Observation of Non-Hermitian Skin Effect and Topology in Ultracold Atoms
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The non-Hermitian skin effect (NHSE), the accumulation of eigen wavefunctions at boundaries of open systems, underlies a variety of exotic properties that defy conventional wisdom. While NHSE and its intriguing impact on band topology and dynamics have been observed in classical or photonic systems, their demonstration in a quantum many-body setting remains elusive. Here we report the experimental realization of a dissipative Aharonov-Bohm chain—a non-Hermitian topological model with NHSE—in the momentum space of a two-component Bose-Einstein condensate. We identify unique signatures of NHSE in the condensate dynamics, and perform Bragg spectroscopy to resolve topological edge states against a background of localized bulk states. Our work sets the stage for further investigation on the interplay of many-body statistics and interactions with NHSE, and is a significant step forward in the quantum control and simulation of non-Hermitian physics.

A quantum system coupled to its environment generally suffers from particle loss and decoherence, thus exhibiting qualitatively different phenomena from an isolated conservative system. Much insight can be obtained of these open quantum systems by resorting to a non-Hermitian description [1, 2], under which a wealth of intriguing features naturally emerge, including parity-time symmetry and spectral singularity [3–6], non-reciprocal and chiral transport [7], as well as non-Hermitian topology and unconventional band theory [8–11]. Within this context, a particularly fascinating phenomenon is the recently discovered non-Hermitian skin effect (NHSE) [10–24]. Through what transpires as the nominal bulk eigenstates undergo exponential localization at the open boundaries, the NHSE fundamentally reshapes spectral, band, and dynamic properties of an open system, necessitating a non-Bloch band theory to account for the non-Hermitian topology [10–12], and leaving signatures in the dynamics either driven by a non-Hermitian effective Hamiltonian [19, 25] or under the master equation [26, 27]. While the recent observation of NHSE and its rich consequences have stimulated intense interest [28–33], its experimental implementation and characterization in a quantum many-body setting remain an unexplored territory.

Here we experimentally realize a non-Hermitian topological Hamiltonian with NHSE in a momentum lattice of ultracold atoms [34–37]. Specifically, we engineer a chain of coupled Aharonov-Bohm (AB) rings along a synthetic momentum lattice with a Bose-Einstein condensate of \(10^5 \) \(^{87}\)Rb atoms [38–40]. As illustrated in Fig. 1a, each unit cell of the resulting AB chain consists of a triangular loop of three sublattice sites (\(a, b, c\)), encoded in the combined synthetic dimensions of the ground-state hyperfine states (\(|F = 1, m_F = 0\rangle\) for sites \(a, b\), and \(|F = 2, m_F = 0\rangle\) for site \(c\)) and atomic momentum. While adjacent sites are coupled via Raman or Bragg transitions (see Fig. 1b), a synthetic magnetic flux (denoted as \(\phi\)) through the rings can be generated and controlled via the phases of the coupling lasers. Dissipation is introduced at the vertex of the unit cells, as atoms on site \(c\) are state-selectively coupled to electronically excited states in the \(5^2P_{3/2}\) manifold, and subsequently lost from the system through spontaneous emission.

We experimentally implement a chain of five unit cells with open boundary condition (OBC), and confirm NHSE through the condensate dynamics, both in the bulk and close to the boundaries. For the bulk dynamics in particular, our measurements confirm the presence of a directional bulk flow, which is the origin of NHSE [17–19]. To resolve topological edge states amongst the similarly localized bulk modes, we adopt a Bragg spectroscopy to probe the eigenspectrum of the dissipative AB chain. The spectroscopic measurements yield the location of the gap-closing point under OBC, revealing the topological phase transition. Given their flexible tunability and many-body nature, cold atomic gases offer an enticing platform wherein the impact of NHSE on a wide variety of physical phenomena, many-body in particular, can be systematically explored.

Results

Theoretical Model. For atoms that remain in the dissipative AB chain illustrated in Fig. 1a, their dynamics is effectively driven by a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian (see
FIG. 1. **Topological Aharonov-Bohm chain with NHSE.** a, Schematic illustration of the Aharonov-Bohm chain. Each unit cell includes three sites (a, b, c), forming a closed triangular loop. Sites a and b are encoded into distinct momentum states of the $F = 1$ ground-state manifold, and c is encoded into the momentum states of the $F = 2$ manifold. Site c is further coupled to a reservoir that introduces dissipation to the system. While hopping rates $J_{p,t,r,s}$ are induced by Raman or Bragg processes, their phases contribute to a synthetic magnetic flux $\phi$ through each ring. b, The momentum lattice in a is generated by multi-frequency Raman and Bragg processes that couple discrete momentum and hyperfine states (both with $m_F = 0$) in the $F = 1$ and $F = 2$ manifolds of $^{87}$Rb atoms. Within each unit cell, sites (a, c) and (b, c) are coupled through resonant Raman processes, with a momentum difference $2\hbar k$; while sites (a, b) are coupled through a four-photon resonant Bragg process, with a momentum difference of $4\hbar k$. Here $k$ is the wave vector of a 795nm laser. Subsequently, in the $n$th unit cell ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$), sites a and b represent the momentum states $|p = 3n\rangle$ and $|p = 3n + 2\rangle$ (in units of $2\hbar k$), respectively, of the hyperfine ground state $|F = 1, m_F = 0\rangle$ in the $^5S_{1/2}$ manifold. Site c is encoded into the momentum state $|p = 3n + 1\rangle$ of the hyperfine ground state $|F = 2, m_F = 0\rangle$. Dissipation is induced by a near-resonant coupling of atoms on site c to the $^5P_{3/2}$ manifold. c, Eigenspectra ($E$) of Hamiltonian (1) in the complex energy plane, under PBC (blue) and OBC (red), respectively. Edge states can be identified under OBC. d, Spatial distribution of eigen wavefunctions ($\psi$) of Hamiltonian (1) under OBC. The edge states (as indicated in c) are in red, other states are shown in black. For the numerical calculations in c and d, the parameters are $\{J_s, J_r, J_p, J_t\} = \hbar \times \{1.08, 1.02, 1.21, 1.78\}$kHz, $\phi = -\pi/2$, $\Delta = -h \times 2$ kHz, $\gamma = h \times 0.95$ kHz. Numerical calculations in c,d are carried out for a lattice with $N = 101$ unit cells.

Supplemental Material for derivation)

$$H = \sum_n (\Delta - i\gamma)c_n^\dagger c_n + \sum_n [(J_p b_n^\dagger a_n + J_t a_n^\dagger + 1 b_n + J_s e^{i\phi} c_n^\dagger a_n + J_r c_n^\dagger b_n)] + \text{H.c.},$$ (1)

where $a_n^\dagger (a_n)$, $b_n^\dagger (b_n)$ and $c_n^\dagger (c_n)$ are respectively the creation (annihilation) operators for sublattice sites a,b and c of the $n$th unit cell. In the following, we denote the corresponding states as $|n, a\rangle$, $|n, b\rangle$, and $|n, c\rangle$, respectively. The laser-induced hopping rates $J_{p,t,r,s}$ between adjacent sites are illustrated in Fig. 1a, where the phase $\phi$ corresponds to the synthetic magnetic flux through the ring. The laser-induced dissipation on site c is characterized by the effective loss term $\gamma$, and an on-site energy offset $\Delta$ is present if the Raman-Bragg coupling to site c is detuned. All these parameters are easily tunable, thanks to the flexible control afforded by the momentum-lattice engineering.

Hamiltonian (1) hosts the NHSE, and can be topologically non-trivial under appropriate parameters. In Fig. 1c, we show the numerically calculated eigenspectra of the model on the complex energy plane, under different boundary conditions. While the eigenspectrum shows loop structures under periodic boundary condition (PBC), it shrinks to open arcs within the loops under OBC. Such a point-gap topology under PBC is a key signature of NHSE, known as its spectral topological origin [17, 18]. For a more direct demonstration of NHSE, we show in Fig. 1d the spatial distribution of eigen wavefunctions under OBC, where all eigenstates accumulate to the boundaries. Topological properties of Hamiltonian (1) are also manifest in Fig. 1c,d, where topological edge states are identified within a line gap.

The NHSE and band topology of Hamiltonian (1) can be easily understood in the weak-coupling limit with either large loss term $\gamma$ or large energy offset $\Delta$, such that site c can be traced out. Hamiltonian (1) then reduces to the well-known non-Hermitian Su-Schrieffer-Heeger model with asymmetric hopping (see Methods), a prototype non-Hermitian topological model with NHSE that has received tremendous attention recently [10]. Away from the weak-coupling limit, NHSE persists, whereas topological edge states are related to a non-Bloch winding number defined through the global Berry phase under the non-Bloch band theory (see Methods).

**NHSE from bulk dynamics.** In our setup, NHSE can be understood as arising from the non-reciprocity of the underlying dissipative AB rings [39]. As a result of
the interplay of the synthetic flux and dissipation, a unidirectional transport emerges in the bulk, leading to the accumulation of population at boundaries under OBC, and forming the basis for the dynamic detection of NHSE.

We begin by experimentally confirming the presence of NHSE from the condensate dynamics in the bulk. In Fig. 2, we fix the synthetic flux $\phi = -\pi/2$, and show typical bulk dynamics, in terms of the time-evolution of the momentum-space atomic distribution, for condensates initialized in the states $|n = 0, a\rangle$ and $|n = 0, b\rangle$, respectively. We focus on the short-time dynamics up to $t = 0.7$ ms, when atoms in the condensate have not yet evolved to the edges. As demonstrated in Fig. 2a,b, the condensate propagates to the left in the presence of dissipation, regardless of the initial state. By contrast, in the Hermitian case (Fig. 2c,d), the direction of propagation is dependent on the initial sublattice state. The observation above indicates the presence of a non-reciprocal bulk flow with the onset of dissipation.

For a more quantitative characterization, we define a growth rate \cite{19, 41}

$$\lambda(v, t) = \log |\psi(n, t)| / t,$$

where $\psi(n, t)$ is the time-evolved wave function on the unit cell $n = vt$, and $v$ is the shift velocity. For bulk dynamics, the growth rate approaches the Lyapunov exponent in the long time limit $t \to \infty$ \cite{19}. Under NHSE, the growth rate peaks at a finite shift velocity $v_m$, after a finite time of evolution. Intuitively, $v_m$ reflects the speed of propagation for the peak of a wave packet initialized at $n = 0$. Thus, a finite $v_m$ is a clear indication of the direction and strength of the bulk flow.

To ensure that the initial-state dependence of the condensate propagation in Fig. 2 is averaged out, we measure the mean growth rate $\lambda = (\lambda_a + \lambda_b)/2$, where $\lambda_a, b$ are the corresponding growth rates for condensates initialized in states $|n = 0, a\rangle$ and $|n = 0, b\rangle$, respectively.

In Fig. 3a–e, we show a series measurements of $\bar{\lambda}$ for an evolution of $t = 0.4$ ms, with varying flux $\phi$ and a fixed $\gamma = h \times 1.3$ kHz. Under a finite flux, all measured growth rates peak at finite shift velocities, where the sign of $v_m$ indicates the direction of the bulk flow. Under OBC, the flow direction is consistent with that of the boundary where eigen wavefunctions accumulate (see Supplemental Material). These measurements are in sharp contrast to the Hermitian case of Fig. 3f, where $\lambda$ peaks at $v_m = 0$, and is symmetric with respect to the peak. Note that the small asymmetry with regard to $v = 0$, as observed in Fig. 3c, comes from a remnant flux due to experimental imperfections.

**Dynamics close to boundaries.** Since the defining feature of NHSE is the localization of eigen wavefunctions at boundaries, it directly manifests itself in the dynamics close to the boundary. For our momentum lattice, an open boundary is naturally implemented by not coupling momentum states at the two edges to those further out along the lattice. We initialize the condensate at either edge, and experimentally measure the time evolution of the distance $D(t)$ relative to the initial site, where

$$D(t) = \frac{\sum_p P_p(t) |p - p_0|}{\sum_p P_p(t)}.$$  

(3)

Here $P_p(t)$ is the population in momentum state $|p\rangle$ at time $t$, $p_0$ is the initial lattice site in which the condensate is prepared.

The measured $D(t)$ is shown in Fig. 4, where the parameters are chosen in the topologically trivial region so that topological edge states have no impact. Figure 4a shows the measurement results for $\phi = -\pi/2$, where the bulk flow is toward the left (Fig. 3a), and eigen wavefunctions accumulate at the left edge (see Supplemental Material). The measured $D(t)$ increases slower when the condensate is initialized on the left edge. On the other hand, in the absence of NHSE with $\phi = 0$, the evolutions of $D(t)$ are essentially the same (Fig. 4b), no matter on which edge the atoms are initialized. As such, the slower expansion of the condensate from the left edge in Fig. 4a is a direct consequence of the localized eigenstates under NHSE. Note that the deviation of experimental data from theoretical predictions at longer times (in Fig. 4a) is mainly due to decoherence of our system.

**Resolving topological transition.** A unique challenge for non-Hermitian topological models with NHSE is the detection of topological phase transitions. In topological models without NHSE, the transition can be probed
FIG. 3. NHSE from the growth rate. a–e, Measured $\lambda$ with a fixed $\gamma = h \times 1.3$ kHz and varying synthetic flux $\phi$. f, $\lambda(v)$ with $\phi = 0$ and $\gamma = 0$. The blue dots are the experimental data, and the error bar represents SE of the mean. The solid lines are numerical results using the effective Hamiltonian for a lattice with $N = 221$ unit cells, and an evolution time of 0.4 ms. The red triangles are numerical simulations using the experimental parameters. For all panels, the hopping rates are $\{J_s, J_r, J_p, J_t\} = h \times \{1.13(2), 1.04(1), 0.62(4), 0.80(2)\} \text{ kHz}$, and $\Delta = 0$.

FIG. 4. NHSE from dynamics at the edges. a, Time evolution of the distance $D(t)$ for condensates initialized at the left (orange) and right (purple) edges, under NHSE ($\phi = -\pi/2$). b, Measured $D(t)$ without NHSE ($\phi = 0$). Dots are experimental data, solid lines are numerical simulations with the effective Hamiltonian. Other parameters are $\{J_s, J_r, J_p, J_t\} = h \times \{0.98(1), 1.02(1), 0.67(2), 0.48(2)\} \text{ kHz}$, $\Delta = 0$ and $\gamma = h \times 1.3(2)$ kHz. Error bars show SE of the mean.

by detecting topological edge states. However, this becomes difficult under NHSE, when all eigen wavefunctions are localized at the boundary along with the topological edge states. Here we adopt a Bragg spectroscopy to detect the edge states and reveal the topological phase transition.

As shown in Fig. 5a, we prepare the condensate in an auxiliary momentum-lattice site, labeled $|d\rangle$ and encoded in the $|F = 1, m_F = 0\rangle$ manifold. We then transfer atoms into the dissipative AB chain by switching on a weak coupling ($\sim h \times 0.2$ kHz) between site $|d\rangle$ and one edge of the lattice for a duration of 1 ms. We measure the probability $P_d$ for atoms to remain in $|d\rangle$, while we vary the detuning $\delta$ of the coupling. Here $P_d$ is obtained by dividing the population of $|d\rangle$ at the end of the Bragg coupling with the initial atom number.

In Fig. 5b and c, we show typical spectroscopic data in the topologically trivial and non-trivial phases, respectively. A double-valley structure in the topologically trivial phase indicates the presence of two bands, where state $|d\rangle$ is resonantly coupled to the bulk states. In comparison, only a single valley exists in the topologically non-trivial phase, where $|d\rangle$ is resonantly coupled to the topological edge states. The valley location indicates the real eigenenergy component of the edge states. Thus, by fitting and recording the location of the valleys (blue dots in Fig. 5d), we identify the location of the topological transition, close to the theoretically predicted transition point $J_t/J_p \sim 1.04$. The observed topological transition is explained by a non-Bloch winding number, calculated by evaluating the global Berry phase in a generalized Brillouin zone (see Methods). By contrast, the Bloch winding number is not quantized close to the transition. Our observation is therefore consistent with the non-Bloch bulk-boundary correspondence [10].

Discussion. We report the first experimental observation of NHSE and its dynamic consequences in a many-body quantum system, by engineering a dissipative AB chain in the synthetic dimensions of hyperfine and momentum states of ultracold atoms. We confirm that
NHSE affects condensate dynamics both in the bulk and close to boundaries, and reveal a topological phase transition through spectroscopic measurements. Our experiment provides a fascinating context in which the influence of NHSE on many-body phenomena can be systematically explored. Given the flexible control over atoms in momentum states, our scheme also has far-reaching implications for the immediate future. For instance, in light of recent experiments on localized states in momentum lattices [35, 42], an experimental characterization of the interplay between disorder, topology and NHSE is readily accessible based on our setup. Our work thus sheds new light on the study of open quantum systems.

Methods
Experimental implementation. We start with a $^{87}$Rb Bose-Einstein condensate in an optical dipole trap with a typical atom number of $1 \times 10^5$. The dipole trap frequencies are $\sim 2\pi \times (40, 100, 115)$ Hz. The Raman-Bragg lasers are imposed along the weak-trapping direction to construct the momentum lattice (see Supplemental Material for details).

For detection, we turn off the optical dipole trap and all the Raman-Bragg lasers, and take an absorption image after a 20 ms time-of-flight. In this way, atoms in different momentum states are separated and we can extract the atom population in different momentum states.

Reduction to asymmetric Su-Schrieffer-Heeger model. When $J_s, J_r \ll |\Delta - i\gamma|$, Hamiltonian (1) can be reduced to the non-Hermitian Su-Schrieffer-Heeger model with asymmetric hopping, which provides a transparent understanding for its band topology and non-Hermitian skin effect [39].

![FIG. 5. Topological transition through the Bragg spectroscopy. a. Schematic illustration of the Bragg spectroscopy. b and c show typical experimental data (dots) of the Bragg spectrum, with $b \, J_{s}/J_p = 0.79$ and $c \, J_{s}/J_p = 1.47$. The solid lines are from the Gaussian fit. Other experimental parameters are $\{J_s, J_r, J_p\} = h \times \{1.08(2), 1.02(3), 1.21(3)\}$ kHz, $\gamma = h \times 1.0(1)$ kHz, $\phi = -\pi/2$. An energy offset $\Delta = -h \times 2.0(3)$ kHz on site $|c\rangle$ is also imposed to reduce its impact on the topological gap. d. Real components of eigenspectrum (shaded), experimental data (blue dots) of the spectroscopy, and numerical simulations (red curves). The resulting band center is shifted from zero due to the light-shift of the four-photon process (see Supplemental Material). Both the experimental data and numerical simulations show the valley locations in the spectrum, extracted using Gaussian fit. While the experimental data are consistent with numerical simulations, the data do not directly reveal the band edges, due to near-resonant couplings with states in the band continuum (shaded region). Error bars in b, c, and d show the SE of the mean.](image-url)

We first define the projection operator $P = \sum_n \{|n, a\rangle\langle n, a| + |n, b\rangle\langle n, b|\}$ and $Q = \sum_n |n, c\rangle\langle n, c|$, where $P + Q = I$, $P^2 + Q^2 = I$. The projected Hamiltonian in the subspace of $\{|n, a\rangle, |n, b\rangle\}$ is then

$$H_{\text{eff}} = PHP + \frac{PHQHP}{E - QHQ} ,$$

where $H$ is from Eq. (1). It follows that, for $E \ll QHQ \sim |\Delta - i\gamma|$, $H_{\text{eff}} \approx \sum_n \left\{ -\frac{J_s^2(\Delta + i\gamma)}{\Delta + \gamma^2}a_n^\dagger a_n - \frac{J_r^2(\Delta + i\gamma)}{\Delta + \gamma^2}b_n^\dagger b_n + [J_p - e^{-i\delta}\gamma]a_n^\dagger b_n + [J_p - e^{i\delta}\gamma]b_n^\dagger a_n + J_t a_{n+1}^\dagger b_n + J_t b_n^\dagger a_{n+1} \right\} ,$$

where $\delta = \frac{J_s J_r (\Delta + i\gamma)}{\Delta + \gamma^2}$. This is a typical non-Hermitian Su-Schrieffer-Heeger model, where the hopping rates $J_p - e^{\pm i\delta}\gamma$ are asymmetric, albeit complex.

Similar to the well-known non-Hermitian Su-Schrieffer-Heeger model with real asymmetric hopping, $H_{\text{eff}}$ has non-Hermitian skin effect, as well as non-Bloch band topology (see Supplemental Material for detailed discussion).

Non-Bloch winding number. To restore bulk-boundary correspondence under NHSE, one must calculate the non-Bloch topological invariants over the generalized Brillouine zone, to account for the deviation of eigenstates from extended Bloch waves under OBC. This is achieved by replacing the Bloch phase factor $e^{i\mathbf{k}}$ (with $k \in [0, 2\pi]$), with a factor $\beta(k)$ which forms a closed loop...
on the complex plane (the generalized Brillouin zone). In the absence of NHSE, the close loop reduces to a unit circle on the complex plane, as the generalized Brillouin zone reduces to a conventional Brillouin zone.

To derive GBZ, we note that the eigenequation of the system under OBC is \( \det[H(\beta) - E] = 0 \), where

\[
H(\beta) = \begin{pmatrix}
J_p + J_l\beta & J_s e^{-i\phi} \\
J_s e^{i\phi} & J_r \\
\end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{\Delta - i\gamma}.
\]

Equation (6) becomes the Bloch Hamiltonian of Eq. (1) under PBC upon replacing \( \beta \) with \( e^{i\theta} \). The factor \( \beta \) can be solved by requiring \( |\beta_1| = |\beta_2| \) for the two solutions \( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta_2 \) [10, 11], with

\[
|\beta| = \sqrt{\frac{J_p + \frac{e^{-i\phi}}{J_r} J_s}{J_p + \frac{e^{i\phi}}{J_r} J_s}}.
\]

We then numerically evaluate the GBZ (see Supplemental Material for details).

Under typical experimental parameters, Hamiltonian (1) features three bands, all contributing to the non-Bloch band topology. We therefore derive the non-Bloch winding number from the global Berry phase [43, 44]

\[
\Theta = i \sum_m \oint_{\text{GBZ}} d\beta \langle v_m^L(\beta) | \partial_\beta | v_m^R(\beta) \rangle.
\]

Here \( m \) is the band index, \( v_m^L,R(\beta) \) are respectively the left and right eigenstates of \( H(\beta) \), satisfying \( H(\beta)v_m^R(\beta) = E_{m,\beta}v_m^R(\beta) \) and \( H^\dagger(\beta)v_m^L(\beta) = E_{m,\beta}^\dagger v_m^L(\beta) \), with \( E_{m,\beta} \) the eigenenergies under OBC. The non-Bloch winding number is then \( \nu = \Theta/2\pi \). Importantly, only non-Bloch winding numbers can account for the observed topological phase transition. The Bloch winding number calculated over the conventional Brillouin zone is half integer close to the phase transition, and cannot be used to predict the number of topological edge states (see Supplemental Material for details).

Acknowledgements:- B. Y. acknowledge the support from the National Key Research and Development Program of China under Grant No.2018YFA0307200, the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 12074337, the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities under No. 2020XZZX002-05 and 2021FZZX001-02. W. Y. acknowledges support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 11974331, the National Key Research and Development Program of China under Grant Nos. 2017YFA0304100. B. G. acknowledges support by the U.S. Air Force Office of Scientific Research under Grant No. FA9550-21-1-0246.

---

* These authors contributed equally to this work

wyiz@ustc.edu.cn
yanbohang@zju.edu.cn

Supplemental Material for “Observation of Non-Hermitian Skin Effect and Topology in Ultracold Atoms”

Engineering momentum lattice

Starting with a Bose-Einstein condensate of \(^{87}\)Rb atoms, we construct the momentum lattice by imposing multi-frequency Raman-Bragg lasers to couple different hyperfine and momentum states, as illustrated in Fig. S1.

For each unit cell (labeled by \(n\)), we apply three pairs of Raman-Bragg lasers. As shown in Fig. S1, the solid lines indicate the single-frequency lasers, and the dashed lines are the multi-frequency ones. While the laser frequencies are given by \(\{\omega_j^+, \omega_j^-, p\}\) (\(j = 1, 2, 3\)), they all couple the momentum states \(|p\rangle\) and \(|p + 1\rangle\), where \(p\) labels the momentum states in units of \(2\hbar k\), with \(k\) the wave vector of the 795 nm laser. The mapping between the momentum-lattice states with the atomic momentum states is therefore

\[
|n,a\rangle \rightarrow | p = 3n \rangle, \quad \text{(S1)} \\
|n,b\rangle \rightarrow | p = 3n + 2 \rangle, \quad \text{(S2)} \\
|n,c\rangle \rightarrow | p = 3n + 1 \rangle. \quad \text{(S3)}
\]

Under such a mapping, lasers with frequencies \(\{\omega_1^+, \omega_1^-\}\) couple momentum states in the same internal state. Both two- and four-photon processes are involved

- **Two-photon process**: \(|n,b\rangle \leftrightarrow |n + 1,a\rangle\) with \(\omega_{1,p}^- = \omega_1^+ - (6n + 5)4E_r/\hbar\), \(\text{(S4)}\)
- **Four-photon process**: \(|n,a\rangle \leftrightarrow |n,b\rangle\) with \(\omega_{1,p}^- = \omega_1^+ - (6n + 2)4E_r/\hbar\). \(\text{(S5)}\)

Lasers with frequencies \(\{\omega_2^+, \omega_2^-\}\) couple \(|n,a\rangle \leftrightarrow |n,c\rangle\), in two-photon processes with frequencies

\[
\omega_{2,p}^- = \omega_2^+ - \Delta_{\text{hyp}} - (6n + 1)4E_r/\hbar. \quad \text{(S6)}
\]

Here \(\Delta_{\text{hyp}}\) is the hyperfine splitting between the ground state manifolds \(F = 1\) and \(F = 2\).

Finally, lasers with \(\{\omega_3^+, \omega_3^-\}\) couple \(|n,c\rangle \leftrightarrow |n,b\rangle\), in two-photon processes with frequencies

\[
\omega_{3,p}^- = \omega_3^+ + \Delta_{\text{hyp}} + (6n + 3)4E_r/\hbar. \quad \text{(S7)}
\]

The Raman-Bragg lasers are chosen to be blue-detuned from the \(D1\) line by 30 GHz, such that the heating due to off-resonant scattering is negligible on the time scale of 1 ms.
Derivation of the full Hamiltonian and the effective Hamiltonians

For $^{87}\text{Rb}$ atoms in the multi-frequency Raman-Bragg light fields illustrated in Fig. S1, the Hamiltonian is written as

$$H = \sum_p \hbar \left\{ \sum_{i,j} \left( \frac{\Omega_i^+ \Omega_j^+}{4\Delta_s} e^{-i(\delta_{i,j}^+ t - \phi_{i,j})} + \frac{\Omega_i^- \Omega_j^-}{4\Delta_s} e^{-i(\delta_{i,j}^- t - \phi_{i,j})} \right) (|g_1, p\rangle \langle g_1, p| + |g_2, p\rangle \langle g_2, p|) + \frac{\Omega_i^+ \Omega_j^-}{4\Delta_s} e^{-i((\delta_{i,j}^+-\Delta_{\text{hyp}}) t - \phi_{i,j})} e^{i(2p+1)\frac{4E_r\text{t}}{\hbar}} |g_1, p + 1\rangle \langle g_2, p| + \frac{\Omega_i^- \Omega_j^+}{4\Delta_s} e^{-i((\delta_{i,j}^-+\Delta_{\text{hyp}}) t - \phi_{i,j})} e^{i(2p+1)\frac{4E_r\text{t}}{\hbar}} |g_2, p + 1\rangle \langle g_1, p| + \text{h.c.} \right\},$$

where $\delta_{i,j}^\pm$ ($j = 1, 2, 3$) and $\phi_{i,j}$ are respectively the single-photon Rabi frequencies and the phases of the lasers with frequencies $\omega_j^\pm$ in Fig. S1(a). $\Delta_s$ is the single-photon detuning of the Raman-Bragg lasers (which are approximately the same), the two-photon detunings $\delta_{i,j}^{\lambda \lambda'} = \omega_i^\lambda - \omega_j^{\lambda'}$ ($\lambda, \lambda' = \pm$), and the phase difference $\phi_{i,j} \equiv \phi_i - \phi_j$. Here $E_r = h^2k^2/2M$ ($M$ is the atomic mass) is the recoil energy, $\{|p\}\}$ are the momentum states defined in the previous section, and $|g_1, 2\rangle$ correspond to hyperfine states $|F = 1, m_F = 0\rangle$ and $|F = 2, m_F = 0\rangle$, respectively, in the electronic ground-state $5^2S_{1/2}$ of $^{87}\text{Rb}$.

Following Ref. [1, 2] by neglecting some of the fast-oscillation terms (note that $\Delta_{\text{hyp}} \gg |\omega_i^+ - \omega_j^+| \gg 4E_r/\hbar$ for $i, j = 1, 2, 3, i \neq j$), Hamiltonian (S8) can be rewritten as

$$H_{\text{full}} = \sum_{l \geq 0} H^{\pm (2l)} e^{\pm i \frac{4E_r}{\hbar} (2l)t} + H^{\pm (2l+1)} e^{\pm i \frac{4E_r}{\hbar} (2l+1)t},$$

where

$$H^{2l} = \sum_n \frac{\hbar \Omega_{1,3n}^+ \Omega_{1,3n+2}^+}{4\Delta_s} e^{i\phi_{1,3n+2}} (|g_1, l + 3n + 3\rangle \langle g_1, l + 3n + 2| + |g_2, l + 3n + 3\rangle \langle g_2, l + 3n + 2|) + \sum_n \frac{\hbar \Omega_{1,3n}^- \Omega_{1,3n+2}^-}{4\Delta_s} e^{-i\phi_{1,3n+2}} (|g_1, -l + 3n + 2\rangle \langle g_1, -l + 3n + 3| + |g_2, -l + 3n + 2\rangle \langle g_2, -l + 3n + 3|) + \sum_n \frac{\hbar \Omega_{2,3n}^+ \Omega_{2,3n+1}^+}{4\Delta_s} e^{i\phi_{2,3n}} |g_2, l + 3n + 1\rangle \langle g_1, l + 3n| + \sum_n \frac{\hbar \Omega_{2,3n}^- \Omega_{2,3n+1}^-}{4\Delta_s} e^{-i\phi_{2,3n}} |g_1, -l + 3n\rangle \langle g_2, -l + 3n + 1| + \sum_n \frac{\hbar \Omega_{3,3n+1}^+ \Omega_{3,3n+2}^+}{4\Delta_s} e^{i\phi_{3,3n+1}} |g_1, l + 3n + 2\rangle \langle g_2, l + 3n + 1| + \sum_n \frac{\hbar \Omega_{3,3n+1}^- \Omega_{3,3n+2}^-}{4\Delta_s} e^{-i\phi_{3,3n+1}} |g_2, -l + 3n\rangle \langle g_1, -l + 3n + 1|. $$

$$H^{2l+1} = \sum_j \frac{\hbar \Omega_{1,j}^+ \Omega_{1,j+2}^+}{4\Delta_s} e^{i\phi_{1,j+2}} (|g_1, l + 3n + 2\rangle \langle g_1, l + 3n + 1| + |g_2, l + 3n + 2\rangle \langle g_2, l + 3n + 1|) + \sum_n \frac{\hbar \Omega_{1,j}^- \Omega_{1,j+2}^-}{4\Delta_s} e^{-i\phi_{1,j+2}} (|g_1, -l + 3n\rangle \langle g_1, -l + 3n + 1| + |g_2, -l + 3n\rangle \langle g_2, -l + 3n + 1|).$$

We also have $H^{-2l} = (H^{2l})^\dagger$ and $H^{-(2l+1)} = (H^{2l+1})^\dagger$. Equation (S9) gives the full Hamiltonian.
We then define \( J \) populations versus \( \phi \) and identifying states \( \phi \). After a short time, the populations in three sites are measured. The solid lines are the fitting curves from numerical simulations. The parameters for this set of data are \( \{ J_s, J_r, J_p \} = h \times \{ 1.01(1), 1.03(1), 0.65(4) \} \) kHz, \( \Delta = 0, \gamma = 0 \).

The effective Hamiltonian is subsequently derived following a second-order expansion of the off-resonant coupling

\[
H_{\text{eff}} = H^0 + \sum_{l > 0} \frac{[H^{(2l)}, H^{-(2l)}]}{4(2l)!E_r} + \sum_{l > 0} \frac{[H^{(2l+1)}, H^{-(2l+1)}]}{4(2l+1)!E_r}.
\]

We then define \( J_t = \frac{\hbar \Omega_t}{2\Delta} \), \( J_p = \frac{\hbar \Omega_p}{4\Delta} \), \( J_s = \frac{\hbar \Omega_s}{4\Delta} \), \( J_r = \frac{\hbar \Omega_r}{4\Delta} \), \( \phi_t = -\phi_{3n}, \phi_p = -\phi_{3n+2}, \phi_s = -\phi_{2n}, \phi_r = -\phi_{3n+1} \). Neglecting terms with denominators greater than \( 8E_r \) \((J_s, J_r, J_t, J_p \ll 8E_r \) in our experiment), and identifying states \( |g_1, 3n\rangle, |g_1, 3n + 2\rangle, \) and \( |g_2, 3n + 1\rangle \) as the momentum-lattice states \(|n, a\rangle, |n, b\rangle, \) and \(|n, c\rangle\), respectively, we have

\[
H_{\text{eff}} = \sum_n \langle n|e^{i\phi}|n, c\rangle\langle n, a| + J_t|n, c\rangle\langle n, b| + J_p|n, b\rangle\langle n, a| + J_t|n + 1, a\rangle\langle n, b| + \text{H.c.}
\]

\[
+ \sum_n \langle J_p|n, a\rangle\langle n, a| + J_p|n, b\rangle\langle n, b| - 2J_p|n, c\rangle\langle n, c|,
\]

where we set \( \phi_t = \phi_p = \phi = 0, \phi_s = \phi = \phi \).

Further introducing laser-induced atom loss and choosing an appropriate reference frame, the effective Hamiltonian becomes

\[
H_{\text{eff}} = \sum_n (\Delta - i\gamma)|n, c\rangle\langle n, c| + \sum_n |J_s e^{i\phi}|n, c\rangle\langle n, a|
\]

\[
+ J_t|n, c\rangle\langle n, b| + J_p|n, b\rangle\langle n, a| + J_t|n + 1, a\rangle\langle n, b| + \text{H.c.},
\]

which is Hamiltonian (1) in the main text. Here \( \gamma \) is the loss term, and the on-site energy offset \( \Delta \) is tunable through the detunings of \( \omega_{2,3n}^2 \) and \( \omega_3^2 \).

**Calibration of the experimental parameters**

The hopping rates are all calibrated by measuring the Rabi oscillations for the corresponding two-level system. In order to calibrate the loss term, we prepare atoms in the \( F = 2 \) state and then turn on the near-resonant light for some time. The evolution of remaining atom number is fitted as \( N(t) = N_0 e^{-2\gamma t/\hbar} \), \( \gamma \) is the loss term. Another calibration we need to do is the light shift. The way we induce dissipation is turning on a near-resonant laser to the \( F = 2 \rightarrow F' = 3 \) transition, which also gives rise to differential light shift between the \( F = 1 \) and \( F = 2 \) states. Experimentally, we measure the Raman-Bragg spectrum with the near-resonant light switched on, and then cancel the differential light shift by adjusting the detuning of the Raman beams.
FIG. S3. Numerical simulation for Fig. 2 in the main text. a and c are the non-Hermitian cases with \( \gamma = h \times 1.3 \text{kHz} \); c and d are the Hermitian cases with \( \gamma = 0 \). Atoms are initially prepared in the state \(|n = 0, a\rangle\) state for a and c, and in the state \(|n = 0, b\rangle\) for b and d. Other parameters for the simulation are the same as those of Fig. 2.

Another important parameter, the absolute value of the flux \( \phi \), should also be calibrated. In our experiment, the phase of different lasers are locked, and we change the relative flux \( \phi_{\text{exp}} \) through parameters of the acousto-optic modulator. But the absolute value of \( \phi \) is unknown.

To obtain the absolute value of \( \phi \), we measure the dynamics of atoms in an isolated unit cell (a single Ahraonov-Bohm ring), as shown in Fig. S2(a). Atoms are first prepared in a single site \(|a\rangle\), and are subsequently allowed to evolve within the unit cell for a fixed time. Changing the relative phase \( \phi_{\text{exp}} \), we get the phase-dependent atomic population as shown in Fig. S2(b). We then fit this data with numerical simulations, and extract the relation between \( \phi_{\text{exp}} \) and \( \phi \). The uncertainty of the fitting is estimated to be 10 degrees.

**Directional bulk flow and non-Hermitian skin effect**

In Fig. S3, we show the numerically simulated bulk dynamics corresponding to our measurements in Fig. 2 of the main text. The numerical results are consistent with our measurements, and indicate a directional flow in the bulk.

In Fig. 3 of the main text, we demonstrate the directional flow through the growth rate for various parameters. Here we show that the observed directional flow underlies the non-Hermitian skin effect. In particular, the direction (left or right) of the flow indicates the boundary at which the eigenstates accumulate.

As illustrated in Fig. S4, when the bulk growth rate peaks at negative shift velocity (Fig. 3a,b), the eigen wavefunctions under open boundary condition (OBC) also accumulate to the left boundary (Fig. S4a,b); when the bulk growth rate peaks at positive shift velocity (Fig. 3d,e), the eigen wavefunctions under OBC accumulate to the right boundary (Fig. S4d,e). In the absence of non-Hermitian skin effect, the peak shift velocity is at \( v_m = 0 \) (Fig. S4c,f, and Fig. 3c,f).

**Generalized Brillouine Zone and Non-Bloch winding numbers**

As discussed in the Methods section, we define the non-Bloch winding number through the global Berry phase, with contributions from all three bands of the model. Importantly, the global Berry phase is calculated over the generalized Brillouin zone (GBZ), instead of the conventional Brillouin zone.

Figure S5a,b shows the numerically calculated spatial distribution of eigenstates and GBZs under the parameters of Fig. 5b (topologically trivial) and Fig. 5c (topologically non-trivial), respectively, but with a long chain of \( N = 101 \).
FIG. S4. Numerically calculated spatial distribution of eigenstate wavefunctions under the parameters of Fig. 3 of the main text. a–e, Eigen wavefunction distributions with a fixed $\gamma = h \times 1.3$ kHz, and varying synthetic flux $\phi$. f, Wavefunction distribution with $\phi = 0$ and $\gamma = 0$. Numerical calculations are based on the effective Hamiltonian Eq. (1) for a lattice with 5 unit cells under OBC. The hopping rates are $\{J_x, J_r, J_p, J_t\} = h \times \{1.13, 1.04, 0.62, 0.80\}$ kHz, and $\Delta = 0$.

FIG. S5. Numerical calculations of the spatial distribution of eigenstates and GBZs (insets). The topological edge states are shown in red, and the nominal bulk eigenstates are in black. Parameters in a and b are the same as those in Fig. 5b and c in the main text, respectively. For the numerical calculations here, we take a long chain of $N = 101$ unit cells. For the insets, the solid loops correspond to the GBZs of the three bands, while the dashed loops are the unit circles corresponding to the conventional Brillouin zone. In both cases, all eigenstates accumulate to an open boundary, and the GBZs of all three bands (solid loops in the insets) deviate from the unit circle (dashed loops in the insets).

In Fig. S6, we show the real component of the eigenspectrum $\text{Re}(E)$ under OBC (Fig. S6a), as well as the non-Bloch winding number (solid black in Fig. S6b). The non-Bloch winding number changes its quantized values at the topological transition points ($J_t/J_p \approx 1.04$), indicated by the emergence of topological edge states in Fig. S6a. By contrast, the Bloch winding number (grey in Fig. S6b), evaluated by integrating the global Berry phase over the Brillouin zone, is not quantized, and becomes half integer close to the phase transition.
FIG. S6.  

(a) Real component of the eigenspectrum Re($E$) under OBC. Topological edge states emerge at $J_t/J_p \approx 1.04$.  
(b) Non-Bloch (solid black) and Bloch (grey) winding numbers. We take $N=101$ of the number of unit cells for calculation and the parameters are set as: $\{J_s, J_r, J_p\} = h \times \{0.97, 0.97, 1.21\}$ kHz, $\gamma = h \times 1.0$ kHz, $\Delta = -h \times 2$ kHz, $\phi = -\pi/2$.