Quantitatively linking morphology and optical response of individual silver nanohedra †
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The optical response of metal nanoparticles is governed by plasmonic resonances, which are dictated by the particle morphology. A thorough understanding of the link between morphology and optical response requires quantitatively measuring optical and structural properties of the same particle. Here we present such a study, correlating electron tomography and optical microscopy. The optical measurements determine the scattering and absorption cross-section spectra in absolute units, and electron tomography determines the 3D morphology. Numerical simulations of the spectra for the individual particle geometry, and the specific optical setup used, allow for a quantitative comparison including the cross-section magnitude. Silver nanoparticles produced by photochemically driven colloidal synthesis, including decahedra, tetrahedra and bi-tetrahedra are investigated. A mismatch of measured and simulated spectra is found when assuming pure silver particles, which is resolved by the presence of a few atomic layers of tarnish (Ag2S) on the surface, not evident in electron tomography. The presented method tightens the link between particle morphology and optical response, supporting the predictive design of plasmonic nanomaterials.

1 Introduction

Plasmonic nanoparticles (NPs) have optical properties which are controlled by their morphology. This enables a wide tuneability using a single material, such as silver or gold, just by size and shape control,† including chirality and the associated chiro-optical response.‡ The NP optical properties are described in terms of the cross sections for optical scattering (σca) and absorption (σabs), which represent the strength of the NP–radiation interaction.‡ While many experimental techniques have been developed to characterize the optical response at a single NP level,§ only few of these methods are able to quantify both optical cross-sections in absolute units, δ or equivalently, the complex polarizability of the NP.‡

Previous studies of correlative single particle optical–electron microscopy using scattering spectra show the complex and sensitive dependence of the optical response on the morphology.‡ Numerical modelling of the optical response based on a 3D reconstruction from electron tomography was shown in ref. 9, using discrete dipole approximation (DDA) simulations of a faceted gold NP, and for large irregular gold NPs simulated scattering spectra were compared with experiments.‡ Furthermore, gold–silver core–shell NPs were investigated, either showing simulations for a given morphology¹¹ or comparing simulations with measured scattering spectra as function of shell thickness.¹² However, the above works did not attempt an accurate comparison of measured and simulated cross-sections, and focused on the spectral features instead. Over the past years, we have developed a measurement and data analysis method to retrieve accurate quantitative cross-section spectra.¹³,¹⁴ In ref. 15 we combined this method with standard projection transmission electron microscopy (TEM) to investigate silver cubes. The cube geometry results in NPs orientated such that one of the flat sides is attached to the TEM grid, so that the NP geometric parameters can be reasonably extracted from projection images. For more complex shapes, however, conventional TEM is insufficient, and electron tomography is needed.

In the present work, we study faceted silver NPs produced by photochemically driven colloidal synthesis,¹⁶–¹⁸ including decahedra, tetrahedra and bi-tetrahedra. Similar to their gold counterparts,¹⁹,²⁰ their response is ruled by localized surface plasmon resonances. The chemical reactivity of silver surfaces makes these systems attractive for catalysis applications,²¹,²² but also provides a route to chemical surface modifications which can be difficult to identify in TEM images while significantly modifying the optical response.²³ We find here that an accurate quantitative study of cross-section spectra correlating experiment with simulation can uncover such detail. The presented case study on the one hand assesses the level of accuracy that can be achieved by our cross-section measurement method, and on the other hand exemplifies the kind of fine information that can be extracted from quantitative cross-section spectroscopy. Ultimately such progress might enable to reliably extract the 3D morphology of metal NPs from optical measurements alone.

2 Materials and methods

Let us present the workflow of the experiment summarized in Figure 1. Silver decahedra NPs are fabricated with a plasmon-driven method adapting the protocols of Zheng et al.¹⁷ and Pietrobon and Kitaev.¹⁶ As shown in Figure 1a, seeds grown by reduction of AgNO₃ in aqueous solution are thought to aggregate to form decahedra under irradiation by a high power light-emitting di-
ode (LED) centred at a 447 nm wavelength (violet spectrum in the graph). The formation of decahedra can be monitored via the progressive red-shift of the extinction peak of the NP solution from spherical seeds (dashed line) to decahedra (solid line). Further details of the fabrication process and a kinetic study are reported in the ESI section S.I.

As particle support for the correlative measurements we used a TEM grid (Ted Pella, 21530-10) composed of a 40 nm-thick SiO$_2$ film (refractive index $n = 1.46$) supported by a 200 nm-thick Si$_3$N$_4$ film with $50 \times 50 \mu$m square windows, on a silicon substrate (one such window is the bright frame of Figure 1c). The grid was washed using two repetitions of the sequence deionised water – acetone – anisole – ethanol, and then dried in air. The grid was held by a teflon-coated stainless steel reverse action tweezer. As particle support for the correlative measurements we used a TEM grid (Ted Pella, 21530-10) composed of a 40 nm-thick SiO$_2$ film (refractive index $n = 1.46$) supported by a 200 nm-thick Si$_3$N$_4$ film with $50 \times 50 \mu$m square windows, on a silicon substrate (one such window is the bright frame of Figure 1c). The grid was washed using two repetitions of the sequence deionised water – acetone – anisole – ethanol, and then dried in air. The grid was held by a teflon-coated stainless steel reverse action tweezer. As particle support for the correlative measurements we used a TEM grid (Ted Pella, 21530-10) composed of a 40 nm-thick SiO$_2$ film (refractive index $n = 1.46$) supported by a 200 nm-thick Si$_3$N$_4$ film with $50 \times 50 \mu$m square windows, on a silicon substrate (one such window is the bright frame of Figure 1c). The grid was washed using two repetitions of the sequence deionised water – acetone – anisole – ethanol, and then dried in air. The grid was held by a teflon-coated stainless steel reverse action tweezer. As particle support for the correlative measurements we used a TEM grid (Ted Pella, 21530-10) composed of a 40 nm-thick SiO$_2$ film (refractive index $n = 1.46$) supported by a 200 nm-thick Si$_3$N$_4$ film with $50 \times 50 \mu$m square windows, on a silicon substrate (one such window is the bright frame of Figure 1c). The grid was washed using two repetitions of the sequence deionised water – acetone – anisole – ethanol, and then dried in air. The grid was held by a teflon-coated stainless steel reverse action tweezer. As particle support for the correlative measurements we used a TEM grid (Ted Pella, 21530-10) composed of a 40 nm-thick SiO$_2$ film (refractive index $n = 1.46$) supported by a 200 nm-thick Si$_3$N$_4$ film with $50 \times 50 \mu$m square windows, on a silicon substrate (one such window is the bright frame of Figure 1c). The grid was washed using two repetitions of the sequence deionised water – acetone – anisole – ethanol, and then dried in air. The grid was held by a teflon-coated stainless steel reverse action tweezer. As particle support for the correlative measurements we used a TEM grid (Ted Pella, 21530-10) composed of a 40 nm-thick SiO$_2$ film (refractive index $n = 1.46$) supported by a 200 nm-thick Si$_3$N$_4$ film with $50 \times 50 \mu$m square windows, on a silicon substrate (one such window is the bright frame of Figure 1c). The grid was washed using two repetitions of the sequence deionised water – acetone – anisole – ethanol, and then dried in air. The grid was held by a teflon-coated stainless steel reverse action tweezer.
series) of 0.95 numerical aperture (NA) as depicted in Figure 1b. The procedure for the optical measurements and the quantitative analysis of the optical cross sections is largely the same we adopted in ref. 15. We therefore limit ourselves here to recapitulate the main steps performed and parameters used, while we refer the reader to the aforementioned work for an in-depth description. Single-particle microspectroscopy is performed by optically relaying the intermediate image plane created by the tube lens of the microscope onto the entrance slit of an imaging spectrometer (Horiba Jobin-Yvon, iHR550) equipped with a ruled plane diffraction grating (Horiba, S1048) of 78 mm square size and 100 lines per mm. Spectra were acquired with a Peltier-cooled back-illuminated charge-coupled device (CCD) sensor (Andor, Newton DU-971N). The spectrometer images the entrance slit onto the sensor, allowing to use the zeroth order of the grating to provide an image of the sample to select a specific particle for spectroscopy. The entrance slit acts as a spatial filter in the horizontal direction (along the spectral dispersion), whereas in the vertical direction the binning of the CCD sensor itself is used to define a region of interest. Together these define a 1.0 × 1.0 µm square region centred on the NP of interest from which the signal is collected. The corrections required to account for this finite region of detection are described in section S.III of the ESI.

Within the transillumination scheme adopted, we define two imaging modalities based on the angular range of the illumination, as illustrated in Figure 1d. In the first one – a bright-field (BF) scheme – the illumination NA range is set to match the collection range (0–0.95) of the objective. In the second one – a dark-field (DF) scheme, the illumination range 1.06–1.34 NA is used, not overlapping with the collection range, so that only scattering is detected. As a result, scatterers such as NPs are visible as bright diffraction-limited spots on a dark background – see for example Figure 1c (left). The two illumination ranges are defined by two corresponding 3D-printed apertures placed in the back focal plane (BFP) of the condenser lens (Nikon, T-C-HNAO, 1.34 NA oil-immersion) on a slider, which allows the reproducible switching between BF and DF required for an accurate correlation between transmitted and scattered light intensity.

The optical cross sections are defined as the power removed from the exciting beam per excitation intensity: $\sigma = P/I_{exc}$. Thus, a careful referencing to the exciting intensity $I_{exc}$ of the single-particle extinction and scattering spectra enables us to measure accurately the magnitude of the cross sections. Note that the BF extinction signal includes contributions of both absorption and scattering, which have to be unravelled based on the scattering-only DF signal. Such retrieval procedure is presented in ref. 13, and requires information on the directional properties of the scattering process. In the analysis this information is reduced to two parameters named $\eta$ and $\zeta$. $\eta$ concerns the detection, and is the fraction of the total scattering collected by the objective. We note that $\eta$ depends on the angular range of the illumination, such that $\eta_{BF} \neq \eta_{DF}$; however, the difference is small for the decahedra, whose response is governed by the same dipolar mode under both BF and DF illumination. $\zeta$ concerns the excitation, and is the BF to DF ratio of the scattered power; it depends therefore on the relative intensity of the BF to DF illumination (which we characterised for our set-up as described in section S.IV of the ESI), as well as on how much the resonant modes of the scatterer are excited under either illumination. In this work, $\eta$ and $\zeta$ are computed numerically for each studied NP as described below. The details of the NP geometry for the cases studied here have a moderate effect, and therefore the values are rather similar for all NPs considered, see the ESI section S.V. Following the quantitation procedure outlined above, we can measure cross-section spectra in absolute units, such as nm$^2$ in Figure 1e. Note that $\sigma_{abs}(\lambda)$ and $\sigma_{sca}(\lambda)$ refer to a given illumination and collection range. Specifically, in this work we measure $\sigma_{sca}^{DF}$ and $\sigma_{sca}^{BF}$, which differ$^{24}$ from the cross sections under plane-wave excitation.

As illustrated by Figure 1c, optical and electron microscopy images can be correlated through the recognition of a specific NP pattern. In the high-angle annular dark-field scanning TEM (HAADF-STEM) overview on the right, white circles highlight the NPs visible, and a distinctive dimer in the middle is shown magnified. We are thereby able to select the NPs characterised optically for HAADF-STEM tomography, whereby the sample is tilted across a wide angular range under the electron beam, as depicted in Figure 1f, and the resulting stack of projection images is used to reconstruct the three-dimensional (3D) morphology of the NP. All electron tomography series were acquired using a FEI Tecnai Osiris electron microscope operated at 200 kV. The series are taken across the largest tilt range allowed by the TEM grids clearance – typically about ±65° – with a tilt increment of 3°. The 1k × 1k projection images are aligned to match the NP positions across each series using cross-correlation, and are then reconstructed using 15 iterations of the expectation–maximization reconstruction algorithm implemented in the ASTRA toolbox for MATLAB. The resulting reconstructions are downsampled by a factor 12 and segmented using the Otsu method to export them as .stl files, such as the one shown in Figure 1g. This geometry is then meshed in COMSOL for numerical simulation purposes with a free tetrahedral volume mesh displayed in Figure 1h. The influence of variations of this reconstruction procedure on the simulated cross-section spectra is investigated in subsection 3.1.

The optical response of the particles is computed in the frequency domain using COMSOL Multiphysics®, a commercial software implementing the finite element method. In the model, the NP is defined as silver using the permittivity reported in ref. 27, immersed in a homogeneous medium of anisole ($n = 1.52$). We neglected the small index mismatch between the thin silica window ($n = 1.46$) and anisole and used a homogeneous medium instead of a multi-layered structure, therefore the model used here is equivalent to the one described in the SI of our previous work ref. 15, with the slab thickness set to zero ($d = 0$ nm). This simplification allowed us to automate the importing and alignment of particle geometries from HAADF-STEM tomography into COMSOL. The stationary solution of Maxwell’s equations under plane-wave (PW) excitation of given frequency, polarization, and propagation direction computed by COMSOL determines the spatial distribution of the electromagnetic field $\vec{E}$.

Let us now discuss how we derive the observables of interest (namely $\sigma_{abs}$, $\sigma_{sca}$, $\eta$, $\zeta$) from this solution. Figure 1i shows the spatial distribution of the Joule (resistive) heating
\( Q_1 = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}(\mathbf{j}_e \cdot \mathbf{E}^* ) \) where \( \mathbf{j}_e = \zeta \mathbf{E} \) is the conduction current in terms of the AC electrical conductivity \( \zeta \). We integrate \( Q_1 \) over the NP volume to compute the absorbed power \( P_{\text{abs}} \), and hence \( \sigma_{\text{abs}}^{\text{PW}} = P_{\text{abs}} / E_{\text{exc}}^2 \). The near-field solution can be projected to the far field via the far-field transform available in COMSOL, resulting in an angular distribution of the field \( \mathbf{E}_{\text{ff}}(\theta, \phi) \) such as the one shown in Figure 1j. A dipole-like emission pattern is seen, with the dipole oriented close to the \( x \) direction (identified by the polar angle \( \theta = \pi / 2 \) and the azimuth \( \varphi = 0, \pi, 2\pi \) – albeit not precisely along it, due to a tilt of a long axis of the particle, along which its polarizability is maximized. The far-field Poynting vector \( \mathbf{S}_{\text{ff}} \) (which is proportional to \( |\mathbf{E}_{\text{ff}}|^2 \) plotted in Figure 1j) can be integrated over the appropriate solid angle (4\( \pi \) or the objective acceptance) to compute the scattered power \( P_{\text{sc}} \) (and hence \( \sigma_{\text{sc}}^{\text{PW}} = P_{\text{sc}} / E_{\text{exc}}^2 \)) and the collected fraction of scattering \( \eta \).

We emphasize that these values of \( \sigma \) and \( \eta \) are computed under PW excitation, which we have indicated with the PW superscript; in the experiment instead we use the incoherent illumination produced by a high-NA condenser, which is composed of a wide range of directions. To reproduce the measured \( \sigma_{\text{sc}} \) and \( \sigma_{\text{abs}} \) we therefore perform and average a large number of PW simulations sampling the directional range of illumination (either BF or DF), each direction being assigned an appropriate weight according to the angular dependence of the illumination intensity in our microscope, that we have characterized. The unpolarized illumination is reproduced by averaging for each direction the results of two PW simulations with orthogonal excitation polarisation, namely \( p \) and \( s \) with respect to the imaged sample plane. An analogous directional averaging is applied to compute the scattering parameters (\( \eta_{\text{BF}}, \eta_{\text{DF}}, \) and \( \zeta \)) appropriate to the experimental angular ranges of illumination and detection. The mathematical details of such procedure are given in ref. 15 section S.IV to S.VI.

This averaging results in the \( \sigma_{\text{sc}} \) and \( \sigma_{\text{abs}} \) spectra, which are shown in Figure 1k, and are quantitatively simulating the experimental ones in Figure 1e. From here on we drop the DF and BF superscript of the cross-sections for simplicity. In the next section we will compare in detail the experimental and simulated cross-sections, focussing on their differences to identify additional aspects of the system beyond its measured geometry yet to be included in the model. In this manner, the comparison can bring about additional knowledge on the system – such as the presence of surface layers or variations of the metal permittivity.

### 3 Results and discussion

Twenty particles were measured in total, which we numbered with increasing volume \( V \). Figure 2 shows the measured and simulated cross-section spectra for six selected particles representing the range of shapes and sizes, along with the top and side view of their 3D reconstructions. The data for the remainder of the particles are shown in the ESI section S.V. The top view shows the particle as seen along the illumination axis, indicating the main plane of excitation polarizations, even though due to the high NA also axial polarization is present, specifically for the DF illumination. While the fabrication method was developed to produce decahedra (such as particles #20 and #18), other shapes are present, such as tetrahedra (#6 and #7), or a bi-tetrahedron (#19). The particles range in sizes, as shown in the ESI and Figure 3. The decahedra and tetrahedra show a single pronounced peak in the scattering cross-section, at a wavelength between 500 and 550 nm. The more elongated particles, #19 and #3, show two distinct peaks, which are dipolar modes with polarisations along the longer or shorter axis, centred at longer or shorter wavelengths, respectively. COMSOL simulations of the scattering cross section of particle #19 under normal-incidence plane-wave illumination polarized along the shorter and longer axis (green and orange lines, respectively) confirm this attribution. For most particles we find a reasonable agreement in the lineshape and magnitude of the scattering cross-section peak around the dipolar resonance, though the position shows a systematic blue-shift of the simulated data relative to the measured one. The measured absorption spectra show regions of negative values, which is not expected, as it implies a net power emission by the particle. The absorption is determined as difference between extinction and scattering, using a range of numerically calculated and experimentally measured parameters, as mentioned in section 2. With the scattering dominating for most particles, the resulting small difference is affected by systematic errors in the measured extinction and scattering. These considerations and the wavelength dependence of the analysis parameters are discussed in more detail in the ESI section S.V.

To correlate the results of experiment and simulations across all particles measured, we compare key spectral features in Figure 3. The position of the dipolar scattering peak (panel a) shows a redshift with increasing particle index and thus particle volume, and the amplitude of the peak (b) increases with volume, both of these effects are generally well known and understood in literature. The quantitative comparison between measurements and simulations shows a remarkable agreement, considering that no adjustable parameters have been used. The difference between simulated and measured peak positions can be seen in the inset, and separately in (c). We find good correlation, with most particles showing a red shift of the measurement relative to the simulation by a few tens of nanometres. This finding is reminiscent of the shift observed in experiments with silver cuboids. The relative deviation between simulated and measured peak magnitude (see panel d) shows a significant fluctuation, mostly with the simulation being higher, though the deviation decreases for large particles. Generally, the signal-to-noise ratio in the HAADF-STEM projection images is smaller for smaller particles, allowing for a larger relative error. In addition, the finite angular range used for the tomography reconstructions gives rise to a so-called missing wedge effect, a result of a lack of information along certain directions. This can lead to systematic errors depending on the particle morphology, which could cause particle to particle fluctuations. On the optical measurement side, smaller cross-sections are more affected by noise due to diffuse background scattering. However, the noise level is typically not significant in the present data, as can be seen in the scattering spectra shown in Figure 2. On the other hand, the absorption displays a better agreement for small particles, as can be seen in the ESI section S.V. This is due to the response of large particles.
Fig. 2 Measured (dashed lines) and simulated (solid lines) scattering (blue) and absorption (red) cross-section spectra of 6 selected particles as labelled, along with HAADF-STEM tomography surface views from the top and side. The scale bar is 40 nm. For particle #19, we show additionally the simulated scattering cross-section for normal incidence for linear polarizations along (orange line) and across (green line) the long axis of the particle, as well as their average (black line).
In Figure 3e the particles are shown in a plane spanned by the ratio in amplitude and the difference in peak position between the measured and simulated data, to facilitate identifying and categorizing the possible sources for the discrepancy. The area shaded in red corresponds to both a blueshift and a decrease in amplitude of the simulated scattering dipole peak compared to the experimental one. It is known that rounding of edges of the particle causes a blueshift and a decrease of the magnitude of the plasmonic peaks. For example, it was observed for silver prisms, silver cubes, and gold decahedra. We note that the samples were shipped from the optical experiment at Cardiff to the electron tomography at Antwerp in nitrogen atmosphere in a sealed container at room temperature, providing up to 4 days during which such rounding might have developed. In the area shaded in blue the simulated peak is also blueshifted, but the simulated amplitude is higher than the experimental one. Based on our previous work, this is likely due to a surface layer forming on the particles. An increased damping in the permittivity can also lead to a decrease of the scattering cross section, as we shall discuss in subsection 3.2 below. The green area corresponds to a redshift of the simulated spectra with respect to the experimental ones, with an increase in amplitude. The two particles in this area show rather small deviations, within the accuracy of determining the values.

Below we investigate some of these potential sources of deviation in more detail on two selected particles. As the cross-section simulations taking into account the wide NA range of the microscope illumination are computationally expensive, we increased the sampling step size of the illumination direction from 0.21 NA to 0.3 NA, reducing the simulation time by a factor of two, while affecting the cross-section spectra by less than a few percent.

### 3.1 Geometry reconstruction accuracy

The measured NP morphology dictates the simulated optical cross-sections, and thus should be as accurate as possible. In our analysis pipeline, the reconstruction of the electron tomography depends on analysis parameters which influence the resulting morphology. As mentioned earlier, electron tomography suffers from the missing wedge effect, which leads to a lack of information along certain directions, and we found that the resulting morphology slightly depended on the number of iterations in the reconstruction process. One can also include pre-processing of the data such as smoothing procedures. In addition, to achieve a reasonable simulation time, the NP morphology needs to be meshed with an acceptable number of elements, which depends on the computational power available and the accuracy required.

In this section we discuss the influence of these points on the reconstructed morphology and simulated spectra.

We call R1 the meshed reconstructions used in Figure 2 and Figure 3, which employed 15 iterations of the expectation–maximization reconstruction algorithm and a downsampling factor of $N = 12$. Downsampling by a factor $N$ bins together pixels...
The reconstructions $R_2$ and $R_3$ resulted in a slightly altered surface area changes (see ESI Table S1), and the effect on the cross-section spectra, as shown in Figure 4 (see ESI Figure S13 for more examples). For particle #20, the volume is $V = (18.4, 18.2, 17.4) \times 10^4 \text{ nm}^3$ for $(R_1, R_2, R_3)$, and the volume to surface ratios are $V/S = (10.8, 10.6, 10.4) \text{ nm}$. For particle #3 the volumes are $V = (4.09, 4.29, 4.10) \times 10^4 \text{ nm}^3$ and the volume to surface ratios are $V/S = (6.65, 6.79, 6.5) \text{ nm}$.

For the larger particle (#20 shown in Figure 4a), the reconstructions have little influence on the simulation results. Despite the decreasing volume, we observed a small red shift and increase in scattering cross-section for $R_2$ and $R_3$. Noting that these reconstructions create less smoothing, the red shift can be related to a sharpening of the geometry. For the smaller particle (#3 shown in Figure 4b), $R_2$ and $R_3$ create different effects. For $R_2$ we observe a small blue shift and a small increase in amplitude. The blue shift could result from remeshing, as mentioned before. The increase of the scattering amplitude is consistent with the increase in the volume. For $R_3$ instead, we observe a red shift and slight redistribution of amplitude between the two peaks is seen. We attribute this to a sharpening of morphological features in the missing wedge region due to the higher number of iterations in the reconstruction algorithm. The slight increase in the splitting of the two peaks also suggests a small increase in aspect ratio. The modified simulated cross-sections result in modified analysis parameters $(\eta, \zeta)$ which in turn modify the measured cross-sections slightly, as shown by the dashed lines.

The results discussed in this section are indicative of the uncertainty originating from the reconstruction. For the following simulations we chose to use $R_2$, having a slightly improved signal-to-noise ratio compared to $R_1$ due to the additional smoothing of the input projections, but avoiding $R_3$ where the high number of iterations may lead to a roughening of the morphology by an overfitting of noise in the expectation-maximization algorithm.

### 3.2 Modification of the permittivity

It is well known that the permittivity of a metal measured by ellipsometry on a planar surface can require a modification for NPs due to the reduced mean free path of the electrons.33 We accordingly model the effect of additional damping (combining the surface damping, the so-called chemical interface damping, and crystal defects) on the Ag permittivity $\varepsilon_{\text{exp}}(\omega)$ measured by ellipsometry on a planar surface of polycrystalline Ag films27 as the function of the angular frequency $\omega = 2\pi c/\lambda$, with the speed of light $c$ and the wavelength $\lambda$. We first fit $\varepsilon_{\text{exp}}(\omega)$ in the wavelength range between 400 nm and 700 nm, avoiding the Ag interband transitions at shorter wavelengths, with a Drude model, $\varepsilon(\omega, \gamma) = \varepsilon_{\infty} - \omega_P^2 / (\omega^2 + i\omega\gamma)$, as detailed in the ESI Section S.VII, where $\omega_P$ is the plasma frequency and $\gamma$ is the damping. Then, we increase the damping by the term $33–36 g v_F / R$, where $v_F$ is the Fermi velocity, $R$ is the effective radius, and $g$ is a scaling factor. We use the radius $R$ calculated from the particle volume $V$ assuming a spherical shape, $R = \sqrt[3]{3V/(4\pi)}$, resulting in $R = 35.2 \text{ nm}$ for particle #20 and $R = 21.7 \text{ nm}$ for particle #3. Finally, we add the permittivity change due to the increased damping to the measured permittivity $\varepsilon_{\text{measured}}$. For the smaller particle (#3 shown in Figure 4b), $R_2$ and $R_3$ create different effects. For $R_2$ we observe a small blue shift and a small increase in amplitude. The blue shift could result from remeshing, as mentioned before. The increase of the scattering amplitude is consistent with the increase in the volume. For $R_3$ instead, we observe a red shift and slight redistribution of amplitude between the two peaks is seen. We attribute this to a sharpening of morphological features in the missing wedge region due to the higher number of iterations in the reconstruction algorithm. The slight increase in the splitting of the two peaks also suggests a small increase in aspect ratio. The modified simulated cross-sections result in modified analysis parameters $(\eta, \zeta)$ which in turn modify the measured cross-sections slightly, as shown by the dashed lines.

The results discussed in this section are indicative of the uncertainty originating from the reconstruction. For the following simulations we chose to use $R_2$, having a slightly improved signal-to-noise ratio compared to $R_1$ due to the additional smoothing of the input projections, but avoiding $R_3$ where the high number of iterations may lead to a roughening of the morphology by an overfitting of noise in the expectation-maximization algorithm.
ured permittivity data set, resulting in the modified permittivity \( \varepsilon_m(\omega) = \varepsilon_{\text{exp}}(\omega) + \varepsilon(\omega, \gamma + g_v/R) - \varepsilon(\omega, \gamma) \) to be used in the simulation.

The effect of the increased damping on the cross-section spectra is shown in Figure 5. The scattering cross-section decreases with increasing \( g \) from 0 to 1.5 (a typical range reported previously\(^{36} \)) together with a broadening of the peaks, while the absorption cross-section increases (see ESI Figure S16). The measured cross section does not change notably with \( g \), showing that the analysis parameters (\( \eta^{\text{eff}}, \eta^{\text{dir}}, \zeta \)) are not significantly affected by the additional damping.

### 3.3 Addition of a tarnish layer

While it might be possible that changing both reconstruction procedure and damping could produce a \( \sigma_{\text{sca}} \) matching the measurements, we did not find a reconstruction that would consistently move the spectra of all particles enough that a further permittivity change could explain the remaining discrepancy. Therefore we consider here another deviation of the particle description in the model from reality, given by an atomically thin chemical surface modification, which is not expected to be visible in the electron tomography for the imaging settings used. Such a layer, which can form on silver (as opposed to gold) due to its reactivity, is most likely sulfide or oxide. Both compounds have a high refractive index and also absorption, causing a redshift and decrease in magnitude in the scattering,\(^{15,37} \) mimicking the observed mismatch between simulation and measurement for the majority of the particles. Sulfur is more reactive with Ag than oxygen, and silver sulfide explained our previous observations on silver cubes.\(^{15} \)

Notably, in a first set of experiments we used sulfuric acid instead of hydrochloric acid for cleaning and activation of the grid, and we found using energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) taken during STEM imaging that abundant silver sulfide was indeed forming around the NPs, with some completely converted into sulfide, as we show in the ESI section S.II.

To model such layers in COMSOL we used the following approach: Starting from the surface mesh of the particle, we modeled a surface layer by isotropically scaling down the mesh, while fixing its center of mass, to define an Ag core of volume \( V_c \), with the remaining space in the original volume \( V_s \) providing the shell. The resulting average shell thickness \( h \) is taken as \( h = 2(V_s - V_c)/(A_s - A_c) \), , where \( A_s \) and \( A_c \) are the surface areas before and after the scaling, respectively. The wavelength-dependent permittivity of the shell was set to the one of silver sulfide reported in ref. \(^{38} \).

For particle #20 we scaled down the mesh by a factor of 0.97, creating a layer of thickness \( h = 1.6 \text{ nm} \). For particle #3 we used a scaling factor of 0.985, yielding \( h = 0.3 \text{ nm} \). These shell thicknesses yield a good agreement between simulated and measured scattering cross-section spectra. For the absorption cross-section spectra, which are increased by the tarnish, some mismatch remains. We note that a tarnish layer can have a much more complex morphology than assumed here, and can also contain a mixture of sulfide, oxide, and even other compounds. A remaining mismatch is therefore expected considering the simple tarnish model employed.

![Figure 5](image1.png)

**Fig. 5** Same as Figure 4, but for increasing surface scattering \( g_v/R \) in the Drude damping of the Ag permittivity.
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**Fig. 6** Same as Figure 4, but for the addition of a silver sulfide (Ag:S) tarnish layer of thickness \( h \), and additionally showing the absorption cross-section.
4 Conclusions

We have used the pipeline for correlative and quantitative optical and structural electron microscopy characterization that we have recently developed to study individual silver nanohedra synthesized by photochemistry. Importantly, we extended the method to include electron tomography, to determine the volumetric shape of the particles accurately, and used the resulting morphology and orientation for simulations of the quantitative optical cross-section spectra, for a fitting-parameter free quantitative comparison with the measured spectra. This is the first study of this type, combining fully quantitative optical cross-section measurements with correlative electron tomography determining quantitatively the 3D particle morphology and orientation, and corresponding quantitative simulations.

While generally a good agreement of simulated and measured cross-sections is found, quantitative differences are revealed. Specifically a redshift of the measurements compared to the simulations by a few percent, mostly for the larger particles, and a difference in magnitude, mostly a reduction for the smaller particles. To understand the origin of the deviations, the influence of three aspects was investigated. The tomographic reconstruction method was examined, showing resulting morphology variations mostly for smallest particles investigated, in the 20 nm size range.

The addition of a realistic surface damping in the permittivity resulted in only slightly modified spectra. Adding a thin surface layer of tarnish, here silver sulfide, brought about, for realistic thicknesses in the 1 nm range, a match within the expected systematic errors. To avoid the formation of a tarnish layer, a similar study on gold nanohedra could be envisaged, allowing to isolate the accuracy of geometry and permittivity. Let us emphasize that such conclusions would have been less stringent without the information on the cross-section magnitude. For instance, the red shift of the measured spectra can be explained both in terms of the geometry being more sharp, within the reconstruction accuracy, and by the tarnish layer; but only the latter hypothesis is in agreement with the measured cross-section magnitudes.

The accuracy of the method can be improved going forward. For example, one could add polarization-dependent measurements and simulations, using linearly, radially, and azimuthally polarised light, where the latter has the advantage of only in-plane polarized excitation for both BF and DF, thus exciting the same resonances. Furthermore, the slight angular dependence of the objective transmission could be calibrated and taken into account.

This work and adoption of the developed methodology paves the way towards an accurate quantitative understanding and verification of the morphology - optical response relation in plasmonic nanoparticles, especially for particles with complex shapes which are important building blocks for next generation devices.
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