameters. The networks were evaluated using
Table 2. Quantitative Results for the benchmark evaluated on the OmniHorizon dataset. Values in **bold** highlight best results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th># parameters</th>
<th>Depth Error ↓</th>
<th>Depth Accuracy ↑</th>
<th>Normal Error ↓</th>
<th>Normal Accuracy ↑</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
<th>MRE</th>
<th>RMSE log</th>
<th>$\delta_1 &lt; 1.25^\circ$</th>
<th>$\delta_2 &lt; 1.25^\circ$</th>
<th>$\delta_3 &lt; 1.25^\circ$</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
<th>$5^\circ$</th>
<th>$7.5^\circ$</th>
<th>$11.25^\circ$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RectNet [46]</td>
<td>8.9 M</td>
<td>0.646 23.786  1.213</td>
<td>0.247 0.265 0.283</td>
<td>9.84 5.49 14.53</td>
<td>48.84 56.06 65.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UResNet [46]</td>
<td>50.8 M</td>
<td>0.097 0.487 0.260</td>
<td>0.424 0.614 0.768</td>
<td>11.50 7.18 16.32</td>
<td>44.50 49.01 55.73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBotNet Lite (Ours)</td>
<td>38.3 M</td>
<td>0.063 0.403 0.181</td>
<td>0.657 0.844 0.896</td>
<td>8.00 4.19 12.57</td>
<td>54.86 64.51 75.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNet$^{128}$</td>
<td>124 M</td>
<td>0.052 0.259 0.157</td>
<td>0.641 0.849 0.925</td>
<td>9.01 4.01 14.71</td>
<td>54.00 62.58 72.68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBotNet (Ours)</td>
<td>133 M</td>
<td>0.054 0.271 0.151</td>
<td>0.712 0.874 0.929</td>
<td>7.44 3.61 12.12</td>
<td>56.80 67.29 78.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6. Qualitative Results from the benchmark on OmniHorizon dataset. Three different instances of varying depths and lighting conditions are compared between all networks. UBotNet performs consistently better than UNet$^{128}$ and other architectures when estimating depth and normals. UBotNet Lite shows small artefact in depth estimates but still preserves the global context required to learn normals.

the standard criteria for depth estimation [1, 17, 42] which include error metrics: Root Mean Square Error (RMSE), Mean Relative Error (MRE) and the Root Mean Square Error in log space (RMSE log) and accuracy metrics: $\delta_1$, $\delta_2$ and $\delta_3$ with a threshold=1.25. The criteria used for evaluation of normal estimation [1, 3, 7] includes error metrics: RMSE, mean and median, as well as accuracy metrics: 5$^\circ$, 7.5$^\circ$ and 11.25$^\circ$.

5. Discussion and Evaluation

5.1. Benchmark Results on OmniHorizon

Quantitative results. Table 2 shows the quantitative results for the depth and normal estimation for all the networks. The RectNet and UResNet architectures show suboptimal results on the dataset. RectNet fails to converge after early iterations. On the other hand, both UBotNet and UNet$^{128}$ demonstrate better outcomes in the benchmark. It is evident from the results that both architectures greatly benefit from the skip-connections. Moreover, UBotNet is consistently better than other architectures including the UNet$^{128}$ on the account of all the metrics except for MRE. For normal metrics, we observe a performance uplift of 14.92% for normal error and 4.45% for normal accuracy between UNet$^{128}$ and UBotNet. We observe that UBotNet Lite (38.3 M) performs slightly lower than UNet$^{128}$ (124 M) for certain metrics but shows better results for normal metrics while having 70% less parameters when compared to the UNet$^{128}$.

Qualitative results. Figure 6 shows the visual differences for the depth\(^1\) and normals between all the architectures and their validation against Ground Truth (GT) data. First image shows the building and stairs in close proximity. UBotNet shows better depth estimation for structures on the windows of the building and stairs compared to UNet$^{128}$. Moreover, for UBotNet the normal estimates for the stairs and the building structure are more closely aligned to the GT compared to UNet$^{128}$. We observe similar results for the UBotNet lite version but with a small inaccuracy in the pillar region. The second and third image emphasis on the elements that are farther from the camera. The images also test the networks’ ability to identify trees and underpass structure in the shadows. The UNet$^{128}$ fails to identify the distant part of the tunnel in the third image, whereas the UBotNet is able to detect the end of the tunnel. Also, UNet$^{128}$ completely fails to estimate the normals for the underpass structure in both second and third image whereas the UBotNet and UBotNet lite estimates are more closer to the GT. The

\(^1\)Depth maps have been normalised for visualisation purposes.
Table 3. Quantitative results for the ablation study on the OmniHorizon dataset. Various versions of the dataset are compared by removing the dynamic elements from the scene. VP - Vehicles & Pedestrians and DL - Dynamic Lighting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Depth Error ↓</th>
<th>Depth Accuracy ↑</th>
<th>Normal Error ↓</th>
<th>Normal Accuracy ↑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Static</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.293</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>0.656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Static + VP</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.289</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>0.713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Static + VP + DL</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>0.712</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7. a) Ablation study of the OmniHorizon dataset. Comparison for the depth and normal estimation between the various versions of the dataset: Static, Static + VP, and Static + VP + DL. b) Sim-to-Real performance on Fukuoka dataset. We compare the performance of depth estimation between the network pre-trained on OmniHorizon and fine-tuned on Fukuoka against the network trained from scratch.

results show that the proposed architectures perform relatively well for normal estimation due to the global context extracted by the MHSA from the encoder features.

5.2. Ablation Study

We perform the ablation study to address an important question: Does context matter in outdoor scenarios? It evaluates the contribution of our dataset in terms of the dynamic components: vehicles and pedestrians (VP), and dynamic lighting (DL). Therefore, we create two additional versions of the dataset. First, we generate the static version of the dataset which includes only static meshes and lacks any dynamic components. The second version of the dataset includes pedestrians and vehicles but lacks dynamic lighting component. Note that the full OmniHorizon dataset consists of all dynamic components. The inclusion of vehicles to the dataset reflects in better results in the real-world examples. Finally, the last image demonstrates the shadow artifacts present in normal maps in both Static and Static+VP version which are absent in the result from the full dataset.

Table 4. Quantitative results for Sim-to-Real performance of our dataset on Fukuoka dataset.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-train</th>
<th>Depth Error ↓</th>
<th>Depth Accuracy ↑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OmniHorizon</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.682</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3. Sim-to-Real Transfer

We evaluate the simulation-to-real domain transfer performance of our method on a real-world dataset - Fukuoka [24]. To achieve this task, we pretrain the UBotNet on our dataset and fine-tune it on Fukuoka for the task of depth estimation. Note that Fukuoka dataset does not provide ground truth for normal data and therefore we only evaluate the depth estimates. Table 4 summarizes the performance comparison between the networks pre-trained on our dataset and that trained on Fukuoka from scratch. We noted better performance of the pretrained network specifically for depth accuracy, where we see a gain of 12.2%. We also observed more accurate depth maps estimated from the test
Figure 8. a) Predictions on the real-world images in the wild. Depth and normals estimated from real-world images representing the diverse outdoor scenarios. The images show different sky conditions including overexposed sky, cloudy and clear sky with no clouds. It also features man-made constructions, vehicles and vegetation. b) Failure cases. Network fails to estimate depth and normals in scenarios with overexposed regions. It also fails to recognize vertical upright structure such as the bridge railing.

images when compared to training from scratch on Fukuoka as shown in Figure 7. When trained from scratch, the network struggles notably with vegetation. On the other hand, it benefits from a better understanding of scenes with complex vegetation when it was pre-trained on OmniHorizon.

5.4. Testing on the Real-world Images In-the-wild

The real-world omnidirectional images have been curated from the Polyhaven website [26] for testing the trained network on the images in the wild. We selected images that represent diverse outdoor scenarios cluttered with various objects and captured during different time of day settings. Figure 8 shows depth and normals estimated by UBotNet from the images. The images illustrate the ability of the network to estimate depth at a large range in various settings. Our network learns high level details from the vegetation (images 1, 3 and 4). This is reflected in the image 1 where the network was able to recognize the large tree in the foreground along with the walking path. It also captures the details from the cars in image 3. The network was able to identify sky region in cases with full clouds (image 2) and clean sky with no clouds(image 3 and 5). This demonstrates the advantage of the including various cloud formations and time of day settings in the dataset. The final image which shows a skating area is a good example of the ability of UBotNet to estimate normals of two upright structures in front of the buildings with a texture similar to the concrete floor. It highlights the capacity of the network to learn information in a global context understand the orientation of normal surfaces. Overall, the network demonstrates promising results for the estimation of depth and normal on real-world images. We show additional results in the supplementary material.

5.5. Limitations

There are specific scenarios where sunlight may overexpose parts of a scene while underexposing others. In such instances, the network struggles to correctly estimate depth and normals for the overexposed parts of the scene. Additionally, the network occasionally misinterprets vertical elements like handrails and bridge supports. Figure 8 shows both such challenging scenarios where our method compromised. We discuss the assumptions of our dataset in supplementary material.

Conclusion

We presented a new dataset called OmniHorizon and a hybrid architecture called UBotNet for depth and normal estimation in diverse scenarios. Firstly, our dataset includes diverse scenes and also dynamic scene participants such as pedestrians and vehicles. We noticed that dynamic components in the dataset are critical and not including them results in sub-optimal inferences on in-the-wild real-world examples. Secondly, our UBotNet, based on U-Net and Bottleneck transformer, trained on the OmniHorizon dataset demonstrated significantly improved and scene-consistent normal estimation against the vanilla U-Net architecture. Furthermore, we presented UBotNet Lite, a smaller version of the network that retains respectable depth and normal accuracy while having only 30% of the network parameters. We outlined the benefits of pretraining network on OmniHorizon and fine-tuning it on Fukuoka dataset. Finally, we demonstrated the application of our model trained on OmniHorizon for estimating the depth and normals of real-world omnidirectional images in-the-wild. We are very excited to release our dataset and models to the research community.
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1. Supplementary Material

In this supplementary material, we discuss our approach on generating the OmniHorizon dataset in Unreal Engine 4. We elaborate on the factors and certain assumptions that we made in order to render the dataset. Additionally, we discuss about training the UBotNet on indoor datasets and architecture choices. Finally, we demonstrate additional results for depth and normal estimation from real-world images in the wild.

1.1. Depth clamping

Rendering engines such as Unreal Engine 4 work with a larger depth range compared to that captured by physical sensors. However, we were interested in exploring the range of depth information that can be used for covering a wide range of objects in outdoor scenarios. This motivated us to simulate the limitations of the physical sensors and restrict the depth range to 150 m, similar to the Fukuoka dataset [24]. The engine places the far plane at infinity, which results in depth values being generated for extremely distant objects. To avoid this, we modify the depth material to visualise the impact of constraining the depth to a maximum specified value. We show the results for the clamping of depth at a range of 10m, 75m and 150m in Figure 11. At a depth of 10 m, only the truck is visible. When the depth range is raised to 75 m, cars and building start to appear in the background. At 150 m, the trees and most of the background are visible. By limiting the depth in outdoor environments, it is possible to focus solely on nearby items, or, depending on the application, on distant objects as well.

1.2. View-space vs world-space normals

The view space normals are calculated relative to the camera orientation, whereas the world space normals are calculated with respect to the global axes of the scene. The normals in view space are desired when using a perspective camera as they are tied to the camera pose (extrinsic parameters). However, the panoramic image is obtained by rotating the camera on both the horizontal and vertical axis in increments of fixed angle steps (5°), followed by merging the multiple views. Since the coordinate system is relative to the camera in view space, it also gets modified with the rotation. This results in a gradient of normals with no basis vectors. The normals obtained in world space are absolute and independent of camera pose. Figure 9 shows the difference between the view-space and world-space normals. Therefore, we captured the normals in world space as it was consistent for both within and between the scenes. We show the convention used for the world-space normals in Figure 10.

1.3. Virtual Avatars

As discussed in main paper, we utilised Metahumans [13] for the virtual avatars in the scene. We have used pre-made MetaHumans available in the Quixel bridge. It allowed us to bring in highly detailed characters and more diversity in the pedestrians. But there were certain challenges while using the Metahumans for the dataset. They are generated with multiple level of details (LODs) for performance optimisation. As a result, there would be sudden popups and other artifacts when the camera is approaching a character. Figure 12 illustrates how the character hair and details change when the camera is approaching the character. Lower LOD level (LOD 8) indicates lowest detailed polygon mesh with no advanced features such as detail normal maps or hairs. The higher LOD level (Level 0/1) has higher polygons with extra detail maps for the skin and hair grooming system. Additionally, we also observed artifacts in the normal maps for the characters with detailed grooming such as facial hair. Figure 13 shows the issues with the normal maps of a character in the region with facial hair. For such characters we used LOD 1 or LOD 2 to resolve the problems.

1.4. Assumptions in the Dataset

Our dataset renders several realistic outdoor and indoor environments with dynamic scene components. While curating this dataset, we made certain assumptions especially about the outdoor scenes which we list below:

1. The sky is assumed to be situated at infinitely large distance from the camera, and is represented as a spherical mesh of large radius encompassing the entire scene. Additionally, normals are not rendered for the sky region. It is represent using black which indicates invalid
Figure 11. Depth clamping experiment. Comparison between various depth ranges after clamping to a specific range: 10 m, 75 m and 150 m. Inverted depth maps are shown for better visualization.

Figure 12. Dynamic LODs vs Constant LOD. a) The Dynamic LOD system loads different meshes with various level of details based on the proximity to camera. This however results in sudden popping up of the meshes which generates artefacts in the data. b) Default LOD settings used by the engine. c) The modified LOD system is used to maintain LODs at a fixed LOD so that the avatar’s appearance is unaffected by distance. d) The LOD of the character is locked to 1 using Forced LOD.

Figure 13. Artefacts in normal maps for facial hairs. When the camera is very close to the characters, the engine uses additional detail meshes for characters with facial hair at the highest LOD level (LOD 0). As a result, artefacts appear in the normal maps. We use LOD 1 or 2 for such characters.

1. Normal values. This allows us to distinguish sky from other regions in the scene.

2. Transparent and transluscent materials such as water, windows of the buildings and windshields of vehicles are replaced with fully reflective materials. We observed that inferring depth of such materials from color images is challenging and this limitation, for example, also applies to real-world datasets captured using lidars [39]. Figure 14 depicts the limitation of using transparent and translucent materials in the dataset. The original water shader in the scene was designed in such a way that it acted as a see-through material in case of depth. As a result, the depth map captures the terrain hidden underneath the water surface. We modified the the water shader to a reflective surface and thus depth is correctly rendered as a planar surface. We observed a similar case for the glass shader used for windows in the vehicles. The vehicles indeed have detailed indoors but due to reflections on the glass, the inside is not clearly visible. However, the depth map has much cleaner view of the indoors. To avoid this conflict of information, we use fully opaque and reflective materials for the windows.
2. UBotNet

**UBotNet for Indoor datasets.** In the main paper, we discussed about the UBotNet architecture and the results from training on the OmniHorizon dataset. We additionally trained UBotNet on real-world indoor dataset Pano3D [1] to validate the performance of the network on other datasets. Pano3D is proposed as a modification of Matterport3D [4] and Gibson3D [43]. We used the official splits provided by the authors for Matterport3D for training and validation. For Gibson, we used the GibsonV2 Full Low Resolution for training and validated on Matterport. All the images used for training were of 512 x 256 resolution. We used the loss function and training parameters outlined in our main paper. We trained UBotNet Lite on the both the datasets for 60 epochs.

Table 5. Quantitative results for depth estimation using UBotNet Lite validated on indoor dataset - Matterport3D.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
<th>MRE</th>
<th>RMSE log</th>
<th>δ1</th>
<th>δ2</th>
<th>δ3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matterport3D</td>
<td>0.639</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.817</td>
<td>0.952</td>
<td>0.981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibson 3D</td>
<td>0.591</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.830</td>
<td>0.965</td>
<td>0.986</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 shows the quantitative results for the task of depth estimation by UBotNet Lite evaluated on Matterport3D. We also show the qualitative results for the validation task in Figure 15. We observed better performance in overall metrics and the visual results when the network is trained on the Gibson3D.

**Absolute vs Relative positional encoding.** We utilised relative positional encoding [36] for self-attention in our proposed UBotNet architecture. We compare it against the absolute positional embeddings and show the quantitative results in Table 6. The absolute positional embeddings perform inferior to the relative positional embeddings used for self-attention. Moreover, the differences are more prominent in case of normal estimation. This is reaffirmed by the visual differences shown in Figure 16. The network loses the context required for learning the consistent representation of the normals. It behaves similar to the UNet$_{128}$ network discussed in the main paper.

3. Addition Results

We show additional results on the real-world images in the Figure 17 and Figure 18. The networks used were trained purely on OmniHorizon.
Table 6. **Quantitative results for the comparison between the positional embedding used in the UBotNet architecture for self-attention.** The results for the Relative Positional Embedding are repeated from our main paper for the comparison.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Depth Error ↓</th>
<th>Depth Accuracy ↑</th>
<th>Normal Error ↓</th>
<th>Normal Accuracy ↑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RMSE</td>
<td>MRE</td>
<td>RMSE log</td>
<td>δ1 &lt; 1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute Pos. Emb.</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.290</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative Pos. Emb.</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td><strong>0.271</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.151</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.712</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 15. **Qualitative results for UBotNet Lite trained on Indoor datasets - Matterport3D and Gibson3D.**

Figure 16. **Comparison between Abs. and Rel. positional embedding.** Absolute positional embedding loses the context required for learning the normals when used for self-attention.
Figure 17. Depth and Normal estimation on real-world images in the wild. Comparison between all the networks discussed in main paper for depth and normal estimation on real world images.
Figure 18. Examples of depth and normal estimation using UBotNet on real-world images in the wild.