Symmetry-reduced Dynamic Mode Decomposition of Near-wall Turbulence
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Data-driven dimensionality reduction methods such as proper orthogonal decomposition (POD) and dynamic mode decomposition (DMD) have proven to be useful for exploring complex phenomena within fluid dynamics and beyond. A well-known challenge for these techniques is posed by the continuous symmetries, e.g. translations and rotations, of the system under consideration as drifts in the data dominate the modal expansions without providing an insight into the dynamics of the problem. In the present study, we address this issue for fluid flows in rectangular channels by formulating a continuous symmetry reduction method that eliminates the translations in the streamwise and spanwise directions simultaneously. We demonstrate our method by computing the symmetry-reduced dynamic mode decomposition (SRDMD) of sliding windows of data obtained from the transitional plane-Couette and turbulent plane-Poiseuille flow simulations. In the former setting, SRDMD captures the dynamics in the vicinity of the invariant solutions with translation symmetries, i.e. travelling waves and relative periodic orbits, whereas in the latter, our calculations reveal episodes of turbulent time evolution that can be approximated by a low-dimensional linear expansion.

1. Introduction

Turbulence is a strongly nonlinear phenomenon exhibiting chaotic spatio-temporal behaviour at many scales. Despite its complexity, a certain degree of coherence is observed and has been studied for many years with the goal of describing dynamics of turbulent flows in terms of few coherent structures (Jiménez 2018a). In the context of wall-bounded flows, a considerable amount of research (see e.g. Hamilton et al. 1995; Waleffe 1997; Jiménez & Pinelli 1999; Schoppa & Hussain 2002) is devoted to understanding the turbulence-sustaining mechanisms in terms of quasi-streamwise vortices, coherent regions of vortically moving fluid transverse to the flow direction, and streaks, elongated high- or low-speed modulation of the base flow. Despite the abundant numerical and experimental evidence supporting the importance of streaks in wall turbulence and the intuitive physical picture provided by their interactions with vortices, the definition of a streak is based on experimental observations, thus inherently subjective (Jiménez 2018b). Consequently, one does not know how much is lost by neglecting the rest of the fluctuations in turbulent flow.

A complementary, yet mathematically exact, approach to low-dimensionality in turbulence is provided by the so-termed (Waleffe 2001) exact coherent structures (ECS), which are unstable time-invariant (self-sustaining) solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations such as equilibria, travelling waves and periodic orbits. These correspond to compact low-dimensional objects in the infinite-dimensional state space of all possible flow fields and
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influence the dynamics in their vicinity via their stable and unstable manifolds (Gibson et al. 2008; van Veen & Kawahara 2011; Budanur et al. 2017, 2019; Budanur & Hof 2018; Suri et al. 2017; Yalnız et al. 2021). In other words, together with their stable and unstable manifolds, ECS provide the intrinsic coordinates that can transiently approximate turbulence. Despite the importance of ECS being fully established for transitional and low-Reynolds-number turbulent flows (see extensive reviews by Kerswell 2005; Eckhardt et al. 2007; Kawahara et al. 2012; Graham & Floryan 2021), tools for computing them become impractical at higher Reynolds numbers that require many more numerical degrees of freedom to resolve in a direct numerical simulation (DNS). Thus, the relevance of ECS for complex turbulent flows remains an open question.

The current availability of large data sets, both from experiments and simulations, and ongoing developments of data-driven modelling tools offer new avenues for tackling the problem of identifying low-dimensional behaviour underpinning complex fluid dynamics. Indeed, high-dimensional data can be fed into data-driven decomposition techniques to gain useful information about the underlying physical processes (Rowley & Dawson 2017). Amongst these methods, dynamic mode decomposition (DMD) (Schmid & Sesterhenn 2008; Schmid 2010) has been successfully applied to many complex fluid systems (for a comprehensive list see Rowley & Dawson 2017, table 3) with the aim of extracting dynamically important flow features from time-resolved data. DMD generates a hierarchy of flow fields (DMD modes) and the associated eigenvalues (DMD eigenvalues) that can be used to approximate the input data by a linear expansion. Finding a linear modal expansion to describe strongly nonlinear chaotic fluid dynamics might at first sound like a hopeless endeavour. However, such an approximation can be found for a finite time, similar, in spirit, to using a nonlinear invariant solution and its stable/unstable manifolds to approximate turbulent time evolution in its neighbourhood. One way of rationalizing this is through the interpretation of DMD modes as the eigenmodes of the best-fit linear system for the given data set (Kutz et al. 2016). Another reasoning follows from the correspondence between DMD and Koopman mode decomposition (Rowley et al. 2009), which, under certain assumptions, states that DMD can be interpreted as a finite-dimensional approximation to the spectrum of the linear Koopman operator (Koopman 1931; Mezić 2005) that acts on the observables associated with the dynamical system under consideration.

In this paper, we present applications of DMD to the data obtained from the DNS of transitional Couette and turbulent Poiseuille flows in rectangular channels. The key technical advancement here is the preprocessing of data by symmetry reduction to eliminate the degeneracies due to streamwise and spanwise translations, which resolves the well-known shortcomings (Kutz et al. 2016; Sesterhenn & Shahirpour 2019) of DMD in systems with continuous symmetries. In such settings, the drifts in the continuous symmetry directions artificially increase the dimensionality of embeddings that can reliably capture the dynamics (Rowley & Marsden 2000; Mendible et al. 2020). Furthermore, in spatiotemporal systems with a continuous flux, such as the Poiseuille flow considered here, the drifting motion completely dominates the DMD spectra, obscuring the physically-important dynamics of the system under study. Through examples in the following, we demonstrate that the symmetry-reduced dynamic mode decomposition (SRDMD) of the channel flows resolves the aforementioned issues and reveals episodes that can be reliably described by low-dimensional linear expansions.

The paper is organised as follows. In §2, we introduce channel flows and our computational setup. We introduce the symmetries of channel flows and formulate our continuous symmetry reduction method in §3. We summarise the DMD algorithm in §4 and then apply it to the symmetry-reduced DNS data from Couette and Poiseuille flows in §5 and §6, respectively. We conclude with a discussion of our results and the future directions in §7.
2. Channel flows and the computational setup

We consider flows between two parallel plates in a rectangular domain \((x, y, z) \in [0, L_x] \times [-1, 1] \times [0, L_z]\), where \(x, y, z\) are the streamwise, wall-normal and spanwise directions, respectively. We take the base-fluctuation decomposition \(u_{\text{total}}(x, t) = U(y) \hat{x} + u(x, t)\), \(p_{\text{total}}(x, t) = P_x(t)x + p(x, t)\) where \(U(y) \hat{x}\) is the base (laminar) flow, and \(P_x(t)\) is the spatial mean of the streamwise pressure gradient. Using these definitions, the governing Navier–Stokes equations can be written as

\[
\partial_t u = -u_{\text{total}} \cdot \nabla u_{\text{total}} - \nabla p + Re^{-1} \nabla^2 u + \left[ Re^{-1} \partial_y^2 U(y) - P_x \right] \hat{x},
\]

where \(\partial_a := \partial/\partial a\) and \(Re\) is the Reynolds number. In the following, we consider two base flows, namely \(U^{(C)} = y\) (Couette) and \(U^{(P)} = 1 - y^2\) (Poiseuille). In both cases, the fluctuating velocity fields are periodic in the homogeneous directions, i.e. \(u(x, t) = u(x + L_x \hat{x} + L_z \hat{z}, t)\), vanish (no-slip) at the walls, i.e. \(u(x, t)|_{y = \pm 1} = 0\), and satisfy the incompressibility condition \(\nabla \cdot u = 0\). All of our results to follow are given for the fluctuations \(u = [u, v, w](x, y, z)\) from the base flows, for which we define the \(L_2\) inner product and the \(L_2\) norm respectively as

\[
\langle u_1, u_2 \rangle := \frac{1}{2L_x L_z} \int_0^{L_z} \int_{-1}^1 \int_0^{L_x} u_1 \cdot u_2 \, dx \, dy \, dz \quad \text{and} \quad \| u \| = \sqrt{\langle u, u \rangle}. \tag{2.2}
\]

We utilize Channelflow 2.0 (Gibson et al. 2020) for the numerical integration of (2.1) in computational domains, properties of which are summarised in table 1. In all simulations, we use dynamically-adjusted time steps so that the Courant–Friedrichs–Lewy number (CFL) satisfies \(0.15 \leq CFL < 0.3\). Our first Couette domain \(W03\) is identical to that of Waleffe (2003) and the second domain HKW is based on Hamilton et al. (1995), see table 1. For the latter, we adopt the resolution used in Viswanath (2007), which is higher than the one used in Hamilton et al. (1995). For Poiseuille flow, we chose \(Re = 2000\) and \(Re = 5000\), which we refer to as \(P2K\) and \(P5K\), respectively, in table 1 and hereafter. We determined the spatial resolutions such that the energy stored in the Fourier/Chebyshev modes with the highest wave numbers are at least six orders of magnitude smaller than those in the lowest ones at all times. All of our domains are “minimal” in the sense that if the spanwise extent is reduced, the simulations quickly laminarise. The Couette simulations are carried under the constraint \(P_x = 0\), hence the fluid flux \(Q_x(t) = \int_{-1}^1 \int_0^{L_x} u \cdot \hat{x} \, dy \, dz\) varies instantaneously. In contrast, we simulate Poiseuille flow under the constraint \(Q_x = 4L_x/3\), which leaves \(P_x(t)\) fluctuating. Additionally in the Poiseuille case, we impose symmetry invariance with respect to the midplane on the velocity fields, which restricts the dynamics into a lower-dimensional flow-invariant subspace without altering wall friction and the Reynolds stresses near the wall. For the Poiseuille systems \(P2K\) and \(P5K\), we estimate the friction Reynolds numbers and the channel dimensions in wall units (Pope 2000) as \((Re_\tau, L_x^+, L_z^+) \approx (98, 280, 123)\) and \((Re_\tau, L_x^+, L_z^+) \approx (205, 280, 120)\) respectively. Note that our spanwise domain length is slightly larger than the minimal flow unit \(L_z^+ \approx 100\) established in (Jiménez & Moin 1991). We suspect that this is due to our symmetry constraint which does not allow for single-wall localization of turbulent structures that was observed by Jiménez and Moin at this \(Re\).

3. Symmetries and symmetry reduction

Both Couette and Poiseuille systems are equivariant under the translations

\[
T(\delta x, \delta z)[u, v, w](x, y, z) = [u, v, w](x - \delta x, y, z - \delta z), \tag{3.1}
\]
Table 1: The laminar flows $U(y)$, the domain lengths $L_x$ and $L_z$, the grid dimensions $N_x$, $N_y$, $N_z$, and the additional constraints of the computational setups. Here W03 and HKW correspond to the Couette domains of Waleffe (2003) and Hamilton et al. (1995), respectively, while P2K and P5K correspond to the Poiseuille systems at $Re = 2000$ and 5000, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>W03</th>
<th>HKW</th>
<th>P2K</th>
<th>P5K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$U(y)$</td>
<td>$y$</td>
<td>$y$</td>
<td>$1-y^2$</td>
<td>$1-y^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Re$</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_x$</td>
<td>$2\pi/1.14$</td>
<td>$2\pi/1.14$</td>
<td>$2\pi/2.2$</td>
<td>$2\pi/4.6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_z$</td>
<td>$2\pi/2.5$</td>
<td>$2\pi/2.67$</td>
<td>$2\pi/5$</td>
<td>$2\pi/10.7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_x$</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_z$</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_y$</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constraints</td>
<td>$P_x=0$</td>
<td>$P_x=0$</td>
<td>$\hat{Q}_x=0$, $\mathbf{R}_y\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{u}$</td>
<td>$\hat{Q}_x=0$, $\mathbf{R}_y\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{u}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where $\delta x \in [0, L_x)$ and $\delta z \in [0, L_z)$, and the reflection

$$\mathbf{R}_z[u, v, w](x, y, z) = [u, v, -w](x, y, -z).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.2)

Additionally, Poiseuille flow admits the equivariance under the reflection

$$\mathbf{R}_y[u, v, w](x, y, z) = [u, -v, w](x, -y, z)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.3)

with respect to the midplane; and plane-Couette flow is equivariant under the simultaneous reversal of streamwise and wall-normal directions, i.e.

$$\mathbf{R}_{xy}[u, v, w](x, y, z) = [-u, -v, w](-x, -y, z).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.4)

For the present study, the equivariance of a flow under a symmetry group $\mathcal{G}$ has two important consequences (Golubitsky & Schaeffer 1985; Chossat & Lauterbach 2000): (i) If $u(x, t)$ for $t \in [t_i, t_f]$ is a trajectory of the system, then so is $Su(x, t)$ where $S \in \mathcal{G}$; (ii) If $u(x, 0)$ is invariant under $S \in \mathcal{G}$ satisfying $Su(x, 0) = u(x, 0)$, then its forward-time evolution remains invariant under $S$, i.e. $Su(x, t) = u(x, t)$ for $t > 0$. We make use of (ii) when restricting our study of Poiseuille flow into the space of solutions that are invariant under (3.3). Due to the presence of continuous symmetries, (i) effectively implies that the generic solutions of Couette and Poiseuille flows have infinitely-many symmetry copies due to translations and their combinations with various reflections.

Sirovich (1987b) showed that if a data set of flow states is symmetric under a continuous translation, then its proper orthogonal decomposition (POD) results in modes that align with Fourier modes in the homogeneous directions, carrying no information about the physics of the system. As a remedy, Rowley & Marsden (2000) suggested reducing the symmetry degree of freedom prior to the POD of the data obtained from a system with translation symmetry. Their method relied on an experimentally-chosen template to which the simulation data is matched. As noted by the authors themselves, such a symmetry reduction method has a finite domain of applicability, the boundary of which is set by the singularity of the so-called reconstruction equation. In the following, we take a similar approach and formulate a symmetry reduction method for preprocessing channel flow data prior to its DMD. Differently from Rowley & Marsden (2000), our method yields a symmetry reduction for all dynamics of interest.

Budanur et al. (2015b) showed that a polar coordinate transformation in the Fourier...
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be a solution and the set ̂\mathcal{M}_x = \{T(δx, 0)u | δx ∈ [0, L_x]\} be formed by ̂\mathcal{M}_x and its streamwise-translation copies. The key idea behind the first Fourier mode slice is the observation that any nonzero projection of ̂\mathcal{M}_x onto the plane spanned by ̂\mathcal{M}_x and its quarter-domain shift ̂\mathcal{T}(L_x/4, 0) ̂\mathcal{M}_x is of circular shape. Thus, a transformation that fixes the polar angle ̂\phi_x := arg (⟨u_x, ̂\mathcal{M}_x⟩ + i ⟨u, ̂\mathcal{T}(L_x/4, 0) ̂\mathcal{M}_x⟩) can be used to reduce the translation symmetry. Following analogous observations, we define ̂\phi_z := arg (⟨u_z, ̂\mathcal{M}_z⟩ + i ⟨u, ̂\mathcal{T}(0, L_z/4) ̂\mathcal{M}_z⟩) and the symmetry-reducing transformations

\begin{align}
S_x(u) & := \mathcal{T}\left(-\frac{\hat{\phi}_x L_x}{2\pi}, 0\right) u, \tag{3.7}
S_z(u) & := \mathcal{T}\left(0, -\frac{\hat{\phi}_z L_z}{2\pi}\right) u. \tag{3.8}
\end{align}

Noting that the slice templates ̂\mathcal{M}_x(x, y) (3.5) and ̂\mathcal{M}_z(y, z) (3.6) do not depend on the z and x coordinates respectively and the translations in x and z directions commute, we reduce the streamwise and spanwise translations simultaneously by simply applying (3.7) and (3.8) consecutively as

\begin{align}
\hat{u} = S(u) = S_z(S_x(u)). \tag{3.9}
\end{align}

Until now, we left the wall-normal dependence of the template functions (3.5, 3.6) unspecified. In order to clarify this final point, let us first give a geometric interpretation of continuous symmetry reduction. Since symmetry reduction eliminates two continuous translation degrees of freedom, the symmetry-reduced velocity fields ̂\mathcal{M}_x and ̂\mathcal{M}_z are confined to a submanifold in the state space with two dimensions less than that accommodating the original velocity fields u(t). This information, however, is not lost and can be recovered as long as one keeps track of the slice phases ̂\phi_x(t) and ̂\phi_z(t). Rowley & Marsden (2000) showed that these phases can also be obtained by integrating the reconstruction equations

\begin{align}
\dot{\hat{\phi}}_x(t) & = \frac{2\pi}{L_x} \left\langle \frac{\partial_x \hat{u}_x}{\partial_x \hat{u}_x(t)}, \frac{\partial t \mathcal{M}_x}{\partial t \mathcal{M}_x(t)} \right\rangle, \tag{3.10}
\dot{\hat{\phi}}_z(t) & = \frac{2\pi}{L_z} \left\langle \frac{\partial_z \hat{u}_z}{\partial_z \hat{u}_z(t)}, \frac{\partial t \mathcal{M}_z}{\partial t \mathcal{M}_z(t)} \right\rangle. \tag{3.11}
\end{align}

Note that these phase velocities diverge if the denominators of the reconstruction equations vanish, at which point our symmetry reduction method would suffer a discontinuity. It is straightforward to confirm that these denominators are proportional to the amplitudes of the projections of the flow state u onto the plane spanned by the respective slice template and
their half-domain shift. In other words,

\begin{align}
\langle \partial_x \hat{u}_x', \partial_x \hat{u}(t) \rangle &\propto \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{u}, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_x' \rangle^2 + \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{T}(L_x/4,0)\hat{\mathbf{u}}_x' \rangle^2}, \\
\langle \partial_z \hat{u}_z', \partial_z \hat{u}(t) \rangle &\propto \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{u}, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_z' \rangle^2 + \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{T}(0,L_z/4)\hat{\mathbf{u}}_z' \rangle^2},
\end{align}

(3.12) and (3.13)

thus, as long as these projections onto the template-planes do not vanish, the reconstruction equations (3.10, 3.11) remain finite. With this in mind, we determine \( f_x(y) \) and \( f_z(y) \) as follows to maximize the projection amplitudes (3.12) and (3.13) for turbulent trajectories. Let

\begin{align}
f_x(y) &= \sum_{n=0}^{n_f} T_n(y) \left[ c_{x}^{(x,n)} \hat{x} + c_{x}^{(y,n)} \hat{y} + c_{x}^{(z,n)} \hat{z} \right], \\
f_z(y) &= \sum_{n=0}^{n_f} T_n(y) \left[ c_{z}^{(x,n)} \hat{x} + c_{z}^{(y,n)} \hat{y} + c_{z}^{(z,n)} \hat{z} \right],
\end{align}

(3.14) and (3.15)

where \( T_n(y) \) are the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind and \( c_j^{i,n} (i \in \{x,y,z\}, j \in \{x,z\}, n \in \{0,1,\ldots,n_f\}) \) are the coefficients which we determine by maximizing

\begin{align}
\mathcal{J}_x &= \sum_{k=0}^{K} \langle \mathbf{u}(k\delta t), \hat{\mathbf{u}}_x' \rangle^2 + \langle \mathbf{u}(k\delta t), \mathbf{T}(L_x/4,0)\hat{\mathbf{u}}_x' \rangle^2, \\
\mathcal{J}_z &= \sum_{k=0}^{K} \langle \mathbf{u}(k\delta t), \hat{\mathbf{u}}_z' \rangle^2 + \langle \mathbf{u}(k\delta t), \mathbf{T}(0,L_z/4)\hat{\mathbf{u}}_z' \rangle^2,
\end{align}

(3.16) and (3.17)

for a turbulent trajectory \( \{ \mathbf{u}(t), t \in [0,K\delta t] \} \), under the constraints \( \|\hat{\mathbf{u}}_x'\| = 1 \) and \( \|\hat{\mathbf{u}}_z'\| = 1 \). The necessity of these unit-norm constraints can be understood by observing that (3.10) and (3.11) are invariant under the scaling of \( \hat{\mathbf{u}}_x' \) and \( \hat{\mathbf{u}}_z' \) by a constant. Thus, if we do not apply these constraints, then the prescribed optimization would diverge by arbitrarily increasing the template amplitudes, without a reduction in phase fluctuations. In the case of Poiseuille flow we have the additional constraint that the slice templates be \( R_y \)-symmetric like the underlying flow. The cost functions (3.16) and (3.17) are the sums of squares of projection amplitudes (right-hand sides of (3.12) and (3.13)). For each domain that we study, we determine (3.14) and (3.15) truncated at \( n_f = 7 \) using a single turbulent trajectory sampled at steps of \( \delta t = 0.1 \). The resulting slice templates can be found at Yalniz et al. (2022) and the phase velocities (3.10, 3.11) are plotted in figure 1. As shown, although the phase speeds exhibit occasional fast episodes, they remain finite throughout our simulations. Note that in figure 1, all phase velocities but \( \phi_x \) of plane-Poiseuille flow fluctuate about zero. This is a consequence of Poiseuille flow’s broken reflection symmetry in the streamwise direction due to the presence of a nonzero mean pressure gradient. Intuitively, one can understand this by considering the presence of the net drift due to the nonzero bulk velocity \( U_b \) in Poiseuille flow. However, it is also important to note that \( \phi_x \) in Poiseuille flow is not equal to \( 2\pi U_b/L_x \), neither is any other phase velocity equal to 0, the bulk velocity at their respective directions, at all times: Phase velocities vary instantaneously and match the corresponding bulk velocities only when averaged over long periods. Supplementary Movie 1 and 2 demonstrate the elimination of drifts by symmetry reduction in the HWK and P2K domains, respectively.
4. Symmetry-reduced dynamic mode decomposition

Let $\xi(t)$ be the $n$-dimensional symmetry-reduced state vector corresponding to the fluid state at time $t$, $\Phi'(\xi)$ be the finite-time flow induced by the DNS and symmetry reduction, and $\langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle$ and $\|\xi\|$ denote the $L_2$ inner product and norm, respectively, of the corresponding velocity fields as defined in (2.2). Let $\xi_k$ and $\xi'_k$ be a pair of snapshots that are separated by time $\delta t$, i.e. $\xi'_k = \Phi^{\delta t}(\xi_k)$. Defining the $n \times m$ ($n \gg m$) data matrices $\Xi := [\xi_0, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{m-1}]$ and $\Xi' := [\xi'_0, \xi'_1, \ldots, \xi'_{m-1}]$, we consider the linear approximation $\Xi' \approx A \Xi$, where $A$ is an $n \times n$ matrix. The best fit (in $L_2$ sense) to this approximation is given by $A = \Xi' \Xi^{\dagger}$, where $\dagger$ denotes the Moore–Penrose pseudoinverse. We adopt the standard DMD algorithm (Tu et al. 2014; Kutz et al. 2016), which approximates the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $A$ without explicitly computing it as follows. Let $\Xi \approx UV^*$ denote the rank-$r$ ($r < m$) singular value decomposition (SVD) approximation of $\Xi$, where $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r}$, $V \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times r}$ and $*$ indicates the Hermitian transpose. Noting that the columns of $U$ are the POD modes, we can rewrite the best-fit linear operator and its $r \times r$ projection onto the POD space as $A = \Xi'V\Sigma^{-1}U^*$ and $\tilde{A} = U^*AU = U^*\Xi'\Sigma^{-1}U$, respectively. Finally, we compute the eigenvalues $\Lambda_j$ and eigenvectors $\psi_j$ of $\tilde{A}$, from which we obtain the SRDMD modes as $\psi_j = \Xi'\Sigma^{-1}\psi_j$. Hereafter, we refer to $\Lambda_j$ as the “SRDMD multipliers” and $\lambda_j := \ln(\Lambda_j)/\delta t$ as the “SRDMD exponents”. In the following, we refer to the SRDMD mode $\psi_0$ with $\Lambda_j \approx 1$ ($\lambda_j \approx 0$) as the “marginal mode” and sort the rest according to their normalised spectra (Tu et al. 2014) in descending $|\Lambda_j|^m ||c_j\psi_j||$. Note that ordering the SRDMD modes in this way amplifies (penalizes) those that grow (decay) by multiplying them with their respective multiplier raised to $m$. With these definitions, we can now write the SRDMD approximation of the time-evolution as

$$
\tilde{\xi}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{N_{\tilde{\Lambda}}-1} c_j \psi_j e^{\lambda_j t} \approx \xi(t),
$$

with $N_{\tilde{\Lambda}}$ being the number of SRDMD modes. This approximation can be used to predict future states of the system and study its dynamic behavior.
where \(c_j\) are the SRDMD coefficients and \(N_d \leq r\) is the number of SRDMD modes used to reconstruct the velocity field. Following Page & Kerswell (2019), we set the coefficients \(c_j\) as those that minimise the cost function

\[
\mathcal{J}(c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{N_d-1}) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \|\xi(t_k) - \tilde{\xi}(t_k)\|^2. \tag{4.2}
\]

We compute the SVD of \(\Xi\) using the method of snapshots (Sirovich 1987a) and follow Holmes et al. (1996); Sirovich (1989) to truncate it such that a sufficiently large fraction \(c_\sigma\) of the total energy is captured and no neglected mode contains, on average, more than a small fraction \(c_\chi\) of the energy contained in the first mode. Namely

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \sigma_i^2 > c_\sigma \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sigma_i^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{m-r} \sum_{i=r}^{m-1} \sigma_i^2 < c_\chi \sigma_0^2, \tag{4.3}
\]

where \(\sigma_i\) are the singular values. For all of our results to follow, we set \(\sigma_\sigma = 99.99\%\) and \(\sigma_\chi = 0.1\%\) which we determined by ensuring that higher-rank truncations do not alter the leading SRDMD exponents in the first two digits.

5. Relative Invariant Solutions and their SRDMD

Page & Kerswell (2019, 2020) demonstrated how DMD captures dynamics of the plane-Couette flow near simple equilibria and periodic orbits defined by

\[
\mathbf{u}_{\text{eq}}(t) = \mathbf{u}_{\text{eq}}(0) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{u}_{\text{po}}(t + T_{\text{po}}) = \mathbf{u}_{\text{po}}(t), \tag{5.1}
\]

respectively. The equilibria of the plane-Couette flow belong to the flow-invariant subspaces of \(S_1 \mathbf{R}_z\) and \(S_2 \mathbf{R}_{xy}\), where \(S_1\) and \(S_2\) are some elements of plane-Couette flow’s symmetry group. Invariance of these solutions under the symmetries involving reflections \(\mathbf{R}_z\) and \(\mathbf{R}_{xy}\) restricts their dynamics to the space of “non-drifting” velocity fields, see Gibson et al. (2009) for details. Besides the equilibria, these flow-invariant subspaces can also accommodate periodic orbits. Alternatively, the periodic orbits can be formed by two periods of a “preperiodic” (Budanur & Cvitanović 2016) solution defined by \(\mathbf{u}_{\text{ppo}}(t) = S_r \mathbf{u}_{\text{ppo}}(t)\), where \(S_r \in \mathcal{G}\) satisfies \(S_r^2 = I\). When such symmetries are not present, the generic solutions of plane-Couette flow exhibit streamwise and spanwise drifts. The simplest invariant solutions with drifts are the relative equilibria that satisfy

\[
\mathbf{u}_{\text{req}}(t) = \mathbf{T}(c_x t, c_z t) \mathbf{u}_{\text{req}}(0), \tag{5.2}
\]

where \(c_x\) and \(c_z\) are phase velocities; and the drifting counterpart of the periodic orbits are the relative periodic orbits defined by

\[
\mathbf{u}_{\text{rpo}}(t + T_{\text{rpo}}) = \mathbf{T}(\Delta x_{\text{rpo}}, \Delta z_{\text{rpo}}) \mathbf{u}_{\text{rpo}}(t). \tag{5.3}
\]

As our first demonstration of SRDMD, we apply it to trajectories in the vicinity of the relative equilibrium \(\text{TW}_3\), a travelling wave originally found by Gibson et al. (2009) in the W03 domain.†

Figure 2(a) shows the SRDMD exponents (blue crosses) computed using five trajectories initiated as random perturbations to \(\xi_{\text{TW}_3}\) with perturbation amplitudes equal to \(10^{-2} \|\xi_{\text{TW}_3}\|\). For this calculation, we integrated each of these trajectories for 50 time units and sampled the resulting states at time steps of 0.1. From each of the five trajectories, we randomly selected 150 pairs of \((\xi, \xi')\) separated by \(\delta t = 1\) to construct \(\Xi\) and \(\Xi'\). For comparison, figure 2(a) also

† The data for this solution is available in the channelflow.org database.
shows the linear stability spectrum of TW \textsubscript{3}, which we approximated via Arnoldi iteration (Trefethen & Bau 1997) using its channel\textsubscript{flow} implementation. As shown, the SRDMD exponents yield an approximation to the linear stability eigenvalues of the travelling wave.

To further illustrate how SRDMD captures dynamics in the vicinity of a travelling wave, we perturbed TW \textsubscript{3} in the directions of the linear stability vectors corresponding to the eigenvalues \( \lambda_2 \) and \( \lambda_6 \) (counting eigenvalues starting from the most unstable \( \lambda_1 \)), and computed four-dimensional SRDMD approximations to these trajectories. In figure 2(b,c) these trajectories and their SRDMD approximations are visualized as projections onto the hyperplanes spanned by the real and imaginary parts of the leading non-marginal SRDMD modes, centred around their respective marginal modes. In these and all of our state space projections to follow, the axes correspond to \( p_i = \langle \xi(t) - \psi_0, \psi_i \rangle \) and \( p_i = \langle \hat{\xi}(t) - \psi_0, \psi_i \rangle \) for DNS trajectories and their SRDMD approximations, respectively. As shown, SRDMD nicely captures the spiral-out/in dynamics of these unstable/stable neighbourhoods.

In order to demonstrate how the drifting motion of the travelling wave obscures the dynamics when the continuous symmetries are not taken into consideration, we repeated our spectrum calculation and approximations to the unstable/stable subspaces without reducing the continuous symmetries. As shown in figure 2 (d), when the drifts are not eliminated, the DMD exponents show no resemblance to the spectrum of TW \textsubscript{3} and the individual DMD approximations are completely dominated by the drifts as indicated by the approximately-periodic (Page & Kerswell 2020) spectrum calculation and approximations to the unstable/stable subspaces without reducing dynamics when the continuous symmetries are not taken into consideration, we repeated our calculation and approximations to the unstable/stable subspaces without reducing the continuous symmetries. As shown in figure 2 (d), when the drifts are not eliminated, the DMD exponents show no resemblance to the spectrum of TW \textsubscript{3} and the individual DMD approximations are completely dominated by the drifts as indicated by the approximately-periodic circular projections in figure 2(e,f).

As our second application, we adapt the DMD-based periodic orbit search method of Page & Kerswell (2020) to relative periodic orbits. To this end, we simulate the plane-Couette flow in the HKW cell, sample the trajectory with frequency 0.1, and compute the SRDMD approximations to relative periodic orbits. To this end, we simulate the plane-Couette circular projections in figure 2(e,f).

As our second application, we adapt the DMD-based periodic orbit search method of Page & Kerswell (2020) to relative periodic orbits. To this end, we simulate the plane-Couette flow in the HKW cell, sample the trajectory with frequency 0.1, and compute the SRDMD approximations to relative periodic orbits. To this end, we simulate the plane-Couette circular projections in figure 2(e,f).

As our second application, we adapt the DMD-based periodic orbit search method of Page & Kerswell (2020) to relative periodic orbits. To this end, we simulate the plane-Couette flow in the HKW cell, sample the trajectory with frequency 0.1, and compute the SRDMD approximations to relative periodic orbits. To this end, we simulate the plane-Couette circular projections in figure 2(e,f).
Figure 2: (a) Linear stability eigenvalues (+) of the travelling wave TW$_3$ approximated via Arnoldi iteration and the SRDMD exponents (×, blue) computed from randomly perturbed trajectories in TW$_3$’s vicinity. (b) A trajectory on TW$_3$’s unstable manifold confined to the plane spanned by Re $\lambda_2$ and Im $\lambda_2$ (magenta + markers in (a)) and its SRDMD approximation projected onto the same plane (see main text). (c) A trajectory on TW$_3$’s stable manifold confined to the plane spanned by Re $\lambda_6$ and Im $\lambda_6$ (green + markers in (a)) and its SRDMD approximation projected onto the same plane (see main text). (d–f) same as (a–c) without symmetry reduction.

Note that the converged orbit’s period is approximately 4/3 of the DMD time window, demonstrating that SRDMD is capable of producing an initial condition for a relative periodic orbit search even when the orbit is not followed by the chaotic dynamics for a full period. This can also be seen by comparing the state space projections of the guess episode shown in figure 3(c) to that of the converged orbit in figure 3(f) onto the subspaces spanned by the leading non-marginal SRDMD modes. Hence, SRDMD successfully extends Page & Kerswell (2020)’s periodic orbit detection method to the relative periodic orbits with spatial drifts. The SRDMD of the converged orbit computed using snapshots separated by $\delta t = 1$ along one full period approximates a Fourier expansion as indicated by the exponents with Re $\lambda < 10^{-4}$ located at the harmonics of $f = 1/T_{rpo}$ as shown in figure 3(e). This is expected since continuous symmetry reduction transforms the relative periodic orbit to a periodic one, for which the DMD corresponds to a Fourier expansion (Rowley et al. 2009). For comparison in figure 3(g,h), we show the DMD exponents corresponding to the same dataset and spectra of the relative periodic orbit without reducing its symmetry, which shows no resemblance to that of a Fourier series. Finally, figure 3(i) shows the state space projection of the converged relative periodic orbit without symmetry reduction where the separation of the initial and final states is clearly seen. This is also shown in Supplementary Movie 3, where the flow structures of the initial and final states appear at the same spots only after symmetry reduction.
Figure 3: (a) SRDMD exponents and (b) spectrum of the episode from which the initial guess for a relative periodic orbit RPO_{79.4} is constructed. (c) State space projection of this episode and its SRDMD approximation onto the plane spanned by the leading non-marginal SRDMD modes centred around the marginal mode. (d) SRDMD exponents and (e) spectrum of the RPO_{79.4}. (f) State space projection of the RPO_{79.4} and its SRDMD approximation. (g) DMD exponents, (h) DMD spectrum of the same orbit without symmetry reduction; and (i) the corresponding state space projections. In (b), (e) and (h) \( f_j = |\text{Im } \lambda_j|/2\pi \) and the dashed vertical lines correspond to multiples of the RPO’s fundamental frequency \( 2\pi/T_{\text{RPO}} \), where \( T_{\text{RPO}} \) is the period of the RPO_{79.4}.

6. Locally-linear approximations by SRDMD

Through the examples of the previous section, we demonstrated how symmetry reduction enables DMD to capture the transitional/low-Re dynamics in the vicinity of the relative invariant solutions of plane-Couette flow with spatial drifts. We now turn our attention to plane-Poiseuille flow domains P2K and P5K, corresponding to \( Re = 2000 \) and \( Re = 5000 \), respectively, see table 1. Both of these domains are significantly more complex than the settings considered in the previous section, hence, searching for invariant solutions in them is impractical. As we shall illustrate, one can nevertheless utilize SRDMD in this problem to discover turbulent episodes that can be transiently approximated by a low-dimensional linear expansion.

We consider turbulent channel flow simulations in P2K and P5K, each spanning a time interval \([0, 2000]\). We compute SRDMD of the data sets \( \Xi = [\xi_0, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{m-1}] \) and \( \Xi' = [\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_m] \) where \( \xi_n \) are the symmetry-reduced fluid states sampled at \( \delta t \) over sliding time windows of length \( T_w \). In P2K, we took \( \delta t = 1 \) and \( T_w \in \{30, 60, 100\} \), whereas in P5K, we set these to half of their values, i.e. \( \delta t = 0.5 \) and \( T_w \in \{15, 30, 50\} \), approximately matching the P2K values in wall units. In order to compare different episodes and window lengths, we construct SRDMD approximations (4.1) with \( N_d = 11 \) (or 12, depending on
the number of complex conjugate exponents in the dominant part of the SRDMD spectrum, such that if a complex mode is in the spectrum, so is its complex conjugate). We evaluate the accuracy of SRDMD by measuring the residual

$$\overline{R}(t) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{\|\tilde{\xi}(t + k \delta t) - \xi(t + k \delta t)\|}{\|\xi(t + k \delta t)\|},$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.1)$$

which is the mean relative error of the SRDMD approximation (4.1) to the time window \([t, t + T_w]\). Figure 4 shows the residuals (6.1) of our SRDMD approximations with \(N_d \leq 12\) to the sliding windows of the turbulent channel flow data. Low-error episodes are detected along the turbulent trajectory and appear to be clustered around certain time instants, for example around \(t \approx 250, 950\) and 1250 in figure 4(a), thus signalling portions of the turbulent evolution that can be well captured by a reduced linear expansion. As expected, the dips in the \(\overline{R}(t)\) curves are most marked for the shortest window lengths \(T_w = 30\) (P2K) and \(T_w = 15\) (P5K), although they are still distinguishable for longer time windows. In the rest of this section, we focus on SRDMD approximations at \(T_w = 60\) and \(T_w = 30\) in P2K and P5K, respectively (orange curves in figure 4), and illustrate different dynamical behaviours captured by them.

Figure 5(a) shows the SRDMD spectrum of the data window corresponding to \(t \in [1280, 1340]\) in P2K, where the coloured crosses indicate the dominant part of the spectrum and the black ones show the first three discarded modes. To illustrate the flow structures captured by SRDMD, figure 5(b–d) shows three-dimensional visualizations of \(\psi_0\), \(\Re \psi_1\) and
Figure 5: SRDMD in the time window $t \in [1280, 1340)$ of the P2K domain. (a) Normalised SRDMD spectrum where $f_j = |\text{Im } \lambda_j|/2\pi$. The coloured symbols correspond to the modes that are included in the sum (4.1), while the black symbols are the first three discarded modes. (b–d) Three-dimensional visualizations of the SRDMD modes $\psi_0$ (b), $\text{Re } \psi_1$ (c) and $\text{Im } \psi_1$ (d), where the red/blue isosurfaces show $u = 0.5 \max/\min u$ and the green/purple indicate the streamwise vorticity isosurfaces $\omega_x = 0.5 \max/\min \omega_x$. (e) DMD spectrum (without symmetry reduction) of the same episode. (f–h) Three-dimensional visualizations of the DMD modes. The colours of the bounding boxes in three-dimensional visualizations correspond to the (SR)DMD modes marked with the same colour on the spectra on the left.

In figure 5(b–d) and the rest of the flow visualizations of this paper, the red/blue isosurfaces show $u = 0.5 \max/\min u$ and the green/purple isosurfaces show $\omega_x = 0.5 \max/\min \omega_x$, where $\omega_x$ is the streamwise vorticity. Once again, for comparison in figure 5(e) we show the DMD spectrum, without symmetry reduction, of the same episode. For this computation, we needed a temporal resolution of $\delta t = 0.1$ because the timescale associated with advection is much faster than those of the coherent structures. As shown in figure 5(e) in the absence of symmetry reduction the dominant frequency of the spectrum approximately coincides with the drift frequency $f_d = U_b/L_x \approx 0.23$, where $U_b = 2/3$ is the bulk velocity. In contrast to the complex flow structures captured by the SRDMD, in this case the marginal mode (figure 5(f)) shows elongated structures and the leading non-marginal DMD mode aligns with the first streamwise Fourier mode (figure 5(g,h)) as signified by the fact that $\text{Im } \psi_1$ (figure 5(g)) is virtually the same as $\text{Re } \psi_1$ (figure 5(h)) up to a quarter-domain shift in the $x$ direction. We see that even for the limited time window that we consider here, the streamwise drift of channel flow completely dominates its DMD.

As illustrations of different dynamical regimes captured by SRDMD, in figure 6 we show state space projections of several time windows and their SRDMD approximations onto the subspaces spanned by complex conjugate SRDMD modes. In figure 6(a,b), we see spiral-out/in dynamics corresponding to the low-error episodes in figure 4(a), reminiscent of similar episodes that we illustrated for a travelling wave in figure 2 (c,d). In figure 6(c), we show a nearly-periodic trajectory at $Re = 2000$, which we detected at a minimum of the periodicity indicator (5.4) with $n_h = 4$, $\mu^{\max} = 0.1$ and $\epsilon^{th} = 2 \times 10^{-3}$. As expected, at $Re = 5000$ the dynamics is significantly more complex, nevertheless the resemblance of state space
Figure 6: State space projections of DNS trajectories and their SRDMD approximations from (a–c) the P2K and (d–f) the P5K domains onto complex SRDMD modes centred around the marginal modes. The episodes correspond to (a) \( t \in [240, 300] \), (b) \( t \in [1280, 1340] \), (c) \( t \in [1300, 1360] \) in P2K and (d,e) \( t \in [425, 455] \), and (f) \( t \in [1680, 1710] \) in P5K.

projections of DNS data and their SRDMD approximations can also be seen in figure 6(a–c). Differently from figure 6(a,b), here, we do not see simple spiral-in/out dynamics, but several instabilities at play, as illustrated by figure 6(d,e) which shows the projections of the same low-error episode into the subspaces spanned by the corresponding SRDMD modes \( \psi_2 \) and \( \psi_4 \). Finally, figure 6(f) shows a nearly-periodic episode in the P5K domain detected at a minimum of the periodicity indicator (5.4) using the same parameters as P2K.

For the spiral-out event starting at \( t = 1280 \) in P2K (figure 6(b)), we compare the evolution of the flow structures reconstructed using SRDMD to those of the original turbulent dynamics, see figure 7 and Supplementary Movie 4. The SRDMD spectrum and the first two SRDMD modes for this time window were displayed in figure 5. As shown in figure 7, SRDMD can capture the evolution of streaks and rolls, visualised as isosurfaces of streamwise velocity and streamwise vorticity, respectively, with only 12 modes. In particular, it can capture the initial growth of the rolls which then break up into smaller structures and appear to decay towards the end of the time window while the streaks start meandering.

As our final illustration, in figure 8, we show flow states and their SRDMD approximations corresponding to the time window [425, 455] of the P5K domain. Although the one-to-one correspondence of vortical structures is not as evident as in the P2K configuration, the streak evolution is still captured by the SRDMD approximation.
7. Conclusion and outlook

One of the main motivations behind studying the invariant solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations follows from the observation that, together with their stable and unstable manifolds, the invariant solutions provide “efficient” bases for approximating chaotic dynamics in their vicinity. This is also illustrated in figure 3 of the present paper where the state space projection of the turbulent trajectory in figure 3(c) shows the features of the nearby relative periodic orbit, shown in figure 3(f). The main message that we hope to convey with the present paper is that such seemingly simple dynamics can also be found in more complicated settings, such as the turbulent plane-Poiseuille flow that we studied here. We would like to point out to the similarities between the Poiseuille flow state space projections shown in figure 6(a,b) and those in the vicinity of a simple travelling wave shown in figure 2(b,c). Although the spatial structures captured by the SRDMD modes are considerably complex as illustrated in figure 5(b–c) and figure 7 in the Poiseuille case, the temporal dynamics is surprisingly similar to that in the vicinity of a travelling wave. Similarly, the state space projection of the nearly-periodic Poiseuille flow episode in figure 6(c) is reminiscent of that of an actual shadowing of a relative periodic orbit by turbulence shown in figure 3(c). In the light of these observations, we speculate that the dynamics captured in figure 5(b–c) can be viewed as those in the neighbourhoods of pseudoinvariant solutions, thus the corresponding SRDMD approximations to turbulence can be potentially utilized in data-driven turbulence models. Such an approach would require us to develop computational tools for the quantification of similarities of different SRDMD bases and their subsequent clustering with an ultimate goal of state space coarse-graining as recently achieved by Yalnız et al. (2021) using periodic orbits. Another direction that we plan to explore is whether simple SRDMD approximations which we identified in the present work can be associated with certain processes of interest such as rare high-dissipation events (Farazmand & Sapsis 2019). Although these and similar questions would require further tools, we believe that the results of the present work constitute a promising first step.

While symmetry reduction enables us to apply DMD to flows with continuous symmetries, it, of course, does not eliminate limitations that are intrinsic to DMD itself. One of these
Figure 8: Three-dimensional visualizations of symmetry-reduced flow states and their SRDMD approximations for the time window $t \in [425, 455]$ in the P5K domain.

is already apparent in figure 4 where the episodes with low reconstruction error mostly disappear when the SRDMD window is extended to $T_w = 100$ and $T_w = 50$ in the P2K and P5K domains, respectively. In general, we do not expect to find low-dimensional SRDMD approximations for longer time horizons since, even though DMD modes are nonlinear and non-orthogonal, the temporal dynamics constructed by them is still linear. Recently, Linot & Graham (2020) has shown that a combination of symmetry reduction, an autoencoder and a reservoir computer can be used to approximate the spatiotemporally chaotic dynamics of the one-dimensional Kuramoto–Sivashinsky equation. Replacing DMD with such deep learning methods can extend the prediction horizon beyond what can be approximated by SRDMD. Similar to the finite-time horizon, we also expect SRDMD to perform poorly in larger domains since turbulent flows have finite correlation lengths. This can be readily seen comparing the snapshots in figure 7 to those in figure 8 where the height difference of the two domains, which have the same length and width in wall units, is apparent. Consequently, more flow structures are present in the wall-normal extent of the $Re = 5000$ domain and the corresponding SRDMD approximations figure 6(b,e) are considerably more complex. One obvious remedy to this might be replacing the $L_2$ inner product (2.2) which we used here with one incorporating a spatial filter that isolates a shorter wall-normal extent, or any other dynamical region of interest if SRDMD is applied to a flow in a larger domain.

Finally, we would like to note that in addition to being the first continuous symmetry reduction method for channel flows, a novel aspect of the present formulation is our optimization-based determination of the wall-normal dependence of the slice templates. Previous implementations (Willis et al. 2016; Budanur & Hof 2017, 2018) of the first Fourier mode slice in three-dimensional pipe flows in which both homogeneous (axial and azimuthal) and inhomogeneous (radial) directions are present relied on trial-and-error in determining
the radial dependence of slice templates. The template optimization procedure developed here provides a systematic alternative to this which we recommend for future applications of the first Fourier mode slice in similar setups. In hopes to lower the technical barriers for such future applications, we provide our codes in Yalñız et al. (2022) as examples.
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