I. QUANTUM PAIR LIQUIDS

The most famous example of quantum pair fluids is superconductivity. Cooper pairs, however, are not individual particles and are only stable as a collective entity. The question of whether superconductivity can be explained by particle-like preformed pairs has been debated for decades: starting even before BCS, then after BCS, and most intensely after the discovery of high-temperature superconductivity.\(^{8,11}\) In this physical picture, the pseudogap state of underdoped cuprates is a manifestation of the formation of real-space pairs, and Uemura scaling of the critical temperature\(^{12,13}\) is explained by Bose-Einstein condensation of strongly anisotropic pairs.\(^{14}\) Recently, normal-state hole pairs were observed in the shot noise in copper oxide junctions.\(^{15}\) This, together with the growing doubts that high-temperature superconductivity can be explained by purely repulsive mechanisms,\(^{16,17}\) lends support for the old idea that an intermediary subsystem such as phonons,\(^{18}\) spin fluctuations,\(^{19}\) or polarizable orbitals,\(^{20}\) is necessary to provide direct weakly-retarded attraction between the carriers. Many properties of such systems can be studied without reference to a specific pairing mechanism, but rather by postulating a phenomenological attractive potential and then analyzing the consequences. The simplest attractive Hubbard model, while providing useful insights into pseudogap physics and the nature of BCS-BEC crossover, disregards Coulomb repulsion, and can hardly be considered a microscopic model of the cuprates or other oxide superconductors. More realistic are extended attractive Hubbard models, to be called here “UV models,” that combine a Hubbard repulsion \(U\) with a finite-range attraction \(V\). Such models have been studied for a long time,\(^{21,22,27}\) but recently received renewed attention.\(^{28-34}\)

What has been largely missing from these discussions is analysis of the system’s stability against phase separation. Once the pairs are formed, what prevents them from aggregating into a macroscopic cluster? In the attractive Fermi-Hubbard model, formation of trions and larger complexes is prevented by the exclusion principle. This is not the case for the UV potentials. Due to a finite range of attraction, an infinite cluster will always form for sufficiently large \(V\). In order to have many individual pairs, \(V\) needs to be large enough to bind two carriers into a mobile pair and at the same time weak enough to not cause macroscopic phase separation. If the pairing and phase separation thresholds are different, then a pair liquid may be the system’s ground state. If the two thresholds coincide then a pair liquid state is impossible: as soon as the pairs form, the system phase separates. The situation is illustrated in Fig. 1.

We believe these considerations are of utmost importance for the pseudogap physics in particular and high-temperature superconductivity (HTSC) in general. The correct phenomenological model of cuprate superconductors must include a long-range attractive tail. If such an assumption leads to the impossibility of a pair liquid even at a model level, it would invalidate the entire precursor pairing mechanism. However, if the pair liquid state is possible, not only would it lend support for the precursor pairing mechanism, but it would also explain why HTSC is rare: the system’s microscopic parameters must fall within a narrow region of pair stability between the two thresholds.

A different angle on these issues is provided by the cold atoms technology.\(^{35-38}\) Whereas in solid crystals the UV model is an approximation to real inter-particle potentials, in optical lattices it can be precisely engineered and studied in pure form. The onsite interaction is controlled via Feshbach resonances and can be made either repulsive or attractive.\(^{39}\) The intersite interaction can be controlled either by exciting dressed Rydberg atoms to large quantum numbers,\(^{40}\) or via proper alignment of dipolar quantum gases.\(^{41}\) Precise manipulation of few particles in optical traps has been demonstrated.\(^{42}\) Local pairing can now be measured directly using gas microscopy.\(^{43}\) Thus the question of pair liquid stability can be answered experimentally.

Rigorous analysis of phase separation with nonlocal attraction is difficult. In Refs.\(^{44,45}\) phase bound-
FIG. 1. Evolution of a Fermi-UV model with increasing intersite attraction \( V \). (a) \( V \) is not strong enough to bind particles into pairs. Effective interaction between fermions is repulsive. The system is a Fermi liquid and may become a BCS superconductor at low temperatures. (b) \( V \) is strong enough to form pairs but not strong enough to form quads. Effective interaction between pairs remains repulsive. The system is a pair liquid and may become a BEC superconductor at low temperatures. (c) \( V \) is strong enough to form quads \( C \) and larger clusters \( A \). The effective interaction between pairs becomes attractive. The system phase separates and becomes an insulator. Quad threshold \( V_4 \) can be determined by solving a four-body lattice problem.

aries of a bipolaronic superconductor were determined by counting kinetic and lattice energy contributions following a displaced oscillator (Lang-Firsov) transformation of the bare unscreened electron-ion model. It was found that the pair (bipolaron) liquid was stable within a narrow but finite range of the polaron binding energy. More recently, the present author analyzed the formation of fermionic trions in one-dimensional, two-dimensional, and three-dimensional UV models. The analysis was based on direct solution of a three-body Schrödinger equation, which was a generalization of the approximation-free methods of Mattis and Rudin to finite-range potentials. The obtained results were numerically exact. It was found that in 1D the region of pair liquid stability is finite but narrow and it shrinks to zero in the limit of large Hubbard repulsion. In contrast, in 2D and 3D the region of stability remains finite (of order of the nearest-neighbor hopping integral \( t \)) even in the \( U \rightarrow \infty \) limit.

In this paper, we extend the integral equation method of Refs. 49, 51, 52, and 54 to four fermions. The motivation for such an extension lies in the topology of the two-dimensional square lattice. When two pairs attempt to form a four-particle cluster (a quad) they form two new attractive bonds. This is in contrast to the formation of a trion, when only one attractive bond is formed. Additionally, the kinetic energy lost in quad formation is less than in trion formation since a pair is heavier than a single particle. These two effects suggest that a quad threshold \( V_4 \) might be smaller than a trion threshold \( V_3 \) which would shrink the pair liquid domain of stability. The above picture is valid in the large-\( V \) limit when the pairs are tightly bound and confined to nearest neighbors. At threshold, however, the pairs are loosely bound and spread over many lattice sites. The argument based on counting the number of attractive bonds may not hold at the threshold. Thus, an exact solution is required to settle the issue.

Solving a four-body problem on large lattices is difficult because of the large number of basis states involved. The integral equation method of Mattis utilizes conservation of total momentum and the finite radius of interaction to eliminate two internal variables. As a result, the four-variable Schrödinger equation reduces to a set of coupled two-variable integral equations which is then solved by direct discretization. With this approach we are able to study lattices as large as \( 10 \times 10 \) and then perform a meaningful extrapolation to obtain thresholds for an infinite system. By restricting the symmetry of a four-body wave function from the start, we are able to study bosons and fermions separately, and in the case of fermions to separate states with different total spins \( S = 0, 1 \) and \( 2 \). As a biproduct, we also provide solutions of a four-body problem on a 1D chain, which is simpler numerically. We are not aware of any prior studies that utilized a similar approach and believe this work to be the first of its kind.

II. THE MODEL

We consider a tight binding UV model with isotropic nearest-neighbor attraction on a 2D square lattice

\[
H = -t \sum_{m,b,\sigma} c_{m,\sigma}^\dagger c_{m+b,\sigma} + \frac{U}{2} \sum_m \hat{n}_m (\hat{n}_m - 1) - \frac{V}{2} \sum_{m,b} \hat{n}_m \hat{n}_{m+b}. \tag{1}
\]
Here, $c^\dagger$ and $c$ are spin-$1/2$ fermion operators, index $m$ runs over lattice sites, index $b = \pm x, \pm y$ enumerates the four nearest neighbors within the $xy$ plane, $\sigma = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ is the $z$-axis axis spin projection, and $\hat{n}_m = \sum_\sigma c^\dagger_m \sigma c_m\sigma$ is the total fermion number operator on site $m$. As discussed in Introduction, the model is designed to describe a normal-state pseudogap and pair superconductivity with mediated attraction. Equation (1) may be considered a phenomenological model of hole-doped copper-oxygen planes in the underdoped regime. With this connection in mind, we will focus on the case $U > 0$, $V > 0$, although Hamiltonian (1) is well defined for any values of $U$ and $V$.

The two-body sector of Eq. (1) admits an exact solution and was studied by several authors. There are four pair states: $s$-symmetrical spin singlet, two $p$-symmetrical spin triplets, and one $d$-symmetrical spin singlet. The $s$-state is always the lowest, and it forms when

$$V > V_2 = \frac{2Ut}{U + 8t}.$$  

(2)

One should mention that threshold $V_2$ corresponds to a stationary pair with zero total momentum. Pairs with nonzero momenta form at smaller stationary pair with zero total momentum. Pairs with $V < V_3$ are understood as Bose operators. In-adequate point of comparison. It also highlights the important role of orbital motion in stabilizing pair liquids in 2D. In the 1D version of Eq. (1), the nearest neighbor vector assumes only two values, $b = \pm x$. The 1D analog or Eq. (2) reads $V_2(1D) = 2Ut/(U + 4t)$.

The cold atom technology offers great flexibility in fine-tuning model parameters as well as lattice geometry. Out of all theoretical possibilities, in this work we will consider only one geometric extension of Eq. (1), namely its one-dimensional version. The four-body problem in 1D is numerically simpler than in 2D and provides a convenient point of comparison. It also highlights the important role of orbital motion in stabilizing pair liquids in 2D. In the 1D version of Eq. (1), the nearest neighbor for the Bose-UV model. Regarding pair stability, comparison between Bose and Fermi cases is critical as the wave function node argument does not apply to bosons. Indeed, we will see that Bose-UV pair liquids are unstable in both 1D and 2D. Since the cold atom technology can study both cases, it should be possible to demonstrate the Fermi-Bose distinction experimentally.

III. THE METHOD

A. The basic idea

An attempt to solve a four-body Schrödinger equation in real space leads to very large matrices. For example, on a $10 \times 10$ lattice, the number of states is of order $100^4 = 10^8$. Although the matrices are sparse, diagonalizing them directly is impractical. A method due to Mattis formulates the problem in momentum space and takes advantage of two reduction steps. The first reduction utilizes conservation of total momentum $P$ and effectively reduces the number of variables by one. The second reduction originates from a finite range of the interaction potential. The Schrödinger equation for a four-particle wave function $\Psi(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4)$ has the form

$$[E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - q_3)] \Psi(q_1, q_2, q_3, P - q_1 - q_2 - q_3) =$$

$$= \sum_k W(k - q_1) \Psi(k, q_1 + q_2 - k, q_3, P - q_1 - q_2 - q_3) + \text{permutations of } \Psi \text{ arguments},$$  

(3)
where $\varepsilon(q)$ is a one-particle dispersion and $W(k)$ is a Fourier-transform of the full interaction potential. [In our case, $W(k)$ comprises $U$ and $V$.] Since the $UV$ potential is of finite range, $W(k)$ contains only a finite number of harmonics. The right-hand-side of Eq. (3) splits into a linear combination of a finite number of integrals

$$J(q_1 + q_2, q_3) = \sum_k f(k) \Psi(k, q_1 + q_2 - k, q_3, P - q_1 - q_2 - q_3),$$

in which $f(k)$s are simple kernels: $f = 1$, $f = \cos k_x$, $f = \sin k_x$, $f = \cos k_y$ or $f = \sin k_y$. Note that $J(Q_{12}, q_3)$ is a function of only two variables: the combined momentum $Q_{12} = q_1 + q_2$ and the one-particle momentum $q_3$. From Eq. (3), the four-particle wave function can be expressed as a linear combination of two-variable auxiliary functions $J$. Substituting this expression back into the definitions, Eq. (3), one arrives at a system of coupled integral equations for two-variable $J$s. Thus, the original four-variable Schrödinger equation has been reduced to a set of two-variable integral equations.

Such a reduction of the number of variables by two is the common property of lattice few-body problems. Fundamentally, this is the reason why many two-body lattice problems can be solved analytically: the reduction procedure leads to a system of linear rather than integral equations (two minus two equals zero). Often, the coefficients of the resulting system can be evaluated analytically, which leads to an exact solution. A three-body lattice problem can be reduced to one-variable integral equations, which was utilized by Mattis, Rudin and more recently by the present author. In this paper, we take the next logical step and show, for the first time, how a four-particle problem in a model of practical interest can be analyzed using this method. Motivation for such an extension was explained in Introduction. One should add that solving a set of two-variable equations numerically is much more demanding than one-variable equations. The situation is still manageable in low dimensions. Two 1D variables has the same number of degrees of freedom as one 2D variable. Thus, the computational complexity of a four-particle problem on a 1D chain is of the same order as that of a three-particle problem on a 2D lattice, which has already been done. However, four particles in 2D take the complexity to the next level and at this point seem to exhaust the capabilities of desktop computers.

### B. Four distinguishable particles

We now proceed to derive a working set of equations for four distinguishable particles in the $UV$ model, Eq. (1). We choose distinguishable particles to illustrate the method because this case admits the most transparent derivation. The resulting equations will permit solutions of different permutation symmetries, including bosonic and fermionic ones. To be able to make reasonable physical conclusions, the permutation symmetry must be restricted from the start. How to do that is explained in Sec. (3C) and Sec. (3D). The fully unsymmetrized solution derived in this section will serve as a useful self-consistency check of the entire procedure.

The coordinate wave function $\Psi(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4)$, when acted upon by the Hamiltonian, Eq. (1), satisfies the following difference equation

$$-t \sum_b \left[ \Psi(m_1 + b, m_2, m_3, m_4) + \Psi(m_1, m_2 + b, m_3, m_4) + \Psi(m_1, m_2, m_3 + b, m_4) + \Psi(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4 + b) \right] +$$

$$+ U \left( \delta_{m_1,m_2} + \delta_{m_1,m_3} + \delta_{m_1,m_4} + \delta_{m_2,m_3} + \delta_{m_2,m_4} + \delta_{m_3,m_4} \right) \Psi(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4) -$$

$$- V \sum_b \left( \delta_{m_1,m_2+b} + \delta_{m_1,m_3+b} + \delta_{m_1,m_4+b} + \delta_{m_2,m_3+b} + \delta_{m_2,m_4+b} + \delta_{m_3,m_4+b} \right) \Psi(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4) =$$

$$= E \Psi(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4),$$

where $E$ is total energy. We define the momentum-space wave function via Fourier transformation

$$\Psi(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4) = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{q_1,q_2,q_3,q_4} e^{i(q_1,m_1+q_2,m_2+q_3,m_3+q_4,m_4)} \Psi(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4),$$

(6)
where $N$ is the number of lattice sites (unit cells). Substitution of Eq. (9) into Eq. (5) yields

$$[E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)] \Psi(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) =$$

$$U \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \{ \Psi(k, q_1 + q_2 - k, q_3, q_4) + \Psi(k, q_2, q_1 + q_3 - k, q_4) + \Psi(k, q_2, q_3, q_1 + q_4 - k) + \Psi(k, q_1, k, q_2, q_3, q_4) + \Psi(k, q_1, k, q_3, q_2, q_4 - k) + \Psi(k, q_1, k, q_3, q_2, q_4 - k) \}$$

$$- V \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \sum_b \{ \cos[(k - q_1)b] \Psi(k, q_1 + q_2 - k, q_3, q_4) + \cos[(k - q_1)b] \Psi(k, q_2, q_1 + q_2 - k, q_3, q_4) + \cos[(k - q_1)b] \Psi(k, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) + \cos[(k - q_1)b] \Psi(k, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) + \cos[(k - q_1)b] \Psi(k, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) + \cos[(k - q_1)b] \Psi(k, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) \} .$$

(7)

Here all six pairwise interactions are written down explicitly. The Schrödinger equation, Eq. (7), is valid for the UV model in any dimensionality. We now perform reduction to two-variable equations in 1D. First, summation over nearest-neighbor vectors $b = \pm x$ yields a factor of 2. Changing to scalar notation $q, q$, Eq. (7) becomes

$$[E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)] \Psi(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) =$$

$$U \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \{ \Psi(k, q_1 + q_2 - k, q_3, q_4) + \Psi(k, q_2, q_1 + q_3 - k, q_4) + \Psi(k, q_2, q_3, q_1 + q_4 - k) + \Psi(k, q_1, k, q_2, q_3, q_4) + \Psi(k, q_1, k, q_3, q_2, q_4 - k) + \Psi(k, q_1, k, q_3, q_2, q_4 - k) \}$$

$$- 2V \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \{ \cos(k - q_1) \Psi(k, q_1 + q_2 - k, q_3, q_4) + \cos(k - q_1) \Psi(k, q_2, q_1 + q_2 - k, q_3, q_4) + \cos(k - q_1) \Psi(k, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) + \cos(k - q_1) \Psi(k, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) + \cos(k - q_1) \Psi(k, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) + \cos(k - q_1) \Psi(k, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) \} .$$

(8)

Second, expand the cosines in the $V$ term. It generates a number of integrals like

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_k \cos(k) \Psi(k, q_1 + q_2 - k, q_3, q_4) ,$$

with various permutations of $\Psi$ arguments. The $V$ term also contains similar integrals with $\sin(k)$ instead of $\cos(k)$. The $U$ term contains terms with $1$ instead of $\cos(k)$. Next, we notice that due to conservation of total momentum, Eq. (9) is a function of $q_3$ and $q_4$ only. Defining

$$P = q_1 + q_2 + q_3 + q_4 ,$$

(10)

equation (9) can be rewritten as

$$C_{12}(q_3, q_4) \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \cos(k) \Psi(k, P - q_3 - q_4, q_3, q_4) ,$$

(11)

which defines a new function $C_{12}(q_3, q_4)$. The subscript $\{12\}$ indicates that this function describes interaction between the first and second particles, as determined by the order of $\Psi$ arguments. $q_3$ and $q_4$ are the actual arguments of $C_{12}$ for this particular instance. In total, the right-hand-side of Eq. (8) generates 18 auxiliary functions

$$A_{12}(q_1, q_2) , C_{12}(q_1, q_2) , S_{12}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k f(k) \Psi(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_1, q_2) ,$$

(12)

$$A_{13}(q_1, q_2) , C_{13}(q_1, q_2) , S_{13}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k f(k) \Psi(k, q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) ,$$

(13)

$$A_{14}(q_1, q_2) , C_{14}(q_1, q_2) , S_{14}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k f(k) \Psi(k, q_1, q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) ,$$

(14)

$$A_{23}(q_1, q_2) , C_{23}(q_1, q_2) , S_{23}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k f(k) \Psi(q_1, k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) ,$$

(15)

$$A_{24}(q_1, q_2) , C_{24}(q_1, q_2) , S_{24}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k f(k) \Psi(q_1, k, q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) ,$$

(16)

$$A_{34}(q_1, q_2) , C_{34}(q_1, q_2) , S_{34}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k f(k) \Psi(q_1, q_2, k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) .$$

(17)
In each line above, $A_i(q_1, q_2)$ corresponds to $f(k) = 1$, $C_i(q_1, q_2)$ to $f(k) = \cos(k)$, and $S_i(q_1, q_2)$ to $f(k) = \sin(k)$. Note that since we did not assume any permutation symmetries of $\Psi$ (the particles are distinguishable), all 18 functions are unique. With the definitions, Eqs. (12-17), in hand, the full wave function is expressed from Eq. (8) as follows

$$
\Psi(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) = U \frac{A_{12}(q_2, q_4) + A_{13}(q_2, q_4) + A_{14}(q_2, q_4) + A_{23}(q_1, q_4) + A_{24}(q_1, q_3) + A_{34}(q_1, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)} - 2V \frac{\cos q_1 C_{12}(q_3, q_4) + \sin q_1 S_{12}(q_3, q_4) + \cos q_1 C_{13}(q_2, q_4) + \sin q_1 S_{13}(q_2, q_4)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)} - 2V \frac{\cos q_1 C_{14}(q_2, q_3) + \sin q_1 S_{14}(q_2, q_3) + \cos q_2 C_{23}(q_1, q_4) + \sin q_2 S_{23}(q_1, q_4)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)} \frac{\cos q_2 C_{24}(q_1, q_3) + \sin q_2 S_{24}(q_1, q_3) + \cos q_3 C_{34}(q_1, q_2) + \sin q_3 S_{34}(q_1, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)} .
$$

Finally, a substitution of $\Psi$ to the definitions, Eqs. (12-17), leads to 18 coupled integral equations. In full form, they can be found in Supplemental Material section VIII A Here we present the equation for $S_{23}(q_1, q_2)$ as an example:

$$
S_{23}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \sin k A_{12}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) + \sin k A_{13}(k, q_2) + \sin k A_{14}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \frac{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)} + \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \sin k \cos q_1 C_{12}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) + \sin k \sin q_1 S_{12}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) \frac{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \sin k \cos q_1 C_{13}(k, q_2) + \sin k \sin q_1 S_{13}(k, q_2) \frac{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \sin k \cos q_1 C_{14}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k \sin q_1 S_{14}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \frac{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \sin k \cos q_2 C_{23}(q_1, q_2) + \sin k \sin q_2 S_{23}(q_1, q_2) \frac{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \sin k \cos q_2 C_{24}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k \sin q_2 S_{24}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \frac{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \sin k \cos q_3 C_{34}(q_1, k) + \sin k \sin q_3 S_{34}(q_1, k) \frac{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)} .
$$

The equations are solved by discretizing integrals into finite sums, converting the system into a single matrix and then finding $E$ for fixed $U$, $V$, and $P$ via eigenvalue search. Eighteen equations sound like a lot but it is a constant number that does not scale with the size of the lattice studied. For example, for a linear chain of 50 sites, the Brillouin zone is approximated by 50 points. Each function $A, C, S$ consists of $50^2 = 2500$ components and the entire matrix has a linear size of $M = 45000$. Such matrices can still be handled by desktop workstations and do not require supercomputers. At the same time, $N = 50$ is a long enough chain to enable a meaningful finite-size scaling analysis.

The situation is more difficult in 2D. The base Eq. (17) is the same but there are four vectors $b$. A two-dimensional version of Eq. (8) contains factors $\cos (k_x - q_x)$ and $\cos (k_y - q_y)$. Analogs of Eqs. (12-17) include 5 functions each, corresponding to $f(k) = 1, \cos (k_x), \sin (k_x), \cos (k_y), \sin (k_y)$. The total number of auxiliary functions increases to 30. More importantly, $q_1$ and $q_2$ are now two-dimensional variables. For a square lattice of linear size $N_x$, the matrix size is $M = 30 \times N_x^2$. A matrix with $M = 45000$ now corresponds to a lattice of only $N_x = 6$. In 3D, the reduction procedure generates 42 unique functions, and the matrix size scales as $M = 42 \times N_x^6$.

Permutation and rotation symmetries of $\Psi$ reduce the number of equations in a final system and increase the lattice size accessible with this method.

C. Permutation symmetries. Four $s = 0$ bosons

The derivation presented in Sec. III B does not take into account permutation symmetries of the four-body wave function $\Psi$. The resulting system composed of equations like Eq. (19) produces solutions of all possible symmetries
including bosonic and fermionic states. To obtain physically meaningful results, the symmetry needs to be restricted in the base Schrödinger equation, Eq. (3). As an added benefit, the number of integral equations in the final system is reduced. In this section, we derive equations for \( s = 0 \) bosons, i.e., for a fully symmetrical \( \Psi \).

A full permutation symmetry can be imposed explicitly by redefining the Fourier transformation:

\[
\Psi_s(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4) = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4} \{ e^{i(q_1 m_1 + q_2 m_2 + q_3 m_3 + q_4 m_4)} + e^{i(q_1 (m_1 + q_2 m_2 + q_3 m_3 + q_4 m_4))} + 22 \text{ more permutations of } [m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4] \} \Psi_s(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4).
\]

The subscript \( s \) indicates that \( \Psi_s \) is fully symmetrical with respect to permutations of all its arguments in both representations, \( m \) and \( q \). Substitution of Eq. (20) into Eq. (18) yields after transformations

\[
[E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)] \Psi_s(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) =
U \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \{ \Psi_s(k, q_3, q_4, q_1 + q_2 - k) + \Psi_s(k, q_2, q_4, q_1 + q_3 - k) + \Psi_s(k, q_2, q_3, q_1 + q_4 - k) + \Psi_s(k, q_1, q_3, q_2 + q_3 - k) + \Psi_s(k, q_1, q_4, q_2 + q_3 - k) + \Psi_s(k, q_1, q_3, q_2 + k - q_4 - k) + \Psi_s(k, q_1, q_4, q_2 + k - q_4 - k) + \Psi_s(k, q_1, q_3, q_2 + k - q_4 - k) \}
- V \frac{1}{2N} \sum_k \sum_b \{ (\cos ([k - q_1] b) + \cos ([k - q_2] b)) \Psi_s(k, q_3, q_4, q_1 + q_2 - k) + (\cos ([k - q_2] b) + \cos ([k - q_3] b)) \Psi_s(k, q_2, q_3, q_1 + q_4 - k) + (\cos ([k - q_3] b) + \cos ([k - q_4] b)) \Psi_s(k, q_1, q_4, q_2 + q_3 - k) + \}
\]
\[
\]

Equation (21) differs from its unsymmetrized counterpart, Eq. (7), in two aspects. First, all \( \Psi_s \) have been brought to the same argument order, \( \Psi_s(k, q, q, P - q - q - k) \). This is allowed due to the permutation symmetry. Second, the interaction formfactor in the \( V \) term is symmetrical with respect to the two particles interacting in each channel. For example, in the third \( V \) term, particles 1 and 4 interact, and the potential is symmetrical with respect to permuting \( q_1 \) and \( q_4 \). Note that a global factor of \( \frac{1}{2} \) in front of the \( V \) term ensures proper normalization.

The form of Eq. (21) explains why only few auxiliary functions are needed in the fully symmetrized case. In the distinguishable case, many functions had to be introduced because of various argument arrangements in \( \Psi \), see Eqs. (12–17). Here all argument orders are equivalent, which reduces the number of needed functions by a factor of 6. At the same time, the complexity of each equation increases because the interaction formfactor now consists of many more parts resulted from symmetrization.

1. Attractive Bose-Hubbard model

Before proceeding further, we digress to derive a four-body cluster equation in the attractive (not extended) Bose-Hubbard model. Although not the main object of the present work, this model is popular in the science of cold atoms, and such an equation can be derived here with little extra effort. To this end, we explicitly set \( U = -|U|, V = 0 \) in Eq. (21), and define one auxiliary function

\[
A(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \Psi(k, q_1, q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k).
\]

Note that \( A(q_1, q_2) = A(q_2, q_1) \). The wave function follows from Eq. (21)

\[
\Psi_s(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) = -|U| \frac{A(q_3, q_4) + A(q_2, q_4) + A(q_2, q_3) + A(q_1, q_3) + A(q_1, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)}.
\]

Substituting Eq. (23) into Eq. (22), one obtains

\[
A(q_1, q_2) = -|U| \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \{ \frac{A(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + A(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + A(q_1, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} + \frac{A(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + A(k, q_2) + A(k, q_1)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \}.
\]

(24)
This equation is valid in all lattice dimensions, and for all Bravais lattices for that matter. Equation (21) is the natural extension of Mattis’ equation for three identical bosons.

2. Bose-UV model in 1D. Linear chain

We now return to the full Bose-UV model, Eq. (21). In 1D, summation over \( b \) yields a global factor of 2. After expansion of the cosines, only three kinds of auxiliary integrals emerge:

\[
A(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k f(k) A(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + f(k) A(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + f(k) A(q_1, q_2)
\]

\[
C(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \cos(k) \Psi(k, q_1, q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k),
\]

\[
S(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \sin(k) \Psi(k, q_1, q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k).
\]

All 3 functions are symmetrical with respect to permutation \( q_1 \leftrightarrow q_2 \). Next, we express \( \Psi \) via \( A, C, \) and \( S \) from Eq. (21) and substitute back to the definitions, Eqs. (25), (26). It results in three coupled integral equations. In full form, they are given in Supplemental Material section VII.B. The equation for \( A \) reads

\[
A(q_1, q_2) = \frac{U}{N} \sum_k f(k) A(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + f(k) A(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + f(k) A(q_1, q_2)
\]

with \( f(k) = \cos(k) \) and \( f(k) = \sin(k) \), respectively.

3. Bose-UV model in 2D. Square lattice

To derive a working system of equations for the square lattice, we come back to Eq. (21) and sum over \( b = \pm x, \pm y \). Expansion of the cosines leads to 5 auxiliary functions: \( A, C_x, S_x, C_y, \) and \( S_y \). \( A(q_1, q_2) \) is defined similarly to Eq. (25), except all the variables are two-dimensional vectors, and the integration is over a two-dimensional Brillouin zone. \( C_x(q_1, q_2) \) and \( C_y(q_1, q_2) \) are defined according to Eq. (25) but with \( \cos(k_x) \) and \( \cos(k_y) \) in place of \( \cos(k) \), respectively. Likewise, \( S_x(q_1, q_2) \) and \( S_y(q_1, q_2) \) are defined according to Eq. (25), but with \( \sin(k_x) \) and \( \sin(k_y) \) in place of \( \sin(k) \). Then the wave function is expressed via \( A, C_{x,y}, S_{x,y} \) and substituted back into the definitions. The resulting system consists of 5 coupled integral equations which are given in Supplemental Material section VII.C.

A 3D cubic Bose-UV model could have been analyzed similarly. 7 auxiliary functions would have been required and the resulting system would have consisted of 7 coupled equations. However, 3D four-body systems exceed the computational capabilities of desktop workstations and are not studied here.
D. Permutation symmetries. Four \( s = \frac{1}{2} \) fermions

The fermionic case is more complicated because four spin-\( \frac{1}{2} \) fermions can form states with total spin values \( S = 0, 1, \) and 2. Separation of fermionic states by \( S \) and separation between bosons and fermions requires symmetrization of \( \Psi \) in accordance with Young’s tables. Unfortunately, proper symmetrization leads to auxiliary functions losing some easy-to-check permutation properties that are useful for validating numerical results. Therefore, we adopt here partial implementation of Young’s tables, which produces verifiable solutions but still enables separation of states by \( S \).

The process begins with \( S = 2 \). The coordinate wave function is fully antisymmetric with respect to all four arguments, which leads to unambiguous results. Technically, treatment is similar to the bosonic case but with alternating signs in the Fourier transformation. Next, one moves to \( S = 1 \) and \( S = 0 \) states have already been determined, they can be separated out. Finally, to access states with \( S = 0 \), we antisymmetrize \( \Psi \) with respect to two pairs of arguments, for example, \( q_1 \leftrightarrow q_2 \) and \( q_3 \leftrightarrow q_4 \). The symmetries involving permutations of arguments from different pairs, such as \( q_2 \leftrightarrow q_4 \), remain unrestricted. The process finds solutions with all three \( S = 0, 1 \) and 2. However, since the \( S = 2 \) and \( S = 1 \) sectors have been mapped in the previous steps, the \( S = 0 \) states can be identified.

In what follows, we provide further details of the procedure. The overall flow parallels that of the indistinguishable bosonic case. Once the symmetry of \( \Psi \) is defined via an appropriate Fourier transformation, the Schrödinger equation is converted in momentum space and a certain number of auxiliary functions is introduced. Then the wave function is expressed via the auxiliary functions and substituted back into their definitions, producing a final set of equations. Complete derivations can be found in Supplemental Material.\(^{55}\)

1. Four \( s = \frac{1}{2} \) fermions. \( S = 2 \)

Full antisymmetry of \( \Psi \) is imposed by utilizing a fully antisymmetric basis in the Fourier transformation

\[
\Psi_2(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4) = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{q_1q_2q_3q_4} \left\{ \sum_{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4} (-1)^{C[m]} e^{i(q_1m_1 + q_2m_2 + q_3m_3 + q_4m_4)} \right\} \Psi_2(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4). \tag{29}
\]

Here the inner sum is over 24 permutations of site indices \( m_i \), and \((-1)^{C[m]} = +1\) if the permutation is even and \(-1\) otherwise. The subscript 2 indicates that we are dealing with the fermionic sector \( S = 2 \). Substituting Eq. (29) in the base Schrödinger equation, Eq. (55), one obtains

\[
[\varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)] \Psi_2(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) = -V \frac{1}{2N} \sum_k \sum b \{(\cos[(k - q_1)b] - \cos[(k - q_2)b]) \Psi_2(k, q_1 + q_2 - k, q_3, q_4) +
(\cos[(k - q_1)b] - \cos[(k - q_3)b]) \Psi_2(k, q_2, q_1 + q_3 - k, q_4) +
(\cos[(k - q_1)b] - \cos[(k - q_4)b]) \Psi_2(k, q_2, q_3, q_1 + q_4 - k) +
(\cos[(k - q_2)b] - \cos[(k - q_3)b]) \Psi_2(q_1, k, q_3, q_2 + q_4 - k) +
(\cos[(k - q_2)b] - \cos[(k - q_4)b]) \Psi_2(q_1, k, q_3, q_2 + q_4 - k) +
(\cos[(k - q_3)b] - \cos[(k - q_4)b]) \Psi_2(q_1, q_2, k, q_3 + q_4 - k) \} \tag{30}
\]

It is instructive to compare Eq. (30) with its fully symmetrical counterpart, Eq. (21). First, the \( U \) term has disappeared. This is expected since fully antisymmetric wave functions should not feel a contact interaction. Second, the form factors in Eq. (30) are now antisymmetrized with respect to permutations of interacting particles. Note that for now we have left the argument order in \( \Psi_2 \) unchanged to keep the connection between the potential and particle order clearer. By utilizing full antisymmetry, all \( \Psi_2 \) can be brought to a standard form \( \Psi(k, q, q, P - q - q - k) \) which generates additional factors of \((-1)\).

The rest of the derivation is relegated to Supplemental Material.\(^{55}\) It suffices to say here that 2 auxiliary functions are needed in 1D (Sec. VII.D), and 4 functions are needed in 2D (Sec. VII.E). In both dimensionalities, the number of functions is one less the respective bosonic numbers because the \( U \) term is absent.
2. Four $s = \frac{1}{2}$ fermions. $S = 1$

To access $S = 1$ fermionic states, we construct a wave function $\Psi_1$ that is antisymmetric with respect to permutations of three particles 1, 2, and 3:

$$\Psi_1(m_1, m_2, m_3; m_4) = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{q_1, q_2, q_3} \left\{ e^{i(q_1 m_1 + q_2 m_2 + q_3 m_3)} - e^{i(q_1 m_1 + q_2 m_2 + q_3 m_2)} + e^{i(q_1 m_3 + q_2 m_3 + q_3 m_2)} - e^{i(q_1 m_3 + q_2 m_3 + q_3 m_2)} \right\} e^{i(q_4 m_4)} \Psi_1(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4).$$

(31)

The semicolon notation indicates that the arguments are now split in two groups. $\Psi_1$ is antisymmetric with respect to permutations of the first group $m_1, m_2, m_3$. At the same time, no symmetry is imposed for permuting $m_4$ and any argument from the first group. Substitution of Eq. (31) in Eq. (5) yields a corresponding Schrödinger equation

$$[E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)] \Psi_1(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) =$$

$$U \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \left\{ \Psi_1(k, q_2, q_3; q_1 + q_4 - k) + \Psi_1(q_1, k, q_3; q_2 + q_4 - k) + \Psi_1(q_1, q_2, k; q_3 + q_4 - k) \right\}$$

$$- V \frac{1}{2N} \sum_k \sum_b \left\{ \left[ \cos [(k - q_1)b] - \cos [(k - q_2)b] \right] \Psi_1(k, q_1 + q_2 - k, q_3; q_4) + \left[ \cos [(k - q_1)b] - \cos [(k - q_3)b] \right] \Psi_1(k, q_2, q_3 + k - q_4) + \left[ \cos [(k - q_2)b] - \cos [(k - q_3)b] \right] \Psi_1(q_1, q_2, q_3 + k - q_4) \right\}$$

$$- V \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \sum_b \left\{ \cos [(k - q_1)b] \Psi_1(k, q_2, q_3; q_1 + q_4 - k) + \cos [(k - q_2)b] \Psi_1(q_1, k, q_3; q_2 + q_4 - k) + \cos [(k - q_3)b] \Psi_1(q_1, q_2, k; q_3 + q_4 - k) \right\}.$$  (32)

One observes that in the $U$ term only interactions between $q_4$ and the first group remain. Interactions within the first group have been eliminated by antisymmetry. The $V$ interactions are also split in two groups. The first three terms describe interactions within the first group and are analogous to Eq. (30). The last three $V$ terms in Eq. (32) describe unsymmetrized interactions between $q_4$ and the first group and are analogous to Eq. (7). It is possible to show that the additional assumption of full antisymmetry between the last and the first three arguments of $\Psi$ reduces Eq. (32) back to the $S = 2$ version, Eq. (30).

The rest of the derivation can be found in Supplemental Material. The 1D case requires 5 auxiliary functions (Sec. VII) and the 2D case 9 auxiliary functions (Sec. VII C).

3. Four $s = \frac{1}{2}$ fermions. $S = 0$

We finally discuss the $S = 0$ fermionic sector, which often contains the system’s ground state. To access $S = 0$, we construct a wave function that is antisymmetric with respect to permutations within two groups of coordinates {12} and {34}

$$\Psi_0(m_1, m_2; m_3, m_4) = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4} \left\{ e^{i(q_1 m_1 + q_2 m_2)} - e^{i(q_1 m_2 + q_2 m_1)} \right\} \times$$

$$\times \left\{ e^{i(q_3 m_3 + q_4 m_4)} - e^{i(q_3 m_4 + q_4 m_3)} \right\} \Psi_0(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4).$$

(33)

As a consequence, $\Psi_0(q_2, q_1; q_3, q_4) = -\Psi_0(q_1, q_2; q_3, q_4)$ and $\Psi_0(q_1, q_2; q_4, q_3) = -\Psi_0(q_1, q_2; q_3, q_4)$, but no definite symmetries are associated with permutations between the two groups. Substitution of Eq. (33) in Eq. (5) leads
to
\[
[E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)] \Psi_0(q_1, q_2; q_3, q_4) = \\
U \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \{ \Psi_0(k, q_2; q_1 + q_3 - k, q_4) - \Psi_0(k, q_2; q_1 + q_4 - k, q_3) \\
- \Psi_0(k, q_1; q_2 + q_3 - k, q_4) + \Psi_0(k, q_1; q_2 + q_4 - k, q_3) \}
\]
\[
- V \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \sum_b \left[ \frac{1}{2} \cos(k - q_1)b - \cos(k - q_2)b \right] \Psi_0(k, q_1 + q_2 - k; q_3, q_4)
\]
\[
+ \cos[(k - q_1)b] \Psi_0(k, q_2; q_1 + q_3 - k, q_4) - \cos[(k - q_1)b] \Psi_0(k, q_2; q_1 + q_4 - k, q_3)
\]
\[
- \cos[(k - q_2)b] \Psi_0(k, q_1; q_2 + q_3 - k, q_4) + \cos[(k - q_2)b] \Psi_0(k, q_1; q_2 + q_4 - k, q_3)
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \cos(k - q_3)b - \cos(k - q_1)b] \Psi_0(q_1, q_2; k, q_3 + q_4 - k) \right].
\] (34)

Reduction of this Schrödinger equation to two-variable integral equations is completed in Supplemental Material. The 1D case requires 7 auxiliary functions (Sec. VII C) and the 2D case 13 auxiliary functions (Sec. VII).

E. Rotational symmetries. Ground state at \( P = 0 \)

In 2D, the ground state possesses rotational symmetries that link the \( x \) and \( y \) auxiliary functions. Those relations enable further reduction in the number of resulting integral equations.

For \( s = 0 \) bosons in 2D, the auxiliary functions are defined in Supplemental Material [Sec. VII C, Eqs. (65)–(74)]. In the ground state at \( P = (0, 0) \), they obey the following relations:

\[
C^b_x(q_{1x}, q_{1y}; q_{2x}, q_{2y}) = +C^b_y(q_{1y}, q_{1x}; q_{2y}, q_{2x}),
\] (35)

\[
S^b_x(q_{1x}, q_{1y}; q_{2x}, q_{2y}) = +S^b_y(q_{1y}, q_{1x}; q_{2y}, q_{2x}),
\] (36)

\[
C^b_y(q_{1x}, q_{1y}; q_{2x}, q_{2y}) = +C^b_x(q_{1y}, q_{1x}; q_{2y}, q_{2x}),
\] (37)

\[
S^b_y(q_{1x}, q_{1y}; q_{2x}, q_{2y}) = +S^b_x(q_{1y}, q_{1x}; q_{2y}, q_{2x}).
\] (38)

In the equations themselves, for instance in Eq. (33), the \( C_x \) and \( C_y \) terms can therefore be combined, but with a proper permutation of arguments. The \( S_x \) and \( S_y \) terms are also combined. After that, the \( C_x \) and \( C_y \) equations become equivalent, and \( S_x \) and \( S_y \) equations become equivalent, too. Thus, the total number of equations in the full system is reduced from 5 to 3.

In the case of \( s = \frac{1}{2} \) fermions, similar relations between the \( x \) and \( y \) auxiliary functions hold true, but the sign is negative. For example, in the \( S = 2 \) sector, see Supplemental Material [Sec. VII E] we have

\[
C^f_x(q_{1x}, q_{1y}; q_{2x}, q_{2y}) = -C^f_y(q_{1y}, q_{1x}; q_{2y}, q_{2x}),
\] (39)

\[
S^f_x(q_{1x}, q_{1y}; q_{2x}, q_{2y}) = -S^f_y(q_{1y}, q_{1x}; q_{2y}, q_{2x}),
\] (40)

\[
C^f_y(q_{1x}, q_{1y}; q_{2x}, q_{2y}) = -C^f_x(q_{1y}, q_{1x}; q_{2y}, q_{2x}),
\] (41)

\[
S^f_y(q_{1x}, q_{1y}; q_{2x}, q_{2y}) = -S^f_x(q_{1y}, q_{1x}; q_{2y}, q_{2x}).
\] (42)

The number of equations to solve is reduced from 4 to 2.

Similar reasoning applies to fermionic sectors \( S = 1 \) and \( S = 0 \). Relations like Eqs. (39)–(42) are valid within each group of functions: \{12\}, \{13\}, \{14\}, and \{34\}. In all cases, the number of \( C \) functions and \( S \) functions is cut in half.

The number of integral equations that constitute the final system in various cases is summarized in Tables I and II.

F. Practical implementation

We now describe practical ways of solving integral equations like Eq. (12) and converting them to useful physical information. The first step is to approximate the auxiliary functions by their values at a finite set of \( q \) points spanning the entire Brillouin zone. For a regular \( q \) mesh, there is a 1-to-1 correspondence between the mesh size and the lattice size \( N \). It is also possible to employ a nonuniform mesh. For example, one can argue that due to the pole-like structure of the energy denominators, it is advantageous to have a denser mesh near \( q_1 = q_2 = 0 \) to better resolve fast varying kernels, especially at small binding energies. However, nonuniform meshes are incompatible with the circular coordinate \( P - q_1 - q_2 - k \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1D UV model</th>
<th>Linear matrix size, ( M )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Symmetry</td>
<td>( K_{eq} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosons</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fermions, ( S = 2 )</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fermions, ( S = 1 )</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fermions, ( S = 0 )</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distinguishable</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I. The number of coupled integral equations, \( K_{eq} \), and linear size of a final matrix, \( M = K_{eq}N^2 \), for different symmetry sectors and different chain lengths, \( N \), in the 1D UV model.
TABLE II. The number of coupled integral equations, $K_{eq}$, and linear size of a final matrix, $M = K_{eq}N_x^4$, for different symmetry sectors and different square sizes, $N_x = N_y$, in the 2D square UV model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P</th>
<th>Symmetry</th>
<th>$K_{eq}$</th>
<th>$N_x = 6$</th>
<th>$N_x = 8$</th>
<th>$N_x = 10$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bosons</td>
<td>$S = 1$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6480</td>
<td>20480</td>
<td>50000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fermons</td>
<td>$S = 2$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5184</td>
<td>16384</td>
<td>40000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fermons</td>
<td>$S = 1$</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11664</td>
<td>36864</td>
<td>90000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fermons</td>
<td>$S = 0$</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16848</td>
<td>53248</td>
<td>130000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0, 0)</td>
<td>Bosons</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3888</td>
<td>12288</td>
<td>30000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fermons</td>
<td>$S = 2$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2592</td>
<td>8192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fermons</td>
<td>$S = 1$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6480</td>
<td>20480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fermons</td>
<td>$S = 0$</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9072</td>
<td>28672</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

because a momentum difference is not guaranteed to land on a mesh point. For that reason, we employed only regular $q$ meshes in both 1D and 2D.

Once functions are discretized, $k$ integrals in the righthand-sides of the equations need to be approximated by final sums. The trapezoidal sum rule is most natural here. Again, it seems to be possible to improve the accuracy of integration by employing the Simpson sum rule or other integration methods. We experimented with the Simpson rule but with no obvious benefit. All results presented in the following were obtained with the trapezoidal rule.

The next step is to arrange variables $\{q_1, q_2\}$ into a one-dimensional array. This task is fairly straightforward in 1D but is more challenging in 2D. Indeed, two 2D variables are in fact four variables, $\{q_1, q_2\} = \{q_{1x}, q_{1y}, q_{2x}, q_{2y}\}$, and arranging them within a one-dimensional array is tricky. In particular, extreme care must be taken in calculating the index of momentum difference $p - q_1 - q_2 - k$.

After the $\{q_1, q_2\}$ sequence is defined, all auxiliary arrays are concatenated vertically to form a single array $\hat{O}$. Thus, the full system of integral equation is approximated by a matrix equation

$$\hat{O} = \hat{M} \cdot \hat{O},$$

where $\hat{M}$ is a square matrix whose elements explicitly depend on the model parameters $U$ and $V$, total momentum $P$, and total energy $E$. Note that being a linear eigenvalue of the original Schrödinger equation, here $E$ appears in denominators, i.e., non-linearly. A practical way of finding $E$ for given $\{U, V, P\}$ consists of rewriting Eq. (43) as an eigenvalue problem:

$$\lambda \hat{O} = \hat{M}(U, V, P, E) \cdot \hat{O}.$$  

Then $E$ is varied according to a root-finding algorithm until $\lambda = 1$. A side benefit of this method is automatic determination of level degeneracy. For example, if an $E$ corresponds to a triple-degenerate energy level, then three $\lambda = 1$ eigenvalues will appear all at once. By counting how many eigenvalues cross the $\lambda = 1$ threshold simultaneously, the degeneracy of $E$ is easily determined. Note also that $M$ is a dense matrix, and no sparse algorithms are applicable here.

Lastly, one should mention that it is not necessary to compute all eigenvalues of Eq. (44). The linear size of $M$ can reach tens of thousands, see Tables II and III so computation of all $\lambda$’s quickly becomes prohibitively expensive. Fortunately, only the largest eigenvalues correspond to real physical states. Limiting search to $< 100$ eigenvalues near $\lambda = 1$ is sufficient for most physically interesting questions. Typically, such a subset search reduces computation time between one and two orders of magnitude.

G. Validation and finite size scaling

The complexity of a four-body quantum mechanical problem calls for thorough validation of the integral equation method developed here. In this work, we use two types of self-consistency checks inherent in the method itself to make sure final energy values and other results are error-free.

The first check is based on verification of auxiliary functions’ permutation symmetries. An advantage of the eigenvalue formulation of Eq. (44) is that all eigenvectors are produced simultaneously with eigenvalues “for free”. Knowing the arrangement of $\{q_1, q_2\}$, an eigenvector $\hat{O}$ can be split and reshaped into individual functions of two arguments to verify their permutation symmetries. For example, in the case of 1D bosons, all three auxiliary functions defined in Eqs. (25)–(27) must be symmetrical: $C(q_2, q_1) = +C(q_1, q_2)$ and so on. Any symmetry violation indicates an analytical or coding error.

The second check is inherent in the partial implementation of Young’s tables. Essentially, each energy level is computed more than once, but by different matrix equations. When solving the $S = 0$ fermionic system, $S = 1$ and $S = 2$ states are produced in addition to $S = 0$ states. Any mismatch with the $S = 1$ or $S = 2$ system indicates a coding error. To cross-check bosonic states, we implemented the distinguishable set of equations described in Sec. III B as well as one more system antisymmetric with respect to only one pair of coordinates, $\{12\}$. An example of such a cross-check is shown in Table III. Because of higher computational cost of solving the distinguishable equations, such a cross-check was conducted for smaller lattice sizes. Once the code was validated, fermion and boson systems were analyzed for larger $N$.

We now discuss finite size scaling. The computer workstation used in this work could handle eigenvalue problems, Eq. (44), with linear sizes of about $M = 80000$. In 1D, $M$ grows quadratically with the lattice size as $M = K_{eq}N^2$ where $K_{eq}$ is the number of integral equa-
TABLE III. The lowest 28 four-particle energy levels computed to $10^{-7}$ accuracy in the 1D $U,V$ model for $V = 20t$, $U = 100t$, and $P = 0$. The lattice chain length is $N = 16$. All energies are in units of $t$. Only levels below the combined energy of two bound pairs are shown. A singlet pair's energy at these parameters is $-20.26585624$. Thus, only 6 fully symmetric bosonic states exist below the two-pair threshold of $-40.53171247$. Cells are colored according to states' permutation symmetry. Notice how the $S = 1$ system (the fourth column) produces $S = 1$ states (green) and $S = 2$ states (yellow). A match between $S = 2$ energies produced by the $S = 2$, $S = 1$ and $S = 0$ systems validates the method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State #</th>
<th>Bosons</th>
<th>Fermions, $S = 2$</th>
<th>Fermions, $S = 1$</th>
<th>Fermions, $S = 0$</th>
<th>$q_1 \leftrightarrow q_2$ asymm.</th>
<th>Distinguish.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td>-41.31527524</td>
<td>-41.99878977</td>
<td>-42.15329156</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td>-41.31731132</td>
<td>-42.03439805</td>
<td>-42.15329156</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td>-41.49968626</td>
<td>-42.03439805</td>
<td>-42.15329156</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>-40.58697476</td>
<td>-41.49968626</td>
<td>-42.06979474</td>
<td>-42.1793884</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td>-40.58697476</td>
<td>-41.55120278</td>
<td>-42.07546896</td>
<td>-60.1005280</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td>-40.85302446</td>
<td>-41.55420017</td>
<td>-42.08827011</td>
<td>-60.11042100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>-40.85477063</td>
<td>-41.69263456</td>
<td>-42.09099284</td>
<td>-60.11042100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td>-41.10899062</td>
<td>-41.69483277</td>
<td>-42.09458246</td>
<td>-60.11042100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>-41.1142629</td>
<td>-41.84474082</td>
<td>-42.09458246</td>
<td>-60.12180786</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>-41.31527607</td>
<td>-41.84581751</td>
<td>-42.10708511</td>
<td>-60.12180786</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>-41.3173120</td>
<td>-41.86051552</td>
<td>-42.10708511</td>
<td>-60.13484457</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td>-41.49968614</td>
<td>-41.86443225</td>
<td>-42.12383830</td>
<td>-60.13484457</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>-41.49968614</td>
<td>-41.96573057</td>
<td>-42.14551244</td>
<td>-60.13484457</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>-41.69263447</td>
<td>-41.96916283</td>
<td>-42.14840587</td>
<td>-60.14477421</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>-41.69483267</td>
<td>-42.03439890</td>
<td>-42.15329153</td>
<td>-60.14477421</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>-41.86051545</td>
<td>-42.03439890</td>
<td>-42.15329153</td>
<td>-60.14477421</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>-41.86443218</td>
<td>-42.08827100</td>
<td>-60.10050279</td>
<td>-60.15836978</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>-41.96573050</td>
<td>-42.09099277</td>
<td>-60.11042098</td>
<td>-60.15836978</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>-41.96916276</td>
<td>-42.09458239</td>
<td>-60.11042098</td>
<td>-60.15836978</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>-42.03439884</td>
<td>-42.10708505</td>
<td>-60.12180784</td>
<td>-60.16831659</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>-42.03439884</td>
<td>-42.12383823</td>
<td>-60.13484456</td>
<td>-60.16831659</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>-42.04915823</td>
<td>-42.15329149</td>
<td>-60.13484456</td>
<td>-60.16831659</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>-41.4295746</td>
<td>-40.5869745</td>
<td>-42.10708500</td>
<td>-60.10050274</td>
<td>-60.14477420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>-41.41710593</td>
<td>-41.49968598</td>
<td>-42.15329147</td>
<td>-60.11042094</td>
<td>-60.15836977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>-41.89815782</td>
<td>-41.49968598</td>
<td>-60.10050272</td>
<td>-60.12180780</td>
<td>-60.15836977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>-41.90180085</td>
<td>-42.03439876</td>
<td>-60.11042094</td>
<td>-60.13484452</td>
<td>-60.16831658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>-42.17937872</td>
<td>-42.03439876</td>
<td>-60.13484452</td>
<td>-60.15836974</td>
<td>-60.18135998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>-60.20276422</td>
<td>-60.10050268</td>
<td>-60.15836973</td>
<td>-60.18135995</td>
<td>-60.19279642</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. 1D RESULTS

A. 1D Bose-$U,V$ model

We begin exposition of the results with an illustration of bosonic energy levels in the strong-coupling limit $V \gg t$. In this case, the kinetic energy is small and the states are accurately represented by real-space configurations shown in Fig. 2. The seven quad states split in two groups: two states $A$ and $B$ with a leading energy term $-4V$, and five states $C_{1,2}$, $D_{1,2}$ and $F$ with a leading energy term $-3V$. In addition, there are six $[31]$ states $G_{1-4}$ and $H_{1,2}$, in which a three-boson cluster
FIG. 2. Four-boson configurations in the 1D Bose-U\textsuperscript{V} model in the large-V\textsuperscript{V} limit. Energy scaling is indicated under the diagrams.

FIG. 3. Twelve lowest quad energies vs. \( U \) in the 1D Bose-U\textsuperscript{V} model at \( V = 20t \), \( P = 0 \) and \( N = 16 \). The energies were computed with step \( \Delta U = 1.0t \). Notice how states disappear into the [22] continuum with increasing \( U \). The state with an energy nearly independent of \( U \) corresponds to configuration \( \mathbf{F} \) with four bosons occupying four nearest neighbor sites. It becomes the ground state in the \( U \to \infty \) limit.

FIG. 4. Energy of the lowest bosonic quad state in the 1D Bose-U\textsuperscript{V} model as a function of \( V \) and linear chain length \( N \). \( U = 15t \) and \( P = 0 \). The quad energies were computed with step \( \Delta V = 0.002t \). The blue line is the lowest energy of four free particles, \( E_{[1111]} = -8t \). The red line is the lowest energy of two bound pairs. The red circle marks the pair threshold for \( U = 15t \): \( V_2(15) = 2 \cdot 15t/(15 + 4) = 1.578947t \). The green line is the lowest energy of a trion plus one free particle. The trion energies\textsuperscript{26} were computed for chain lengths as large as \( N = 256 \) and extrapolated to \( N \to \infty \). The green line terminates at the red circle indicating that in this system trion threshold \( V_3 \) equals \( V_2 \). Notice how the quad threshold (intersection of black and red lines) also approaches \( V_2 \) as \( N \to \infty \).

To obtain quad-forming threshold \( V_4 \), quad energy \( E_4 \) should be compared with the lowest energy of two bound pairs [22\textsuperscript{26} and of a [31] complex\textsuperscript{49} at the same total momentum \( P \). Computing the latter energies is relatively easy at \( P = 0 \) since all sub-complexes have zero momenta in the ground state. The situation is more complicated at \( P \neq 0 \). For two pairs, one can show that the total momentum is split equally between the pairs, and \( \min \{ E_{22}(P) \} = 2E_2(P/2) \). For the [31] complex, momentum split between a trion and a free boson is unknown a priori and must be determined numerically using tabulated trion energies.\textsuperscript{26} Fortunately, stability of pair fluids is typically considered in the ground state. In the following, we only present \( P = 0 \) phase diagrams where the above complications are absent.

To determine \( V_4 \) for a given lattice size \( N \), it is not necessary to compute energy curves like Fig. 4 and then...
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we close this section by noting that the numerical
numerical solution reveals that at least for the param-
numerical stability of a many-boson system.

B. 1D Fermi-UV model

Because of the spin degree of freedom, there are many
more fermionic than bosonic states for the same \(U\) and \(V\).
It is not the goal of this work to comprehensively
analyze the fermion spectrum. Instead, we focus on the
weak coupling region near thresholds. Figure 4 shows
various states with low binding energy for \(U = 20t\) and
\(P = 0\). Notice how the trion energy, \(E_{31}\), crosses the
two pairs energy, \(E_{22}\), near \(V = 2.01t\). To determine
quad thresholds, it is important to know the preferred
decay channel, \([4] \rightarrow [31]\) or \([4] \rightarrow [22]\). Whereas \(E_{22}\) is
known analytically, \(E_{31}\) needs to be computed numerically
as accurately as possible. For the present study, \(E_{31}\)
were computed for linear chains as long as \(N = 256\)
and then extrapolated to \(N = \infty\). In the strong coupling
limit, and at large \(U\) like \(U = 20t\), the [31] energy scales
as \(E_{31}(U, V \gg t) = -2V - 2t + O(t^2/V)\) and the [22]
energy as \(E_{22}(U, V \gg t) = -2V + O(t^2/V)\). For suffi-
ciently large \(V\), \(E_{31} < E_{22}\) thanks to the free fermion
contribution, \(E_1 = -2t\). However, at small \(V\), the rela-
tion between \(E_{31}\) and \(E_{22}\) is not known a priori. Exact
numerical solution reveals that at least for the param-
eters of Fig. 4 \(E_{22} < E_{31}\). Thus, the quad threshold
should be determined by comparing \(E_4\) and \(E_{22}\).

The technical procedure of calculating \(V_4\) is the same
as in the bosonic case. The ground state energy, which
belongs to the total spin \(S = 0\) sector, is computed for
progressively increasing chain lengths \(N\). For example,
Fig. 7 shows quad energy for \(N = 32\). For each \(N\), \(V_4\)
is obtained from the condition \(E_4(V) = E_{22}(V)\). Then
\(V_4\) vs. \(1/N\) is plotted as in Fig. 5 and extrapolated to
\(N = \infty\). The largest chain length reached in this process
might suggest allowed us to reach the shows the six lowest bosonic quad by the green circle, where the green line is the lowest $S = 1/2$ trion plus one free fermon, $E_{31}$. The trion energies were computed for chain lengths up to $N = 256$ and extrapolated to $N \rightarrow \infty$. $E_{31}(V)$ terminates at the trion threshold $V_{3} = 1.73t$, marked by the green circle, where $E_{31} = E_{211}$. Finally, the black lines are quad energies, $E_{4}(V)$, computed for $N = 32$. Solid lines correspond to total spin $S = 0$ and dashed lines to $S = 1$. There are no $S = 2$ quad states visible within the figure window. All energies are computed with a step $\Delta V = 0.01t$.

was $N = 64$. Numerical values of $V_{4}(U, N)$ are given in Supplemental Material Sec. VIIK.

The resulting phase diagram is shown in Fig. 8. Its most prominent feature is the separation between pair and quad thresholds. The ground state between the red and black lines is one spin-singlet fermion pairs. The ground state above the black line is an $S = 0$ quad cluster. For reference, we also show the $S = 1/2$ trion threshold $V_{3}$ in a three-fermion system. Figure 8 might suggest that the ground state between the green and black lines is a combination of an $S = 1/2$ trion and a free fermion. However, our numerical analysis unambiguously shows that $E_{22}$ is always lower than $E_{31}$, see for example, Fig. 7. We have verified that the same conclusion holds for all $U$ within the interval studied here, $0 \leq U \leq 50t$. Thus, the region between the black and red lines is where a “liquid” of two fermion pairs is stable against phase separation.

An interesting feature of the phase diagram is that the gap between $V_{2}$ and $V_{1}$ shrinks with increasing $U$. This is specific to one dimension. In 1D, a large on-site repulsion imposes nodes in a wave function similar to nodes imposed by antisymmetry. As a result, all symmetry sectors behave similarly in the $U \rightarrow \infty$ limit, differences between bosons and fermions disappear, and the pair stability region shrinks to zero. The situation is different in 2D, as we will see in the next section.

Our conclusion from this section is this: There is a narrow but finite region of pair stability in the 1D Fermi-UV model. The region is $\approx t$ wide at $U = 0$ but shrinks to zero as $U \rightarrow \infty$.

V. 2D RESULTS

The 2D case is harder computationally than 1D as can be appreciated by comparing matrix sizes listed in Table I and Table III. Generally, method validation calculations were done on $4 \times 4$ and $6 \times 6$ lattices and energy calculations were done on $6 \times 6$ and $8 \times 8$ lattices. The use of rotational symmetries in the ground state described in Sec. IIII allowed us to reach the $10 \times 10$ lattice. In the latter case, we computed only quad thresholds $V_{4}(U)$ which required much less effort than full energy landscape $E_{4}(U, V)$.

A. 2D Bose-UV model

There are many more bosonic states in 2D than in 1D. For example, there are 22 configurations that scale as $E_{4} \propto -3V$ in the $(U, V) \rightarrow \infty$ limit (“Tetris shapes”), as compared with only one such state in 1D (state F in Fig. 2). Additionally, there is one new state that scales as $E_{4} \propto -4V$, when four bosons occupy a $2 \times 2$ plaquette. Although the situation is less intuitive at weak coupling, we expect this “plaquette” state to always remain the ground state because of its four nearest-neighbor attractive bonds. Figure 9 shows the six lowest bosonic quad states for $U = 5t$ and $N_{x} = 8$. Although the top five
states decay into [31] (the green line) as \( V \) is reduced, we extend the lines to the [22] continuum for visual purposes. The pairs and trions disappear below the pair threshold \( V_2 = 0.769t \) marked by the red circle. At \( V > V_2 \), the pairs’ binding energy is exponentially small as expected in 2D. The lowest quad state terminates very near \( V_2 \), suggesting \( V_4 \approx V_2 \). Numerical scaling confirms that indeed \( V_4 = V_2 \) in the \( N_x = \infty \) limit.

An example of bosonic quad dispersion in given in Fig. 10. Six lowest bands were computed on the \( 8 \times 8 \) lattice. The dispersion looks “normal” in the sense that it resembles dispersion of an electron in a complex potential. This confirms that quads behave as a single particle and serves as an additional validation of the entire method.

The process of determining phase boundaries separating quads from pairs and trions is the same as in 1D. There are two possible decay channels, [4] \( \rightarrow [22] \) and \( [4] \rightarrow [31] \). The former threshold was determined from the condition \( E_4(V) = E_{22}(V) \) where \( E_4 \) is the energy of the ground “plaquette” state. Threshold values \( V_4(U, N_x) \) for \( N_x = 6, 8 \) and 10 are tabulated in Supplemental Material. See Sec. VII. Next, we computed bosonic trion energies using the trion integral equations derived in an earlier work. Then, we computed trion thresholds \( V_3 \) from the condition \( E_3(V) = E_{21}(V) \) and extrapolated to \( N_x = \infty \) using the same parabolic fit method. The \( V_3 \) values are also given in Supplemental Material. See Sec. VIII. From that analysis we established that \( V_3 = V_2 \) within our numerical accuracy, i.e., bosonic trions form simultaneously with pairs. Although \( E_{31} < E_{22} \) for \( V > V_2 \), \( E_{21} \rightarrow E_{22} \) from below as \( V \rightarrow V_2 \) from above. As a result, the two conditions, \( E_4(V) = E_{31}(V) \) and \( E_4(V) = E_{22}(V) \) produce the same threshold \( V_4 \) in the \( N_x = \infty \) limit, although the two are slightly different at any finite \( N_x \).

The resulting phase diagram is displayed in Fig. 11. Like in 1D, there is only one boundary line, described by Eq. (2). There is no pairing below the line: the ground state consists of four interacting but individual bosons. However, above the line there are all sorts of clustering: a pair forms in a two-boson system, a trion forms in a three-boson system, and a quad forms in a four-boson system. Based on these results, we expect a many-boson
system to form a macroscopic cluster and phase-separate at the same threshold. One should also note a qualitative similarity between phase diagrams of the Bose-UV model 1D, Fig. 6 and 2D, Fig. 11. Quantitatively, the 2D phase boundary is a slightly flatter than its 1D counterpart.

Our conclusion about the 2D Bose-UV model is the same as in 1D: A liquid of boson pairs is unstable against forming larger clusters. As soon as pairs form, the entire system phase-separates.

B. 2D Fermi-UV model

We now proceed to the technically most challenging but physically most interesting case: four spin-\(\frac{1}{2}\) fermions on the 2D square lattice. There is great proliferation of states compared with the 2D Bose case. There are dozens of quad states within each \(S\) sector. Additionally, quads mix with [31] states at elevated energies. Since \(E_{31}\) are sensitive to boundary conditions, the high energy part of the spectrum depends on lattice size. For example, the \(6 \times 6\) and \(8 \times 8\) energy ladders look quite different at high energies. Untangling this complicated web and making sense of the entire energy landscape amounts to a separate investigation that is not attempted here. It suffices to say that we conducted rigorous validation checks described in Sec. III C to make sure energies and wave functions produced by the integral equation method are numerically accurate.

Before presenting our main result, it is useful to recall the motivation for this work. In an earlier investigation\cite{20}, we established that in the 2D Fermi-UV model, pair formation and trion formation are separated by a stability interval of about \(\Delta V \approx 2t\). This can be interpreted as effective repulsion between a spin-singlet fermionic pair and a free fermion. An even better predictor for pair liquid stability is effective interaction between two pairs, which amounts to a four-body problem. Now, the origin of the repulsion is antisymmetry of many-fermion wave functions. Being qualitative in nature, we expect this repulsion mechanism to remain effective for four, five, six fermions, and so on, and eventually in a macroscopic system. However, quantitatively, the pair stability region may shrink as density increases. The transition from three to four fermions is particularly interesting. A fourth fermion, when trying to bind with a trion, is forming two new attractive bonds rather than one, see process B in Fig. 11 c. Although it must create an additional wave function node at the same time, dynamic energy gain may exceed kinetic energy loss. In other words, the quad may be more stable than the trion, and the quad threshold may be lower than the trion one. The real question is how much lower? If insignificantly, in relative terms, it would leave the wide stability region effectively unaffected. In the opposite case, the stability region could be significantly reduced, which would essentially leave the question of what happens in the thermodynamic limit open. Determining the quad threshold and its position relative to the trion threshold is the main goal of this work.

Strictly speaking, when determining \(S = 1\) and \(S = 2\) quad thresholds, conservation of total spin needs to be considered. That is, the lowest quad energy of the \(S = 1\) sector should be compared with the lowest energy of one spin-singlet pair plus one spin-triplet pair. Likewise, the lowest quad energy of the \(S = 2\) sector should be compared with the lowest energy of two spin-triplet pairs. However, we assume that in any real system there is enough perturbation to flip the spin if that lowers overall energy. Therefore, in the following, all spin sectors will be compared with the lowest energy of two singlet pairs.

Figure 12 shows an example of \(V\)-dependent quad energies. Notice how the ground state \(E_4(V)\) intersects \(E_{22}(V)\) at a \(V_4\) substantially larger than pair threshold \(V_2\).

Quad thresholds for the \(S = 0, 1\) and \(2\) sectors were computed for \(6 \times 6, 8 \times 8,\) and \(10 \times 10\) lattices and extrapolated to \(N_x = \infty\). Values are listed in Supplemental Material, Sec. VII M. The resulting phase diagram is shown in Fig. 13. Together with three quad thresholds, pair threshold \(V_2\), Eq. 2, and trion threshold \(V_3\) are also shown. One interesting feature of the phase diagram is \(U\)-dependence of the \(S = 2\) threshold. Although \(E_4(S = 2)\) itself does not depend on \(U\), \(E_{22}\) does, so the threshold that follows from the two energies being equal is also \(U\)-dependent. As a result, \(V_4(S = 2)\) decreases with \(U\); at larger \(U\) singlet pairs become less stable which expands the stability domain of \(S = 2\) quads. Another interesting feature of Fig. 13 is the closeness of the \(S = 0\)
and $S = 1$ thresholds. This is a consequence of the lowest $S = 0$ and $S = 1$ states being close in energy, cf. Fig. [12] In fact, our numerical data suggest a crossover. At $U < 6.4t$, the $S = 0$ quad is lower. As a result, it forms at a slightly smaller $V_4$ than the $S = 1$ quad. At $U > 6.4t$, the order is reversed.

The most important feature of the phase diagram is relative positions of the quad, trion and pair thresholds. We first note that $V_4 < V_3$. Fermionic quads are formed at a weaker attraction and are more stable than trions, as expected on qualitative grounds. At the same time, $V_4$ remains well separated from the pair threshold $V_2$. Addition of a fourth fermion shrinks the region of pair stability, but not by much in relative terms. Another important property of Fig. [13] is that the gap $V_4 - V_2$ does not tend to zero as $U \to \infty$. This is manifestation of a fundamental difference between 1D and 2D. As noted in Sec. IV B in 1D a large $U$ forces the wave function to go to zero whenever two fermions occupy the same site. This is the same condition as imposed by antisymmetry. In other words, fermions and bosons behave similarly in the $U = \infty$ limit. That is why in 1D all thresholds converge to the same limit at large $U$, see Fig. [8] In contrast, in 2D an infinite on-site repulsion is not equivalent to full antisymmetry. An infinite $U$ does not prevent two fermions from being exchanged by rotating around their center of mass. The many-body wave function must possess additional nodes along angular coordinates that cannot be provided dynamically by a large $U$. These extra nodes elevate kinetic energy by an amount of order $t$. To overcome such an increase and still form a quad, $V$ needs to be raised by a value $\sim t$, too. This explains why $V_4 - V_2$ remains of order $t$ for all $U$.

Here is our conclusion for the 2D square Fermi-UV model. Two fermion pairs are stable against quad formation within a finite $V$ interval $\approx 2t$ wide, for all values of $U$. This can be interpreted as effective repulsion between pairs. The repulsion is kinematic in nature and originates from antisymmetry of the four-fermion wave function.

VI. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In this paper, we investigated formation criteria of four-particle clusters in quantum-mechanical systems with zero-range repulsion and finite-range attraction. We directly solved a four-body Schrödinger equation by reducing it to a system of coupled integral equations of two variables. By comparing quad energy with the energy of two bound pairs, we determined quad forming thresholds and mapped parameter space where pairs are stable against further clustering.

One clear conclusion that follows from this study is the critical role of quantum statistics. Bosons and fermions behave very differently. In the case of bosons, the quad threshold exactly matches the pair threshold, $V_4(U) = V_2(U)$, within our numerical accuracy. As soon as attraction is strong enough to form Bose pairs, a Bose-quad also forms. This is true in both 1D and 2D. One can state that effective interaction between two Bose pairs is attractive. Numerical match between $V_4$ and $V_2$ is so good that it suggests a mathematical theorem. We, however, are unaware of any analytical results relating $V_4$ and $V_2$ (and $V_3$ for that matter) in Bose systems. Extrapolating these results to many-boson systems, we conjecture that effective interactions between larger clusters: between two quads, between two octuples, and so on, is also attractive, although we cannot prove this rigorously. It implies that the entire system phase separates and forms one macroscopic cluster at $V = V_2$. A liquid of Bose pairs is unstable and does not exist.

The situation is different for fermions. Although two fermions have a symmetric ground state and in that sense are equivalent to two bosons, a four-fermion wave function must have nodes, which elevates quad energy. As a result, there is a finite separation between $V_2$ and $V_4$. When $V$ falls between $V_2$ and $V_4$, pairs are formed but quads are not. Effective interaction between pairs is repulsive. A liquid of Fermi pairs is stable within a finite interval of $V$. We conjecture that the stability region remains finite even in a microscopic system, at least when fermion density is small. Indeed, at a small pair density, pair-pair collisions are unaffected by the presence of other pairs. Since the pair-pair interaction is repulsive in a four-fermion system, it should remain repulsive in the presence of other pairs because they are far away. This is not a proof but a qualitative supportive argument.

The second conclusion concerns the role of lattice dimensionality. As explained in Sec. IV B and Sec. IV B in 1D a finite $U$ effectively mimics the wave function antisymmetry whereas in 2D it does not. In 1D, $V_4$ is close to $V_2$ and the difference shrinks to zero in the $U = \infty$ limit. In 2D, the separation remains on the order of $t$.
for all $U$. 2D is much more favorable for the existence of stable pair liquid than 1D.

We now discuss implications of the obtained results for real physical systems. First of all, we acknowledge that we have studied here a special type of potential, the UV model, that neglects long-range interaction tails. However, we consider the UV potential a pseudo-potential that captures the essential physics of relevant systems, namely short-range repulsion plus finite-range attraction. The arguments given above are qualitative in nature and expected to be applicable to other potentials from the same class. In particular, we expect the pair stability region to remain finite when the repulsive core has a finite range or when the attractive part has a long tail. In all those cases, a pair would have a symmetrical wave function, while a quad would have nodes. That creates an energy gap between the quad and two pairs, which translates into a finite region of stability.

Many of these effects can be demonstrated in Dressed Rydberg atoms (DRA) systems. DRAs provide a convenient realization of UV models with $U$ tunable via Feshbach resonances, $V$ tunable and $V$ tunable via laser intensity. Additionally, optical lattices allow for direct control of lattice geometry and hopping amplitude $t$ via the positions of Gaussian beams piercing the main optical pancake. The presence or absence of bound pairs can be monitored by gas microscopy. Using these DRA techniques, it should be possible to demonstrate the existence of a stable pair phase in the case of fermions, and its non-existence in the case of bosons. By changing lattice anisotropy, it should also be possible to smoothly convert a 2D square Fermi-UV system where the pair liquid is stable into a 1D Fermi-UV system where it is unstable, while $U$ and $V$ kept constant. Finally, by scanning $U$, $V$ and $t$, an entire phase diagram can be mapped and compared with theory. Detailed description of DRA systems suitable for such experiments as well as specifics of external drivers and observation methods are outside the scope of the present study and will be addressed elsewhere.

The results developed here also lend further support for the preformed pair mechanism of high-temperature superconductivity (HTSC) 8–11. We have rigorously shown that a fermionic system can exist as a collection of interacting but distinct pairs in a narrow but finite domain of model parameters. That makes it possible for some real systems, for example HTSC cuprates, to fall within that domain even though the real inter-hole potential differs from the pure UV form. The fact that the stability domain is narrow helps explain the experimental fact that HTSC is a rare phenomenon among crystalline solids. Additionally, being close to the quad threshold on average, makes a system prone to crossing over the threshold locally. That may explain proliferation of charge density waves, nematic orders, and other types of charge instabilities observed in HTSC. We close by noting that a natural extension of the present work would be analysis of a four-fermion system in 3D including an anisotropic case. This problem is computationally much more demanding and is left for the future.
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VII. SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

A. Four distinguishable particles in 1D

Below is the complete system of 18 equations that result from substitution of Eq. (18) in Eqs. (12) - (17).

\[ A_{12}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{U}{N} \sum_k A_{12}(q_1, q_2) + A_{13}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) + A_{14}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_1) \]
\[ + \frac{U}{N} \sum_k A_{24}(k, q_2) + A_{24}(k, q_1) + A_{34}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos k C_{12}(q_1, q_2) + \sin k S_{12}(q_1, q_2) \]
\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos k C_{13}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) + \sin k S_{13}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) \]
\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos k C_{14}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_1) + \sin k S_{14}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_1) \]
\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) C_{23}(k, q_2) + \sin (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) S_{23}(k, q_2) \]
\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) C_{24}(k, q_1) + \sin (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) S_{24}(k, q_1) \]
\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos q_1 C_{34}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin q_1 S_{34}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \].

\[ C_{12}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \frac{\cos k A_{12}(q_1, q_2) + \cos k A_{13}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) + \cos k A_{14}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_1)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \]
\[ + \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \frac{\cos k A_{23}(k, q_2) + \cos k A_{24}(k, q_1) + \cos k A_{34}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \]
\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \frac{\cos k \cos k C_{12}(q_1, q_2) + \cos k \sin k S_{12}(q_1, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \]
\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \frac{\cos k \cos k C_{13}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) + \cos k \sin k S_{13}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \]
\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \frac{\cos k \cos k C_{14}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_1) + \cos k \sin k S_{14}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_1)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \]
\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \frac{\cos k \cos q_1 C_{34}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k \sin q_1 S_{34}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2)} .\]
\[
S_{12}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \sin k A_{12}(q_1, q_2) + \sin k A_{13}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) + \sin k A_{14}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_1) \\
\quad \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \\
- \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \sin k A_{23}(k, q_2) + \sin k A_{24}(k, q_1) + \sin k A_{34}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \\
\quad \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \\
+ 2V \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \sin k \cos k C_{12}(q_1, q_2) + \sin k \sin k S_{12}(q_1, q_2) \\
- 2V \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \sin k \cos k C_{13}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) + \sin k \sin k S_{13}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) \\
- 2V \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \sin k \cos k C_{14}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_1) + \sin k \sin k S_{14}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_1) \\
- 2V \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \sin k \cos k (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) C_{23}(k, q_2) + \sin k \sin k (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) S_{23}(k, q_2) \\
- 2V \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \sin k \cos k (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) C_{24}(k, q_1) + \sin k \sin k (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) S_{24}(k, q_1) \\
+ 2V \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \sin k \cos k q_1 C_{34}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k \sin k q_1 S_{34}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \quad \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2)}.
\]

(47)

\[
A_{13}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \frac{A_{12}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) + A_{13}(q_1, q_2) + A_{14}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \\
\quad \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \\
+ \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \frac{A_{23}(k, q_2) + A_{24}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + A_{34}(k, q_1) \\
\quad \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \\
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos k C_{12}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) + \sin k S_{12}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) \\
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos k C_{13}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) + \sin k S_{13}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) \\
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos k C_{14}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_1) + \sin k S_{14}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_1) \\
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos k q_1 C_{23}(k, q_2) + \sin q_1 S_{23}(k, q_2) \\
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos k q_1 C_{24}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin q_1 S_{24}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \\
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos q_1 C_{34}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin q_1 S_{34}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \\
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) C_{34}(k, q_1) + \sin (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) S_{34}(k, q_1) \\ 
\quad \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)}.
\]

(48)
$$C_{13}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \cos k A_{12}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) + \cos k A_{13}(q_1, q_2) + \cos k A_{14}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)}$$

$$+ \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \cos k A_{23}(k, q_2) + \cos k A_{24}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k A_{34}(k, q_1) \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)}$$

$$- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos k \cos k C_{12}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) + \cos k \sin k S_{12}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2)$$

$$- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos k \cos k C_{13}(q_1, q_2) + \cos k \sin k S_{13}(q_1, q_2)$$

$$- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos k \cos k C_{14}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k \sin k S_{14}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)$$

$$- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos k \cos q_1 C_{23}(k, q_2) + \cos k \sin q_1 S_{23}(k, q_2) \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)}.$$

$$S_{13}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \sin k A_{12}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) + \sin k A_{13}(q_1, q_2) + \sin k A_{14}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)}$$

$$+ \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \sin k A_{23}(k, q_2) + \sin k A_{24}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k A_{34}(k, q_1) \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)}$$

$$- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \sin k \cos k C_{12}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) + \sin k \sin k S_{12}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2)$$

$$- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \sin k \cos k C_{13}(q_1, q_2) + \sin k \sin k S_{13}(q_1, q_2)$$

$$- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \sin k \cos k C_{14}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k \sin k S_{14}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)$$

$$- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \sin k \cos q_1 C_{23}(k, q_2) + \sin k \sin q_1 S_{23}(k, q_2) \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)}.$$
\[ A_{14}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{U}{N} \sum_k A_{12}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \frac{U}{N} \sum_k A_{13}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \frac{A_{14}(q_1, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

\[ + \frac{U}{N} \sum_k A_{23}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \frac{A_{24}(k, q_2) + A_{34}(k, q_1)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

\[ 2V \sum_k \frac{\cos k C_{12}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k S_{12}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

\[ - 2V \sum_k \frac{\cos k C_{13}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k S_{13}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

\[ - 2V \sum_k \frac{\cos k C_{14}(q_1, q_2) + \sin k S_{14}(q_1, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \frac{\cos q_1 C_{23}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin q_1 S_{23}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \frac{\cos q_1 C_{24}(k, q_2) + \sin q_1 S_{24}(k, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \frac{\cos q_1 C_{34}(k, q_1) + \sin q_2 S_{34}(k, q_1)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \] .

\[ C_{14}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \frac{\cos k A_{12}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k A_{13}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k A_{14}(q_1, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

\[ + \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \frac{\cos k A_{23}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k A_{24}(k, q_2) + \cos k A_{34}(k, q_1)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \frac{\cos k \cos k C_{12}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k \sin k S_{12}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \frac{\cos k \cos k C_{13}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k \sin k S_{13}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \frac{\cos k \cos k C_{14}(q_1, q_2) + \cos k \sin k S_{14}(q_1, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \frac{\cos q_1 \cos k C_{23}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos q_1 \sin q_1 S_{23}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \frac{\cos q_1 \cos k C_{24}(k, q_2) + \cos q_1 \sin q_1 S_{24}(k, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \frac{\cos q_1 \cos k C_{34}(k, q_1) + \cos q_2 \sin q_2 S_{34}(k, q_1)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \] .

\[ (51) \]

\[ (52) \]
\[ S_{14}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \sin k A_{12}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k A_{13}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k A_{14}(q_1, q_2) \]

\[ \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

\[ - \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \sin k A_{23}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k A_{24}(k, q_2) + \sin k A_{34}(k, q_1) \]

\[ \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

\[ 2V \sum_k \sin k \cos k C_{12}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k \sin k S_{12}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]

\[ \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

\[ - 2V \sum_k \sin k \cos k C_{13}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k \sin k S_{13}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]

\[ \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

\[ - 2V \sum_k \sin k \cos k C_{14}(q_1, q_2) + \sin k \sin k S_{14}(q_1, q_2) \]

\[ \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

\[ - 2V \sum_k \sin k \cos q_1 C_{23}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k \sin q_1 S_{23}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]

\[ \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

\[ - 2V \sum_k \sin k \cos q_1 C_{24}(k, q_2) + \sin k \sin q_1 S_{24}(k, q_2) \]

\[ \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

\[ - 2V \sum_k \sin k \cos q_1 C_{34}(q_1, k) + \sin k \sin q_1 S_{34}(q_1, k) \]

\[ \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} . \]

\[ (53) \]

\[ A_{23}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \frac{A_{12}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) + A_{13}(k, q_2) + A_{14}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \]

\[ + \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \frac{A_{23}(q_1, q_2) + A_{24}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + A_{34}(q_1, k)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \]

\[ - 2V \sum_k \cos q_1 C_{12}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) + \sin q_1 S_{12}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) \]

\[ \frac{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \]

\[ - 2V \sum_k \cos q_1 C_{13}(k, q_2) + \sin q_1 S_{13}(k, q_2) \]

\[ \frac{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \]

\[ - 2V \sum_k \cos q_1 C_{14}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin q_1 S_{14}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]

\[ \frac{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \]

\[ - 2V \sum_k \cos k C_{23}(q_1, q_2) + \sin k S_{23}(q_1, q_2) \]

\[ \frac{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \]

\[ - 2V \sum_k \cos k C_{24}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k S_{24}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]

\[ \frac{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \]

\[ - 2V \sum_k \cos (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) C_{34}(q_1, k) + \sin (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) S_{34}(q_1, k) \]

\[ \frac{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)} . \]

\[ (54) \]
\[ C_{23}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{U}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{\cos k A_{12}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) + \cos k A_{13}(k, q_2) + \cos k A_{14}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \]

\[ + \frac{U}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{\cos k A_{23}(q_1, q_2) + \cos k A_{24}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k A_{34}(q_1, k)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{\cos k \cos q_1 C_{12}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) + \cos k \sin q_1 S_{12}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{\cos k \cos q_1 C_{13}(k, q_2) + \cos k \sin q_1 S_{13}(k, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{\cos k \cos q_1 C_{14}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k \sin q_1 S_{14}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{\cos k \cos q_1 C_{23}(q_1, q_2) + \cos k \sin q_1 S_{23}(q_1, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{\cos k \cos C_{24}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k \sin C_{24}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{\cos (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) C_{34}(q_1, k) + \sin k \sin (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) S_{34}(q_1, k)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \].

\[ S_{23}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{U}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{\sin k A_{12}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) + \sin k A_{13}(k, q_2) + \sin k A_{14}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \]

\[ + \frac{U}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{\sin k A_{23}(q_1, q_2) + \sin k A_{24}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k A_{14}(q_1, k)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{\sin k \cos q_1 C_{12}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) + \sin k \sin q_1 S_{12}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{\sin k \cos q_1 C_{13}(k, q_2) + \sin k \sin q_1 S_{13}(k, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{\sin k \cos q_1 C_{14}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k \sin q_1 S_{14}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{\sin k \cos q_1 C_{23}(q_1, q_2) + \sin k \sin q_1 S_{23}(q_1, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{\sin k \cos C_{24}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k \sin C_{24}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{\sin (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) C_{34}(q_1, k) + \sin k \sin (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) S_{34}(q_1, k)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \varepsilon(q_2)} \].
\[
A_{24}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{U}{N} \sum_k A_{12}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + A_{13}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + A_{14}(k, q_2) \frac{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}
\]

\[
+ \frac{U}{N} \sum_k A_{23}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + A_{24}(q_1, q_2) + A_{34}(q_1, k) \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos q_1 C_{12}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin q_1 S_{12}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)
\]

\[
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos q_1 C_{13}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin q_1 S_{13}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)
\]

\[
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos q_1 C_{14}(k, q_2) + \sin q_1 S_{14}(k, q_2)
\]

\[
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos k C_{23}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k S_{23}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)
\]

\[
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos k C_{24}(q_1, q_2) + \sin k S_{24}(q_1, q_2)
\]

\[
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos k C_{34}(q_1, k) + \sin k S_{34}(q_1, k)
\]

\[
\text{C}_{24}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \cos k A_{12}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k A_{13}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k A_{14}(k, q_2) \frac{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

\[
+ \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \cos k A_{23}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k A_{24}(q_1, q_2) + \cos k A_{34}(q_1, k) \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos q_1 C_{12}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k \sin q_1 S_{12}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)
\]

\[
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos q_1 C_{13}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k \sin q_1 S_{13}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)
\]

\[
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos q_1 C_{14}(k, q_2) + \cos k \sin q_1 S_{14}(k, q_2)
\]

\[
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos k \cos q_1 C_{23}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k \sin q_1 S_{23}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)
\]

\[
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos k \cos q_1 C_{24}(q_1, q_2) + \cos k \sin q_1 S_{24}(q_1, q_2)
\]

\[
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos q_1 C_{34}(q_1, k) + \cos k \sin q_1 S_{34}(q_1, k)
\]

\[
\text{C}_{24}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \cos k A_{12}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k A_{13}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k A_{14}(k, q_2) \frac{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

\[
+ \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \cos k A_{23}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k A_{24}(q_1, q_2) + \cos k A_{34}(q_1, k) \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos q_1 C_{12}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k \sin q_1 S_{12}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)
\]

\[
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos q_1 C_{13}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k \sin q_1 S_{13}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)
\]

\[
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos q_1 C_{14}(k, q_2) + \cos k \sin q_1 S_{14}(k, q_2)
\]

\[
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos k \cos q_1 C_{23}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k \sin q_1 S_{23}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)
\]

\[
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos k \cos q_1 C_{24}(q_1, q_2) + \cos k \sin q_1 S_{24}(q_1, q_2)
\]

\[
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos q_1 C_{34}(q_1, k) + \cos k \sin q_1 S_{34}(q_1, k)
\]

\[
\text{(57)}
\]

\[
\text{C}_{24}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \cos k A_{12}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k A_{13}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k A_{14}(k, q_2) \frac{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

\[
+ \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \cos k A_{23}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k A_{24}(q_1, q_2) + \cos k A_{34}(q_1, k) \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos q_1 C_{12}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k \sin q_1 S_{12}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)
\]

\[
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos q_1 C_{13}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k \sin q_1 S_{13}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)
\]

\[
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos q_1 C_{14}(k, q_2) + \cos k \sin q_1 S_{14}(k, q_2)
\]

\[
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos k \cos q_1 C_{23}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k \sin q_1 S_{23}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)
\]

\[
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos k \cos q_1 C_{24}(q_1, q_2) + \cos k \sin q_1 S_{24}(q_1, q_2)
\]

\[
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos q_1 C_{34}(q_1, k) + \cos k \sin q_1 S_{34}(q_1, k)
\]

\[
\text{(58)}
\]
\[ S_{24}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{U}{N} \sum_{k} \sin k A_{12}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k A_{13}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k A_{14}(k, q_2) \]
\[ E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ + \frac{U}{N} \sum_{k} \sin k A_{23}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k A_{24}(q_1, q_2) + \sin k A_{34}(q_1, k) \]
\[ E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \sin k \cos q_1 C_{12}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k \sin q_1 S_{12}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \sin k \cos q_1 C_{13}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k \sin q_1 S_{13}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \sin k \cos q_1 C_{14}(q_2, q_2) + \sin k \sin q_1 S_{14}(q_2, q_2) \]
\[ E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \sin k \cos q_2 C_{23}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin q_2 S_{23}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \sin k \cos q_2 C_{24}(q_1, k) + \sin q_2 S_{24}(q_1, k) \]
\[ E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \sin k \cos q_2 C_{34}(q_1, q_2) + \sin k \sin q_2 S_{34}(q_1, q_2) \]
\[ E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \].

\[ A_{34}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{U}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{A_{12}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + A_{13}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + A_{14}(q_2, k) \]
\[ E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ + \frac{U}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{A_{23}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + A_{24}(q_1, k) + A_{34}(q_1, q_2) \]
\[ E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{\cos q_1 C_{12}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin q_1 S_{12}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{\cos q_1 C_{13}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin q_1 S_{13}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{\cos q_1 C_{14}(q_2, q_2) + \sin q_1 S_{14}(q_2, q_2) \]
\[ E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{\cos q_2 C_{23}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin q_2 S_{23}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{\cos q_2 C_{24}(q_1, k) + \sin q_2 S_{24}(q_1, k) \]
\[ E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{\cos q_2 C_{34}(q_1, q_2) + \sin q_2 S_{34}(q_1, q_2) \]
\[ E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \].
\[ C_{34}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \cos k A_{12}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k A_{13}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k A_{14}(q_2, k) \]

\[ \quad + \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \cos k A_{23}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k A_{24}(q_1, k) + \cos k A_{34}(q_1, q_2) \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos k \cos q_1 C_{12}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k \sin q_1 S_{12}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos k \cos q_1 C_{13}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k \sin q_1 S_{13}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos k \cos q_1 C_{14}(q_2, k) + \cos k \sin q_1 S_{14}(q_2, k) \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos k \cos q_2 C_{23}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k \sin q_2 S_{23}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos k \cos q_2 C_{24}(q_1, k) + \cos k \sin q_2 S_{24}(q_1, k) \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \cos k \cos q_2 C_{34}(q_1, q_2) + \cos k \sin q_2 S_{34}(q_1, q_2) \]

\[ S_{34}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \sin k A_{12}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k A_{13}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k A_{14}(q_2, k) \]

\[ + \sin k A_{23}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k A_{24}(q_1, k) + \sin k A_{34}(q_1, q_2) \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \sin k \cos q_1 C_{12}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k \sin q_1 S_{12}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \sin k \cos q_1 C_{13}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k \sin q_1 S_{13}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \sin k \cos q_1 C_{14}(q_2, k) + \sin k \sin q_1 S_{14}(q_2, k) \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \sin k \cos q_2 C_{23}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k \sin q_2 S_{23}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \sin k \cos q_2 C_{24}(q_1, k) + \sin k \sin q_2 S_{24}(q_1, k) \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \sin k \cos q_2 C_{34}(q_1, q_2) + \sin k \sin q_2 S_{34}(q_1, q_2) \]
B. Four $s = 0$ bosons in 1D

Utilizing the definitions, Eqs. (25)-(27), the four-boson wave function is expressed from the Schrödinger equation, Eq. (21), as follows

$$
\Psi_s(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) = U \frac{A(q_3, q_4) + A(q_2, q_4) + A(q_2, q_3) + A(q_1, q_4) + A(q_1, q_3) + A(q_1, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)}
- V \frac{(\cos q_1 + \cos q_2) C(q_3, q_4) + (\sin q_1 + \sin q_2) S(q_3, q_4)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)}
- V \frac{(\cos q_1 + \cos q_3) C(q_2, q_4) + (\sin q_1 + \sin q_3) S(q_2, q_4)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)}
- V \frac{(\cos q_1 + \cos q_4) C(q_2, q_3) + (\sin q_1 + \sin q_4) S(q_2, q_3)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)}
- V \frac{(\cos q_2 + \cos q_1) C(q_1, q_4) + (\sin q_2 + \sin q_3) S(q_1, q_4)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)}
- V \frac{(\cos q_2 + \cos q_4) C(q_1, q_3) + (\sin q_2 + \sin q_4) S(q_1, q_3)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)}
- V \frac{(\cos q_3 + \cos q_4) C(q_1, q_2) + (\sin q_3 + \sin q_4) S(q_1, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)}. \tag{63}
$$

Substitution of Eq. (63) back into the definitions, Eqs. (25)-(27), leads to three coupled integral equations

$$
A(q_1, q_2) = U \sum_k \frac{A(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + A(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + A(q_1, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}
- \sum_k \frac{A(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + A(k, q_2) + A(k, q_1)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}
- \sum_k \frac{[\cos k + \cos q_1] C(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + [\sin k + \sin q_2] S(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) + \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}
- \sum_k \frac{[\cos k + \cos q_2] C(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + [\sin k + \sin q_2] S(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) + \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}
- \sum_k \frac{[\cos k + \cos (P - q_1 - q_2 - k)] C(q_1, q_2) + [\sin k + \sin (P - q_1 - q_2 - k)] S(q_1, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(k) + \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}
- \sum_k \frac{[\cos q_1 + \cos k] C(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + [\sin q_1 + \sin k] S(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}
- \sum_k \frac{[\cos q_1 + \cos (P - q_1 - q_2 - k)] C(k, q_2) + [\sin q_1 + \sin (P - q_1 - q_2 - k)] S(k, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}
- \sum_k \frac{[\cos q_2 + \cos (P - q_1 - q_2 - k)] C(k, q_1) + [\sin q_2 + \sin (P - q_1 - q_2 - k)] S(k, q_1)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}. \tag{64}
$$
\[ C(q_1, q_2) = \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \cos k A(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k A(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k A(q_1, q_2) \]
\[ \quad + \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \cos k A(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k A(k, q_2) + \cos k A(k, q_1) \]
\[ \quad - \frac{V}{N} \sum_k \cos k [\cos + \cos q_1] C(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k [\sin + \sin q_1] S(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ \quad - \frac{V}{N} \sum_k \cos k [\cos + \cos q_2] C(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k [\sin + \sin q_2] S(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ \quad - \frac{V}{N} \sum_k \cos k [\cos + \cos (P - q_1 - q_2 - k)] C(q_1, q_2) + \cos k [\sin q_1 + \sin (P - q_1 - q_2 - k)] S(q_1, q_2) \]
\[ \quad - \frac{V}{N} \sum_k \cos k [\cos q_2 + \cos (P - q_1 - q_2 - k)] C(k, q_1) + \cos k [\sin q_2 + \sin (P - q_1 - q_2 - k)] S(k, q_1) . \] (65)

\[ S(q_1, q_2) = \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \sin k A(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k A(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k A(q_1, q_2) \]
\[ \quad + \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \sin k A(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k A(k, q_2) + \sin k A(k, q_1) \]
\[ \quad - \frac{V}{N} \sum_k \sin k [\cos + \cos q_1] C(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k [\sin + \sin q_1] S(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ \quad - \frac{V}{N} \sum_k \sin k [\cos + \cos q_2] C(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k [\sin + \sin q_2] S(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ \quad - \frac{V}{N} \sum_k \sin k [\cos + \cos (P - q_1 - q_2 - k)] C(q_1, q_2) + \sin k [\sin q_1 + \sin (P - q_1 - q_2 - k)] S(q_1, q_2) \]
\[ \quad - \frac{V}{N} \sum_k \sin k [\cos q_2 + \cos (P - q_1 - q_2 - k)] C(k, q_1) + \sin k [\sin q_2 + \sin (P - q_1 - q_2 - k)] S(k, q_1) . \] (66)
C. Four $s = 0$ bosons in 2D

Based on the form of Eq. (21) after summation over $b = \pm x, \pm y$, we define 5 auxiliary functions:

\begin{align*}
A(q_1, q_2) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \Psi_s(k, q_1, q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k), \\
C_x(q_1, q_2) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \cos(q_x) \Psi_s(k, q_1, q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k), \\
S_x(q_1, q_2) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \sin(q_x) \Psi_s(k, q_1, q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k), \\
C_y(q_1, q_2) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \cos(q_y) \Psi_s(k, q_1, q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k), \\
S_y(q_1, q_2) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \sin(q_y) \Psi_s(k, q_1, q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k).
\end{align*}

The four-boson wave function is expressed from the Schrödinger equation, Eq. (21):

\begin{align*}
\Psi_s(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) &= U \frac{A(q_3, q_4) + A(q_2, q_4) + A(q_2, q_3) + A(q_1, q_4) + A(q_1, q_3) + A(q_1, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)} \\
&- V \left( \cos(q_{1x} + q_{2x}) C_x(q_3, q_4) + (\sin q_{1x} + \sin q_{2x}) S_x(q_3, q_4) \right) \\
&- V \left( \cos(q_{1y} + q_{2y}) C_y(q_3, q_4) + (\sin q_{1y} + \sin q_{2y}) S_y(q_3, q_4) \right) \\
&- V \left( \cos(q_{1x} + q_{3x}) C_x(q_2, q_4) + (\sin q_{1x} + \sin q_{3x}) S_x(q_2, q_4) \right) \\
&- V \left( \cos(q_{1y} + q_{3y}) C_y(q_2, q_4) + (\sin q_{1y} + \sin q_{3y}) S_y(q_2, q_4) \right) \\
&- V \left( \cos(q_{1x} + q_{4x}) C_x(q_2, q_3) + (\sin q_{1x} + \sin q_{4x}) S_x(q_2, q_3) \right) \\
&- V \left( \cos(q_{1y} + q_{4y}) C_y(q_2, q_3) + (\sin q_{1y} + \sin q_{4y}) S_y(q_2, q_3) \right) \\
&- V \left( \cos(q_{2x} + q_{3x}) C_x(q_1, q_4) + (\sin q_{2x} + \sin q_{3x}) S_x(q_1, q_4) \right) \\
&- V \left( \cos(q_{2y} + q_{3y}) C_y(q_1, q_4) + (\sin q_{2y} + \sin q_{3y}) S_y(q_1, q_4) \right) \\
&- V \left( \cos(q_{2x} + q_{4x}) C_x(q_1, q_3) + (\sin q_{2x} + \sin q_{4x}) S_x(q_1, q_3) \right) \\
&- V \left( \cos(q_{2y} + q_{4y}) C_y(q_1, q_3) + (\sin q_{2y} + \sin q_{4y}) S_y(q_1, q_3) \right) \\
&- V \left( \cos(q_{3x} + q_{4x}) C_x(q_1, q_2) + (\sin q_{3x} + \sin q_{4x}) S_x(q_1, q_2) \right) \\
&- V \left( \cos(q_{3y} + q_{4y}) C_y(q_1, q_2) + (\sin q_{3y} + \sin q_{4y}) S_y(q_1, q_2) \right). 
\end{align*}
Substitution of Eq. (72) in Eq. (67) yields the first of 5 integral equations

\[ A(q_1, q_2) = \frac{U}{N} \sum_k f(k) A(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + f(k) A(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + f(k) A(q_1, q_2) \]

\[ + \frac{U}{N} \sum_k f(k) A(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + f(k) A(k, q_2) + f(k) A(k, q_1) \]

\[ - \frac{V}{N} \sum_k f(k) [\cos k_x + \cos q_{1x}] C_x(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + f(k) [\sin k_x + \sin q_{1x}] S_x(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]

\[ - \frac{V}{N} \sum_k f(k) [\cos k_y + \cos q_{1y}] C_y(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + f(k) [\sin k_y + \sin q_{1y}] S_y(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]

\[ - \frac{V}{N} \sum_k f(k) [\cos k_x + \cos q_{2x}] C_x(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + f(k) [\sin k_x + \sin q_{2x}] S_x(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]

\[ - \frac{V}{N} \sum_k f(k) [\cos k_y + \cos q_{2y}] C_y(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + f(k) [\sin k_y + \sin q_{2y}] S_y(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]

with \( f(k) = 1 \). The equations for \( C_x, S_x, C_y, \) and \( S_y \) are also described by Eq. (73) but with \( f(k) = \cos (k_x), \sin (k_x), \cos (k_y), \) and \( \sin (k_y) \), respectively.
D. Four $s = \frac{1}{2}$ fermions in 1D. $S = 2$

Starting with Eq. (30), we first sum over $b = \pm x$ to get a common factor of 2. Then we permute the arguments to bring the wave function to a common form $\Psi_2(k, q, q, q + q - k)$, and expand the cosines. Next, utilizing conservation of total momentum, we define 2 auxiliary functions

\begin{align*}
C(q_1, q_2) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \cos (k) \Psi_2(k, q_1, q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k), \\
S(q_1, q_2) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \sin (k) \Psi_2(k, q_1, q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k).
\end{align*}

Both functions are antisymmetric: $C(q_2, q_1) = -C(q_1, q_2)$ and $S(q_2, q_1) = -S(q_1, q_2)$. The wave function follows from Eq. (30)

\begin{align*}
\Psi_2(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) &= -V \frac{(\cos q_1 - \cos q_2) C(q_3, q_4) + (\sin q_1 - \sin q_2) S(q_3, q_4)}{E - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(q_3) - \epsilon(q_4)} \\
&- V \frac{(\cos q_1 - \cos q_3) C(q_2, q_4) + (\sin q_1 - \sin q_3) S(q_2, q_4)}{E - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(q_3) - \epsilon(q_4)} \\
&- V \frac{(\cos q_1 - \cos q_4) C(q_2, q_3) + (\sin q_1 - \sin q_4) S(q_2, q_3)}{E - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(q_3) - \epsilon(q_4)} \\
&- V \frac{(\cos q_2 - \cos q_3) C(q_1, q_4) + (\sin q_2 - \sin q_3) S(q_1, q_4)}{E - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(q_3) - \epsilon(q_4)} \\
&- V \frac{(\cos q_2 - \cos q_4) C(q_1, q_3) + (\sin q_2 - \sin q_4) S(q_1, q_3)}{E - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(q_3) - \epsilon(q_4)} \\
&- V \frac{(\cos q_3 - \cos q_4) C(q_1, q_2) + (\sin q_3 - \sin q_4) S(q_1, q_2)}{E - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(q_3) - \epsilon(q_4)}.
\end{align*}

Substitution of Eq. (76) in Eqs. (74) and (75) yields two coupled integral equations

\begin{align*}
C(q_1, q_2) &= -V \frac{\sum_k \cos k [\cos k - \cos q_1] C(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k [\sin k - \sin q_1] S(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \\
&- V \frac{\sum_k \cos k [\cos q_2 - \cos k] C(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k [\sin q_2 - \sin k] S(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \\
&- V \frac{\sum_k \cos k [\cos k - \cos (P - q_1 - q_2 - k)] C(q_1, q_2) + \cos k [\sin k - \sin (P - q_1 - q_2 - k)] S(q_1, q_2)}{E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \\
&- V \frac{\sum_k \cos k [\cos q_1 - \cos q_2] C(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k [\sin q_1 - \sin q_2] S(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \\
&- V \frac{\sum_k \cos k [\cos (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \cos q_1] C(k, q_2) + \cos k [\sin (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \sin q_1] S(k, q_2)}{E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \\
&- V \frac{\sum_k \cos k [\cos q_2 - \cos (P - q_1 - q_2 - k)] C(k, q_1) + \cos k [\sin q_2 - \sin (P - q_1 - q_2 - k)] S(k, q_1)}{E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}.
\end{align*}
\[ S(q_1, q_2) = -\frac{V}{N} \sum_k \sin k [\cos k - \cos q_1] C(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k [\sin k - \sin q_1] S(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[
\frac{V}{N} \sum_k \sin k [\cos q_2 - \cos k] C(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k [\sin q_2 - \sin k] S(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) 
\]
\[
\frac{V}{N} \sum_k \sin k [\cos q_1 - \cos q_2] C(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k [\sin q_1 - \sin q_2] S(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) 
\]
\[
\frac{V}{N} \sum_k \sin k [\cos (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \cos q_1] C(k, q_2) + \sin k [\sin (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \sin q_1] S(k, q_2) 
\]
\[
\frac{V}{N} \sum_k \sin k [\cos (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \cos q_1] C(k, q_1) + \sin k [\sin (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \sin q_1] S(k, q_1) 
\]
\[= \frac{V}{N} \sum_k \sin k [\cos q_2 - \cos (P - q_1 - q_2 - k)] C(k, q_1) + \sin k [\sin q_2 - \sin (P - q_1 - q_2 - k)] S(k, q_1), \] (78)

### E. Four \( s = \frac{1}{2} \) fermions in 2D. \( S = 2 \)

Starting with Eq. \( \text{(39)} \), we sum over \( b = \pm x, \pm y \), permute the arguments to bring the wave function to a common form \( \Psi_2(k, q, q, q + q - k) \), and expand the cosines. Utilizing conservation of total momentum, we define 4 auxiliary functions

\[ C_x(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \cos (k_x) \Psi_2(k, q_1, q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k), \] (79)
\[ S_x(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \sin (k_x) \Psi_2(k, q_1, q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k), \] (80)
\[ C_y(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \cos (k_y) \Psi_2(k, q_1, q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k), \] (81)
\[ S_y(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \sin (k_y) \Psi_2(k, q_1, q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k). \] (82)
All four functions are antisymmetric. For example, $S_x(q_2, q_1) = -S_x(q_1, q_2)$ and so on. The wave function follows from Eq. (30).
Substitution of Eq. (83) in Eq. (79) yields the first of 4 integral equations

\[ C_x(q_1, q_2) = \frac{f(k) \left[ \cos k_x - \cos q_{1x} \right] C_x(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + f(k) \left[ \sin k_x - \sin q_{1x} \right] S_x(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

\[ \frac{V}{N} \sum_{k} f(k) \left[ \cos k_y - \cos q_{1y} \right] C_y(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + f(k) \left[ \sin k_y - \sin q_{1y} \right] S_y(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]

\[ \frac{V}{N} \sum_{k} f(k) \left[ \cos q_{2x} - \cos k_x \right] C_x(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + f(k) \left[ \sin q_{2x} - \sin k_x \right] S_x(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]

\[ \frac{V}{N} \sum_{k} f(k) \left[ \cos q_{2y} - \cos k_y \right] C_y(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + f(k) \left[ \sin q_{2y} - \sin k_y \right] S_y(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]

\[ \frac{V}{N} \sum_{k} f(k) \left[ \cos k_x - \cos q_{1x} \right] C_x(q_1, q_2) + f(k) \left[ \sin k_x - \sin q_{1x} \right] S_x(q_1, q_2) \]

\[ \frac{V}{N} \sum_{k} f(k) \left[ \sin k_y - \sin q_{1y} - q_{2y} - k_y \right] S_y(q_1, q_2) \]

\[ \frac{V}{N} \sum_{k} f(k) \left[ \sin q_{1x} - \sin q_{2x} \right] C_x(q_2, k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + f(k) \left[ \sin q_{1x} - \sin q_{2x} \right] S_x(q_2, k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]

\[ \frac{V}{N} \sum_{k} f(k) \left[ \sin q_{1y} - \sin q_{2y} \right] C_y(q_2, k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + f(k) \left[ \sin q_{1y} - \sin q_{2y} \right] S_y(q_2, k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]

with \( f(k) = \cos(k_x) \). The equations for \( S_x \), \( C_y \), and \( S_y \) are also described by Eq. (83) but with \( f(k) = \sin(k_x) \), \( \cos(k_y) \), and \( \sin(k_y) \), respectively.
F. Four $s = \frac{1}{2}$ fermions in 1D. $S = 1$

Summation over $b = \pm x$ in Eq. (82) yields factors $2 \cos (k - q)$. The resulting Schrödinger equation requires 5 auxiliary functions

$$A_{14}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \Psi_1(k, q_1, q_2; P - q_1 - q_2 - k); \quad A_{14}(q_2, q_1) = -A_{14}(q_1, q_2), \quad (85)$$

$$C_{14}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \cos k \Psi_1(k, q_1, q_2; P - q_1 - q_2 - k); \quad C_{14}(q_2, q_1) = -C_{14}(q_1, q_2), \quad (86)$$

$$S_{14}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \sin k \Psi_1(k, q_1, q_2; P - q_1 - q_2 - k); \quad S_{14}(q_2, q_1) = -S_{14}(q_1, q_2), \quad (87)$$

$$C_{13}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \cos k \Psi_1(k, q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k; q_2), \quad (88)$$

$$S_{13}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \sin k \Psi_1(k, q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k; q_2). \quad (89)$$

Note that only the three \{14\} functions are $q_1 \leftrightarrow q_2$ antisymmetric, whereas the two \{13\} functions do not possess any definite symmetry. The wave function is expressed from Eq. (82) as follows

$$\Psi_1(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) = \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \frac{A_{14}(q_1, q_2) + A_{14}(q_2, q_3) + A_{14}(q_3, q_1)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)}$$

$$- 2V \frac{\cos q_1 C_{14}(q_2, q_3) + \sin q_1 S_{14}(q_2, q_3)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)}$$

$$- 2V \frac{\cos q_2 C_{14}(q_3, q_1) + \sin q_2 S_{14}(q_3, q_1)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)}$$

$$- 2V \frac{\cos q_3 C_{14}(q_1, q_2) + \sin q_3 S_{14}(q_1, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)}$$

$$- V \frac{(\cos q_2 - \cos q_1) C_{13}(q_3, q_4) + (\sin q_2 - \sin q_1) S_{13}(q_3, q_4)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)}$$

$$- V \frac{(\cos q_1 - \cos q_3) C_{13}(q_2, q_4) + (\sin q_1 - \sin q_3) S_{13}(q_2, q_4)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)}$$

$$- V \frac{(\cos q_3 - \cos q_2) C_{13}(q_1, q_4) + (\sin q_3 - \sin q_2) S_{13}(q_1, q_4)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)}. \quad (90)$$

Substitution of Eq. (90) into the definitions, Eqs. (85)-(89), yields a system of five integral equations

$$A_{14}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \frac{A_{14}(q_1, q_2) - A_{14}(k, q_2) + A_{14}(k, q_1)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}$$

$$- 2V \frac{\cos k C_{14}(q_1, q_2) - \cos q_1 C_{14}(k, q_2) + \cos q_2 C_{14}(k, q_1)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}$$

$$- 2V \frac{\sin k S_{14}(q_1, q_2) - \sin q_1 S_{14}(k, q_2) + \sin q_2 S_{14}(k, q_1)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}$$

$$- V \frac{[\cos q_1 - \cos k] C_{13}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + [\sin q_1 - \sin k] S_{13}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}$$

$$- V \frac{[\cos k - \cos q_2] C_{13}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + [\sin k - \sin q_2] S_{13}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}$$

$$- V \frac{[\cos q_2 - \cos q_1] C_{13}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + [\sin q_2 - \sin q_1] S_{13}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}, \quad (91)$$
\[ C_{14}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{U}{N} \sum_{k} \cos k A_{14}(q_1, q_2) - \cos k A_{14}(k, q_2) + \cos k A_{14}(k, q_1) \]
\[ E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \cos k \cos k C_{14}(q_1, q_2) - \cos k \cos q_1 C_{14}(k, q_2) + \cos k \cos q_2 C_{14}(k, q_1) \]
\[ E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \cos k \sin k S_{14}(q_1, q_2) - \cos k \sin q_1 S_{14}(k, q_2) + \cos k \sin q_2 S_{14}(k, q_1) \]
\[ E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ - \frac{V}{N} \sum_{k} \cos k \sin k S_{14}(q_1, q_2) - \cos k \sin q_1 S_{14}(k, q_2) + \cos k \sin q_2 S_{14}(k, q_1) \]
\[ E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ - \frac{V}{N} \sum_{k} \cos k \sin k S_{14}(q_1, q_2) - \cos k \sin q_1 S_{14}(k, q_2) + \cos k \sin q_2 S_{14}(k, q_1) \]
\[ E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ - \frac{V}{N} \sum_{k} \cos k \sin k S_{14}(q_1, q_2) - \cos k \sin q_1 S_{14}(k, q_2) + \cos k \sin q_2 S_{14}(k, q_1) \]
\[ E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ - \frac{V}{N} \sum_{k} \cos k \sin k S_{14}(q_1, q_2) - \cos k \sin q_1 S_{14}(k, q_2) + \cos k \sin q_2 S_{14}(k, q_1) \]
\[ E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ , \]
\[ (92) \]
\[ S_{14}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{U}{N} \sum_{k} \sin k A_{14}(q_1, q_2) - \sin k A_{14}(k, q_2) + \sin k A_{14}(k, q_1) \]
\[ E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \sin k \cos k C_{14}(q_1, q_2) - \sin k \cos q_1 C_{14}(k, q_2) + \sin k \cos q_2 C_{14}(k, q_1) \]
\[ E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \sin k \sin k S_{14}(q_1, q_2) - \sin k \sin q_1 S_{14}(k, q_2) + \sin k \sin q_2 S_{14}(k, q_1) \]
\[ E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ - \frac{V}{N} \sum_{k} \sin k \cos k C_{14}(q_1, q_2) - \sin k \cos q_1 C_{14}(k, q_2) + \sin k \cos q_2 C_{14}(k, q_1) \]
\[ E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ - \frac{V}{N} \sum_{k} \sin k \sin k S_{14}(q_1, q_2) - \sin k \sin q_1 S_{14}(k, q_2) + \sin k \sin q_2 S_{14}(k, q_1) \]
\[ E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ - \frac{V}{N} \sum_{k} \sin k \cos k C_{14}(q_1, q_2) - \sin k \cos q_1 C_{14}(k, q_2) + \sin k \cos q_2 C_{14}(k, q_1) \]
\[ E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ - \frac{V}{N} \sum_{k} \sin k \sin k S_{14}(q_1, q_2) - \sin k \sin q_1 S_{14}(k, q_2) + \sin k \sin q_2 S_{14}(k, q_1) \]
\[ E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ - \frac{V}{N} \sum_{k} \sin k \cos k C_{14}(q_1, q_2) - \sin k \cos q_1 C_{14}(k, q_2) + \sin k \cos q_2 C_{14}(k, q_1) \]
\[ E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ - \frac{V}{N} \sum_{k} \sin k \sin k S_{14}(q_1, q_2) - \sin k \sin q_1 S_{14}(k, q_2) + \sin k \sin q_2 S_{14}(k, q_1) \]
\[ E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ , \]
\[ (93) \]
\[ C_{13}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{U}{N} \sum_{k} \cos k A_{14}(k, q_1) + \cos k A_{14}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k A_{14}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, k) \]
\[ E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \cos k \cos k C_{14}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos k \sin k S_{14}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \cos k \cos q_1 C_{14}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, k) + \cos k \sin q_1 S_{14}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, k) \]
\[ E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \cos k \cos (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) C_{14}(k, q_1) + \cos k \sin (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) S_{14}(k, q_1) \]
\[ E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ - \frac{V}{N} \sum_{k} \cos k \cos (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) C_{14}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) + \cos k \sin (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) S_{14}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) \]
\[ E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ - \frac{V}{N} \sum_{k} \cos k \cos (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) C_{14}(q_1, q_2) + \cos k \sin (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) S_{14}(q_1, q_2) \]
\[ E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ - \frac{V}{N} \sum_{k} \cos k \cos (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \cos q_1 C_{14}(k, q_2) + \cos k \sin (P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) S_{14}(k, q_2) \]
\[ E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]
\[ , \]
\[ (94) \]
Summation over $b = \pm x, \pm y$ in Eq. [22] yields factors $2 \cos (k_x - q_x) + 2 \cos (k_y - q_y)$. Utilizing antisymmetry over the first three arguments, transform $\Psi_1$ in the $U$ terms and the second $V$ terms to a unified form $\Psi_1(k, q, q; P - q - q - k)$, and the first $V$ terms to $\Psi_1(k, q, P - q - q - k; q)$, where $P$ is the total momentum. Then, we introduce 9 auxiliary functions:

$$A_{14}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \sin k A_{14}(k, q_1) + \sin k A_{14}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k A_{14}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, k)$$

$$E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)$$

$$-2V \sum_k \sin k \cos k C_{14}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k \sin k S_{14}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)$$

$$-2V \sum_k \sin k \cos q_1 C_{14}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + \sin k \sin q_1 S_{14}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)$$

$$-2V \sum_k \sin k \cos (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) C_{14}(k, q_1) + \sin k \sin (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) S_{14}(k, q_1)$$

$$-V \sum_k \sin k [\cos q_1 - \cos k] C_{13}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) + \sin k [\sin q_1 - \sin k] S_{13}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2)$$

$$-V \sum_k \sin k [\cos k - \cos (P - q_1 - q_2 - k)] C_{13}(q_1, q_2) + \sin k [\sin k - \sin (P - q_1 - q_2 - k)] S_{13}(q_1, q_2)$$

$$-V \sum_k \sin k \cos (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \cos q_1] C_{13}(q_2, q_2) + \sin k [\sin (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \cos q_1] S_{13}(q_1, q_2)$$

$$-V \sum_k \sin k [\cos (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \cos q_1] C_{13}(k, q_2) + \sin k [\sin (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \cos q_1] S_{13}(k, q_2)$$

$$E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)$$


G. Four $s = \frac{1}{2}$ fermions in 2D. $S = 1$

$$A_{14}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \Psi_1(k, q_1, q_2; P - q_1 - q_2 - k) ; \quad A_{14}(q_2, q_1) = -A_{14}(q_1, q_2) ,$$

$$C_{x14}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \cos q_x \Psi_1(k, q_1, q_2; P - q_1 - q_2 - k) ; \quad C_{x14}(q_2, q_1) = -C_{x14}(q_1, q_2) ,$$

$$S_{x14}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \sin q_x \Psi_1(k, q_1, q_2; P - q_1 - q_2 - k) ; \quad S_{x14}(q_2, q_1) = -S_{x14}(q_1, q_2) ,$$

$$C_{y14}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \cos q_y \Psi_1(k, q_1, q_2; P - q_1 - q_2 - k) ; \quad C_{y14}(q_2, q_1) = -C_{y14}(q_1, q_2) ,$$

$$S_{y14}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \sin q_y \Psi_1(k, q_1, q_2; P - q_1 - q_2 - k) ; \quad S_{y14}(q_2, q_1) = -S_{y14}(q_1, q_2) ,$$

$$C_{z13}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \cos q_z \Psi_1(k, q_1, q_2; P - q_1 - q_2 - k; q_2) ,$$

$$S_{z13}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \sin q_z \Psi_1(k, q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k; q_2) ,$$

$$C_{y13}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \cos q_y \Psi_1(k, q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k; q_2) ,$$

$$S_{y13}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \sin q_y \Psi_1(k, q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k; q_2) .$$
Utilizing the above definitions and expanding the cosines, the wave function is expressed from Eq. (52)

\[
\Psi(q_1, q_2, q_3; q_4) = U \frac{A_{14}(q_2, q_3) + A_{14}(q_3, q_1) + A_{14}(q_1, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)} \\
-2V \cos q_{1x} C_{x14}(q_2, q_3) + \sin q_{1x} S_{x14}(q_2, q_3) + \cos q_{1y} C_{y14}(q_2, q_3) + \sin q_{1y} S_{y14}(q_2, q_3) \\
-2V \cos q_{2x} C_{x14}(q_3, q_1) + \sin q_{2x} S_{x14}(q_3, q_1) + \cos q_{2y} C_{y14}(q_3, q_1) + \sin q_{2y} S_{y14}(q_3, q_1) \\
-2V \cos q_{3x} C_{x14}(q_1, q_2) + \sin q_{3x} S_{x14}(q_1, q_2) + \cos q_{3y} C_{y14}(q_1, q_2) + \sin q_{3y} S_{y14}(q_1, q_2) \\
-2V \frac{(\cos q_{2x} - \cos q_{1x}) C_{x13}(q_3, q_4) + (\sin q_{2x} - \sin q_{1x}) S_{x13}(q_3, q_4)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)} \\
-2V \frac{(\cos q_{2y} - \cos q_{1y}) C_{y13}(q_3, q_4) + (\sin q_{2y} - \sin q_{1y}) S_{y13}(q_3, q_4)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)} \\
-2V \frac{(\cos q_{1x} - \cos q_{3x}) C_{x13}(q_2, q_4) + (\sin q_{1x} - \sin q_{3x}) S_{x13}(q_2, q_4)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)} \\
-2V \frac{(\cos q_{1y} - \cos q_{3y}) C_{y13}(q_2, q_4) + (\sin q_{1y} - \sin q_{3y}) S_{y13}(q_2, q_4)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)} \\
-2V \frac{(\cos q_{3x} - \cos q_{2x}) C_{x13}(q_1, q_4) + (\sin q_{3x} - \sin q_{2x}) S_{x13}(q_1, q_4)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)} \\
-2V \frac{(\cos q_{3y} - \cos q_{2y}) C_{y13}(q_1, q_4) + (\sin q_{3y} - \sin q_{2y}) S_{y13}(q_1, q_4)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)}.
\]

Substitution of Eq. (105) in Eqs. (106)-(109) produces nine coupled equations. The first five equations for the \(\{14\}\) functions differ only by the form of \(f(k)\) under the sum. It is therefore sufficient to present only the first equation for
\[ A_{14}(q_1, q_2) = \begin{align*}
&\frac{U}{N} \sum_{k} f(k) A_{14}(q_1, q_2) + f(k) A_{14}(q_2, k) + f(k) A_{14}(k, q_1) \\
&- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} f(k) \cos k_x C_{x14}(q_1, q_2) + f(k) \sin k_x S_{x14}(q_1, q_2) \\
&- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} f(k) \cos k_y C_{y14}(q_1, q_2) + f(k) \sin k_y S_{y14}(q_1, q_2) \\
&- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} f(k) \cos q_1 \cos k_x C_{x14}(q_2, k) + f(k) \sin q_1 \sin k_x S_{x14}(q_2, k) \\
&- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} f(k) \cos q_1 \cos k_y C_{y14}(q_2, k) + f(k) \sin q_1 \sin k_y S_{y14}(q_2, k) \\
&- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} f(k) \cos q_2 \cos k_x C_{x14}(k, q_1) + f(k) \sin q_2 \sin k_x S_{x14}(k, q_1) \\
&- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} f(k) \cos q_2 \cos k_y C_{y14}(q_2, k) + f(k) \sin q_2 \sin k_y S_{y14}(q_2, k) \\
&- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} f(k) \cos q_1 \cos q_2 \cos k_x C_{x14}(k, q_1) + f(k) \sin q_1 \sin q_2 \sin k_x S_{x14}(k, q_1) \\
&- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} f(k) \cos q_1 \cos q_2 \cos k_y C_{y14}(q_2, k) + f(k) \sin q_1 \sin q_2 \sin k_y S_{y14}(q_2, k)
\end{align*} \]

where \( f(k) = 1 \). The equations for \( C_{x14}, S_{x14}, C_{y14}, \) and \( S_{y14} \) have the same right-hand-side as Eq. (106) but with \( f(k) = \cos k_x, \sin k_y, \cos k_y, \) and \( \sin k_y \), respectively.

Likewise, all four equations for the \( \{13\} \) functions are similar in the same way. We present only the equation for
\[ C_{x13}(q_1, q_2) = \sum_{k} \left( \frac{U}{N} f(k) A_{14}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + f(k) A_{14}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, k) + f(k) A_{14}(k, q_1) }{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \right) \]

\[ -2V \sum_{k} \left( \frac{f(k) \cos k_x C_{x14}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + f(k) \sin k_x S_{x14}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) }{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \right) \]

\[ -2V \sum_{k} \left( \frac{f(k) \cos k_y C_{y14}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + f(k) \sin k_y S_{y14}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) }{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \right) \]

\[ -2V \sum_{k} \left( \frac{f(k) \cos q_{1x} C_{x14}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, k) + f(k) \sin q_{1x} S_{x14}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, k) }{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \right) \]

\[ -2V \sum_{k} \left( \frac{f(k) \cos q_{1y} C_{y14}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, k) + f(k) \sin q_{1y} S_{y14}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, k) }{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \right) \]

\[ -2V \sum_{k} \left( \frac{f(k) \cos (P_x - q_{1x} - q_{2x} - k_x) C_{x14}(k, q_1) + f(k) \sin (P_x - q_{1x} - q_{2x} - k_x) S_{x14}(k, q_1) }{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \right) \]

\[ -2V \sum_{k} \left( \frac{f(k) \cos (P_y - q_{1y} - q_{2y} - k_y) C_{y14}(k, q_1) + f(k) \sin (P_y - q_{1y} - q_{2y} - k_y) S_{y14}(k, q_1) }{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \right) \]

where \( f(k) = \cos k_x \). The equations for \( S_{x13}, C_{y13}, \) and \( S_{y13} \) have the same right-hand-side as Eq. (107) but with \( f(k) = \sin k_x, \cos k_y, \) and \( \sin k_y \), respectively.
Summation over $b = \pm \mathbf{x}$ in Eq. [54] yields terms like $2 \cos (k - q)$, etc. One needs to introduce 7 auxiliary functions:

$$A_{13}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k} \psi_0(k, q_1; P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2),$$

(108)

$$C_{12}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k} \cos k \psi_0(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k; q_1, q_2); \quad C_{12}(q_2, q_1) = -C_{12}(q_1, q_2),$$

(109)

$$S_{12}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k} \sin k \psi_0(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k; q_1, q_2); \quad S_{12}(q_2, q_1) = -S_{12}(q_1, q_2),$$

(110)

$$C_{13}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k} \cos k \psi_0(k, q_1; P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2),$$

(111)

$$S_{13}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k} \sin k \psi_0(k, q_1; P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2),$$

(112)

$$C_{34}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k} \cos k \psi_0(q_1, q_2; k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k); \quad C_{34}(q_2, q_1) = -C_{34}(q_1, q_2),$$

(113)

$$S_{34}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k} \sin k \psi_0(q_1, q_2; k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k); \quad S_{34}(q_2, q_1) = -S_{34}(q_1, q_2).$$

(114)

Expanding the cosines in Eq. [34], the wave function is expressed as follows:

$$\psi_0(q_1, q_2; q_3, q_4) = U \frac{A_{13}(q_2, q_4) - A_{13}(q_2, q_3) - A_{13}(q_1, q_4) + A_{13}(q_1, q_3)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)}$$

(115)

$$- V \frac{(\cos q_1 - \cos q_2) C_{12}(q_3, q_4) + (\sin q_1 - \sin q_2) S_{12}(q_3, q_4)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)}$$

$$- 2V \frac{\cos q_1 C_{13}(q_2, q_4) + \sin q_1 S_{13}(q_2, q_4) - \cos q_1 C_{13}(q_2, q_4) - \sin q_1 S_{13}(q_2, q_4)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)}$$

$$- 2V \frac{- \cos q_2 C_{13}(q_1, q_4) - \sin q_2 S_{13}(q_1, q_4) + \cos q_2 C_{13}(q_1, q_3) + \sin q_2 S_{13}(q_1, q_3)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)}$$

$$- V \frac{(\cos q_3 - \cos q_4) C_{34}(q_1, q_2) + (\sin q_3 - \sin q_4) S_{34}(q_1, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)}.$$
where \( f(k) = \cos k \). The equation for \( S_{12} \) has the same right-hand-side but with \( f(k) = \sin k \).

The second group includes equations for \( A_{13}, C_{13}, \) and \( S_{13} \). The first one reads:

\[
A_{13}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{U}{N} \sum_k f(k) A_{13}(q_1, q_2) - f(k) A_{13}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - f(k) A_{13}(k, q_2) + f(k) A_{13}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \\
- \frac{V}{N} \sum_k f(k) [\cos k - \cos q_1] C_{12}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + f(k) [\sin k - \sin q_1] S_{12}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \\
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k f(k) \cos k C_{13}(q_1, q_2) + f(k) \sin k S_{13}(q_1, q_2) \\
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k f(k) \cos k C_{13}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - f(k) \sin k S_{13}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \\
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k f(k) \cos q_1 C_{13}(k, q_2) - f(k) \sin q_1 S_{13}(k, q_2) \\
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k f(k) \cos q_1 C_{13}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + f(k) \sin q_1 S_{13}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \\
- \frac{V}{N} \sum_k f(k) [\cos (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \cos q_2] C_{34}(k, q_1) + f(k) [\sin (P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - \sin q_2] S_{34}(k, q_1),
\]

(117)

where \( f(k) = 1 \). The equations for \( C_{13} \) and \( S_{13} \) have the same right-hand-side as Eq. (117), but with \( f(k) = \cos k \) and \( f(k) = \sin k \), respectively.

Finally, the third group includes equations for \( C_{34} \) and \( S_{34} \). The \( C_{34} \) equation reads

\[
C_{34}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{U}{N} \sum_k f(k) A_{13}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - f(k) A_{13}(q_2, k) - f(k) A_{13}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + f(k) A_{13}(q_1, k) \\
- \frac{V}{N} \sum_k f(k) [\cos q_1 - \cos q_2] C_{12}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + f(k) [\sin q_1 - \sin q_2] S_{12}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \\
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k f(k) \cos q_1 C_{13}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + f(k) \sin q_1 S_{13}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \\
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k f(k) \cos q_1 C_{13}(q_2, k) - f(k) \sin q_1 S_{13}(q_2, k) \\
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k f(k) \cos q_2 C_{13}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - f(k) \sin q_2 S_{13}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \\
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k f(k) \cos q_2 C_{13}(q_1, k) + f(k) \sin q_2 S_{13}(q_1, k) \\
- \frac{V}{N} \sum_k f(k) [\cos k - \cos (P - q_1 - q_2 - k)] C_{34}(q_1, q_2) + f(k) [\sin k - \sin (P - q_1 - q_2 - k)] S_{34}(q_1, q_2),
\]

(118)

where \( f(k) = \cos k \). The equation for \( S_{34} \) has the same right-hand-side but with \( f(k) = \sin k \).
I. Four \( s = \frac{1}{2} \) fermions in 2D. \( S = 0 \)

Starting with Eq. (62), we first sum over nearest neighbor vectors \( \mathbf{b} = \pm \mathbf{x}_1, \pm \mathbf{y} \) to get factors \( 2 \cos (k_x - q_x) + 2 \cos (k_y - q_y) \). Then, we introduce 13 auxiliary functions

\[
A_{13}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k} \Psi_0(k, q_1; P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2), \quad (119)
\]

\[
C_{x12}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k} \cos q_x \Psi_0(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k; q_1, q_2); \quad C_{x12}(q_2, q_1) = -C_{x12}(q_1, q_2), \quad (120)
\]

\[
S_{x12}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k} \sin q_x \Psi_0(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k; q_1, q_2); \quad S_{x12}(q_2, q_1) = -S_{x12}(q_1, q_2), \quad (121)
\]

\[
C_{y12}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k} \cos q_y \Psi_0(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k; q_1, q_2); \quad C_{y12}(q_2, q_1) = -C_{y12}(q_1, q_2), \quad (122)
\]

\[
S_{y12}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k} \sin q_y \Psi_0(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k; q_1, q_2); \quad S_{y12}(q_2, q_1) = -S_{y12}(q_1, q_2), \quad (123)
\]

\[
C_{z13}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k} \cos q_z \Psi_0(k, q_1; P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2), \quad (124)
\]

\[
S_{z13}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k} \sin q_z \Psi_0(k, q_1; P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2), \quad (125)
\]

\[
C_{y13}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k} \cos q_y \Psi_0(k, q_1; P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2), \quad (126)
\]

\[
S_{y13}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k} \sin q_y \Psi_0(k, q_1; P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2), \quad (127)
\]

\[
C_{z34}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k} \cos q_z \Psi_0(q_1, q_2; k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k); \quad C_{z34}(q_2, q_1) = -C_{z34}(q_1, q_2), \quad (128)
\]

\[
S_{z34}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k} \sin q_z \Psi_0(q_1, q_2; k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k); \quad S_{z34}(q_2, q_1) = -S_{z34}(q_1, q_2), \quad (129)
\]

\[
C_{y34}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k} \cos q_y \Psi_0(q_1, q_2; k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k); \quad C_{y34}(q_2, q_1) = -C_{y34}(q_1, q_2), \quad (130)
\]

\[
S_{y34}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k} \sin q_y \Psi_0(q_1, q_2; k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k); \quad S_{y34}(q_2, q_1) = -S_{y34}(q_1, q_2). \quad (131)
\]
With these definitions, the wave function is expressed from Eq. (53) as follows

\[
\Psi_0(q_1, q_2; q_3, q_4) = U \frac{A_{13}(q_2, q_4) - A_{13}(q_2, q_3) - A_{13}(q_1, q_4) + A_{13}(q_1, q_3)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)} \\
- V \frac{(\cos q_1 x - \cos q_2 x) C_{x12}(q_3, q_4) + (\sin q_1 x - \sin q_2 x) S_{x12}(q_3, q_4)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)} \\
- V \frac{(\cos q_3 x - \cos q_4 x) C_{x34}(q_1, q_2) + (\sin q_3 x - \sin q_4 x) S_{x34}(q_1, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(q_3) - \varepsilon(q_4)}
\]
Substitution of Eq. (132) in Eqs. (113)–(131) yields a system of 13 coupled integral equations that can be split in three groups. The first group includes the equations for $C_{x12}$, $S_{x12}$, $C_{y12}$, and $S_{y12}$. The equation for $C_{x12}$ reads

$$C_{x12}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{U}{N} \sum_k \frac{f(k) A_{13}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) - f(k) A_{13}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_1)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}$$

$$+ \frac{V}{N} \sum_k \frac{-f(k) A_{13}(q_1, q_2) + f(k) A_{13}(k, q_1)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}$$

$$- \frac{V}{N} \sum_k \frac{f(k)[\cos k_x - \cos(P_x - q_1x - q_2x - k_x)] C_{x12}(q_1, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}$$

$$- \frac{V}{N} \sum_k \frac{f(k)[\sin k_x - \sin(P_x - q_1x - q_2x - k_x)] S_{x12}(q_1, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}$$

$$- \frac{V}{N} \sum_k \frac{f(k)[\cos k_y - \cos(P_y - q_1y - q_2y - k_y)] C_{y12}(q_1, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}$$

$$- \frac{V}{N} \sum_k \frac{f(k)[\sin k_y - \sin(P_y - q_1y - q_2y - k_y)] S_{y12}(q_1, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}$$

$$+ \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \frac{f(k) \cos k_x C_{x13}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) + f(k) \sin k_x S_{x13}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}$$

$$+ \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \frac{f(k) \cos k_y C_{y13}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2) + f(k) \sin k_y S_{y13}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}$$

$$+ \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \frac{-f(k) \cos k_x C_{x13}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_1) - f(k) \sin k_x S_{x13}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_1)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}$$

$$+ \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \frac{-f(k) \cos k_y C_{y13}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_1) - f(k) \sin k_y S_{y13}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_1)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}$$

$$+ \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \frac{-f(k) \cos(P - q_1x - q_2x - k_x) C_{x13}(k, q_2) + f(k) \sin(P - q_1x - q_2x - k_x) S_{x13}(k, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}$$

$$+ \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \frac{-f(k) \cos(P - q_1y - q_2y - k_y) C_{y13}(k, q_2) + f(k) \sin(P - q_1y - q_2y - k_y) S_{y13}(k, q_2)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}$$

$$+ \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \frac{f(k) \cos(P - q_1y - q_2y - k_y) C_{y13}(k, q_1) + f(k) \sin(P - q_1y - q_2y - k_y) S_{y13}(k, q_1)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}$$

$$+ \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \frac{f(k) \cos q_1x - \cos q_2x) C_{x34}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}$$

$$+ \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \frac{f(k) q_1x - \sin q_2x) S_{x34}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}$$

$$+ \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \frac{f(k) q_1y - \cos q_2y) C_{y34}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}$$

$$+ \frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \frac{f(k) q_1y - \sin q_2y) S_{y34}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}$$

(133)

where $f(k) = \cos k_x$, the equations for $S_{x12}$, $C_{y12}$, and $S_{y12}$ have the same right-hand-side as Eq. (133) but with $f(k) = \sin k_x$, $f(k) = \cos k_y$, and $f(k) = \sin k_y$, respectively.
\[ A_{13}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{U}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{f(k) A_{13}(q_1, q_2) - f(k) A_{13}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

\[ + \frac{U}{N} \sum_{k} -f(k) A_{13}(q_1, q_2) + f(k) A_{13}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \]

\[ - \frac{V}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{f(k) (\cos k_x - \cos q_{1x}) C_{x12}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2)}{E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

\[ - \frac{V}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{f(k) (\sin k_x - \sin q_{1x}) S_{x12}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2)}{E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

\[ - \frac{V}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{f(k) (\cos k_y - \cos q_{1y}) C_{y12}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2)}{E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

\[ - \frac{V}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{f(k) (\sin k_y - \sin q_{1y}) S_{y12}(P - q_1 - q_2 - k, q_2)}{E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{f(k) \cos k_x C_{x13}(q_1, q_2) + f(k) \sin k_x S_{x13}(q_1, q_2)}{E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{f(k) \cos k_y C_{y13}(q_1, q_2) + f(k) \sin k_y S_{y13}(q_1, q_2)}{E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{-f(k) \cos q_{1x} C_{x13}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - f(k) \sin q_{1x} S_{x13}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{-f(k) \cos q_{1y} C_{y13}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - f(k) \sin q_{1y} S_{y13}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{-f(k) \cos q_{1x} C_{x13}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - f(k) \sin q_{1x} S_{x13}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

\[ - \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{f(k) \cos q_{1y} C_{y13}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + f(k) \sin q_{1y} S_{y13}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \epsilon(k) - \epsilon(q_1) - \epsilon(q_2) - \epsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)} \]

where \( f(k) \equiv 1 \). The equations for \( C_{x13}, S_{x13}, C_{y13}, \) and \( S_{y13} \) have the same right-hand-side as Eq. (134) but with \( f(k) = \cos k_x, f(k) = \sin k_x, f(k) = \cos k_y, \) and \( f(k) = \sin k_y, \) respectively.
The third group includes the equations for $C_{x34}$, $S_{x34}$, $C_{y34}$, and $S_{y34}$. The equation for $C_{x34}$ reads

\[
C_{x34}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{U}{N} \sum_{k} f(k) A_{13}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - f(k) A_{13}(q_2, k) \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}
\]

\[
+ \frac{U}{N} \sum_{k} f(k) A_{13}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + f(k) A_{13}(q_1, k) \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}
\]

\[
- \frac{V}{N} \sum_{k} f(k) (\cos q_{1x} - \cos q_{2x}) C_{x12}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}
\]

\[
- \frac{V}{N} \sum_{k} f(k) (\sin q_{1x} - \sin q_{2x}) S_{x12}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}
\]

\[
+ \frac{V}{N} \sum_{k} f(k) (\cos q_{1y} - \cos q_{2y}) C_{y12}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}
\]

\[
- \frac{V}{N} \sum_{k} f(k) (\sin q_{1y} - \sin q_{2y}) S_{y12}(k, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}
\]

\[
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} f(k) \cos q_{1x} C_{x13}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + f(k) \sin q_{1x} S_{x13}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}
\]

\[
+ \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} f(k) \cos q_{1y} C_{y13}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) + f(k) \sin q_{1y} S_{y13}(q_2, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}
\]

\[
+ \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} f(k) \cos q_{2x} C_{x13}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - f(k) \sin q_{2x} S_{x13}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}
\]

\[
+ \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} f(k) \cos q_{2y} C_{y13}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) - f(k) \sin q_{2y} S_{y13}(q_1, P - q_1 - q_2 - k) \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}
\]

\[
- \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} f(k) \cos q_{2x} C_{x13}(q_1, k) + f(k) \sin q_{2x} S_{x13}(q_1, k) \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}
\]

\[
+ \frac{2V}{N} \sum_{k} f(k) \cos q_{2y} C_{y13}(q_1, k) + f(k) \sin q_{2y} S_{y13}(q_1, k) \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}
\]

\[
+ \frac{V}{N} \sum_{k} f(k) [\cos k_x - \cos (P_x - q_{1x} - q_{2x} - k_x)] C_{x34}(q_1, q_2) \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}
\]

\[
+ \frac{V}{N} \sum_{k} f(k) [\sin k_x - \sin (P_x - q_{1x} - q_{2x} - k_x)] S_{x34}(q_1, q_2) \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}
\]

\[
+ \frac{V}{N} \sum_{k} f(k) [\cos k_y - \cos (P_y - q_{1y} - q_{2y} - k_y)] C_{y34}(q_1, q_2) \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}
\]

\[
+ \frac{V}{N} \sum_{k} f(k) [\sin k_y - \sin (P_y - q_{1y} - q_{2y} - k_y)] S_{y34}(q_1, q_2) \frac{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}{E - \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(q_1) - \varepsilon(q_2) - \varepsilon(P - q_1 - q_2 - k)}
\] \tag{135}

where $f(k) = \cos k_x$. The equations for $S_{x34}$, $C_{y34}$, and $S_{y34}$ have the same right-hand-side as Eq. (134) but with $f(k) = \sin k_x$, $f(k) = \cos k_y$, and $f(k) = \sin k_y$, respectively.
J. Quad threshold values. Bosons in 1D

Table IV contains numerical values of quad threshold $V_4$ in the 1D Bose-UV model. The $U = 10t$ and $U = 15t$ values are plotted in Fig. 6 of the main text. The $N = \infty$ values are plotted in Fig. 8 of the main text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$U/t$</th>
<th>$N = 12$</th>
<th>$N = 16$</th>
<th>$N = 24$</th>
<th>$N = 40$</th>
<th>$N = 64$</th>
<th>$N = \infty$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.67978759</td>
<td>0.67612518</td>
<td>0.6720458</td>
<td>0.67014501</td>
<td>0.66877532</td>
<td>0.66588022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.02817626</td>
<td>1.01974822</td>
<td>1.01222705</td>
<td>1.00683837</td>
<td>1.00405731</td>
<td>0.99976860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.23405810</td>
<td>1.22362104</td>
<td>1.21442420</td>
<td>1.20800341</td>
<td>1.20471282</td>
<td>1.19973379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.36847607</td>
<td>1.35763258</td>
<td>1.34816455</td>
<td>1.34151708</td>
<td>1.33814361</td>
<td>1.33306700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.46284765</td>
<td>1.45226239</td>
<td>1.44303076</td>
<td>1.43653522</td>
<td>1.43326507</td>
<td>1.42832728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.60892578</td>
<td>1.5973220</td>
<td>1.5868310</td>
<td>1.58609272</td>
<td>1.58311676</td>
<td>1.57857036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.69251171</td>
<td>1.68464892</td>
<td>1.67773486</td>
<td>1.67283544</td>
<td>1.67032440</td>
<td>1.66651501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.7845640</td>
<td>1.77859973</td>
<td>1.7731787</td>
<td>1.76954504</td>
<td>1.76759368</td>
<td>1.76461773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.8319140</td>
<td>1.82924274</td>
<td>1.82518408</td>
<td>1.82213818</td>
<td>1.82055267</td>
<td>1.81812714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.86522705</td>
<td>1.8612785</td>
<td>1.85773974</td>
<td>1.85519128</td>
<td>1.85385055</td>
<td>1.85181436</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE IV.** Quad threshold $V_4$ in the 1D Bose-UV model as a function of $U$ and linear chain size $N$.

K. Quad threshold values. Fermions in 1D

Table V contains numerical values of quad threshold $V_4$ in the 1D Fermi-UV model. The $N = \infty$ values are plotted in Fig. 8 of the main text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$U/t$</th>
<th>$N = 24$</th>
<th>$N = 32$</th>
<th>$N = 40$</th>
<th>$N = 48$</th>
<th>$N = 56$</th>
<th>$N = 60$</th>
<th>$N = 64$</th>
<th>$N = \infty$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.88211547</td>
<td>0.85984001</td>
<td>0.85564994</td>
<td>0.8507316</td>
<td>0.852189</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.21607065</td>
<td>1.16893796</td>
<td>1.14527320</td>
<td>1.13458999</td>
<td>1.081174</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.43055373</td>
<td>1.3834580</td>
<td>1.3544101</td>
<td>1.3362863</td>
<td>1.247719</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.56603403</td>
<td>1.51953604</td>
<td>1.49149818</td>
<td>1.47287612</td>
<td>1.379766</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.65504789</td>
<td>1.61142616</td>
<td>1.58500526</td>
<td>1.56714515</td>
<td>1.477845</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.71805805</td>
<td>1.67699165</td>
<td>1.65216560</td>
<td>1.63513539</td>
<td>1.551064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.81611190</td>
<td>1.77985420</td>
<td>1.75806541</td>
<td>1.74332412</td>
<td>1.669618</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.83922004</td>
<td>1.81942634</td>
<td>1.80609588</td>
<td>1.739443</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.87543426</td>
<td>1.85933685</td>
<td>1.84697967</td>
<td>1.83121109</td>
<td>1.783905</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.88731109</td>
<td>1.87567047</td>
<td>1.8608181</td>
<td>1.816436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.91247665</td>
<td>1.90551257</td>
<td>1.89968902</td>
<td>1.861326</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.92647302</td>
<td>1.92392529</td>
<td>1.885709</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE V.** Quad threshold $V_4$ in the 1D Fermi-UV model as a function of $U$ and linear chain size $N$.

L. Quad threshold values. Bosons in 2D

Table VI contains numerical values of quad threshold $V_4$ in the 2D Bose-UV model at $P = (0, 0)$. The decay channel is $|4\rangle \to |22\rangle$. A parabolic fit $V_4(N_x) = V_{4\infty} + c \cdot N_x^{-2}$ was utilized to estimate $N_x = \infty$ limit values. The latter are plotted in Fig. 11 of the main text.

Table VII contains numerical values of trion threshold $V_3$ in the 2D Bose-UV model at $P = (0, 0)$. The decay channel is $|3\rangle \to |21\rangle$. A parabolic fit $V_3(N_x) = V_{3\infty} + c \cdot N_x^{-2}$ was utilized to estimate the $N_x = \infty$ limit values.
Some of $N_{3\infty}$ are plotted in Fig. 11 of the main text. The trion energies were computed by solving the trion integral equations developed earlier.  

M. Quad threshold values. Fermions in 2D

Table\textbf{ VIII} lists quad threshold values for total spin $S = 2$. Table\textbf{ IX} lists quad threshold values for total spin $S = 1$. Table\textbf{ X} lists quad threshold values for total spin $S = 0$. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$U/t$</th>
<th>$N_x = N_y = 6$</th>
<th>$N_x = N_y = 8$</th>
<th>$N_x = N_y = 10$</th>
<th>$N_x = N_y = \infty$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.22246818</td>
<td>0.22235984</td>
<td>0.22231025</td>
<td>0.22222209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.40109290</td>
<td>0.40067121</td>
<td>0.40042762</td>
<td>0.39999457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.54807853</td>
<td>0.54691200</td>
<td>0.54638175</td>
<td>0.54543908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.67085990</td>
<td>0.66898689</td>
<td>0.66657873</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.77494392</td>
<td>0.77238273</td>
<td>0.76908977</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.94161033</td>
<td>0.93787956</td>
<td>0.93308285</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.12189064</td>
<td>1.11701011</td>
<td>1.11073514</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.31682319</td>
<td>1.31115760</td>
<td>1.30387327</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.44122962</td>
<td>1.43547554</td>
<td>1.42807743</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.52740440</td>
<td>1.52183418</td>
<td>1.51467246</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.59050104</td>
<td>1.58530158</td>
<td>1.57850084</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.67701606</td>
<td>1.67233543</td>
<td>1.66631747</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.73333091</td>
<td>1.72918968</td>
<td>1.72386524</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.85756568</td>
<td>1.85503349</td>
<td>1.85177781</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE VI. Quad threshold $V_4$ in the 2D Bose-UV model as a function of $U$ and linear lattice size $N_x$. The decay channel is $[4] \rightarrow [22]$. 

Table\textbf{ VIII} lists quad threshold values for total spin $S = 2$. Table\textbf{ IX} lists quad threshold values for total spin $S = 1$. Table\textbf{ X} lists quad threshold values for total spin $S = 0$. 


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( U/t )</th>
<th>( N_x = N_y = 8 )</th>
<th>( N_x = N_y = 10 )</th>
<th>( N_x = N_y = 12 )</th>
<th>( N_x = N_y = \infty )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.22223014</td>
<td>0.22222785</td>
<td>0.22222633</td>
<td>0.22222286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.40003776</td>
<td>0.40002403</td>
<td>0.40001716</td>
<td>0.40000156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.54553489</td>
<td>0.54550666</td>
<td>0.54549140</td>
<td>0.54545673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.66679344</td>
<td>0.66674842</td>
<td>0.66672401</td>
<td>0.66666852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.76940269</td>
<td>0.76934089</td>
<td>0.76930809</td>
<td>0.76923353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.85735435</td>
<td>0.85727882</td>
<td>0.85723762</td>
<td>0.85714399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.93357963</td>
<td>0.93349133</td>
<td>0.93344383</td>
<td>0.93333632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.00027553</td>
<td>1.00017650</td>
<td>1.00012279</td>
<td>1.00000972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.05912284</td>
<td>1.05901527</td>
<td>1.05895729</td>
<td>1.05882551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.11142921</td>
<td>1.11131477</td>
<td>1.11125297</td>
<td>1.1111252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.23844947</td>
<td>1.23832206</td>
<td>1.23825340</td>
<td>1.23809734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.30471382</td>
<td>1.30458259</td>
<td>1.30451087</td>
<td>1.30434788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.38498725</td>
<td>1.38485374</td>
<td>1.38478126</td>
<td>1.38461653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.42894248</td>
<td>1.42880897</td>
<td>1.42873649</td>
<td>1.42857177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.48423576</td>
<td>1.48410453</td>
<td>1.48403358</td>
<td>1.48387232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.51551017</td>
<td>1.51538124</td>
<td>1.51531181</td>
<td>1.51514092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.57931175</td>
<td>1.57920188</td>
<td>1.57915077</td>
<td>1.57903459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.62838478</td>
<td>1.62835578</td>
<td>1.62840080</td>
<td>1.62850310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.66722831</td>
<td>1.66726570</td>
<td>1.66738548</td>
<td>1.66757771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.69874076</td>
<td>1.69883079</td>
<td>1.69901161</td>
<td>1.69942255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.72481727</td>
<td>1.72495155</td>
<td>1.72518272</td>
<td>1.72570811</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE VII. Trion threshold \( V_3 \) in the 2D Bose-UV model as a function of \( U \) and linear lattice size \( N_x \). The decay channel is \([3] \to [21] \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( U/t )</th>
<th>( N_x = N_y = 6 )</th>
<th>( N_x = N_y = 8 )</th>
<th>( N_x = N_y = 10 )</th>
<th>( N_x = N_y = \infty )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.23495798</td>
<td>4.23235755</td>
<td>4.23239784</td>
<td>4.23214946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.16412010</td>
<td>4.16136894</td>
<td>4.16119422</td>
<td>4.16088360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.10662269</td>
<td>4.10356407</td>
<td>4.10335433</td>
<td>4.10298145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.05961408</td>
<td>4.05627527</td>
<td>4.05602828</td>
<td>4.0558918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.02085123</td>
<td>4.01725950</td>
<td>4.01697723</td>
<td>4.01647541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.98859672</td>
<td>3.98477821</td>
<td>3.9846159</td>
<td>3.98389871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.93856315</td>
<td>3.93436164</td>
<td>3.93398246</td>
<td>3.93330836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.88740577</td>
<td>3.88277244</td>
<td>3.88231315</td>
<td>3.88149663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.83654565</td>
<td>3.83144216</td>
<td>3.83088340</td>
<td>3.82989004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.80696897</td>
<td>3.80157423</td>
<td>3.80094757</td>
<td>3.79983350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>3.78795127</td>
<td>3.78236503</td>
<td>3.78169914</td>
<td>3.7804755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.77481956</td>
<td>3.76909980</td>
<td>3.76838714</td>
<td>3.76712018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>3.75785264</td>
<td>3.75212840</td>
<td>3.75136688</td>
<td>3.7501306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>3.73931236</td>
<td>3.74182090</td>
<td>3.74102752</td>
<td>3.73961706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>3.70311670</td>
<td>3.72124185</td>
<td>3.72038215</td>
<td>3.71885379</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE VIII. \( S = 2 \) quad threshold \( V_4 \) in the 2D Fermi-UV model as a function of \( U \) and linear lattice size \( N_x \). The decay channel is \([4] \to [22] \).
\[ \text{TABLE IX. } S = 1 \text{ quad threshold } V_q \text{ in the 2D Fermi-UV model as a function of } U \text{ and linear lattice size } N_x. \text{ The decay channel is } [4] \rightarrow [22]. \]

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
U/t & N_x = N_y = 6 & N_x = N_y = 8 & N_x = N_y = 10 & N_x = N_y = \infty \\
\hline
0 & 2.79217109 & 2.71363258 & 2.69079933 & 2.65020688 \\
1 & 2.91020317 & 2.82616157 & 2.80076408 & 2.75561298 \\
2 & 3.00757026 & 2.91925239 & 2.89169731 & 2.84271050 \\
3 & 3.08517265 & 2.99404563 & 2.96484260 & 2.91292610 \\
4 & 3.14609444 & 3.05341911 & 3.02306556 & 2.96910369 \\
5 & 3.19359321 & 3.10057182 & 3.06948738 & 3.01422615 \\
7 & 3.26096611 & 3.16894111 & 3.13727073 & 3.08096783 \\
10 & 3.32159080 & 3.23281059 & 3.20139427 & 3.14554303 \\
15 & 3.37492027 & 3.29161109 & 3.26146736 & 3.20787850 \\
20 & 3.40389671 & 3.32482872 & 3.29597206 & 3.24467133 \\
25 & 3.42226200 & 3.34637641 & 3.31859626 & 3.26929032 \\
30 & 3.43504753 & 3.36158409 & 3.33467597 & 3.28683931 \\
40 & 3.45179634 & 3.37157563 & 3.35613441 & 3.31059268 \\
50 & 3.46236600 & 3.39458885 & 3.36986579 & 3.32591368 \\
100 & 3.48501167 & 3.42233924 & 3.39970359 & 3.35946243 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

\[ \text{TABLE X. } S = 0 \text{ quad threshold } V_q \text{ in the 2D Fermi-UV model as a function of } U \text{ and linear lattice size } N_x. \text{ The decay channel is } [4] \rightarrow [22]. \]

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
U/t & N_x = N_y = 6 & N_x = N_y = 8 & N_x = N_y = 10 & N_x = N_y = \infty \\
\hline
0 & 2.66593208 & 2.61358757 & 2.58745155 & 2.54404696 \\
1 & 2.81226234 & 2.75910224 & 2.73014411 & 2.68564234 \\
2 & 2.91970024 & 2.86858024 & 2.83836708 & 2.79516071 \\
3 & 3.0006752 & 2.95212364 & 2.92164649 & 2.88075139 \\
4 & 3.06182660 & 3.01722526 & 2.98702659 & 2.94866527 \\
5 & 3.11056785 & 3.06908912 & 3.03943290 & 3.00347923 \\
7 & 3.18252897 & 3.14620018 & 3.11788673 & 3.08598031 \\
10 & 3.25325965 & 3.22232856 & 3.19594940 & 3.16839521 \\
15 & 3.32317291 & 3.29758251 & 3.27366851 & 3.23115473 \\
20 & 3.36497001 & 3.34246360 & 3.32025880 & 3.28078360 \\
25 & 3.39286384 & 3.37234098 & 3.35136372 & 3.31407081 \\
30 & 3.41283416 & 3.39368852 & 3.37362678 & 3.33796146 \\
40 & 3.43955657 & 3.42219071 & 3.40395707 & 3.36998234 \\
50 & 3.45663726 & 3.44036781 & 3.42240440 & 3.39046944 \\
100 & 3.49350006 & 3.47947845 & 3.46353113 & 3.43468033 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]