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Abstract

As it is known, universal codes, which estimate the entropy rate consistently, exist for any stationary ergodic source over a finite alphabet but not over a countably infinite one. We cast the problem of universal codes into the problem of universal densities with respect to a given reference measure on a countably generated measurable space, examples being the counting measure or the Lebesgue measure. We show that universal densities, which estimate the differential entropy rate consistently, exist if the reference measure is finite, which disproves that the assumption of a finite alphabet is necessary in general. To exhibit a universal density, we combine the prediction by partial matching (PPM) universal code with the non-parametric differential (NPD) entropy rate estimator, putting a prior both over all Markov orders and all quantization levels. The proof of universality applies Barron’s asymptotic equipartition for densities and the monotone convergence of $f$-divergences for filtrations. As an application, we show that there exists a strongly consistent entropy rate estimator with respect to the Lebesgue measure in the class of stationary ergodic Gaussian processes.
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1 Introduction

Consider the family of stationary ergodic measures over a given alphabet. It is well known that universal measures, i.e., those consistently estimating the entropy rate in the almost sure sense and in expectation, respectively, exist for any finite alphabet. A simple example thereof is the PPM (prediction by partial matching) measure, also called the $R$-measure [6, 16, 15]. The Shannon-Fano code taken with respect to a universal measure is an instance of...
a universal code. Other important instances of universal codes were discovered in [18, 14, 13]. It is also well known that universal measures or codes do not exist for a countably infinite alphabet [12, 11].

Thus, it may seem that the assumption of a finite alphabet is necessary in general. In this note, we disprove this hypothesis by casting the problem of universal measures into universal densities, i.e., Radon-Nikodym derivatives with respect to a given reference measure. In doing so, we draw ideas from the Shannon-McMillan-Breiman (SMB) theorem for densities by [1]. In this setting, we show that universal densities exist if the reference measure is finite, such as the Lebesgue measure on a finite interval. Thus, it is not finiteness of the alphabet but rather finiteness of the reference measure that allows for universal densities. Non-existence of universal codes for a countably infinite alphabet seems tightly connected to non-existence of a uniform probability measure over the set of natural numbers.

Our existential proof is constructive. To produce a universal density, we combine the construction of the PPM measure [6, 16, 15] with the NPD (non-parametric differential) entropy rate estimator, a simple quantization idea from [10]. Simply speaking, we put a prior over all quantization levels, which is analogous to putting a prior over all Markov orders in the PPM measure [16, 15]. We note that the constructed universal density is defined as an infinite series. It is finite only almost everywhere. The proof of universality itself rests on the SMB theorem for densities by [1] and the monotone convergence of f-divergences for filtrations, cf., e.g. [8]. As a simple application, we also show that there exists a strongly consistent entropy rate estimator with respect to the Lebesgue measure in the class of stationary ergodic Gaussian processes.

The organization of the note is as follows. In Section 2, we recall the preliminaries. Section 3 contains the main result, i.e., the construction of the universal density with respect to a finite measure. Drawing the inspiration from [10] and [16, 15], we call it simply the (total) NPD density. In Section 4, we present some applications of the NPD density to subclasses of processes over a countably infinite alphabet and on the real line. We easily name some sufficient conditions that allow for consistent estimation of the entropy rate with respect to infinite reference measures in these domains.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we establish our setting and report the received knowledge. We discuss the introductory material such as the entropy rate, the SMB theorem, also called the asymptotic equipartition, the definition of universal measures, the universal PPM measure for a finite alphabet, and non-existence of universal measures for a countably infinite alphabet.
2.1 General setting

Let $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ be a countably generated measurable space with a $\sigma$-finite measure $\mu$ on it. Measure $\mu$ will be called the reference measure. Simple familiar examples are the counting measure $\mu(A) = \gamma(A) := \text{card } A$ for a countable alphabet $X$ or the Lebesgue measure $\mu([a, b]) := b - a$ for $X = \mathbb{R}$. Consider the product space $(X^\infty, \mathcal{X}^\infty, \mu^\infty)$ and put random variables $X_k : X^\infty \ni (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto x_k \in X$. We write the tuples of points as $x_{j:k} := (x_j, x_{j+1}, ..., x_k)$. For a probability measure $R$ on $(X^\infty, \mathcal{X}^\infty)$, we denote its finite-dimensional restrictions $R_n(A) := R(X_{1:n} \in A)$ and if $R_n \ll \mu_n$ then we write the densities $R_n(x_{1:n}) := \frac{dR_n}{d\mu_n}(x_{1:n})$.

The space of stationary ergodic measures on $(X^\infty, \mathcal{X}^\infty)$ with respect to the shift operation will be denoted as $E$.

Fix a measure $P \in E$ where $P_n \ll \mu_n$. The expectation operator $E$ and the quantifier “almost surely” (a.s.) will be taken throughout with respect to $P$. Following an information-theoretic convention, symbol $\log$ denotes the logarithm to base 2. We define the block entropy

$$h(\mu)(n) := E[-\log P_\mu(X_{1:n})] = -\int P_\mu(x_{1:n}) \log P_\mu(x_{1:n}) d\mu^n(x_{1:n}).$$

A short notice, if the reference measure $\mu$ is the counting measure then $h(\mu)(n) \geq 0$ since $P_\mu(x_{1:n}) \leq 1$. In contrast, if $\mu$ is a probability measure then $h(\mu)(n) \leq 0$ since $-h(\mu)(n)$ is the Kullback-Leibler divergence between $P_n$ and $\mu^n$.

In any case, by stationarity, the block entropy is subadditive, $h(\mu)(n+m) \leq h(\mu)(n) + h(\mu)(m)$. In particular, $n h(\mu)(n+1) - (n+1) h(\mu)(n) \leq n h(\mu)(1) - h(\mu)(n) \leq 0$.

Hence sequence $h(\mu)(n)/n$ is decreasing and there exists the entropy rate

$$h_\mu := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{h(\mu)(n)}{n} = \inf_{n \geq 1} \frac{h(\mu)(n)}{n},$$

which constitutes a simpler proof of the well known Fekete lemma for subadditive sequences $[5]$. Moreover, by the Shannon-McMillan-Breiman (SMB) theorem, we have the asymptotic equipartition

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} [-\log P_\mu(X_{1:n})]/n = h_\mu \text{ a.s.},$$

as consecutively generalized by $[17, 3, 5, 1]$. Consider another probability measure $R$ where $R_n \ll \mu^n$, which need not be stationary or ergodic. As it is a part of an older information-theoretic folklore, for any $m \in \mathbb{R}$ we have an inequality, called sometimes the Barron inequality,

$$P \left( \log \frac{dP}{dR_n}(X_{1:n}) \leq -m \right) \leq 2^{-m}. \quad (5)$$
shown for example in [2, Theorem 3.1] or applied in much earlier in [4]. Since \( \frac{dP_n}{dR_n}(x_{1:n}) = P_\mu(x_{1:n})/R_\mu(x_{1:n}) \), as a result, by an easy application of the Borel-Cantelli lemma, we obtain
\[
\liminf_{n \to \infty} \left[ -\log R_\mu(X_{1:n}) \right]/n \geq h_\mu \text{ a.s.} \tag{6}
\]
Since the Kullback-Leibler divergence is non-negative in general,
\[
\mathbb{E} \left( \log \frac{dP_n}{dR_n}(X_{1:n}) \right) \geq 0, \tag{7}
\]
we also have a similar result in expectation:
\[
\liminf_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ -\log R_\mu(X_{1:n}) \right]/n \geq h_\mu \text{ a.s.} \tag{8}
\]
Consequently, we will consider two definitions:

**Definition 1.** A probability measure \( R_n \) where \( R_n \ll \mu^n \) is called strongly universal with respect to a reference measure \( \mu \) if for every measure \( P \in \mathbb{E} \) where \( P_n \ll \mu^n \) we have
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left[ -\log R_\mu(X_{1:n}) \right]/n = h_\mu \text{ a.s.} \tag{9}
\]

**Definition 2.** A probability measure \( R_n \) where \( R_n \ll \mu^n \) is called universal in expectation with respect to a reference measure \( \mu \) if for every measure \( P \in \mathbb{E} \) where \( P_n \ll \mu^n \) we have
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ -\log R_\mu(X_{1:n}) \right]/n = h_\mu. \tag{10}
\]

If the reference measure is the counting measure over a countable alphabet \( \mathbb{X} \), i.e., \( \mu(A) = \gamma(A) := \text{card } A \) for \( A \subset \mathbb{X} \), then we speak of measures that are universal with respect to alphabet \( \mathbb{X} \), respectively. In this case, we drop the subscript \( \gamma \): \( P_\gamma(x) \to P(x) \), \( R_\gamma(x) \to R(x) \), and \( h_\gamma \to h_\mu \).

### 2.2 Finite alphabet

Let us briefly recall an example of a universal measure for a finite alphabet \( \mathbb{X} \).

**Definition 3 (PPM density).** Let the alphabet be \( \mathbb{X} = \{a_1, \ldots, a_D\} \). Adapting the definitions by [8, 16, 15, 7], the PPM density of order \( k \geq 0 \) is defined as
\[
\text{PPM}_k^D(x_{1:n}) := \begin{cases} 
D^{-k-1} \prod_{i=k+1}^{n} N(x_{i-k:i+k-2})^{1+D}, & k \leq n-2, \\
D^{-n}, & k \geq n-1,
\end{cases} \tag{11}
\]
where the frequency of a substring \( w_{1:k} \) in a string \( x_{1:n} \) is
\[
N(w_{1:k}|x_{1:n}) := \sum_{i=1}^{n-k+1} \mathbf{1}\{x_{i:i+k-1} = w_{1:k}\}. \tag{12}
\]
Subsequently, we define the (total) PPM density as

$$PPM^D(x_{1:n}) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w_k \text{PPM}^D_k(x_{1:n}), \quad w_k := \frac{1}{k+1} - \frac{1}{k+2}. \quad (13)$$

Infinite series (13) is finite and computable since we have

$$\text{PPM}^D_k(x_{1:n}) = D^{-n} \text{ for } k \geq L(x_{1:n}) \text{ or } k = 0, \quad (14)$$

where

$$L(x_{1:n}) := \max \{ k \geq 0 : x_{i+1:i+k} = x_{j+1:j+k} \text{ for some } 0 \leq i < j \leq n-k \} \quad (15)$$

is the maximal length of a repetition in $x_{1:n}$.

**Theorem 1.** There exists a measure $R$ such that $R(x_{1:n}) = \text{PPM}^D(x_{1:n})$.

**Remark:** This measure $R$ will be denoted $\text{PPM}^D$.

**Proof.** By the Kolmogorov process theorem, it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{x_{n+1} \in X} \text{PPM}^D(x_{1:n+1}) = \text{PPM}^D(x_{1:n}). \quad (16)$$

But this follows by the monotone convergence from

$$\sum_{x_{n+1} \in X} \text{PPM}^D_k(x_{1:n+1}) = \text{PPM}^D_k(x_{1:n}), \quad (17)$$

which in turn follows by the definition of $\text{PPM}^D_k(x_{1:n})$.

The strong universality of the total PPM measure follows by the Stirling approximation and by the Birkhoff ergodic theorem. Hence, by $\text{PPM}^D(x_{1:n}) \geq \frac{1}{2} \text{PPM}^D_0(x_{1:n}) = \frac{1}{2} D^{-n}$ and by the dominated convergence follows also the universality in expectation.

**Theorem 2** ([16, 15, 7]). Measure $\text{PPM}^D$ is universal, both strongly and in expectation, with respect to alphabet $X = \{a_1, ..., a_D\}$.

### 2.3 Infinite alphabet

Now let us consider a countable alphabet $X$. For probability measures $P$ and $R$, we denote the Shannon entropy and the Kullback-Leibler divergence taken with respect to their marginal densities:

$$H(P) := - \sum_{x \in X} P(x) \log P(x), \quad (18)$$

$$D(P||R) := \sum_{x \in X} P(x) \log \frac{P(x)}{R(x)}. \quad (19)$$
Measure $P$ is called a memoryless source if $P(x_1:n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i)$. A stochastic process $(R_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is called a marginal density estimator if each $R_n : \mathcal{X} \ni x \mapsto R_n(x)$ is a marginal density and each $R_n$ is a function of $X_{1:n-1}$. We also denote the conditional density $R(u|w) := R(wu|w)$.

We recall two results concerning memoryless sources over a countably infinite alphabet. These results demonstrate that measures universal in expectation imply consistent marginal density estimators, whereas there are no consistent marginal density estimators for a countably infinite alphabet.

**Theorem 3** ([11]). Let alphabet $\mathcal{X}$ be countable. Consider a memoryless source $P$, a probability measure $R$, and the marginal density estimator $\bar{R}_n(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} R(x|X_{1:i-1})$. We have

$$\frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} \log \frac{P(X_{1:n})}{R(X_{1:n})} \geq \mathbb{E} D(P||\bar{R}_n).$$  \hfill (20)

**Theorem 4** ([11]). Let the alphabet $\mathcal{X}$ be countably infinite. Let $(R_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be an arbitrary marginal density estimator. Then there is a memoryless source $P$ such that $H(P) < \infty$ and $D(P||R_n) = \infty$ a.s. for all $n$.

In view of the above two statements, for a countably infinite alphabet $\mathcal{X}$, for each measure $R$ there exists a memoryless source $P$ with $H(P) < \infty$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E} [\log R(X_{1:n})] / n = \infty.$$ \hfill (21)

Since each memoryless source $P$ is stationary ergodic with entropy rate $H(P)$ we obtain the well known result.

**Theorem 5** ([12, 11]). There is no measure universal in expectation with respect to a countably infinite alphabet.

### 3 Finite reference measure

In this section we will show that universal measures exist if the reference measure is an arbitrary finite measure. We will demonstrate universality of the following constructive object.

**Definition 4** (NPD density). Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ be a countably generated finite measure space. Let $\mathcal{X}_l \uparrow \mathcal{X}$, where $l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, be a filtration where $\sigma$-fields $\mathcal{X}_l$ are finite with $\mathcal{X}_0 = \{\mathcal{X}, \emptyset\}$. Such a filtration exists since $\mathcal{X}$ is countably generated. Let $\chi_l$ be the finite partitions that generate $\sigma$-fields $\mathcal{X}_l$ respectively. We treat sets $\chi_l$ as finite alphabets of symbols $A \in \chi_l$. We put $D_l := \text{card} \chi_l$ and we introduce quantizations of points $x \in \mathcal{X}$ as symbols $x^l := A$ for $x \in A \in \chi_l$. We define the NPD density of order $l \geq 0$ as

$$\text{NPD}_l^\mu(x_{1:n}) := \frac{\text{PPM}_l^\mu(x_{1:n}^l)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mu(x_i^l)}.$$ \hfill (22)
Subsequently, we define the (total) NPD density as

\[ NPD_\mu(x_{1:n}) := \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} w_l NPD^l_\mu(x_{1:n}), \quad w_l := \frac{1}{l+1} - \frac{1}{l+2}. \quad (23) \]

In particular, we observe that \( NPD_\mu(x_{1:n}) \geq \frac{1}{2} NPD_0(x_{1:n}) = \frac{1}{2} \).

Actually, for the universality of the NPD density, it does not matter which universal measure for a finite alphabet we use in definition (22). Densities (22) for the Lebesgue measure and partitions of the real line into intervals of an equal length were considered in [10] under the name of the non-parametric differential (NPD) entropy rate estimator. The total density (23) was not considered in [10]. There is some analogy between the PPM series (13) and the NPD series (23). Weights \( w_l \) in series (23) weigh different quantization levels, whereas weights \( w_k \) in series (13) weigh different Markov approximations.

The total NPD density is measurable and finite \( \mu \)-almost everywhere, as it follows by the monotone convergence. Just an explicit proof for a sanity check.

**Theorem 6.** We have \( NPD_\mu(x_{1:n}) < \infty \) for \( \mu^n \)-almost all \( x_{1:n} \).

**Proof.** For each \( l \geq 0 \), we have

\[ \int NPD^l_\mu(x_{1:n})d\mu^n(x_{1:n}) = \sum_{x_{1:n}} PPM^{D_l}(x_{1:n}) = 1. \quad (24) \]

Since \( NPD^l_\mu \geq 0 \), hence by the monotone convergence, we obtain

\[ \int NPD_\mu(x_{1:n})d\mu^n(x_{1:n}) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} w_l \int NPD^l_\mu(x_{1:n})d\mu^n(x_{1:n}) = 1. \quad (25) \]

Since the integral is finite, the integrand is finite almost surely.

However, the series defining the total NPD density can be divergent for particular tuples \( x_{1:n} \). In general, we have

\[ NPD^l_\mu(x_{1:n}) = \frac{D_l^{-n}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mu(x_i^l)} \text{ for } l \geq M(x_{1:n}), \quad (26) \]

where \( M(x_{1:n}) = \min \{ l \geq 0 : L(x_i^l) = 0 \} \) is the minimal quantization level that puts points \( x_1, ..., x_n \) into different bins. A particularly regular case arises for uniformly dyadic partitions: \( D_l = 2^l \) and \( \mu(x_i^l) = 2^{-l} \). Such partitioning is feasible if the reference measure \( \mu \) is a non-atomic probability measure, such as the normal distribution \( N(m, \sigma^2) \) to be discussed in Section 4.2. Then series \( NPD_\mu(x_{1:n}) \) is finite if statistic \( M(x_{1:n}) \) is finite, whereas \( M(X_{1:n}) \) is finite \( \mu^N \)-almost surely.

Universality was stated in Definitions 1 and 2 as a property of measures rather than their densities. First, let us see the following statement.
**Theorem 7.** There exists a measure $R$ such that $R_\mu(x_{1:n}) = \text{NPD}_\mu(x_{1:n})$.

**Remark:** This measure $R$ will be denoted NPD.

**Proof.** We may construct measures

$$R_n(A) := \int_{x_{1:n} \in A} \text{NPD}_\mu(x_{1:n}) d\mu^n(x_{1:n}).$$

To show that measures $R_n$ induce measure $R$ on infinite sequences, by the Kolmogorov process theorem, it suffices to show that $R_{n+1}(A \times X) = R_n(A)$. In turn, using the Fubini theorem this is implied by condition

$$\int_{x_{n+1} \in X} \text{NPD}_\mu(x_{1:n+1}) d\mu(x_{n+1}) = \text{NPD}_\mu(x_{1:n}),$$

which is true since $\text{PPM}^{D_t}$ is a measure. \hfill $\square$

Let us note that the NPD measure depends implicitly not only on the filtration $X_l \uparrow X$ but also on the measure $\mu$. In order to prove strong universality of the total NPD measure, we will apply the following lemma concerning convergence of $f$-divergences for filtrations:

**Lemma 1 ([8, Chapter 3, Problem 4]).** For an interval $A$, let $f : A \to [0, \infty]$ be a non-negative, continuous, and convex measurable function, let $\nu \ll \rho$ be two finite measures on a measurable space, and let $\mathcal{G}_n \uparrow \mathcal{G}$ be a filtration. We have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int f \left( \frac{d\nu|_{\mathcal{G}_n}}{d\rho|_{\mathcal{G}_n}} \right) d\rho = \int f \left( \frac{d\nu|_{\mathcal{G}}}{d\rho|_{\mathcal{G}}} \right) d\rho,$$

where the sequence on the left hand side is increasing.

Lemma follows by a synergy of the Fatou lemma and the Jensen inequality. One yields the lower bound, whereas another yields the upper bound.

Now we will derive the main result of this section.

**Theorem 8.** Measure NPD is strongly universal with respect to the finite measure $\mu$.

**Proof.** It suffices to show that for $P \in \mathbb{E}$ and $P_n \ll \mu^n$, we have

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{-\log \text{NPD}_\mu(X_{1:n})}{n} \leq h_\mu \text{ a.s.}$$
The strong universality follows hence by the converse bound \((6)\).

So as to demonstrate \((31)\), we first observe that by the strong universality of the total PPM measures, we have

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{-\log \text{PPM}^D_l(X_1^{l:n})}{n} = \inf_{n \geq 1} \frac{\mathbb{E}[-\log P_n(X_1^{l:n})]}{n} \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{32}
\]

On the other hand, by the Birkhoff ergodic theorem, we have

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ -\log \mu(X_i^l) \right] = C_l := \mathbb{E}[-\log \mu(X_i^l)] \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{33}
\]

Moreover, each cross entropy \(C_l\) is finite since each \(C_l\) is a sum over finitely many finite elements—and \(P_l \ll \mu\). Denote quantities

\[
h^l_\mu(n) := \mathbb{E} \left[ -\log \frac{P_n(X_1^{l:n})}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mu(X_i^l)} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ -\log P_n(X_1^{l:n}) \right] - n C_l. \tag{34}
\]

Since cross entropies \(C_l\) are finite, equations \((32)\) and \((33)\) imply

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{-\log \text{NPD}_\mu(X_1^{l:n})}{n} = h^l_\mu := \inf_{n \geq 1} \frac{h^l_\mu(n)}{n} \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{35}
\]

Since \(\text{NPD}_\mu(x_1^{l:n}) \geq w_l \text{NPD}_\mu(x_1^{l:n})\) then for any \(l \geq 0\), we obtain

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{-\log \text{NPD}_\mu(X_1^{l:n})}{n} \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{-\log w_l \text{NPD}_\mu(X_1^{l:n})}{n} = h^l_\mu \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{36}
\]

It remains to show that \(\inf_{l \geq 0} h^l_\mu = h_\mu\). For this goal we observe that

\[
\frac{P_n(x_1^{l:n})}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mu(x_i^l)} = \frac{dP_n}{d\mu^n}(x_1^{l:n}). \tag{37}
\]

Hence we have

\[
h^l_\mu = \inf_{n \geq 1} \frac{h^l_\mu(n)}{n} = \inf_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} \int \eta \left( \frac{dP_n|_{x_1^n}}{d\mu^n|_{x_1^n}} \right) d\mu^n, \tag{38}
\]

where \(\eta(x) := -x \log x\). We switch the order of infimums,

\[
\inf_{l \geq 0} h^l_\mu = \inf_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} \inf_{l \geq 0} \int \eta \left( \frac{dP_n|_{x_1^n}}{d\mu^n|_{x_1^n}} \right) d\mu^n \tag{39}
\]

and we apply Lemma \(1\) to function \(f(x) = \log 2 - \eta(x)\). Hence

\[
\inf_{l \geq 0} h^l_\mu = \inf_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} \int \eta \left( \frac{dP_n}{d\mu^n} \right) d\mu^n = \inf_{n \geq 1} \frac{h_\mu(n)}{n} = h_\mu. \tag{40}
\]

The proof is complete. \(\Box\)
The proof of universality in expectation applies a bit different idea than in the finite alphabet case. The role of the dominated convergence is played by a synergy of the reversed Fatou lemma and the source coding inequality [8].

**Theorem 9.** Measure NPD is universal in expectation with respect to the finite measure \( \mu \).

**Proof.** Since \( \text{NPD}_\mu(x_{1:n}) \geq \frac{1}{2} \), we have \( -\log \text{NPD}_\mu(X_{1:n}) \leq \log 2 \). Hence by the reversed Fatou lemma and Theorem 8, we obtain

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E} \left[ -\log \text{NPD}_\mu(X_{1:n}) \right]}{n} \leq \mathbb{E} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{[-\log \text{NPD}_\mu(X_{1:n})]}{n} = h_\mu. \tag{41}
\]

Contrasting this with inequality (8) yields the claim. \( \square \)

### 4 Some examples

As an example, let us scale down the NPD measure to two particular cases where consistent estimation of the entropy rate is not possible in general. This general infeasibility will become intuitive by virtue of the additional assumptions that we are bound to make. Once these conditions are satisfied, the corrected NPD estimator is consistent.

#### 4.1 Infinite alphabet

Consider a countably infinite alphabet \( \mathbb{X} \) and the reference measure \( \mu \) to be contrasted with the counting measure \( \gamma \). We suppose that \( \mu \ll \gamma \) and \( \mu \gg \gamma \).

Entropies of \( P \) with respect to \( \mu \) are written as \( h_\mu(n) \) or \( h_\mu \), whereas entropies of \( P \) with respect to \( \gamma \) are written as \( h(n) \) or \( h \). We have

\[
P(x_{1:n}) = P_\mu(x_{1:n}) \frac{d\mu^n}{d\gamma^n}(x_{1:n}) = P_\mu(x_{1:n}) \prod_{i=1}^n \mu(x_i). \tag{42}
\]

Let us write the marginal cross entropy

\[
H(P||R) := H(P) + D(P||R) = -\sum_{x \in \mathbb{X}} P(x) \log R(x). \tag{43}
\]

If \( H(P||\mu) < \infty \), from equation (42), we obtain

\[
h(n) = h_\mu(n) - n \sum_{x \in \mathbb{X}} P(x) \log \mu(x) = h_\mu(n) + nH(P||\mu). \tag{44}
\]

Hence \( h = h_\mu + H(P||\mu) \).

In particular, we may estimate the entropy rate in the following way:
Theorem 10. Consider a countably infinite alphabet $X$ and a probability measure $\mu$ such that $\mu(x) > 0$ for all $x \in X$. Let $P \in \mathbb{E}$ with $H(P||\mu) < \infty$. Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left[ -\log \text{NPD}_\mu(X_{1:n}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \mu(X_i) \right] = h \text{ a.s.} \quad (45)$$

Proof. Quantity $-n^{-1} \log \text{NPD}_\mu(X_{1:n})$ is a strongly consistent estimator of $h_\mu$, whereas $-n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \mu(X_i)$ is a strongly consistent estimator of $H(P||\mu)$ by the Birkhoff ergodic theorem. Since $H(P||\mu) < \infty$ then the sum of these two estimators converges to $h$. \hfill \square

Estimator (45) differs from the PPM measure in particular since $\mu(X_i) \leq \mu(X_i')$. To observe some simplifications of estimator (45), let us suppose that the alphabet is the set of natural numbers, $X = \mathbb{N}$, and we take partitions

$$\chi_l := \{\{1\}, \{2\}, \ldots, \{l-1\}, \{l, l+1, \ldots\}\}, \quad l \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (46)$$

so that $D_l = l$. Then for each quantization level $l$, we have the bijection $x^l \leftrightarrow x \land l$, where $x \land a := \min\{x, a\}$. Let us denote the optimal quantization level and the optimal Markov order for sample $X_{1:n}$ as some elements $(Q_n, R_n)$ of the set of maximizers

$$\arg \max_{(q,r) \in \mathbb{N}^2_0} \frac{\text{PPM}_r^q(X_{1:n}^q)}{\mu^n(X_{1:n}^q)} = (Q_n, R_n). \quad (47)$$

where $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Since we have $\text{PPM}_{r+1}^q(x_{1:n}) < \text{PPM}_r^q(x_{1:n})$ in general and $\text{PPM}_r^q(x_{1:n}) = q^{-n}$ for $r \geq n - 1$, we may fix pair $(Q_n, R_n)$ so that

$$Q_n \leq \max X_{1:n}, \quad R_n \leq n - 1. \quad (48)$$

Moreover, using the well known idea for the PPM measure, we may bound

$$0 \leq -\log \text{NPD}_\mu(X_{1:n}) + \log \frac{\text{PPM}_{R_n}^{Q_n}(X_{1:n}^{Q_n})}{\mu^n(X_{1:n}^{Q_n})} \leq -\log w_{Q_n} - \log w_{R_n}. \quad (49)$$

Consequently, if the maximal observation $X_i$ does not grow too fast, namely,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log \max X_{1:n}}{n} = 0 \text{ a.s.} \quad (50)$$

then we may rewrite statement (45) as proposition

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left[ -\log \text{PPM}_{R_n}^{Q_n}(X_{1:n} \land Q_n) + C_n \right] = h \text{ a.s.}, \quad (51)$$

where we define

$$C_n := -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\log \mu(X_i)}{\mu(X_i^{Q_n})} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi_{i \geq Q_n} \log \mu(X_i|X_i \geq Q_n). \quad (52)$$

Term $C_n$ seems a relatively small non-negative correction of the finite-alphabet PPM entropy estimator taken for the optimal quantization level and the optimal Markov order.
4.2 Real line

Consider $X = \mathbb{R}$ and the reference measure $\mu$ being the normal distribution $N(m, \sigma^2)$ to be contrasted with the Lebesgue measure $\lambda$. Entropies of $P$ with respect to $\mu$ are written as $h_\mu(n)$ or $h_\mu$, whereas entropies of $P$ with respect to $\lambda$ are written as $h_\lambda(n)$ or $h_\lambda$. We have

$$\frac{d\mu}{d\lambda}(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x - m)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (53)

Let us write the rescaled second moment of the marginal distribution

$$M_2 := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(x - m)^2}{2\sigma^2} P_\lambda(x) dx = \frac{\text{Var} X_i + (E X_i - m)^2}{2\sigma^2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (54)

denoting variance $\text{Var} X_i := E (X_i - E X_i)^2$.

If $M_2 < \infty$, we obtain like in Section 4.1 that

$$h_\lambda(n) = h_\mu(n) - n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_\lambda(x) \log \frac{d\mu}{d\lambda}(x) dx.
= h_\mu(n) + n \left[ M_2 \log e + \log \sigma \sqrt{2\pi} \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (55)

Hence $h_\lambda = h_\mu + M_2 \log e + \log \sigma \sqrt{2\pi}$. In particular, we may estimate the entropy rate in the following way:

**Theorem 11.** Consider $X = \mathbb{R}$. Let $\mu$ be the normal distribution $N(m, \sigma^2)$, whereas $\lambda$ be the Lebesgue measure. Suppose that $P \in E$ with $P_n \ll \lambda^n$, $|E X_i| < \infty$, and $\text{Var} X_i < \infty$. Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left[ -\log \text{NPD}_\mu(X_{1:n}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(X_i - m)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right] \log e + \log \sigma \sqrt{2\pi} = h_\lambda \text{ a.s.}$$  \hspace{1cm} (56)

**Proof.** Quantity $-n^{-1} \log \text{NPD}_\mu(X_{1:n})$ is a strongly consistent estimator of the entropy rate $h_\mu$, whereas $-n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - m)^2/2\sigma^2$ is a strongly consistent estimator of the rescaled second moment $M_2$. Since $M_2 < \infty$ then the linear combination of these estimators tends to $h_\lambda$. \hfill $\Box$

In particular, the corrected NPD estimator (56) is a strongly consistent estimator of the entropy rate for all stationary ergodic Gaussian processes since $|E X_i| < \infty$ and $\text{Var} X_i < \infty$ holds in this case. This idea, although it was not carried out to its end, might have motivated the work of [10].

We may suppose that the corrected NPD estimator (56) improves if we take $m$ and $\sigma$ close to the expectation and variance of $X_i$ with respect to $P$. Obviously, we can prove easily:
Theorem 12. Consider $X = \mathbb{R}$. Let $\mu$ be the normal distribution $N(m, \sigma^2)$, whereas $\lambda$ be the Lebesgue measure. Suppose that $P \in \mathcal{E}$ with $P_n \ll \lambda^n$, $E X_i = m$, and $\text{Var} X_i = \sigma^2$. Then

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left[ -\log \text{NPD}_\mu(X_1:n) \right] + \log \sigma \sqrt{2\pi e} = h\lambda \text{ a.s.} \quad (57)
$$

The problem with the above estimator is that we need to know the exact expectation and variance of $X_i$. Can we estimate them from sample $X_{1:n}$ and plug the result into the NPD estimator? Consider random measures $\mu_n \sim N(m_n, \sigma_n^2)$, where

$$
m_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i, \quad \sigma_n := \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - m_n)^2}. \quad (58)
$$

We may ask whether it holds still

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left[ -\log \text{NPD}_{\mu_n}(X_{1:n}) \right] + \log \sigma_n \sqrt{2\pi e} = h\lambda \text{ a.s.} \quad (59)
$$

However, this question is not stated precisely enough. Let us note that measure NPD depends implicitly on the quantization path $\mathcal{X}_l \uparrow X$ and measure $\mu$. When we variate the reference measure $\mu \to \mu_n$, it is not clear whether we also have to variate the $\sigma$-fields $\mathcal{X}_l \to \mathcal{X}_{l,n}$. In any case, the proof technique of Theorem 8 works no longer and we have no simple guarantee of consistency.
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