The discovery of two-dimensional (2D) van der Waals (vdW) ferromagnets Cr$_2$Ge$_2$Te$_6$ [1] and CrI$_3$ [2] was long awaited since the works on how the spatial dimensionality affects criticality [3, 4]. Now, the potential applications that come alongside these discoveries seem vast within the field of spintronics [5] as a 2D layered material could enable the efficient design of novel spintronic devices. The recent discovery of the first rare-earth-free thin film vdW ferromagnet – Fe$_3$GeTe$_2$ (FGT) [6] – stands as a significant milestone since its Curie temperature could be raised to room temperature with ionic liquid gating [7]. Since then, FGT has been researched extensively and it shows exciting characteristics such as being a nodal line semimetal [8] and exhibiting skyrmionic spin textures [9, 10]. In particular, recent experiments demonstrated magnetization switching in Pt/FGT heterostructures via current-induced spin-orbit torque [11, 12].

We note that the magnetization switching demonstrated in Refs. [11, 12] utilized the spin-Hall effect in Pt, where the physical principle is analogous to conventional SOT devices with transition-metal bilayers. A unique role played by the low crystal symmetry of FGT was pointed out by Johansen et al. [13], which allows for the generation of a current-induced torque without the need of an interface. Recent experiments have established existence of such an unconventional torque [14, 15]. However, these experiments were performed on relatively thick samples $\gtrsim 20$ nm of FGT, which is close to a bulk structure. A key aspect of bulk FGT is the global inversion symmetry that prevents net torque response. However, two vdW layers in the unit cell of bulk FGT, referred to as the A and B layers, are inversion partners to each other, see Fig. 1. Thus, individual layers host local torques in a “staggered” manner although the total torque vanishes. We note that a similar situation is encountered in other centrosymmetric systems, for which the concept of the “hidden” Rashba effect has been developed [16–18].

Although the symmetry dictates that current-induced torque in bulk FGT is similar to multiple copies of the result for monolayer FGT [14], the microscopic mechanism in bulk FGT is expected to be different from that in monolayer FGT. For instance, while current-induced torque in monolayer FGT entirely comes from non-equilibrium spin accumulation, in bulk FGT, spin and orbital currents exchanged between layers are also expected to contribute. In particular, recent theories predict that the orbital current can be generated much more efficiently than the spin current, e.g. via orbital Hall effect, not only in transition metals [19–24] but also in 2D materials [25–29]. Moreover, the orbital current can exert torque on magnetic moments by transferring orbital angular momentum [30, 31]. Known as the orbital torque, it provides an alternative promising route to control the magnetization through orbital degree of freedom, which has just started to be explored in recent years [32]. So far, the orbital torque has been experimentally observed mostly in transition-metal-based magnetic heterostructures [33–41]. However, owing to highly anisotropic crystal field potential, strong spin-orbit coupling (SOC), and orbital complexity of the electronic structure, bulk FGT is expected to exhibit rich entangled dynamics between spin and orbital degrees of freedom. This motivates us to search for the role of orbital excitations and its interaction with magnetic moments in bulk FGT, which will widen our understanding of orbital physics in vdW materials.

In this work, by referring to first principles methods, we unveil interplay of the spin and orbital angular momenta in bulk FGT, which is “hidden” by the global inversion symmetry. When the magnetization is pointing out of the vdW plane, we find the spin flux exchanged between vdW layers is the major contribution to the torque on the magnetization. In contrast, as the
FIG. 1. A schematic illustration for current-induced torques in Fe$_3$GeTe$_2$ (FGT) with (a) an out of plane and (b) in-plane magnetization. In both instances the current direction is along the $x$-axis. The crystal structure of Fe$_3$GeTe$_2$, which consists of two individual layers, A and B, is displayed on the left. The direction of the magnetization at an angle $\theta$ with the $z$-axis is marked with $M_A$ and $M_B$ for each layer. The current-induced torques on each layer are opposite, so that the overall torque is vanishing. While for out-of-plane FGT, the magnetic torque is mainly driven by the flow of the spin angular momentum between layers [marked with arrows in (a)], the magnetic torque is dominated by prominent orbital accumulation for in-plane FGT [marked with arrows in (b)].

magnetization direction gets closer to the vdW plane, current-induced orbital accumulation becomes strongly pronounced, which leads to large orbital torque response, Fig. 1. This is the first example that shows a crossover behavior between the spin and orbital torques within a single material, which makes bulk FGT an ideal candidate for studying orbital excitations in nonequilibrium.

To describe the current-induced exchange of spin and orbital angular momentum between A and B layers which results in torques on the magnetization, we adopt the spin continuity equation [31]:

$$\frac{dS_A}{dt} = \Phi_A + T_{SO,A} - T_{M,A},$$

where the subscript stands for the vdW layer A. Here, $\Phi_A$ is the spin flux from layer B into layer A, $T_{SO,A} \sim L_A \times S_A$ describes mutual precession between the spin ($S_A$) and orbital ($L_A$) angular momenta at layer A via SOC, and $T_{M,A} \sim M_A \times S_A$ is the torque that the spin $S_A$ exerts on A-layer magnetization $M_A$ through the exchange interaction. We remark that the spin flux and local torques on layers A and B have the same magnitude but are opposite in sign by inversion symmetry. Thus, we analyze the dynamics of the A-layer only and remove the subscript A in the following. In a steady state, when $dS_A/dt = 0$, the current-induced torque on the magnetization is thus given by two contributions: due to the spin flux $\Phi$, and due to the angular momentum transfer from the orbital momentum to spin mediated by $T_{SO}$. The latter originates from the orbital accumulation. Thus, we denote these two contributions as spin and orbital contributions to the magnetic torque, respectively. The magnetic torque in 2D systems is often described by nonequilibrium spin accumulation, but we emphasize that its origin is the non-equilibrium orbital angular momentum generation.

We assess the two contributions to the torque using first-principles description the electronic structure of the system, and Kubo formalism for response to electric field $E$, providing the details of our calculations in the Supplementary Material [42]. We use the code FLEUR [43], which implements full-potential linearized augmented plane wave method [44], in combination with Wannier interpolation [45, 46] to efficiently compute the anti-damping part of the torkance tensor $\hat{T}$ defined as $\hat{T} = \hat{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{E}$. When represented in spherical coordinates, here, we are interested only in the polar $\theta$-component of the torkance tensor, where $\theta$ is the angle that the magnetization makes with the $z$-axis, see Fig. 1. Correspondingly, we assume simplified notations of $T_\theta^M$, $\Phi^\theta$ and $T_\theta^{SO}$ for the $\theta$-component of the torkance tensor, and its spin-flux and orbital parts. When studying the angular de-
FIG. 3. Properties of the current-induced torque and orbital angular momentum of the A-layer of Fe$_3$GeTe$_2$ for the magnetisation angle of $\theta = 30^\circ$ [panels (a, c, e, g)] and $\theta = 90^\circ$ [panels (b, d, f, h)]. In panels (a, b) the plotted bandstructure is superimposed with the coloured circles whose color and size represents the expectation value of the total torque $\mathbf{T}_{\text{M,n,k}}$. Similarly, in (c, d) it is the $y$-component of the current-induced orbital angular momentum $L_{y,n,k}$ which is represented with the circles. Additionally, (e) the Fermi surface distribution of $L_{y,n,k}$ for the two angles is shown in (g, h). Panels (e, f) display the total integrated ($T^\theta$, solid line), flux ($\Phi^\theta$, red dashed line) and orbital ($T_{\text{SO}}^\theta$, blue dashed line) torque as a function of band filling for $\theta = 30^\circ$ (e) and $\theta = 90^\circ$ (f), where $E = 0$ represents the true Fermi energy of FGT. The prominent current-induced orbital angular momentum and orbital torque for the in-plane magnetization is evident.

Dependence of the torque, we consider only the case when the magnetization is tilted away from the $z$-axis into the $xy$-plane while being kept in the $xz$-plane. We apply the external electric field along $x$.

We first compute the magnitude of the total torque and its decomposition in single layer FGT consisting only of A-layer as a function of angle $\theta$, which shown in Fig. 2. As predicted by symmetry consideration [13], the single-layer FGT hosts a non-vanishing SOT for $\theta \neq 0$. Interestingly, we find the change of the sign at about $\theta = 60^\circ$ with the magnitude of the torque largest for the in-plane direction of the magnetization, Fig. 2(a). Markedly, the single-layer SOT is entirely orbital in origin as we find a complete lack of flux torque for all magnetization angles. For the A-layer in bulk, Fig. 2(b), the angular dependence has a similar functional form as for the single layer FGT, with an overall suppression in magnitude. What stands in stark contrast to the single-layer FGT is the rise of the spin contribution, where $\Phi^\theta$ constitutes the major contribution to the torque. This suggests that in the bulk the spin contribution may originate in the adjacent single-layer orbital contribution. However, as $\theta$ gets closer to $90^\circ$, the spin contribution $\Phi^\theta$ is strongly suppressed and the orbital contribution $T_{\text{SO}}^\theta$ becomes dominant. This results in a peculiar angular dependence of the magnetic torque, which is significantly different from the prediction based on the leading order symmetry expansion [13, 47]. We also remark that bulk FGT is the first example of a material that exhibits an orbital-spin crossover behavior of the magnetic torque by changing the angle of the magnetization. This can be attributed to the 2D nature of the crystal structure, which results in highly anisotropic electronic structure.

In order to understand the origins of spin and orbital contributions to the magnetic torque, we calculate nonequilibrium spin and orbital accumulation induced by an external electric field, shown in Fig. 2(c) for $y$-component which is relevant for $T_{\text{M}}^\theta$. For small values of $\theta$, both $S_y$ and $L_y$ are proportional to $M_x$, which is consistent with the symmetry analysis in the lowest order of $\mathbf{M}$ [13]. However, as $\theta$ increases, higher order contributions become more pronounced. Interestingly, $S_y$ and $L_y$ exhibit qualitatively different angular dependence: $S_y$ changes sign when $\theta$ is between $30^\circ$ and $45^\circ$, while $L_y$ monotonically increases as $\theta$ increases. Moreover, $L_y$ is an order of magnitude larger than $S_y$ over wide range of $\theta$. This strongly supports the idea that orbital accumulation significantly contributes to the magnetic torque, especially for large values of $\theta$. In order to understand why for smaller values of the angle the orbital accumulation does not translate into a large orbital torque and shed the light into the microscopics of the crossover behavior, in the next step we analyze the state-resolved properties.

We analyze the band-resolved contributions to $T_{\text{M}}^\theta$ for $\theta = 30^\circ$ and $90^\circ$, respectively, in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b). These two angles are chosen such that they exhibit the strongest $\Phi^\theta$ and $T_{\text{SO}}^\theta$, respectively. As expected, the distributions of $T_{\text{M}}^\theta$ for $\theta = 30^\circ$ [Fig. 3(a)] and $\theta = 90^\circ$ [Fig. 3(b)] are qualitatively different. In particular, we find that the torque is spread over wider regions of phase-space for $\theta = 30^\circ$, while for $\theta = 90^\circ$ the torque rather originates from isolated contributions, especially for energies above the Fermi level. To characterize the role of orbital accumulation, in Figs. 3(c) and 3(d), we plot the
state-resolved $L_{y,kn}$ at $\theta = 30^\circ$ and $\theta = 90^\circ$, respectively. By comparing Figs. 3(a) and 3(c), we immediately notice that the hotspots for $T_M^d$ and $L_y$ do not match at $\theta = 30^\circ$, which is especially visible around the Fermi energy. This is expected from Fig. 2 because the magnetic torque is dominated by the spin flux at $\theta = 30^\circ$. On the other hand, at $\theta = 90^\circ$ the correlation between $T_M^d$ and $L_y$ is much more pronounced [compare Figs. 3(b) and 3(d)].

To understand the suppression of the orbital torque despite a prominent current-induced orbital magnetism of the states for small angles, in Figs. 3(g,h) we compare the $k$-resolved contribution for $L_y$ for $\theta = 30^\circ$ and $\theta = 90^\circ$, respectively, which are summed over all occupied states for each $k$. We find that at $\theta = 30^\circ$ the summation over $k$ leads to a vanishing orbital moment, whereas in the case of $\theta = 90^\circ$ the orbital moment is evidently uncompensated. The corresponding anti-symmetry of the orbital distribution for $\theta = 30^\circ$ can be also directly seen in the band structure plot for energies above the Fermi level, Fig. 3(c). Conversely, the corresponding current induced spin distribution, presented in the Supplementary [42], displays the opposite behavior. These findings help us understand the intricate energy-dependent interplay between the spin and orbital in dominating the magnetic torque as a function of the tilting angle.

We show the Fermi energy dependence of $T_M^d$, $\Phi^d$, and $T_{SO}^d$ at $\theta = 30^\circ$ and $90^\circ$ in Figs. 3(e) and 3(f), respectively. At $\theta = 30^\circ$, $\Phi^d$ is the main contribution to $T_M^d$ although $T_{SO}^d$ is also non-vanishing [Fig. 3(e)]. On the other hand, at $\theta = 90^\circ$, $T_M^d$ is mainly governed by $T_{SO}^d$ and $\Phi^d$ is suppressed over a wide range of energy [Fig. 3(f)]. In Figs. 3(a-d), we notice that isolated hotspot-like contributions are mainly concentrated in the region around $+0.2$ eV, around the nodal lines near $\Gamma$ [8]. This implies that the torque response can be efficiently tuned by tuning the band filling. Indeed, we find substantial increase of $T_M^d$ as the Fermi energy is raised by $\sim 0.2$ eV at both $\theta = 30^\circ$ and $90^\circ$. Interestingly, we predict that at $\theta = 30^\circ$, $T_M^d$ changes the sign from negative to positive as the Fermi energy is increased from the original value to $\approx +0.2$ eV above the original Fermi level. On the other hand, at $\theta = 90^\circ$, the sign of $T_M^d$ remains positive. This suggests an interesting possibility of tuning the sign and magnitude of the torque response by doping. Such a complex anisotropic behavior of the torque can be also utilized to drive the non-trivial excitations of spin textures that FGT hosts [48]. According to our estimate, raising the energy by $0.2$ eV requires $\approx 1.5$ additional electrons per layer. This may be achieved e.g. by substitutional doping of Fe by Co.

At the end, let us comment on the relevance of our predictions for the spin-orbit torque measurements on realistic FGT samples. While the single-layer SOT in bulk samples can be promoted if global symmetry is broken e.g. by surfaces and interfaces [14, 15], inversion symmetry present in ideal bulk FGT ultimately suppresses the overall torque on the magnetization. However, the reality is that there are a variety of “intrinsic” mechanisms which can drive the inversion symmetry breaking in this bulk system [15, 49]. One of these effects is that of magnetostriction [50]. Here, due to the coupling of the lattice to the magnetic moment, the rotation of the moment can initiate a crystal structure change, breaking the inversion symmetry of the perfect crystal. It is also plausible to assume that due to the 2D vdW nature of the material it is possible for the layers to slip over one another. In some instances, if multiple pairs of layers have displaced in different ways, the inversion symmetry could be broken in this fashion. Moreover, a recent experimental work reports a discrepancy in the occupancy of the central Fe atom in the A layer versus the B layer [49]. This implies that the unit cell is no longer inversion symmetric, providing the avenue required for bulk torque generation. Our results can be in principle readily applied to this situation: Due to the inter-layer occupancy difference being small, from the theoretical perspective the corresponding torque should be simply given by the difference in the torques of layers A and B from Fig. 3(e,f) for corresponding occupancies, and the corresponding effective spin-orbit field can be found is a similar fashion [13].

To summarize, in our work we uncovered that in magnetic vdW materials the microscopics of spin-orbit torques can be extremely rich owing to the pronounced 2D nature of constituting layers and their orbital complexity. As we show on the example of FGT, this ultimately results in profoundly anisotropic torque properties which may be mediated by an exotic orbital-spin crossover. Given the fundamentally different properties of spin and orbital angular momentum out of equilibrium, this suggests exciting possibilities in exploring spin-orbital dynamics of 2D magnets and corresponding transport manifestations. We further argue that the detailed knowledge of “hidden” spin-orbit torques in bulk vdW materials can provide a key to designing their current response via educated symmetry breaking, which may prove pivotal for the integration of 2D magnets into spintronics device setting.
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