Detectability of core level crossing and electronic topological transformations: the case of Osmium
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Osmium, the least compressible metal, has recently been observed to undergo abrupt changes in the c/a ratio at extreme pressures [1]. These are claimed to provide evidence for two unusual electronic behaviours: a crossing of the semicore 4f and 5p levels, and an electronic topological transition. We demonstrate that these two electronic phenomena are readily reproduced and understood in density functional theory, but that neither perturb the trend in c/a ratio against pressure. Hence the observed anomalies in c/a must have another cause. Osmium is also notable for its high yield strength: the c/a anomalies lie well within the differential strains which osmium can support. We propose that observed c/a changes can arise from mechanical yield of crystallites with strong preferred orientation under high deviatoric stress in the experimental data.

Osmium has the lowest compressibility [2] [3] and highest yield strength [4] of any elemental metal. This makes it a particularly favourable candidate for studies where one ambition is reaching the highest possible pressures [4].

Osmium also has one of the most complex electronic structures of any element. The atomic ground state is [Xe].4f14.5p6.5d6.6s2. Solid osmium adopts the hexagonal close packed structure with hybridization of the 5d and 6s bands. There is no pressure-induced structural phase transition, but there may be electronic topological transitions (ETT) if a band which does not cross the Fermi level at low pressure does so at higher pressure, or vice versa.

A abrupt changes in c/a under pressure have been observed in some experiments [1] [5]. These have been associated with an ETT osmium undergoes at high pressures. However, other experiments across the same pressure range, observed no such changes [6] [7]. It is unclear if an ETT such as that in osmium can be detected in the crystal structure. Phonon softening was reported in tantalum [8], but similar effects have been discounted in other heavy elements, e.g. zinc where a combination of inelastic neutron scattering, ab initio calculations, and application of Betteridge’s Law showed that no coupling to c/a or phonons exists from an ETT [9–12].

In addition to changes in the character of the valence electrons, the 4f and 5p core electron bands come to overlap in energy at high pressures: the more compact 4f orbitals are energetically favored at high pressure compared with the more extended 5p orbitals. This effect is common for 5d metals [13], and has been associated with anomalies in the c/a of osmium.

In this letter, we examine whether any signatures of core-level crossing and ETT in osmium are detectable in the crystal structure. We select osmium because it has two lattice parameters, and it was subject of a high profile recent experimental study [1]. We use both VASP and all-electron (Wien2k) calculations, within the formalism of density functional theory (DFT). Numerous calculations suggest that DFT is an appropriate method to study osmium, even at the highest pressures [1] [3] [16].

Extensive calculations were conducted for an array of unit-cells with volumes ranging between 17.0 and 29.4 Å³ in steps of 0.252 Å³/uc, and with c/a ratios ranging from 1.565 to 1.615 in steps of 0.025. Ab initio calculations of the total energy were conducted on this dense grid of c/a and volumes. The hydrostatic equilibrium state at each volume was found by minimizing energy with respect to the c/a ratio at constant volume.

These calculations were repeated with several different exchange-and-correlation potentials and two different ab initio codes: the projector-augmented-wave pseudopotential code VASP [17] [18]; and the all-electron augmented-plane-wave with local-orbitals method as implemented by the Wien2k:v21.1 code [19]. All sets of calculations gave qualitatively similar results. Therefore, we focus on the calculations using Wien2k and the PBE approximation for exchange-and-correlation effects [20]. The other results are included in supplementary material.

Figure 1(a) shows the bandstructure of osmium. Figures 1(b) and (c) show the calculated Fermi surface at zero pressure. Experimental de Haas-van Alphen measures of the Osmium Fermi-surface [21] show excellent agreement with our Wien2k calculations of the Fermi surface (see supplementary material). There are two distinct qualitative changes to the bandstructure of osmium under increasing pressure.

The first is the growth, under increasing pressure, of a hole pocket at the Γ point. As volume is reduced, the valence-band maximum at Γ increases. Assuming the equilibrium c/a ratio, the maximum at Γ never drops below the Fermi energy at any volume: no topological transition occurs. However, the ETT at Γ can be induced by shear strain, if the c/a ratio is increased above the calculated hydrostatic value. For example, if experimental lattice parameters are used for a calculation with the SCAN exchange-correlation potential, an ETT at Γ occurs. This illustrates how deciding whether to use exper-
FIG. 1: (a) Calculated bandstructure of osmium with a unit-cell volume of 27.8 Å³ / uc and a c/a ratio of 1.580, and 20.0 Å³ / uc, c/a = 1.600, respectively. These calculations used the PBE exchange-correlation potential, although the bandstructure is very similar to the SCAN and LDA calculations (see supplementary material). Inset: diagram of the Brillouin-zone for the space group P6/mmc [22]. (b) and (c), two different views of the Fermi surface of Osmium with V = 27.8 Å³ / uc, c/a = 1.580. (d); (e); (f): calculated Fermi surface near the L-point at 27.8 Å³ / uc, c/a = 1.580; 23.1 Å³ / uc, c/a = 1.592; and 20.0 Å³ / uc, c/a = 1.601, respectively.

The second electronic-topological transition is really a series of transitions which occur in the vicinity of the L-point, (1/3, 0, 1/3). At ambient pressure, the saddle-point where several bands converge at L lies just below the Fermi surface. As volume is decreased (with an accompanying increase in c/a), a hole pocket emerges. Figures [d], (e), and (f) show how the shape of the Fermi surface around L changes with reducing volume. There is an initial topological change as the maximum along the L–H line rises above the Fermi energy, connecting the large Fermi surface to its image in the second Brillouin zone. At lower volumes a further topological transition takes place, as the band-crossing at L rises above the Fermi energy and a hole pocket appears.

Figure [b] shows how our high pressure Wien2k calculations capture crossing of the core-level 4f₇/₄ and 5p₃/₂ orbitals well below the Fermi level. The 4f and 5p orbitals are initially distinct, separated by a gap of several electron-volts. At lower volumes / higher pressures the 5p band broadens and lowers in energy, eventually hybridizing with the 4f₇/₄ orbitals. It is this hybridisation that Dubrovinsky et al. claim perturbs the c/a ratio of osmium. However, our calculations show that neither core-level hybridisation, nor the ETT, affect the c/a ratio of osmium.

For all sets of calculations, the c/a ratio smoothly increases with decreasing volume. This smooth behavior is best illustrated by a contour plot of energy against c/a ratio and volume [Fig. 3(a)]. There are no clear wrinkles or perturbations in the energy surface which can be associated to the ETT or core-level hybridisation.

The hydrostatic c/a ratio increases with decreasing volume. Remarkably, the trend is very well approximated by a straight line. Figure 3(b) shows a linear fit of the hydrostatic c/a ratio vs volume. There are no significant peaks or troughs in the residuals to indicate a deviation from linear behavior that can be associated with the L-point transition, or core hybridisation [Fig. 3(c)]. The root-mean-square of the residuals for the linear fit is an order of magnitude lower than the size of the anomalies in c/a seen by Dubrovinsky. The linear increase in c/a ratio with decreasing volume was seen for all choices of exchange–correlation potential used with Wien2k or VASP (Fig. 4). There are no significant perturbations in our calculated c/a vs volume which can be associated with the calculated ETT or core-hybridization.

An even more sensitive test of whether the core or ETT transitions are coupled to c/a is to examine how
the bandstructure varies with \( c/a \) at fixed volume. When branches of the bandstructure at the L-point pass through the Fermi energy their energy varies continuously and smoothly with \( c/a \), as does the total energy of the unit cell (Fig. 3). Features in the bandstructure change smoothly with decreasing volume, with no discernible perturbations at the onset of the core-level crossing.

The broadening of the core states is driven by density, not \( c/a \). Changing the \( c/a \) ratio has a negligible effect on the density of states of the core levels (see supplementary material). There is no discontinuous behavior arising from coupling between \( c/a \) and the ETT or core-state overlap.

Third-order Birch–Murnaghan and Vinet equations of state were fitted to energy as a function of volume with the hydrostatic \( c/a \) ratio. Table I shows the fitting parameters. Overall there is good agreement with experiment: the discrepancy is similar to the uncertainty arising from the choice of equation of state. Fitting using the Vinet formula, rather than a third-order Birch–Murnaghan, reduces the bulk-modulus fitting parameter \( B_0 \) by approximately 5%.

In their work, Dubrovinsky et al. [1] compared Birch–Murnaghan equations of state which they had fitted to subsets of their data from different pressure ranges. They found that the fit made to data at pressures above 400 GPa had a significantly smaller \( B_0 \) parameter, when

FIG. 3: (a) Contour plot of energy against \( c/a \) ratio and volume, compared to the minimum energy at that volume. The \( c/a \) ratio corresponding to hydrostatic pressure at each volume is shown with a blue triangle, and a linear fit of the hydrostatic \( c/a \) ratios is also shown as a guide to the eye. The PBE exchange-correlation functional was used. Gaussian smoothing has been applied. A figure without smoothing is in supplementary material. (b) Linear fit of the lowest energy \( c/a \) ratio at each volume, against volume. Intervals over which the electronic-topological transition, and core-hybridisation, take place are shown (c) Residuals of the linear fit.
TABLE 1: Fitted parameters for third-order Birch–Murnaghan (top) and Vinet (bottom) equations of state for this work — calculated using LDA, PBE, and SCAN — and for experimental work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(B_0(\text{GPa}))</th>
<th>(B'(\text{GPa}))</th>
<th>(V_0(\text{Å}^3/\text{uc}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LDA</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>27.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>28.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAN</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>27.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dubrovinsky et al. [1]</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>28.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenichi [23]</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>27.976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pantea et al. [24]</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDA</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>27.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>28.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAN</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>27.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dubrovinsky et al. [1]</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>28.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

compared to the fits made on data at pressures below 400 GPa. They claimed “these experimentally observed peculiarities are not artefacts and require an explanation”.

This led us to investigate the sensitivity of results to the details of the fitting procedure. To test this, we generated “pressure–volume data” using the Lennard–Jones function, which has a simple analytic form free from anomalies. We then fitted subsets of this smooth data using a third-order Birch-Murnaghan equation. The subsets exhibited dramatic differences in the fitted parameters, even though the underlying ‘true’ equation of state had no discontinuities. This illustrates that obtaining different fitting parameters from different subsets of pressure–volume data is not good evidence of a transition. Although the peculiarities observed by Dubrovinsky et al. may not be an artefact of the experimental data, it could be an artefact of the fitting process. By applying the same flawed analysis to our calculated data for osmium, we are also able to generate spurious discontinuities.

Although the discontinuity in equation of state was a fitting artefact, the experimental evidence for anomalous changes in \(c/a\) under pressure is convincing [1]. However, our calculations show that these transitions have no coupling to the \(c/a\) ratio: another explanation for the observations is needed.

One possible cause of the anomalies in \(c/a\) is non-hydrostatic stress. Wienberger et al. [4] have shown that Osmium is able to sustain large anisotropic stresses before yielding: differential stress on the order of 10 GPa at a pressure of 26 GPa. Using innovative diamond-anvil-cell technology, Dubrovinsky et al. reached an order of magnitude higher pressure; it is likely that the yield stress is even greater at those unprecedented pressures. Even assuming the lower value, Wienberger et al. showed that osmium can support deviatoric strain in its \(c/a\) ratio of up to 0.004 away from the hydrostatic value. X-ray data [1][4] showed that polycrystalline Osmium has a strong preferred orientation when under non-hydrostatic pressure in a diamond-anvil cell: consequently, one can expect the deviatoric stress to be aligned along the \(c\)-axis in all crystallites, leading primarily to a shift the the diffraction peaks rather than simple strain-broadening. Taking 0.004 as the deviation in \(c/a\) which can be achieved before plastic yield, an interval of possible \(c/a\) ratios can be drawn around the calculated hydrostatic value. Figure 6 shows that the anomalies in the \(c/a\) vs pressure curve observed [1] at 150 and 440 GPa, are well within this ±0.004 interval.

Thus, Fig. 6 shows that kinks in the \(c/a\) ratio in a diamond-anvil cell experiment could be explained by a yield process: anisotropic stress built up in compression of osmium, the least compressible metal, reaches the yield stress and relaxes towards hydrostatic conditions. In this scenario, the correlation with first ETT and then core-overlap is just coincidence. Yielding is an irreversible process, so this could in principle be tested by measuring the \(c/a\) ratio upon decompression.

It is possible that the electronic topological transition at \(L\), or the core-level hybridization, could have some subtle effect on things like the yield stress. Compression of similar samples in the same uniaxial geometry may even make the result reproducible. However, the authors know of no evidence supporting this hypothesis, and later experimental work by Perreault et al. [7] and Kuzovnikov et al. [9] did not reproduce the anomalies seen by Dubrovinsky et al., which further indicates that the proximity of the ETT and core-overlap to the anomalies is coincidental.

To summarize: A thorough and comprehensive set of \textit{ab initio} calculations were performed over a range of \(c/a\) ratios and volumes. Electronic topological transitions at \(L\) were observed, occurring at volumes between 22.5 and 24.8 Å\(^3\)/ uc, the uncertainty being determined from using
different exchange and correlation potentials. The 5p_{3/2} and 4f_{7/2} core-levels hybridize as volume decreases below 19 Å³/uc.

However, these interesting electronic phenomena have no discernible effect on the equation of state, nor on the c/a ratio as a function of pressure or volume, nor on the energy vs c/a and volume landscape. Indeed, to an excellent approximation the c/a ratio increases linearly with decreasing volume.

We demonstrated that the equation of state peculiarities reported by Dubrovinsky et al. [1] could be artefacts of the fitting process. Our calculated data is smooth, but by fitting Burch-Murnaghan equations to subsets of the data we generate a spurious anomaly, analogous to that reported experimentally. The anomalies in c/a vs pressure seen experimentally are real, and can be explained by high anisotropic stresses in the sample, which build up and eventually yield. It is possible that our state-of-the-art electronic structure calculations are missing some physics which couples the c/a to the ETT/core transitions, and the experiment calls for some re-investigation of the theory. However, it seems more plausible that the proximity in pressure between experimentally observed anomalies and the calculated ETT and core-level hybridisation, is coincidental.

Our calculations considered Osmium, but we have found no mechanism by which subtle electronic effects such as ETT or core overlap can cause detectable structural anomalies in any material.
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