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Abstract

Acoustic waves in a slightly compressible fluid saturating porous periodic structure are studied using two complementary approaches: 1) the periodic homogenization (PH) method provides effective model equations for a general dynamic problem imposed in a bounded medium, 2) harmonic acoustic waves are studied in an infinite medium using the Floquet-Bloch (FB) wave decomposition. In contrast with usual simplifications, the advection phenomenon of the Navier-Stokes equations is accounted for. For this, an acoustic approximation is applied to linearize the advection term. The homogenization results are based the periodic unfolding method combined with the asymptotic expansion technique providing a straight upscaling procedure which leads to the macroscopic model defined in terms of the effective model parameters. These are computed using the characteristic responses of the porous microstructure. Using the FB theory, we derive dispersion equations for the scaffolds saturated by the inviscid, or the viscous, barotropic fluids, whereby the advection due to a permanent flow in the porous structures is respected. A computational study is performed for the numerical models obtained using the finite element discretization. For the FB methods-based dispersion analysis, quadratic eigenvalue problems must be solved. The numerical examples show influences of the microstructure size and of the advection generating an anisotropy of the acoustic waves dispersion.
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1. Introduction

Modelling of acoustic waves in fluid saturated porous media has been treated mostly using the homogenization theory \cite{27,12}, or using the phenomenological models based on the theory of porous media \cite{3,4}. Although a large body of literature devoted to this topic exists, some acoustic phenomena related to the nonlinearity arising from the flow model deserve a further attention. New challenges for the modelling of these phenomena emerge due to applications in biomedicine \cite{26}, chemistry and smart material design \cite{31}. As a step forward, we aim to explore models of the acoustic wave propagation in viscous and inviscid fluids saturating periodic rigid porous structures (scaffolds). Thus, we consider waves propagating only in the fluid flowing through channels with a periodic structure. To give an example of such structures, these can be created by sintered ceramic fibres, see \cite{16}, where elastic waves in
the solid were studied without effects of the fluid. On the contrary, here we consider waves propagating in the fluid only, while neglecting compliance of the solid.

It is well known that the standard Darcy law describing slow viscous flows in porous materials can be derived rigorously by the asymptotic homogenization of the steady Stokes flow \cite{27}, cf. \cite{32} where the unfolding method of homogenization was employed. Homogenization of the non-stationary incompressible Stokes flow was treated using the two-scale convergence method in \cite{1}. The obtained Darcy permeability serves as the time-convolution kernel which, upon being transformed in the frequency domain, yields the so-called dynamic permeability. In this way, the viscodynamic operator \cite{23} can be introduced which comprises the tortuosity effects. Flows in periodic channels governed by the non-stationary Navier-Stokes (N-S) equations including the advection term were treated in \cite{20}, where the critical case of scaling the viscosity and the velocity with respect to the pressure was discovered. Using this critical scaling, the formal asymptotic expansion technique of homogenization was employed in \cite{33} to derive the Forchheimer law from the N-S equations with the inertia terms. Upscaling of compressible, or incompressible flows governed by the N-S equations was reported also e.g. in \cite{21, 19, 6, 15}, computational aspects were studied in a number of papers \cite{17, 24, 22}.

In this paper, we consider acoustic wave propagation in periodic scaffolds saturated by Newtonian, or inviscid, slightly compressible barotropic fluids. To respect the advection effects related to the permanent flow which is assumed to be independent of the acoustic perturbations, a linearization is employed to establish approximate models of acoustic waves. For the inviscid fluid, a reduced model involving the pressure only is derived. We restrict the study to the first order linearization of the fluid advection, but assume that the permanent flow can be quite important. In this context, to capture the acoustic streaming effect, where the flow is generated by the acoustic waves, higher order approximations are needed \cite{5, 26, 14}.

Two approaches are examined to analyze the wave dispersion: the periodic homogenization (PH) and the Floquet-Bloch wave decomposition (FB), cf. \cite{11}, enabling to analyze waves of lengths comparable with the periodicity size. Using both these approaches we derive models of viscous and inviscid fluids in the rigid scaffolds, respecting the advection effect of the permanent fluid flow upon which perturbations induced by the wave propagation are superimposed.

Pursuing the first approach for inviscid fluid, a macroscopic model governing the pressure fluctuations is derived, which involves an advection term related to the time rate of the pressure. For the viscous fluid, the homogenization of the N-S equations in the rigid skeleton provides the dynamic permeability of the effective porous medium. The dispersion phenomenon is remarkable especially for low frequencies, whereas constant phase velocity characterizes the asymptotic behaviour for larger wave numbers. In both the cases, the PH approach captures the wave propagation for wave lengths significantly larger than the characteristic porosity size corresponding to one period of the lattice. Using the second approach, the FB decomposition enables to capture the wave response for wave numbers within the whole first Brillouin zone. We derive the equations of the cell problems describing the local fluctuations of the wave polarization. The dispersion analysis leads to quadratic eigenvalue problems (QEP). In the case of viscous fluids, the QEP with rather complicated structure appears, that requires a suitable linearization demanding new variables to be introduced. The computational analysis for the inviscid fluid model involving 3D scaffolds with variable porosity is performed, showing the porosity influence on a frequency band gap opening between the two lowest modes. However, the main emphasis is put on the illustration of the permanent flow advection influence on the sound speed, in both the inviscid and viscous fluids.
The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, the model of fluid flow in porous structures and the acoustic waves are introduced using a decomposition of the model responses into the steady part and the acoustic fluctuations. For the inviscid and viscous fluid saturating periodic rigid scaffolds, the respective homogenized models are derived in Section 3, where also the pressure plane wave propagation in the homogenized medium is described for the two fluids. In Section 4, the Floquet-Bloch wave decomposition is applied to analyze the wave propagation in the considered porous structures; the generalized quadratic eigenvalue problems resulting from the finite-element discretization of the weak formulations are introduced and their linearization is explained. Both the modelling approaches are illustrated on examples of 2D and 3D periodic scaffolds in Section 5. There the dispersion analysis performed by solving the eigenvalue problems resulting from the Floquet-Bloch wave decomposition is compared with the corresponding approximation obtained by the homogenized model for viscous and inviscid fluids, whereby the size effects and advection phenomena are explored. Some technical results employed in the paper are postponed in the Appendix.

General notations. Spatial position of a point is specified by its Cartesian coordinates, \( x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \), where \( \mathbb{R} \) is the set of real numbers. The boldface notation for vectors \( \mathbf{a} = (a_i) \) and second-order tensors \( \mathbf{b} = (b_{ij}) \) is used. The second-order identity tensor is denoted by \( \mathbf{I} = (\delta_{ij}) \). The fourth-order elasticity tensor is denoted by \( \mathbf{D} = (D_{ijkl}) \). The superposed dot denotes a derivative with respect to time. The gradient, divergence and Laplace operators are denoted by \( \nabla, \nabla \cdot \) and \( \nabla^2 \), respectively. When these operators have a subscript referring to the space variable, it is for indicating that the operator acts relatively at this space variable, for instance \( \nabla_x = (\partial^x_i) = (\partial/\partial x_i) \). The symbol dot ‘.’ denotes the scalar product between two vectors and the symbol colon ‘:’ stands for scalar (inner) product of two second-order tensors, e.g. \( \mathbf{A} : \mathbf{B} = A_{ij}B_{ij} = \text{tr}[\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{B}] = A_{ki}B_{kj}\delta_{ij} \), where \( \text{tr}[\cdot] \) is the trace of a tensor and superscript \( T \) in \( \mathbf{B}^T \) is the transposition operator. Operator \( \otimes \) designates the tensor product between two vectors, e.g. \( \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{v} = (a_iv_j) \). Standard notations for functional spaces are adhered. Throughout the paper, \( x \) denotes the global (“macroscopic”) coordinates, while the “local” coordinates \( y \) describe positions within the representative unit cell \( Y \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \). The normal vectors on a boundary of domains \( \Omega_{\alpha} \) (or \( Y_{\alpha} \)) are denoted by \( \mathbf{n}^\alpha \), \( \alpha = s, f \), to distinguish their orientation outward to \( \Omega_{\alpha} \) (or \( Y_{\alpha} \)) when dealing with the solid-fluid interfaces. By \( e(\mathbf{w}) = 1/2(\nabla \mathbf{w} + (\nabla \mathbf{w})^T) \) we denote the strain of a vector field \( \mathbf{w} \) (displacements, or velocities). The “tilde”-notation can have various meanings which are explained through the text and are clear within the particular context. The following standard functional spaces are used: by \( L^2(\Omega) \) we refer to square integrable functions defined in an open bounded domain \( \Omega \); by \( H^1(\Omega) \) we mean the Sobolev space \( W^{1,2}(\Omega) \subset L^2(\Omega) \) formed by square integrable functions including their first generalized derivatives; space \( C_0^\infty(\Omega) \) is constituted by infinitely differentiable functions with the compact support, thus, with zero trace on \( \partial \Omega \); Bold notation is used to denote spaces of vector-valued functions, e.g. \( \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega) \); by subscript \( \# \) we refer to the \( Y \)-periodic functions.

2. Model of fluid flow in porous structures and acoustic waves

We introduce formulations for acoustic waves in a fluid saturating channels \( \Omega_f \) of a porous two-phase medium situated in an open bounded domain \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \). The solid skeleton occupies domain \( \Omega_s \subset \Omega \), whereas viscous, or inviscid slightly compressible fluids saturate the pores \( \Omega_f = \Omega \setminus \Omega_s \) constituting a connected network of channels whose walls \( \Gamma_{fs} = \overline{\Omega_s} \cap \Omega_f \) are
impermeable for the fluid. Each of the two domains $\Omega_k$, with $k = s, f$ are connected, hence also their interface $\Gamma_{fs}$ is connected. The fluid can flow through, or be loaded on the external part of $\partial \Omega_f$, denoted by $\partial_{\text{ext}} \Omega_f = \partial \Omega_f \setminus \Gamma_{fs}$. Obviously, $\partial_{\text{ext}} \Omega_f \subset \partial \Omega$ can be decomposed according to the specific boundary conditions.

We recall the Navier-Stokes equations; the fluid velocity $w$ and pressure $p$ satisfy

$$
\rho \left( \frac{d}{dt} w + w \cdot \nabla w \right) = -\nabla p + \nabla \cdot \mathbb{D} e(w),
$$

$$
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho w) = 0,
$$

where $\rho$ is the fluid density, and $\mathbb{D} e(w)$ represents the viscous stress given by the velocity strain $e(w) = 1/2(\nabla w + (\nabla w)^T)$ and by the viscosity tensor, $\mathbb{D} = (D_{ijkl})$ with $D_{ijkl} = \eta \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} + \mu (\delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} + \delta_{il} \delta_{jk})$ depending on the 1st and the 2nd viscosity, $\mu$ and $\eta$, respectively.

2.1. Assumptions for acoustic wave decomposition and linearization

We shall study acoustic waves propagating in a slightly compressible viscous, or inviscid fluid, while thermal effects are disregarded (the barotropic fluid). The fluid flows through the rigid porous structure, whereby the following assumptions are made:

(A1) The total fields $w$, $p$ and the mass density of the fluid, $\rho$, are split into the “stationary flow” parts $\bar{w}$, $\bar{p}$ and $\bar{\rho}$ and the “acoustic fluctuation” parts $\tilde{w}$, $\tilde{p}$ and $\tilde{\rho}$, so that

$$
w = \bar{w} + \tilde{w}, \quad p = \bar{p} + \tilde{p}, \quad \rho = \bar{\rho} + \tilde{\rho}.
$$

(A2) The fluid is assumed to be homogeneous and under the stationary flow described by $(\bar{w}, \bar{p}, \bar{\rho})$ is considered as incompressible, thus

$$
\nabla \bar{\rho} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \bar{w} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \bar{\rho} = 0.
$$

(A3) The acoustic perturbations introduced due to the split [2.2] are small, so that mutual multiplications of any two perturbations is neglected. This assumption is important for the linearization.

(A4) The acoustic response is barotropic: denoting by $p_0$ and $\rho_0$ reference state variables, it holds that $p - p_0 = c^2 (\rho - \rho_0)$, where $c^2$ is the squared acoustic velocity $c = \sqrt{k_f/\rho_0}$ with the bulk stiffness $k_f = 1/\gamma_f$, thus, $\gamma_f$ is the fluid compressibility for the reference state. Since we consider the steady flow as incompressible, $\bar{\rho} = \bar{\rho}_0$, the reference pressure $p_0$ can be associated with the one of the steady flow, i.e. $\bar{p} \approx \bar{p}_0$. As a consequence, we have $\tilde{p} = c^2 \tilde{\rho}$. In this paper we adhere to this linear approximation which is adequate in the context of the incompressibility of the stationary flow part, assumption A2). A nonlinear relationship between the pressure and the density, as treated e.g. by [13], is required to handle some “higher order effects”, such as the acoustic streaming, see e.g. [14].

(A5) The stationary flow is characterized by a periodic velocity field $\bar{w}$. This assumption is needed to analyze the acoustic waves using the Floquet-Bloch theory.
The above assumptions lead the following equations governing the acoustic fluctuations \((\tilde{u}, \tilde{p})\) of the velocity and pressure fields (see Appendix A),

\[
\rho_0 \left( \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{u} + \tilde{w} \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} + \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla \tilde{w} \right) = -\nabla \tilde{p} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} e(\tilde{u}),
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \tilde{p} + \tilde{w} \cdot \nabla \tilde{p} = -k_f \nabla \cdot \tilde{u},
\]

while \((\bar{w}, \bar{p})\) describing the stationary flow in the periodic scaffolds satisfy

\[
\rho_0 \bar{w} \cdot \nabla \bar{w} + \nabla \bar{p} - \mu \nabla^2 \bar{w} = \bar{f},
\]

\[
\nabla \cdot \bar{w} = 0,
\]

where \(\bar{f}\) is the volume force.

Concerning Assumption (A5), in general, periodic heterogeneities in the flow can be caused by different aspects:

- presence of periodically distributed obstacles (or flow in a periodic porous structure) – static fluid;
- periodic heterogeneities in the fluid parameters; such a situation is relevant for a static fluid, \(i.e. \bar{w} \equiv 0\), for instance two fluids, air bubbles...);
- fluid flow through a periodic porous structure with non-negligible convection velocity which is periodically perturbed due to the porous structure.

### 2.2. Inviscid fluid

In this section we consider an inviscid compressible fluid characterized by constants \(\rho_0\) and \(k_f\) only, since the vanishing viscosity cancels the second right hand side term in (2.4) involving \(\mathbf{D}\).

While for the viscous fluid model the advection velocity \(\bar{w}\) is computed using (2.5), for inviscid fluids the velocity field \(\bar{w}\) is assumed to have vanishing vorticity. Hence, it is determined by (2.5) governing the steady incompressible flow: \(\bar{w} = -\nabla \Psi\), where the potential \(\Psi\) satisfies

\[
-\nabla^2 \Psi = 0 \quad \text{in} \ \Omega_f,
\]

\[
\Psi = \bar{\Psi} \quad \text{on} \ \partial_{\text{ext}} \Omega_f,
\]

\[
\nu \cdot \nabla \Psi = 0 \quad \text{on} \ \Gamma_{fs}.
\]

The incompressibility condition \(\nabla \cdot \bar{w} = 0\) in \(\Omega_f\) and the impermeability of \(\Gamma_{fs}\) are verified.

For stationary fluids, \(i.e.\) when \(\bar{w} \equiv 0\), it is an easy exercise to eliminate velocity \(\tilde{u}\) from (2.4), recalling \(\mathbf{D}\) is zero, so that the Helmholtz equation governing the pressure fluctuations \(\tilde{p}\) is obtained. Alternatively, upon eliminating the pressure, the velocity must satisfy the wave equation supplemented by the zero vorticity constraint. The acoustic pressure waves in the free fluid propagate with the phase velocity \(c_f = \sqrt{k_f/\rho_0}\), whereas the acoustic waves in the scaffolds are governed by either of the following two hyperbolic equations,

\[
\text{pressure formulation:} \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \tilde{p} = c_f^2 \nabla^2 \tilde{p},
\]

\[
\text{velocity formulation:} \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \tilde{u} = c_f^2 \nabla (\nabla \cdot \tilde{u}) \quad \text{and} \ \nabla \times \tilde{u} = 0.
\]
When steady advection is respected, \( \vec{w} \neq 0 \), similar reduced formulations can be derived. However, it is not straightforward to eliminate the velocity and to arrive at a pressure formulation. For this, divergence operator is applied to \( (2.4) \), which yields,

\[
\rho_0 \left( \nabla \cdot \vec{u} + 2 \partial_k \vec{w}_i \partial_i \vec{u}_k + \vec{w} \cdot \nabla (\nabla \cdot \vec{u}) + \vec{u} \nabla (\nabla \cdot \vec{w}) \right) = -\nabla^2 \vec{p},
\]

where the last term on the left-hand side vanishes due to the incompressibility of \( \vec{w} \).

The following lemma is employed to treat the second left-hand side term.

**Lemma 2.1.** For any open bounded domain \( Q \subset \Omega_f \), it holds that

\[
\int_Q \partial_k \vec{w}_i \partial_i \vec{u}_k = \int_{\partial Q} (\vec{w}_i \partial_i \vec{u}_k - \vec{w}_k \partial_i \vec{u}_i) n_k + \int_Q \nabla \cdot \vec{w} \nabla \cdot \vec{u}.
\]

The proof is a straightforward consequence of \( \partial_i (\partial_k \vec{u}_i) = \partial_k (\nabla \cdot \vec{u}) \).

As the consequence of Lemma 2.1 and the incompressibility \( \nabla \cdot \vec{w} = 0 \), the following approximation may be considered,

\[
\langle \partial_i \vec{w}_k \partial_k \vec{u}_i \rangle_Q \approx |Q|^{-1} \int_{\partial Q} (\vec{w}_k \partial_k \vec{u}_i - \vec{w}_i \langle \nabla \cdot \vec{u} \rangle_Q) n_i \\
\approx \vec{w}_k \partial_k \langle \nabla \cdot \vec{u} \rangle_Q - |Q|^{-1} \int_{\partial Q} \vec{w}_i n_i \langle \nabla \cdot \vec{u} \rangle_Q \\
= \partial \vec{w} \langle \nabla \cdot \vec{u} \rangle_Q,
\]

where \( \langle \rangle_Q = |Q|^{-1} \int_Q \) is the average. Therefore, we can employ the approximate relationship

\[
\partial_i \vec{w}_k \partial_k \vec{u}_i \approx \vec{w} \cdot \nabla (\nabla \cdot \vec{u}).
\]

Using (2.11), and upon substituting \( \nabla \cdot \vec{u} \) by \( (2.4) \), the left hand side in (2.8) can be approximated, so that the acoustic waves in the pore fluid are governed by the following equation:

\[
\left( \frac{d}{dt} + \zeta \vec{w} \cdot \nabla \right) (\dot{\vec{p}} + \vec{w} \cdot \nabla \vec{p}) = \frac{c_f^2}{\rho_0} \nabla^2 \vec{p},
\]

where \( \zeta = 3 \) and \( c_f = \sqrt{\kappa_f / \rho_0} \). Further we define \( \theta = (1 + \zeta)/2 \), thus, \( \theta = 2 \). However, in what follows, we keep the abstract notation \( \zeta \) and \( \theta \).

**3. Homogenization of fluid in periodic porous structures**

We are confined to rigid scaffolds saturated by viscous, or inviscid fluids, so that, at the pore level, the acoustic waves are described by the models introduced in Section 2. The size of the porous microstructure is expressed by the scale parameter \( \varepsilon = \ell/L \) defined by the ratio of the micro- and macroscopic characteristic lengths, denoted by \( \ell \) and \( L \), respectively. The homogenization procedure applied to derive an effective model of the rigid-porous medium consists in the asymptotic analysis \( \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \) of the micro-model presented above.

The notation related to the geometry formerly introduced is adhered to, however, now the superscript \( \varepsilon \) is appended to respect the dependence on the scale, i.e. the fluid occupies pores \( \Omega^\varepsilon_f = \Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega^\varepsilon_s} \), bounded by the pore walls \( \Gamma^\varepsilon_f = \overline{\Omega^\varepsilon_s} \cap \overline{\Omega^\varepsilon_f} \), and by the external boundary of the pores \( \partial_{\text{ext}} \Omega^\varepsilon_f \).
3.1. Periodic microstructure

We consider a periodic structure of channels $\Omega^\varepsilon_f$ saturated by one homogeneous fluid. Due to the assumed periodicity of $\Omega^\varepsilon_f$, a representative periodic cell $Z^\varepsilon$ can be defined, which generates the fluid domain, see Fig. 1. A periodic cell $Z^\varepsilon = \prod_{i=1}^{3} [0, \bar{y}_i]$ can be introduced, such that $Z^\varepsilon = Z^\varepsilon_f \cup Z^\varepsilon_s \cup \partial_s Z^\varepsilon_f$, where $Z^\varepsilon_s$ is the solid skeleton and $\partial_s Z^\varepsilon_f$ is the fluid-solid interface. By $\partial_{\#} Z^\varepsilon_f = \partial Z^\varepsilon_f \setminus \partial_s Z^\varepsilon_f$ we denote the “periodic part” of the boundary. For the purpose of the homogenization we consider the “unit periodic cell” $Y = \varepsilon^{-1} Z^\varepsilon$ which consists of the fluid and solid parts, $Y_f = \varepsilon^{-1} Z^\varepsilon_f$ and $Y_s = \varepsilon^{-1} Z^\varepsilon_s$, respectively, accordingly the decomposition of $Z^\varepsilon$, thus, $Y = Y_f \cup Y_s \cup \Gamma$, where $\Gamma = Y_f \cap Y_s$ is the interface.

The homogenization procedure is presented below formally, without giving convergence proofs, however, the derivations of the limit equations can be followed. For this purpose, basics of the unfolding method are summarized in Appendix B. We use the standard notation; for any $D \subset Y$ we abbreviate $\int_D = \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_D$; note that usually one may chose $\bar{y}_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, such that $|Y| = 1$. Moreover, the following spaces are employed: $H^1_{\#}(Y_f) \subset H^1(Y_f)$ containing only $Y$-periodic functions. In analogy, a space of $Y$-periodic vector-valued functions is denoted by $H^1_{\#}(Y_f)$.

3.2. Homogenization of an inviscid fluid

We recall the notation with the superscript $\varepsilon$ indicating dependence on the scale of pores $\Omega^\varepsilon_f$. The parameters $\theta = 2$, $\zeta = 3$ and $c_f$ are fixed. The homogenization procedure based on the periodic unfolding method [8] will be applied formally using the truncated asymptotic expansions of the pressure $p^\varepsilon$ and the associated test functions $q^\varepsilon$ involved in the weak formulation introduced below. It arises from (2.12) supplemented by the Dirichlet boundary conditions given on $\partial_{\text{ext}} \Omega^\varepsilon_f$ by $\tilde{p}_\partial$, and non-penetration conditions on $\Gamma^\varepsilon_{fs}$, thus $\tilde{u} \cdot \nu = 0$. The specific choice of the boundary conditions on $\partial_{\text{ext}} \Omega^\varepsilon_f$ has no limiting consequences, since our aim is to analyze the wave dispersion in an unbounded media.

3.2.1. Weak formulation for the acoustic waves with advection in an inviscid fluid

Recalling Assumption (A5), an advection velocity field $\tilde{w}^\varepsilon$ involved in (2.12) by virtue of the projected gradients $\tilde{\partial_w}^\varepsilon := \tilde{w}^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla$ must be introduced. For this we consider homogenization...
of the potential flow \( \text{(2.6)} \); it is a classical academic problem of the homogenization in the perforated domains, see \textit{e.g.} \cite{2, 9}, nevertheless, a brief information on computing \( \tilde{w}^\varepsilon \) in response to a given macroscopic velocity \( \tilde{u}^0 \) is given in Appendix C.

We shall consider the following problem: Find \( \tilde{p} \in H^1(\Omega_f) \) such that \( \tilde{p} = \tilde{p}^0 \) a.e. on \( \partial_{\text{ext}} \Omega_f \), and

\[
\int_{\Omega_f} q^\varepsilon (\tilde{p}^\varepsilon + \theta \partial_{\text{ext}}^\varepsilon \tilde{p}^\varepsilon) - \int_{\Omega_f} \partial_{w}^\varepsilon q^\varepsilon (\theta \tilde{p}^\varepsilon + \zeta \partial_{w}^\varepsilon \tilde{p}^\varepsilon) + c_f^2 \int_{\Omega_f} \nabla \tilde{p}^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla q^\varepsilon = 0 ,
\]

(3.1)

holds for a.a. \( q^\varepsilon \in H^1(\Omega_f^\varepsilon) \) such that \( q^\varepsilon = 0 \) a.e. on \( \partial_{\text{ext}} \Omega_f^\varepsilon \).

Note that (3.1) results from (2.12) which is multiplied by \( q \) and integrated in \( \Omega_f \), so that the obvious integration by parts leads to the following boundary integral,

\[
\mathcal{I}_{\partial \Omega_f^\varepsilon}(\tilde{p}^\varepsilon, q^\varepsilon) = \int_{\partial \Omega_f^\varepsilon} q^\varepsilon (\nu^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \tilde{p}^\varepsilon - \zeta \nu^\varepsilon \partial_{w}^\varepsilon \tilde{p}^\varepsilon - \theta \nu^\varepsilon \tilde{p}^\varepsilon) ,
\]

(3.2)

where \( w^\varepsilon = \tilde{w}^\varepsilon \cdot \nu^\varepsilon \). This integral vanishes on \( \partial_{\text{ext}} \Omega_f \) due to the considered Dirichlet boundary conditions. On the walls \( \Gamma_f \), all the integrands in (3.2) vanish as well due to the non-penetration condition which yields \( \nu^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \tilde{p}^\varepsilon = 0 \) by virtue of (2.4), since, in general, we assume \( \nu^\varepsilon \cdot (\partial_{w} \tilde{u} + \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla \tilde{w}) = 0 \). For polygonal (polyhedral) interfaces this assumption can be verified, since \( \partial_{w} \nu^\varepsilon := \tilde{w} \cdot \nabla \nu^\varepsilon = 0 \).

3.2.2. Limit problem

We assume the advection velocity \( \tilde{w}^\varepsilon \) to be of the order \( o(\varepsilon) = 1 \), thus, using the unfolding operator, see Appendix B, \( \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\tilde{w}^\varepsilon) = \tilde{w}(x,y) \). Also the fluid phase velocity \( c_f \) is assumed to be independent of \( \varepsilon \), so that the formal asymptotic expansion of \( \tilde{p}^\varepsilon \) is

\[
\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\tilde{p}^\varepsilon) = p^0(x,t) + \varepsilon p^1(x,y,t) .
\]

(3.3)

The following sets are employed:

\[
W_0(\Omega) = H_0^1(\Omega) = \{ q \in H^1(\Omega) | q = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega \} ,
\]

\[
W_s(\Omega) = \{ q \in H^1(\Omega) | q = p^0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega \} ,
\]

(3.4)

We may assume the Dirichlet boundary condition represented by \( p^{0,\varepsilon} \) converging with \( \varepsilon \to 0 \) to \( p^0 \) defined on \( \partial \Omega \). Hence, by virtue of the convergence \( \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(p^\varepsilon) \to p^0 \) we get \( p^0 \in W_s(\Omega) \).

Remark 1. \textit{By virtue of the weak convergence of the unfolded pressure gradient, i.e.} \( \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(p^\varepsilon)(\cdot,t) \rightharpoonup \nabla_x p^0(\cdot,t) + \nabla_y p^1(\cdot,t) \) \textit{weakly in} \( L^2(\Omega \times Y_g) \), \textit{the trace of} \( p^0(\cdot,t) \in H^1(\Omega) \) \textit{on} \( \partial_p \Omega \) \textit{provides the limit trace} \( p^0 = p^0 \) \textit{on} \( \Omega \).

We define \( \partial_{w}^x = \tilde{w} \cdot \nabla_x \) and \( \partial_{w}^y = \tilde{w} \cdot \nabla_y \). In the limit \( \varepsilon \to 0 \), (3.1) yields the two-scale problem for \( p^0 \) and \( p^1 \) satisfying (recall Appendix B for the integration formula and \( \int_D = \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_D \) for any \( D \subset Y \))

\[
\int_{\Omega} q^\varepsilon p^0 + \theta \int_{\Omega} q^\varepsilon \int_{\Omega_f^\varepsilon} (\partial_{xx}^\varepsilon p^{0,\varepsilon} + \partial_{yy}^\varepsilon p^{1,\varepsilon}) - \theta \int_{\Omega} p^0 \int_{\Omega_f^\varepsilon} (\partial_{xx}^\varepsilon q^{0,\varepsilon} + \partial_{yy}^\varepsilon q^{1,\varepsilon})
\]

\[
- \zeta \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega_f^\varepsilon} (\partial_{xx}^\varepsilon p^{0,\varepsilon} + \partial_{yy}^\varepsilon p^{1,\varepsilon}) (\partial_{xx}^\varepsilon q^{0,\varepsilon} + \partial_{yy}^\varepsilon q^{1,\varepsilon}) + c_f^2 \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega_f^\varepsilon} (\nabla_x p^{0,\varepsilon} + \nabla_y p^{1,\varepsilon}) (\nabla_x q^{0,\varepsilon} + \nabla_y q^{1,\varepsilon}) = 0 ,
\]

(3.5)
for all \( q^0 \in W_0(\Omega) \) and \( q^1 \in L^2(\Omega; H^1_\#(Y_f)) \). Due to the incompressibility \( \nabla \cdot \bar{w} = 0 \) and since \( \bar{w}(x, \cdot) \) is \( Y \)-periodic, for any \( Y \)-periodic function \( \psi \in H^1_\#(Y_f) \)

\[
\int_{Y_f} \partial_w \psi = \int_{Y_f} \bar{w} \cdot \nabla_y \psi = 0, \tag{3.6}
\]

so that, in the first line of (3.5), both the integrals of \( \partial_y \bar{w} p^1 \) and \( \partial_y \bar{w} q^1 \) vanish. The local problem is distinguished in (3.5) when putting \( q^0 \equiv 0 \). By virtue of the linearity, the characteristic responses \( \pi^k \in H^1_\#(Y_f) \), \( k = 1, \ldots, 3 \) are introduced, such that

\[
p^1 = \pi^k \partial_x \bar{w} p^0. \tag{3.7}
\]

Using the bilinear form (note that it involves \( \zeta = 3 \)),

\[
a_w (p, q) = \int_{Y_f} \left( c^2_f \nabla_y p \cdot \nabla_y q - \zeta \partial_w p \partial_w q \right) = \int_{Y_f} \left( c^2_f I - \zeta \bar{w} \otimes \bar{w} \right) \nabla_y p \cdot \nabla_y q, \tag{3.8}
\]

the autonomous problem for computing \( \pi^k \) is established: Find \( \pi^k \in H^1_\#(Y_f) \), \( k = 1, \ldots, 3 \), such that

\[
a_w \left( \pi^k, \psi \right) = -a_w \left( y_k, \psi \right), \quad \forall \psi \in H^1_\#(Y_f). \tag{3.9}
\]

The macroscopic model of the acoustic waves which is presented below involves the homogenized coefficients \( \mathbf{A} = (A_{ij}) \) and the mean advection velocity \( \mathbf{w}^0 \), describing effective medium properties,

\[
A_{ij} = a_w \left( \pi^i + y_i, y_j \right) = a_w \left( \pi^i + y_i, \pi^j + y_j \right),
\]

\[
\mathbf{w}^0 = \int_{Y_f} \bar{w}, \tag{3.10}
\]

where the alternative symmetric expression for the anisotropic acoustic phase speed \( \mathbf{A} \) can be obtained due to problem (3.9). Expression (3.10) for the mean velocity \( \mathbf{w}^0 \) is consistent with the definition of field \( \bar{w} \) by virtue of the homogenization, see (Appendix C). In this context, when \( \mathbf{w}^0(x) \) varies with the macroscopic position, all expressions in (3.7)-(3.10) should be understood pointwise for a.a. \( x \in \Omega \).

3.2.3. Macroscopic model of acoustic waves

From the two-scale equation (3.5), the macroscopic problem is obtained for \( q^1 \equiv 0 \) and the two-scale function \( p^1 \) is substituted by the split (3.7), which yields

\[
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} q^0 \left( \phi_f p^0 + \theta \int_{Y_f} \partial_w p^0 \right) - \int_{\Omega} p^0 \theta \int_{Y_f} \partial_w q^0 \\
+ \int_{\Omega} \zeta \int_{Y_f} \left( \partial_w p^0 + \partial_w \pi^k \partial_k p^0 \right) \partial_w q^0 + c^2_f \int_{\Omega} \int_{Y_f} \left( \nabla_x p^0 + \nabla_y \pi^k \partial_k p^0 \right) \cdot \nabla_x q^0 = 0,
\end{align*} \tag{3.11}
\]
hence
\[ \int_{\Omega} \phi f p^0 q^0 + \theta \int_{\Omega} (w^0 \cdot \nabla p^0 q^0 - \dot{p}^0 w^0 \cdot \nabla q^0) + \int_{\Omega} a_w (\pi^k + y_k, y_l) \partial_{x_k} p^0 \partial_{x_l} q^0 = 0 . \] (3.12)

In the last integral, the expression involving the characteristic response \( \pi^k \) is substituted using the homogenized \( \mathbf{A} \), whereby the symmetry relationships follow due to the local micro-problem (3.9).

**Macroscopic problem.** Find \( p^0(\cdot, t) \in W_s(\Omega) \), such that
\[ \int_{\Omega} \phi f p^0 q^0 + \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{A} \nabla x p^0) \cdot \nabla x q^0 + \theta \int_{\Omega} w^0 \cdot (\nabla x p^0 q^0 - \nabla x q^0 \dot{p}^0) = 0 , \] (3.13)
for all \( q^0 \in W_0(\Omega) \). It is worth to recall that the limit field \( p^0 \) satisfies the limit Dirichlet boundary condition given by \( p^0 \) on \( \partial \Omega \), as pointed above.

From (3.13), the differential equation can be extracted upon integration by parts in \( \Omega \),
\[ \phi f p^0 - \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{A} \nabla x p^0) + 2\theta w^0 \cdot \nabla x p^0 = 0 , \] (3.14)
whereby the boundary integrals on \( \partial \Omega \) vanish due to \( q^0 \in W_0(\Omega) \).

**Remark 2.** Besides the gradient projection \( w^0 \cdot \nabla x p^0 \), the macroscopic stationary flow represented by \( w^0 \) influences the anisotropy of the wave propagation through the coefficients \( \mathbf{A} \) describing an anisotropic diffusion by virtue of (3.8) and (3.9). Let us note that also for a static fluid, \( \dot{w} \equiv 0 \), although coefficients \( \mathbf{A} \) describe homogenized properties of an isotropic diffusion governed by the Laplace operator, the propagation is anisotropic in general due to the pore geometry given by \( Y_f \).

### 3.2.4. Plane wave propagation in the homogenized medium

Acoustic plane waves propagating in the homogenized infinite medium are expressed using the usual ansatz, defined in terms of the (constant) amplitude \( \bar{p} \), the wave direction \( \mathbf{n} \) and the wave number \( \kappa \) (the wave vector can be established, \( \kappa = \kappa \mathbf{n} \)),
\[ p^0(x, t) := \bar{p} e^{i \omega t} e^{-i \kappa \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{x}} . \] (3.15)
Substituted in (3.14), the following eigenvalue problem is obtained: For a given \( \omega \in \mathbb{R} \) find \( \kappa \in \mathbb{C} \), such that
\[ \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n} : \mathbf{A} \kappa^2 + 2\kappa \omega \theta \mathbf{w}^0 \cdot \mathbf{n} - \phi f \omega^2 = 0 . \] (3.16)
Using abbreviations,
\[ a_n = \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n} : \mathbf{A} , \quad b_n = \theta \mathbf{w}^0 \cdot \mathbf{n} , \] (3.17)
alternative relationships \( \omega \mapsto \kappa_{1,2} \) and \( \kappa \mapsto \omega_{1,2} \) are established,
\[ \kappa_{1,2} = -\frac{\omega}{a_n} (b_n \mp \sqrt{b_n^2 + a_n \phi f}) , \]
\[ \omega_{1,2} = \frac{\kappa}{\phi} \left( b_n \mp \sqrt{b_n^2 + a_n \phi f} \right) . \] (3.18)
Since $a_n > 0$ due to the positive definiteness of $A$, \[3.18\] presents two waves of different sound speeds $c_{1,2} = \omega/\kappa_{1,2}$ (for a given $\omega$), propagating in mutually opposite directions. When $\tilde{w} \equiv 0$, $b_n = 0$ and a unique wave number (up to a sign) is obtained, $\kappa = \omega/\sqrt{a_n}$, where $\kappa_f = \omega/c_f$ denotes the wave number of waves in a free stationary fluid. Then the effective sound speed of the static fluid in the rigid scaffolds is given by $c_s = \sqrt{(n \otimes n : A)/\phi_f}$.

3.3. Homogenization of a viscous fluid

We consider homogenization of the linearized problem arising from \[2.4\] which is now rewritten to respect its dependence on the scale parameter $\varepsilon$. For any time $t > 0$, assuming zero initial conditions, thus $\tilde{u}^\varepsilon(x, t=0) = 0$, and $\tilde{p}^\varepsilon = 0$ in $\Omega^\varepsilon_f$, the acoustic fluctuations $\tilde{u}^\varepsilon$ and $\tilde{p}^\varepsilon$ satisfy the following equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho_0 \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{u}^\varepsilon}{\partial t} + \tilde{w}^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}^\varepsilon + \hat{\tilde{u}}^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \tilde{w}^\varepsilon \right) + \nabla \tilde{p}^\varepsilon - \nabla \cdot \mathbb{D}^\varepsilon e(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon) &= f^\varepsilon, \quad \text{in } \Omega^\varepsilon_f, \\
\frac{\partial \tilde{p}^\varepsilon}{\partial t} + \tilde{w}^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \tilde{p}^\varepsilon + k_f \nabla \cdot \tilde{u}^\varepsilon &= 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega^\varepsilon_f,
\end{align*}
\]

\[3.19\]

where $u^{\partial,\varepsilon}(x, t)$ is a given function describing incident waves; we assume existence of an extension $\tilde{u}^{\partial,\varepsilon}$ of $u^{\partial,\varepsilon}$ to $\Omega^\varepsilon_f$, such that $\tilde{u}^{\partial,\varepsilon} = 0$ on $\Gamma^\varepsilon_{f_b}$. The following spaces and the set $\tilde{V}^\varepsilon(\Omega^\varepsilon_f, t)$ will be used:

\[
\begin{align*}
V_0(\Omega^\varepsilon_f) &= \{ v \in H^1(\Omega^\varepsilon_f) \mid v = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega^\varepsilon_f \}, \\
\tilde{V}^\varepsilon(\Omega^\varepsilon_f, t) &= \{ v \in H^1(\Omega^\varepsilon_f) \mid v = v^{\partial,\varepsilon}(\cdot, t) \text{ on } \partial \Omega^\varepsilon_f, \quad v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma^\varepsilon_{f_b} \}, \\
Q(\Omega^\varepsilon_f) &= L^2(\Omega^\varepsilon_f), \\
Q^1(\Omega^\varepsilon_f) &= H^1(\Omega^\varepsilon_f). 
\end{align*}
\]

\[3.20\]

Alternatively $\tilde{V}^\varepsilon(\Omega^\varepsilon_f, t) = V_0(\Omega^\varepsilon_f) + \tilde{u}^{\partial,\varepsilon}(\cdot, t)$. Below we suppress the time dependence in the notation, so that we use $\tilde{V}^\varepsilon(\Omega^\varepsilon_f)$.

The weak formulation of the acoustic problem arises from problem \[3.19\] whereby the steady state flow $\tilde{w}^\varepsilon$ is assumed to be known. The fluctuating velocity and pressure fields $(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon, \tilde{p}^\varepsilon)$, such that for a.a. $t > 0$, $\tilde{u}^\varepsilon(t, \cdot) \in \tilde{V}^\varepsilon(\Omega^\varepsilon_f)$, and $\tilde{p}^\varepsilon(t, \cdot) \in Q^1(\Omega^\varepsilon_f)$, satisfy

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho_0 \int_{\Omega^\varepsilon_f} v^\varepsilon \cdot \left( \tilde{u}^\varepsilon + \tilde{w}^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}^\varepsilon + \hat{\tilde{u}}^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \tilde{w}^\varepsilon \right) &
+ \int_{\Omega^\varepsilon_f} \tilde{p}^\varepsilon \nabla \cdot v^\varepsilon + \int_{\Omega^\varepsilon_f} \mathbb{D}^{f,\varepsilon} e(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon) : e(v^\varepsilon) = \int_{\Omega^\varepsilon_f} f^\varepsilon \cdot v^\varepsilon, \quad \forall v^\varepsilon \in V_0^\varepsilon(\Omega^\varepsilon_f), \\
\int_{\Omega^\varepsilon_f} q^\varepsilon \left( \tilde{p}^\varepsilon + \tilde{u}^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \tilde{p}^\varepsilon + k_f \nabla \cdot \tilde{u}^\varepsilon \right) &= 0, \quad \forall q^\varepsilon \in Q^0(\Omega^\varepsilon_f).
\end{align*}
\]

\[3.21\]

We pursue the formal procedure of the asymptotic analysis $\varepsilon \to 0$ based on the formal unfolding method. The truncated asymptotic expansions and the viscosity scaling are adopted,
however, in contrast with the steady state flow problem, see e.g. [6, 24], a different scaling of
the viscosity and of the advection velocity must be used,

\[
\mu^\varepsilon = \varepsilon^2 \mu, \quad \mathbf{D}^{f,\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^2 2\mu(\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{3}\mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{I}),
\]

(3.22)

where \(\mathbf{I}\) is the fourth-order identity tensor and \(\bar{\mathbf{w}}(x, y)\) is the two-scale solution of steady
state flow problem. The unfolded solutions \((\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^\varepsilon, \tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\varepsilon, \tilde{p}^\varepsilon)\) are represented by the truncated
expansions

\[
\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^\varepsilon(x, t)) = \hat{\mathbf{u}}(x, y, t),
\]

\[
\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\varepsilon(x, t)) = \hat{\mathbf{w}}(x, y),
\]

\[
\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\tilde{p}^\varepsilon(x, t)) = p^0(x, t) + \varepsilon p^1(x, y, t).
\]

The test functions \(v^\varepsilon\) and \(q^\varepsilon\) are considered in the analogous form, thus

\[
\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\tilde{v}^\varepsilon(x)) = \hat{v}(x, y),
\]

\[
\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\tilde{q}^\varepsilon(x)) = \hat{q}^0(x) + \varepsilon \hat{q}^1(x, y).
\]

All the two-scale functions are \(Y\)-periodic in the second variable \(y\) and for almost all \(t > 0\)

\[
\hat{\mathbf{u}}(\cdot, t), \hat{\mathbf{v}} \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbf{H}^1_\#(Y_f)),
\]

\[
p^1(\cdot, t), q^1 \in L^2(\Omega; H^1_\#(Y_f)), \quad p^0(\cdot, t) \in H^1(\Omega).
\]

(3.25)

Note that the non-slip condition of \(\hat{\mathbf{u}}\) on \(\Gamma_{fs}\) is imposed due to the space \(\mathbf{H}^1_\#(Y_f) \subset \mathbf{H}^1_\#(Y_f)\)
introduced as follows, see Section 3.1,

\[
\mathbf{H}^1_\#(Y_f) = \{v \in \mathbf{H}^1_\#(Y_f) | v = 0 \text{ on } \partial Y_f \setminus \partial Y\}.
\]

(3.26)

Remark 3. It is important to identify the limit boundary conditions for the homogenized flow.
To respect a prescribed velocity, in the limit, the Dirichlet condition of the micromodel transforms to a Neumann-type condition associated with the pressure. We assume the existence of \(\mathbf{u}^0 \in L^2(\partial \Omega)\) such that \(\phi_f \mathbf{u}^0 = \text{trace}_\Omega (\phi_f \mathbf{u}^0)\), and

\[
\int_{\partial Y_f} \varphi \mathbf{u}^{0,\varepsilon} \cdot \mathbf{v} \to \int_{\partial \Omega} \varphi \hat{\phi}_f \mathbf{u}^0 \cdot \mathbf{v}, \quad \forall \varphi \in L^2(\partial \Omega),
\]

(3.27)

where \(\hat{\phi}_f\) is the surface porosity while \(\phi_f \in H^1(\Omega)\) is the volume porosity. In Appendix D, we show \(\mathbf{u}^{0} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u}^{0} \cdot \mathbf{v}\). This identifies the desired boundary condition for the limit macroscopic velocity \(\mathbf{u}^0\) which, however, is expressed in terms of the Darcy law involving \(\nabla p^0\).

The volume forces fluctuations \(\tilde{f}^f\) are assumed to be independent of the scale \(\varepsilon\), such that the associated virtual powers converge, as follows,

\[
\int_{\Omega_f} \tilde{f}^f \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{v}}^\varepsilon \to \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}^f \cdot \int_{Y_f} \hat{\mathbf{w}}.
\]

(3.28)
3.4. Limit problem

The limit analysis of (3.19) for $\varepsilon \to 0$ leads to the following 2-scale problem: For a.a. $t \in [0,T]$, find $(\hat{\mathbf{u}}, p^0, p^1)$ such that $\hat{\mathbf{u}}(t, \cdot) \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbf{H}^1_{\#0}(Y_f))$, $p^1 \in L^2(\Omega \times Y_f)$, $p^0 \in H^1(\Omega)$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_0 \int_{Y_f} \left( \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{u}}}{\partial t} + \hat{\mathbf{w}} \cdot \nabla_y \hat{\mathbf{u}} + \hat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla_y \hat{\mathbf{w}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{v} \\
+ \int_{Y_f} \mathbf{D} e_y(\hat{\mathbf{u}}) : e_y(\mathbf{v}) + \int_{Y_f} (\nabla_x p^0) \cdot \mathbf{v} - \int_{Y_f} p^1 \nabla_y \cdot \mathbf{v} = f \cdot \int_{Y_f} \mathbf{v}, \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}^1_{\#0}(Y_f), \\
\int_{Y_f} q \nabla_y \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}} = 0, \quad \forall q \in L^2(Y_f), \\
\phi_f \frac{\partial p^0}{\partial t} + k_f \nabla_x \cdot \mathbf{U} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega,
\end{align*}
$$

with initial and boundary conditions to be specified.

**Characteristic responses.** For decoupling the scales, the problem is transformed by the Laplace transformation $\mathcal{L}\{a\} \mapsto \ast a$, so that the following split can be defined:

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{\mathbf{u}} &= \lambda \chi^k \rho_0^{-1} (f_k - \partial_k p^0_k), \\
p^1 &= \lambda \pi^k (f_k - \partial_k p^0_k).
\end{align*}
$$

We shall employ the following notation:

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle A, B \rangle_{Y_f} &= \int_{Y_f} A : B, \\
a_f (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) &= \langle \nabla_y \mathbf{u}, \nabla_y \mathbf{v} \rangle_{Y_f}, \\
\bar{a}_f (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) &= 2 \left( e_y(\mathbf{u}) - \frac{1}{3} \nabla_y \cdot \mathbf{u}, e_y(\mathbf{v}) - \frac{1}{3} \nabla_y \cdot \mathbf{v} \right)_{Y_f} \\
&= 2 \left( \bar{e}_y(\mathbf{u}), \bar{e}_y(\mathbf{v}) \right)_{Y_f}, \\
b_f (\bar{\mathbf{w}}; \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) &= 2 \left( \bar{\mathbf{w}} \otimes \mathbf{u}, e_y(\mathbf{v}) \right)_{Y_f}, \\
c_f (\bar{\mathbf{w}}; \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) &= \langle \bar{\mathbf{w}} \cdot \nabla_y \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{Y_f}, \\
g_f (\bar{\mathbf{w}}; \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) &= \langle \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_y \bar{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{Y_f},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{e}} = \mathbf{e} - (1/3) \mathbf{e} : \mathbf{I}$ is the deviatoric part of the strain tensor.

Note that for $Y$-periodic fields $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$ vanishing on $\Gamma$ and satisfying $\nabla_y \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$ and $\nabla_y \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$, it holds that $a_f (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \bar{a}_f (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$. Using the notation introduced above, (3.29) can be
It is possible to define the effective velocity $\tilde{w}$ as follows:

$$
\left( \frac{\partial \tilde{w}}{\partial t}, v \right)_{Y_f} - b_f(\tilde{w}; \tilde{u}, v) + \frac{\bar{\mu}}{\rho_0} \tilde{a}_f(\tilde{u}, v) - \frac{1}{\rho_0} \left( p^l, \nabla_y \cdot v \right)_{Y_f} = \frac{1}{\rho_0} (f_k - \partial_k^Z p^0) (1, v_k)_{Y_f},
$$

$$
\left( \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial t}, v \right)_{Y_f} + \frac{1}{2} (c_f(\tilde{w}; \tilde{u}, v) - c_f(\tilde{w}; \tilde{u}, \tilde{u})) + g_f(\tilde{w}; \tilde{u}, v)
+ \frac{\bar{\mu}}{\rho_0} \tilde{a}_f(\tilde{u}, v) - \frac{1}{\rho_0} \left( p^l, \nabla_y \cdot v \right)_{Y_f} = \frac{1}{\rho_0} (f_k - \partial_k^Z p^0) (1, v_k)_{Y_f}.
$$

(3.32)

Now, (3.32) can be transformed and (3.30) substituted, which yields a problem for $\chi^k \in H^1_{\#0}(Y_f)$ and $\pi^k \in L^2(Y_f)$, such that

$$
\lambda \left( \chi^k, v \right)_{Y_f} - b_f(\tilde{w}; \chi^k, v) + \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \tilde{a}_f(\chi^k, v) - \left( \pi^k, \nabla_y \cdot v \right)_{Y_f} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( 1, v_k \right)_{Y_f} \quad \forall v \in H^1_{\#0}(Y_f),
$$

$$
\left\langle q, \nabla_y \cdot \chi^k \right\rangle_{Y_f} = 0 \quad \forall q \in L^2(Y_f),
$$

(3.33)

where $\frac{1}{\text{Re}} = \bar{\mu}/\rho_0$ is the Reynolds number; note that, for a given scale parameter $\epsilon_0 > 0$, $\frac{1}{\text{Re}} = \mu^{\text{phy}}/(\epsilon_0^2 \rho_0)$ can be expressed by the physical viscosity.

By virtue of (3.29)3,4 which are the macroscopic equations, the effective velocity is computed using the permeability which is defined by (note $\tilde{w}(x, y)$ is a two-scale function, in general)

$$
K_{ij}(\lambda, x) = \frac{\lambda}{\rho_0} \int_{Y_f} w^j \cdot \nabla_{y, i} \left( \chi^i, \chi^j \right)_{Y_f} = \frac{1}{\rho_0} \lambda f \left( \chi^i, \chi^j \right)_{Y_f} - \lambda^2 b_f(\tilde{w}; \chi^i, \chi^j) + \lambda \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \tilde{a}_f(\chi^i, \chi^j).
$$

(3.34)

It is possible to define

$$
\tilde{K}_{ij}(\lambda, x) = \lambda^2 f \int_{Y_f} w^j \cdot \nabla_{y, i} \left( \chi^i, \chi^j \right)_{Y_f} = \lambda \rho_0 K_{ij}(\lambda, x)
$$

$$
= \lambda^4 f \left( \chi^i, \chi^j \right)_{Y_f} - \lambda^3 b_f(\tilde{w}; \chi^i, \chi^j) + \lambda^2 \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \tilde{a}_f(\chi^i, \chi^j),
$$

(3.35)

which will be used in the the plane wave propagation analysis.

It is possible compute $\chi^k$ by solving problem (3.33) transformed in the time domain: for a.a. $t \in [0, \infty[$ let $\chi^k(t, \cdot) \in H^1_{\#0}(Y_f)$ and $\pi^k(t, \cdot) \in L^2(Y_f)$ satisfy

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \chi^k, v \right)_{Y_f} - b_f(\tilde{w}; \chi^k, v) + \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \tilde{a}_f(\chi^k, v) - \left( \pi^k, \nabla_y \cdot v \right)_{Y_f} = H(t) \left( 1, v_k \right)_{Y_f} \quad \forall v \in H^1_{\#0}(Y_f),
$$

$$
\left\langle q, \nabla_y \cdot \chi^k \right\rangle_{Y_f} = 0 \quad \forall q \in L^2(Y_f),
$$

$$
\chi^k(0, y) = 0 \quad \text{for a.a. } y \in Y_f.
$$

(3.36)
where \( H(t) \) is the Heaviside function (since \( t > 0, H(t) = 1 \) in the right hand side term). We assume zero initial conditions \( \hat{u}(t = 0, x, y) = 0 \) in \( \Omega \times Y_f \). Therefore, the macroscopic velocity is given by

\[
U(t, x) = \int_0^t \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_{Y_f} \chi^k(t - s, y)dy \right) (f_k(s, x) - \partial_x \phi^0(s, x))ds .
\] (3.37)

Then, the permeability can be evaluated, as follows:

\[
\mathcal{K}_{ij}(t) = \frac{1}{\rho_0} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{Y_f} \chi^j_i(t, y)dy .
\] (3.38)

Note the physical dimensions: \([w^j_i] = s\), hence \([\mathcal{K}_{ij}] = [\rho^{-1}_0] [w^j_i] = [m^2 \cdot s^2 \cdot kg^{-1}] = [m^2 \cdot Pa \cdot s^2] \).

3.5. Macroscopic model — time domain

As announced earlier, the macroscopic model is given by (3.29)\textsuperscript{3,4}. Using the Darcy law

\[
U(\lambda, x) = \mathcal{K}(f - \nabla_x p^0) ,
\]

\[
U(t, x) = \int_0^t \mathcal{K}(t - s)(f(s) - \nabla_x p^0(s))ds ,
\] (3.39)

the macroscopic acoustic problems reads: Given \( p^0(t = 0, \cdot) \) by the initial condition and \( f(t, \cdot) \) in \( \Omega \), for a.a. \( t > 0 \) find \( p^0(t, x) \), such that

\[
\phi_f \frac{\partial p^0}{\partial t} + k_f \nabla_x \cdot \int_0^t \mathcal{K}(t - s)(f(s) - \nabla_x p^0(s))ds = 0 \quad \text{in} \ \Omega ,
\]

\[
\nu \cdot U = \nu \cdot \hat{u} \quad \text{on} \ \partial \Omega ,
\] (3.40)

see Remark \textsuperscript{3} where \( U \) is expressed by (3.39)\textsuperscript{2}.

**Macroscopic model — frequency domain.** In the frequency domain (\( \lambda = i\omega \)), (3.29)\textsuperscript{3,4} transform to

\[
i\omega \phi_f p^0 + \frac{k_f}{i\omega \rho_0} \nabla_x \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{K}}(i\omega)(f - \nabla_x p^0) = 0 \quad \text{in} \ \Omega .
\] (3.41)

Recalling the notation formerly introduced, \( \kappa_f = \omega/c_f \) designating the wave number associated with the phase velocity of wave propagation \( c_f = \sqrt{k_f/\rho_0} \) in the unconfined (free) fluid, the ansatz (3.15) for the pressure plane wave yields the wave number of the pressure wave in the porous medium,

\[
\kappa = \kappa_f \sqrt{\frac{\phi_f}{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}(i\omega) : n \otimes n}} = \sqrt{\frac{-i\omega \phi_f}{k_f \tilde{\mathcal{K}}(i\omega) : n \otimes n}} ,
\] (3.42)

where the alternative expressions correspond to the permeabilities introduced in (3.34) and (3.35), respectively.
4. Floquet-Bloch wave decomposition for analysis of fluid acoustics

We shall consider plane waves propagating in an infinite porous medium, such that $\Omega_f^\varepsilon$ is unbounded, being generated as a periodic structure by the representative scaffold cell $\mathcal{Z}_f^\varepsilon \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$; we recall that $\mathcal{Z}^\varepsilon = \varepsilon Y$ is the real size of the periodic cell $Y$. Since in the following analysis the scale is fixed, $\varepsilon > 0$, for the sake of brevity, we shall drop the superscript $\varepsilon$ which is related to a given scale of the structure, thus, $\mathcal{Z}_f$ is used to refer to $\mathcal{Z}_f^\varepsilon$, etc.

By virtue of the Floquet-Bloch theory, the wave response of the flow models introduced in Section 2 is represented by functions $\tilde{u}$ and $\tilde{p}$ expressed in the decomposed form,

$$\tilde{u}(x, t) = u(x)e^{-i\kappa \cdot x}e^{i\omega t}, \quad \tilde{p}(x, t) = p(x)e^{-i\kappa \cdot x}e^{i\omega t}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $\kappa = \varepsilon n$ is the wave vector given by the direction of wave propagation $n$, and the wave number $\varepsilon$. Functions $u$ and $p$ are $\mathcal{Z}^\varepsilon$-periodic and, on the channel walls $\partial_s \mathcal{Z}_f^\varepsilon$, satisfy the boundary conditions according to the flow model.

To employ the weak formulations for derivation of the dispersion relationships, it is convenient to consider the test functions, such that they describe waves propagating in the opposite directions with respect to those of (4.1), thus

$$\tilde{v}(x, t) = v(x)e^{i\kappa \cdot x}e^{i\omega t}, \quad \tilde{q}(x, t) = q(x)e^{i\kappa \cdot x}e^{i\omega t}, \quad (4.2)$$

where $v, q$ are $\mathcal{Z}$-periodic. As a consequence, the strain tensor applied to $\tilde{u}$, or to another function of the same form, is expressed in terms of the wave-strain $g^n(u)$, thus,

$$e(\tilde{u}) = (e(u) - i\varepsilon g^n(u))e^{-i\kappa \cdot x}e^{i\omega t}, \quad \text{where} \quad g^n(u) = \frac{1}{2}(u \otimes n + n \otimes u). \quad (4.3)$$

In analogy, $e(\tilde{v}) = (e(v) + i\varepsilon g^n(v))e^{i\kappa \cdot x}e^{i\omega t}$.

4.1. Floquet-Bloch wave decomposition for inviscid flows

The plane wave propagation in the porous medium is governed by (2.12), involving the two parameters $\theta = 2$ and $\zeta = 3$. In analogy with (3.1), the weak formulation is obtained which describes the wave propagation in the representative cell $\mathcal{Z}_f$,

$$\int_{\mathcal{Z}_f} \tilde{q}(\tilde{\rho} + \theta \partial_w \tilde{\rho}) - \int_{\mathcal{Z}_f} \partial_w \tilde{q}(-\partial_w \tilde{\rho} + \zeta \partial_s \tilde{\rho}) + c_f^2 \int_{\mathcal{Z}_f} \nabla \tilde{\rho} \cdot \nabla \tilde{q} = I_{\partial \mathcal{Z}_f}(\tilde{\rho}, q), \quad (4.4)$$

where $I_{\partial \mathcal{Z}_f}(\tilde{\rho}, q)$ is defined according to (3.2). For the wave ansatz (4.1) and (4.2) substituted in (4.4), there the right-hand side integral $I_{\partial \mathcal{Z}_f}(\tilde{\rho}, q)$ vanishes. The velocity field is confined by the non-penetration and the free-slip conditions, so that $u \cdot \nu^I = 0$ is prescribed on $\partial_s \mathcal{Z}_f$. As a consequence, for almost any time $t$, the admissible acoustic pressure $p(\cdot, t)$ must satisfy

$$p(\cdot, t) \in P_\#(\mathcal{Z}_f) := \{ q \in H_\#^2(\mathcal{Z}_f) | q \text{ is } \mathcal{Z}-\text{periodic, } \nabla(q e^{-i\kappa \cdot x}) \cdot \nu^I = 0 \text{ on } \partial_s \mathcal{Z}_f \}. \quad (4.5)$$

Note that, in the definition of $P_\#(\mathcal{Z}_f^\varepsilon)$, the zero normal-projected gradient concerns $\tilde{p}$ involving the exponential part and not only $p$, see (4.1).
To find nontrivial solutions to (4.4), the following dispersion problem must be solved:

Find \( p \in P_{\#}(Z_f) \) and \( \kappa \in C \), such that

\[
-\omega^2 \int_{Z_f} pq + i\omega \left( \int_{Z_f} \tilde{w} \cdot [\nabla p - i\kappa n p]q - \int_{Z_f} \tilde{w} \cdot [\nabla q + i\kappa n q]p \right) \\
-\zeta \int_{Z_f} \tilde{w} \cdot [\nabla p - i\kappa n p] [\nabla q + i\kappa n q] \cdot \tilde{w} + c_f^2 \int_{Z_f} [\nabla p - i\kappa n p] \cdot [\nabla q + i\kappa n q] = 0,
\]

for all \( q \in P_{\#}(Z_f) \). Alternatively, for a given \( \kappa \in \mathbb{R} \), frequency \( \omega \in \mathbb{C} \) can be computed.

**FEM – discretization of the Bloch wave analysis.** Problem (4.6) is solved numerically using the finite element method (FEM). The matrices resulting from the conforming FEM discretization of integral forms involved in (4.6) are introduced below, employing a self-explaining notation:

\[
\int_{Z_f} \nabla p \cdot \nabla q \approx_q q^T C p, \\
\int_{Z_f} \nabla p \cdot n q \approx_q q^T Y p, \\
\int_{Z_f} pq \approx_q q^T M p, \\
\zeta \int_{Z_f} \tilde{w} \cdot \nabla p \tilde{w} \cdot \nabla q \approx_q q^T G_w p, \\
\zeta \int_{Z_f} \tilde{w} \cdot \nabla p(\tilde{w} \cdot n) q \approx_q q^T R_w p, \\
\zeta \int_{Z_f} (\tilde{w} \cdot n) p(\tilde{w} \cdot n) q \approx_q q^T W_w p, \\
\theta \int_{Z_f} (\tilde{w} \cdot n) pq \approx_q q^T V_w p, \\
\theta \int_{Z_f} q(\tilde{w} \cdot \nabla p) \approx_q q^T N_w p.
\]

Above the column matrices \( p \) and \( q \) represent all degrees of freedom (DOFs) arising from the discretization of \( p \) and \( q \), respectively, considering \( Z_f \), whereas the DOFs reduction due to the periodic conditions on the external channel surfaces \( \partial_{\#}Z_f = \partial Z \cap \partial Z_f \) is applied. Using this notation, identity (4.6) is approximated by the following equation,

\[
[c_f^2 C + (c_f^2 \kappa^2 - \omega^2) M + \kappa^2 W_w + 2\kappa\omega V_w - G_w] p \\
+ i [\omega(N_w - N_w^T) - \kappa(R_w - R_w^T) - \kappa c_f^2 (Y - Y^T)] p = 0.
\]

Two alternative eigenvalue problems can be considered: a) for a given real frequency \( \omega \), find a complex \( \kappa \in C \) which satisfies (4.8), or b) for a given real \( \kappa \in \mathbb{R} \), find a complex frequency \( \omega \in C \) satisfying (4.8). Both these formulations lead to a quadratic eigenvalue problem (QEP). To transform it into a standard eigenvalue problem, we employ the following notations,

\[
Q := R_w + c_f^2 Y, \\
H := G_w - c_f^2 C, \\
U := W_w + c_f^2 M.
\]
Then, using the Choleski decomposition, auxiliary variables s and r can be introduced, such that

\[
U = T_U^T T_U, \quad \varkappa^2 U p = \varkappa T_U^T s, \quad \varkappa T_U p = s, \quad M = T_M^T T_M, \quad \omega^2 M p = \omega T_M^T r, \quad T_M p = r.
\] (4.10)

Let us first consider the problem for computing \( \varkappa \) for a given \( \omega \). Using the decomposition in (4.10), the identity (4.8) can be transformed into the following standard eigenvalue problem for computing \( \lambda_\varkappa := 1/\varkappa \) which satisfy,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
A_\varkappa(\omega), & T_U^T \\
T_U, & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
p \\
s
\end{bmatrix} = \lambda_\varkappa
\begin{bmatrix}
B_\varkappa(\omega), & 0 \\
0, & I
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
p \\
s
\end{bmatrix},
\] (4.11)

where \( A_\varkappa(\omega) = 2\omega V_w - i(Q - Q^T) \), \( B_\varkappa(\omega) = \omega^2 M + H + i\omega(N_w^T - N_w) \).

The reason for computing \( \lambda_\varkappa \) (which is proportional to the wavelength) rather than \( \varkappa \) arises from the regularity of the right hand side block matrix of this generalized eigenvalue problem, since the left hand side matrix may become nearly singular. This may happen because of vanishing \( V_w \), when \( \bar{w} \cdot n \approx 0 \), thus, when the advection flow field \( \bar{w} \) is almost orthogonal to the wave direction \( n \).

Alternatively, \( \omega \) can be computed in response to \( \varkappa \). For this, in analogy, we employ the decomposition in (4.10)\_2. Using the notation (4.9), the following generalized eigenvalue problem can be established which yields a real \( \lambda_\omega := 1/\omega \),

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
A_\omega(\varkappa), & -T_M^T \\
-T_M, & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
p \\
r
\end{bmatrix} = \lambda_\omega
\begin{bmatrix}
B_\omega(\varkappa), & 0 \\
0, & -I
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
p \\
r
\end{bmatrix},
\] (4.12)

where \( A_\omega(\varkappa) = 2\varkappa V_w + i(N_w - N_w^T) \), \( B_\omega(\varkappa) = H - \varkappa^2 U + i\varkappa(Q - Q^T) \).

As in the case of (4.11), the right hand side matrix is regular even for vanishing \( \bar{w} \), whereas the left hand side matrix may be not.

*Remark 4.* All matrices defined in (4.7) are real, whereby \( C, M, G, V \) and \( W \) are symmetric, thereby both the block matrices \( A \) and \( B \) in the QEPs problems (4.11) and (4.12) are Hermitian. Therefore, their eigenvalues \( \lambda \) are real, or come in complex-conjugate pairs, see e.g. (22). The definiteness of the diagonal blocks and, therefore, of the whole block matrices in both the QEP depend on the respective parameters, i.e. on \( \omega \) in (4.11), and on \( \varkappa \) in (4.12). Moreover, all matrices labelled by subscript \( w \) depend on the advection and matrices \( R_w, V_w \) and \( W_w \) may become nearly singular, when \( \bar{w} \cdot n \approx 0 \). However, as we demonstrate in the numerical examples reported in Section 5 up to rather high advection velocities \( \bar{w}^0 = |\bar{w}^0| \), the pressure modes corresponding to predictions obtained by the homogenization approach are featured by real eigenvalues \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \), as expected by virtue of the inviscid fluid properties.

### 4.2. Floquet-Bloch wave decomposition for viscous fluids

In analogy with the Bloch wave analysis for the model of inviscid fluids in an infinite periodic porous structure, due to the wave decomposition ansatz (4.1), we consider the reduced problem imposed in the periodic cell \( Z_f \). We consider a steady flow represented by velocity field \( \bar{w} \) in the scaffold periodic cell \( Z_f \). The weak formulation for \((\bar{u}(x), \bar{p}(x))\) given by the
ansatz (4.1) is derived from (2.4) upon multiplying (2.4) by \( \tilde{v} \) and integrating over \( \mathcal{Z}_f \); this yields

\[
\int_{\mathcal{Z}_f} \rho_0 \left( \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{u} + \tilde{w} \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} + \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla \tilde{w} \right) \cdot \tilde{v} \\
+ \int_{\mathcal{Z}_f} \mathbb{D} e(\tilde{u}) : e(\tilde{v}) - \int_{\mathcal{Z}_f} \bar{p} \nabla \cdot \tilde{v} = \int_{\partial \mathcal{Z}_f} (\mathbb{D}(e(\tilde{u}) - \bar{p}I) : (\nu^f \otimes \tilde{v}) ,
\]

(4.13)

\[
\int_{\mathcal{Z}_f} \tilde{q} \left( \frac{d}{dt} \bar{p} + \bar{w} \cdot \nabla \bar{p} + \kappa \nabla \cdot \tilde{u} \right) = 0 ,
\]

to hold for all test functions \((\tilde{v}, \tilde{q})\) in the form (4.2) which describes waves running in the opposite direction with respect to the waves \((\tilde{u}, \bar{p})\). Above, the right hand side boundary integral vanishes due to the \(\mathcal{Z}\)-periodicity and vanishing \(u, v\) on the solid-fluid interface.

**Remark 5.** Due to \(\nabla \cdot \bar{w} = 0\), the following integration by parts can be employed in (4.13),

\[
\int_{\mathcal{Z}_f} q \bar{w} \cdot \nabla \bar{p} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{Z}_f} (q \bar{w} \cdot \nabla \bar{p} - \bar{p} \nabla \cdot q) ,
\]

(4.14)

\[
\int_{\mathcal{Z}_f} \bar{w} \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} \cdot \tilde{v} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{Z}_f} (\tilde{w} \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} \cdot \tilde{v} - \tilde{w} \cdot \nabla \tilde{v} \cdot \tilde{u}) .
\]

Now the wave ansatz (4.1) is substituted in (4.13), so that (4.13) leads to the following dispersion eigenvalue problem: Given \(\kappa \in \mathbb{R}\), find a complex \(\omega \in \mathbb{C}\), such that

\[
\rho_0 \int_{\mathcal{Z}_f} (u \cdot \nabla \tilde{w} + \tilde{w} \cdot \nabla u - i\kappa (n \cdot \tilde{w}) u) \cdot v - \int_{\mathcal{Z}_f} (\nabla \cdot v + i\kappa n \cdot v) p
\]

\[
+ \int_{\mathcal{Z}_f} (\mathbb{D} e(u) : e(v) + \kappa^2 \mathbb{D} g^n(u) : g^n(v))
\]

\[
+ i\kappa \int_{\mathcal{Z}_f} (\mathbb{D} e(u) : g^n(v) - \mathbb{D} g^n(u) : e(v)) = -i\omega \rho_0 \int_{\mathcal{Z}_f} u \cdot v ,
\]

\[
\gamma \int_{\mathcal{Z}_f} (\bar{w} \cdot \nabla \bar{p} - i\kappa n \cdot \tilde{w} p) q + \int_{\mathcal{Z}_f} q (\nabla \cdot u - i\kappa n \cdot u) = -i\omega \gamma \int_{\mathcal{Z}_f} pq ,
\]

(4.15)

for all \(v \in H^1_{\#0}(\mathcal{Z}_f)\) and for all \(q \in H^1_{\#}(\mathcal{Z}_f)\).

**FEM approximation and matrix notation.** In analogy with the inviscid case, the FEM model is obtained upon discretizing problem (4.15). The non-slip condition for the velocity is applied on \(\partial_s \mathcal{Z}_f\) along with the periodicity conditions on \(\partial_{\#} \mathcal{Z}_f\). In addition to column matrices \(p\) and \(q\) representing the pressure field, column matrices \(u\) and \(v\) involve all degrees of freedom (DOFs) arising form the discretization of \(u\) and \(v\), respectively, respecting the boundary conditions.

The matrices obtained by the FE discretization of (4.15) are defined below in (4.16) and (4.17) — some of the matrix symbols are reused with different meanings with respect those introduced above in Section 4.1.
The first group of matrices arises from the discretized integrals which do not depend on the advection velocity,

\[ \int_{Z_f} \mathbb{D} e(u) : e(v) \approx v^T A u , \]
\[ \int_{Z_f} \mathbb{D} g^n(u) : g^n(v) \approx v^T S u , \]
\[ \int_{Z_f} \mathbb{D} e(u) : g^n(v) \approx v^T X u , \]
\[ \int_{Z_f} p q \approx q^T Q p , \]

whereas the second group of matrices depend on \( \tilde{w} \); the respective matrices vanish for the static fluid, when \( \tilde{w} \equiv 0 \),

\[ \int_{Z_f} (n \cdot \tilde{w}) p q \approx q^T Q_w p , \]
\[ \int_{Z_f} (n \cdot \tilde{w}) u \cdot v \approx v^T M_w u , \]
\[ \int_{Z_f} q \tilde{w} \cdot \nabla p \approx \frac{1}{2} q^T (Y_w - Y_w^T) p , \]
\[ \int_{Z_f} (\tilde{w} \cdot \nabla u) \cdot v \approx \frac{1}{2} v^T (C_w - C_w^T) u , \]
\[ \int_{Z_f} u \cdot \nabla \tilde{w} \cdot v \approx v^T G_w u , \]

where matrices \( Y_w \) and \( C_w \) were introduced by virtue of the expressions (4.14). Note that \( G_w \) is non-symmetric. Using this notation, the discretized form of (4.15) can be written, as

\[ \rho_0 [i \omega M - i \varepsilon M_w + \frac{1}{2} (C_w - C_w^T) + G_w] u - (B^T + i \varepsilon N_n^T) p \\
+ [A + i \varepsilon (X - X^T) + \varepsilon^2 S] u = 0 , \]

(4.18)

Remark 6. Formally one can consider the degenerate case of an inviscid fluid, \( \mu = 0 \), so that matrices \( A, S \) and \( X \) vanish, however, the irrotational velocity constraint \( \nabla \times \tilde{u} = 0 \) should be imposed to suppress spurious modes, and also the free-slip, non-penetration conditions must be applied, i.e. \( v^T \cdot u = 0 \) on \( \partial_s Z_f \).
Two alternative formulations of the dispersion problem arising from (4.18) can be considered: 1) For a given real frequency $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, compute a complex wave number $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$, such that (4.18) holds with nonvanishing eigenvectors, $u, p$; 2) For a given real wave number $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, compute a complex frequency $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ and nonvanishing $u, p$.

In this paper we use the first formulation, although it leads to the quadratic eigenvalue problem (QEP) which requires to introduce an additional variable and to use a substitution enabling to derive a standard generalized eigenvalue problem. The second alternative seems to be advantageous, since no such substitutions are needed, however, it appears to be quite cumbersome to distinguish the correct pressure modes among all the dispersion curves. Nevertheless, even this second formulation is outlined briefly in Section 4.2.2.

4.2.1. Formulation $\omega \mapsto \kappa$

We consider the problem: For a given $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, find $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$, such that (4.18) admits a non-trivial solution $(u, p)$. It is advisable to transformed this QEP into a problem involving $\kappa$ in the linear form. To do so, the Choleski decomposition of $S = V^T V$ is applied, which yields the substitution $z := i\kappa V u$ and $z^T S u = -i\kappa V^T z$.

The following block matrices can be established, where $\gamma = 1/k_f$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{P}_w := & \begin{pmatrix}
A + \rho_0 \frac{1}{2} (C_w - C_w^T) + i\omega M + G_w , & -B^T , & 0 \\
B , & i\omega \gamma Q + \frac{1}{2} (Y_w - Y_w^T) , & 0 \\
0 , & 0 , & I
\end{pmatrix}, \\
\mathbf{N}_w := & \begin{pmatrix}
\rho_0 M_w + (X^T - X) , & N_n^T , & V^T \\
N_n , & \gamma Q_w , & 0 \\
V , & 0 , & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \\
\mathbf{v} := & \begin{pmatrix}
u \\
p
\end{pmatrix}.
\end{align*}
$$

Now (4.18) can be rewritten,

$$
\frac{1}{i\kappa} \mathbf{P}_w \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{N}_w \mathbf{v},
$$

where $\mathbf{P}_w$ is regular. Unfortunately, because of the combination of the viscosity and advection effects associated with velocity $\bar{w}$, no special properties can be identified concerning matrices $\mathbf{P}_w$ and $\mathbf{N}_w$. Nevertheless, this formulation of the generalized eigenvalue problem has been implemented to analyze the dispersion $\omega \mapsto \kappa$, as reported in Section 5.2. The eigenmodes of interest are those with the smallest imaginary part $\kappa_I$ (for a given real $\omega$).

Remark 7. (Balancing the equations) Since $\rho_0/\gamma \approx 10^{10}$, the system should be balanced to improve conditioning of matrix $\mathbf{N}$. For this we set,

$$
\mathbf{â} := \rho_0^{1/2} \mathbf{u} , \quad \mathbf{ picker } := \gamma^{1/2} \mathbf{p},
$$

$$
\bar{\mathbf{B}} := (\rho_0 \gamma)^{-1/2} \mathbf{B} , \quad \bar{\mathbf{N}}_n := (\rho_0 \gamma)^{-1/2} \mathbf{N}_n ,
$$

$$
\bar{\mathbf{A}} := \mathbf{A}/\rho_0 , \quad \bar{\mathbf{S}} := \mathbf{S}/\rho_0 , \quad \bar{\mathbf{X}} := \mathbf{X}/\rho_0 .
$$

Using these substitutions, matrices (4.19) are replaced by

$$
\bar{\mathbf{P}} := \begin{pmatrix}
\bar{\mathbf{A}} + i\omega \mathbf{M} + \frac{1}{2} (C_w - C_w^T) + G_w , & -\bar{\mathbf{B}}^T , & 0 \\
\bar{\mathbf{B}} , & i\omega \gamma Q + \frac{1}{2} (Y_w - Y_w^T) , & 0 \\
0 , & 0 , & I
\end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
\bar{\mathbf{N}} := \begin{pmatrix}
\mathbf{M}_w + \bar{\mathbf{X}}^T - \bar{\mathbf{X}} , & \bar{\mathbf{N}}_n^T , & \bar{\mathbf{V}}^T \\
\bar{\mathbf{N}}_n , & \mathbf{Q}_w , & 0 \\
\bar{\mathbf{V}} , & 0 , & 0
\end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
\mathbf{v} := \begin{pmatrix}
\bar{\mathbf{u}} \\
\bar{\mathbf{p}}
\end{pmatrix}.
$$
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where $\tilde{S} = \tilde{V}^T\tilde{V}$, hence $\tilde{V} := V\rho^{-1/2}$.

4.2.2. Formulation $\kappa \mapsto \omega$

Alternatively, one may consider a given real wave number $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ and find an $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$, such that (4.18) admits a nontrivial solution $(\omega, (u, p))$. In this case, (4.18) presents a linear eigenvalue problem for the complex wave frequency $\omega$, whose imaginary part signifies the wave attenuation. The following matrices can be established, recalling $\gamma = 1/k_f$,

$$
\mathbf{I}_R \omega := \begin{pmatrix}
A + \kappa^2\tilde{S} + i\kappa(X - X^T), & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
\mathbf{I}_K \omega := \begin{pmatrix}
\rho_0^2 (C_w - C_w^T) - i\kappa M_w + G_w, & -(B^T + i\kappa N_n^T) - i\kappa Q_w + \frac{\gamma}{2}(Y_w - Y_w^T) \\
B - i\kappa N_n & \beta\gamma(\mathbf{I}_M^{\omega} - \gamma Q_w + \gamma^2(Y_w - Y_w^T))
\end{pmatrix},
$$

(4.23)

$$
\mathbf{I}_M := \begin{pmatrix}
\rho_0 M, & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v} := \begin{pmatrix}
u \\
p
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

Now (4.18) can be rewritten,

$$
[\mathbf{I}_K \omega + \mathbf{I}_R \omega] \mathbf{v} = -i\omega \mathbf{I}_M \mathbf{v},
$$

(4.24)

where $\mathbf{I}_M$ is real symmetric positive definite and $\mathbf{I}_R \omega$, being associated with the fluid viscosity, is Hermitian, but singular. Matrix $\mathbf{iK} \omega$ is general, but becomes Hermitian for the case of a static fluid, i.e. when $\bar{\omega} = 0$ so that the nonsymmetric matrix $G_w$ becomes zero.

Remark 8. As the consequence of the above statements, for static and inviscid fluids, (4.24) yields real frequencies, thus, $\mathbb{R} \ni \kappa \mapsto \omega \in \mathbb{R}$. In contrast, when $\bar{\omega} \neq 0$, even for inviscid fluids when $\mathbf{I}_R \omega$ vanishes, (4.24) yields complex frequencies in general, as discussed in Remark 4. However, in such a case, by virtue of Remark 6, free slip boundary conditions should be respected (thus, the displacement-associated matrices modified) and the irrotationality constraint related to the velocity field should be involved to reduce spurious oscillation modes.

5. Numerical examples

Properties and mutual correspondence of the models introduced in the preceding sections we be illustrated using examples of 2D and 3D geometries representing the periodic scaffolds saturated by viscous, or inviscid fluids. For this we compute dispersion curves and phase velocities characterizing the wave propagation in such media. Besides comparison of the responses provided by the two modelling approaches, i.e. the periodic homogenization (PH) and the Floquet-Bloch wave decomposition (FB), we aim to explore the following phenomena related to the wave propagation:

- the flow advection – the influence of the permanent fluid flow given by the macroscopic velocity $\bar{\omega}^0$ (its size $\bar{\omega}^0 = |\bar{\omega}^0|$ and orientation with respect to the wave direction $n$;
- the scale effects – the influence of the characteristic size of the microstructure, as described by the scale parameter $\varepsilon^0$. 
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Numerical solutions of the characteristic problems associated with the homogenized models, and of the eigenvalue problems, arising from the FB analysis, were computed using the finite element method implemented in the software SfePy [7]. In all the examples reported below, meshes with a sufficient resolution have been used, such that any further uniform refinement did not change the wave dispersion results for long wave lengths near the origin of the Brillouin zone. In all figures, results of the numerical experiments are displayed in the basic SI units, unless specified otherwise.

5.1. Inviscid fluid

The inviscid fluid parameters were those of water $\gamma = 5 \cdot 10^{-10}$ Pa$^{-1}$, $\rho_0 = 1000$ kg/m$^3$. We considered 3D robocast structures, [16], produced by sinterization of ceramic fibres. The representative cell $Z$ is illustrated in Fig. 2 (left). This domain generates a lattice of periodic 3D scaffolds. The largest edge of the cell $Z$ ($7.5 \cdot 10^{-4}$ m in the $x_1$ direction) limits the shortest wavelengths for the FB analysis, hence, in the reciprocal lattice, the corresponding 1st irreducible Brillouin zone spans $8378$ m$^{-1}$. The P1 finite elements on tetrahedrons were used for the discretization of both the FB and PH analyses.

![Image](image-url)

Figure 2: The periodic cell of solid fibres (left, from [16]) and corresponding finite element meshes ($l = 6.5 \cdot 10^{-4}$ m, $h = 2.75 \cdot 10^{-4}$ m) with two radii of the fibres for inviscid flow experiments.

5.1.1. Static fluid, $w^0 = 0$

Below we study the influence of the solid fibres diameters on the dispersion properties using (4.8) for the Bloch wave analysis (the frequencies $\omega$ were computed for given wave numbers $\kappa$) and (3.18) for the homogenization-based analysis, simplified for the case of zero convective velocity, $\bar{w} \equiv 0$. Specifically, (4.8) then presents a generalized eigenvalue problem for $\lambda := \omega^2$, which satisfies

$$A_0 p = \omega^2 M p \quad \text{with} \quad A_0 := c_f^2 \left[ C + x^2 M - i x (Y - Y^T) \right].$$

(5.1)

Due to the positive definiteness of both $A_0$ and $M$, real positive $\omega^2$ can be computed for given $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Examples of the finite element (FE) meshes of the 3D domains are shown for two different fibre diameters in Fig. 2 (middle, right). The eigenvalues were computed using the ARPACK solver (implicitly restarted Lanczos method [18]) through the SciPy package (function `eigsh()` [30]). The shift-invert mode was used to accelerate the calculation of the smallest eigenvalues.

We considered incident waves in the direction $n = (1,0,0)^T$ and examined influence of the porosity. While increasing fibres radii, thus reducing the fluid volume fraction, a
partial band gap appears and increases, as illustrated in Fig. 3 in terms of the dispersion curves ($\omega(\kappa)$ dependence) and phase velocity plots. The dashed black lines correspond to the homogenization-based asymptotes, see (3.18), while the thick color lines depict results of the FB analysis, obtained by solving (5.1).

Further, using the mesh in Fig. 5 (left), fixing the fiber diameter $d = 2.1 \cdot 10^{-4}$ m, the wave vector $\kappa = \kappa n$ was modified on a path in the reciprocal lattice; we traced a part of the boundary of the Brillouin zone, while fixing $\kappa_2 = 0$ and varying $\kappa_1, \kappa_3$, see Fig. 4 (left). The dispersion curves (the color lines) resulting from the FB analysis are displayed in Fig. 4 (right), where the dashed line represents the homogenization-based asymptotes.

5.1.2. Nonstationary inviscid fluid – effects of the advection

We report the influence of the advection magnitude $\bar{w}_0$ (the macroscopic flow velocity) and the incident wave direction $n$ on the dispersion properties. The dispersion analysis was performed for $\bar{w}_0 \in (0, 300)$ using (4.12) for the FB analysis (the frequencies $\omega$ were computed for given wave numbers $\kappa$) and (3.18) for the homogenization-based analysis. The eigenvalues were computed using the MATLAB® function eigs() [28, 18] via the MATLAB Engine API for Python.

The macroscopic velocity $\bar{w}_0 = (\bar{w}_0, 0, 0)$ had the overall direction along the $x_1$-axis and was specified using its magnitude $\bar{w}_0$. The local velocity field $\bar{w}$ was established by virtue of the homogenization result, see Appendix C. Field $\bar{w}$ is illustrated in Fig. 5 in terms of the streamlines distributed in the 3D computational domain.

Two cases studies were performed for two different directions $n$ of the incident waves:

- Study I-A: $n = (0, 1, 0)^T$, i.e. perpendicular to the macroscopic flow, thus $n \perp \bar{w}_0$.
- Study I-B: $n = (1, 0, 0)^T$, i.e. in the direction of the macroscopic flow, thus $n \parallel \bar{w}_0$.

In Fig. 6, the dispersion analysis by the FB method is compared with the homogenization-based approximation, whereby $\bar{w}_0 \in \{1, 100, 300\}$ m/s. To compare the two possible methods of the FB analysis implementation, namely the dispersion mappings $\omega \mapsto \kappa$, problem (4.11), and $\kappa \mapsto \omega$, problem (4.12), in Fig. 7, the corresponding curves are displayed for one fixed macroscopic advection velocity. For the case study I-B with the advection velocity $\bar{w}_0 = 100$, responses computed using both the FB analysis implementations, i.e. $\kappa \mapsto \omega$ and $\omega \mapsto \kappa$ are displayed in Fig. 8.

In Fig. 9 we present the dependence of the homogenized coefficients $a_n, b_n$ involved in (3.17) on the macroscopic advection velocity magnitude $\bar{w}_0$. In Fig. 10 the dependence of the homogenization-based phase velocities on $\bar{w}_0$ is shown: $c_+, -c_- in the left subplot and $|c_+| - |c_-|$ in the right subplot. As can be seen in the both figures, in study I-A, the influence of $\bar{w}_0$ magnitude is relatively small (nevertheless increasing with increasing $\bar{w}_0$): $a_n, b_n$ are almost constant and $c_+, -c_-$ relative difference stays below $10^{-5}$. On the other hand, in study I-B, $a_n, b_n$ vary significantly and large progressively increasing differences between $c_+$ and $-c_-$ can be observed.

5.2. Viscous fluid

Because of computational hurdles associated with solving the quadratic eigenvalue problems (QEP), we consider 2D structures only leading to smaller discretized models. The viscous fluid parameters are those of water: $\mu = 1.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$ Pa·s, $\gamma = 5 \cdot 10^{-10}$ Pa·s, $\rho_0 = 1000$ kg/m$^3$. The P2 (for velocity variables) and P1 (for pressure variables) finite elements on triangles were used for the discretization of both the FB and PH analyses.
Figure 3: The influence of the solid fibres diameters $d$ on the dispersion properties. As the radius of the fibres increases, a band gap appears and increases. The Bloch-based (dots) and homogenization-based (lines) dispersion results are shown using the dispersion curves (left), and the phase velocity curves (right). Units: $\kappa$: 1/mm, $\omega$: MHz.
Figure 4: Left: a path of the wave vectors $\vec{m}$ on the Bragg planes, bounding the Brillouin zone: $\kappa_2 = 0$ and $\kappa_1, \kappa_3$ vary. Right: the dispersion curves computed by the FB analysis (dotted color lines), and the corresponding homogenization-based predictions (the black dashed lines). Units: $[\kappa] = 1/mm$, $[\omega] = MHz$.

$d = 2.1 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ m}$

Figure 5: The finite element mesh (left) and inviscid flow streamlines (right).
Figure 6: The comparison of the dispersion analysis by the FB method (color point lines) and the homogenization-based analysis (black lines), various macroscopic flow velocities $\bar{w}^0$ we considered: Left: study I-A; Right: study I-B.
\( \bar{w}^0 = 100 \)

Figure 7: The comparison of the dispersion analysis by the FB method (color point lines) and the homogenization-based analysis (black lines) for various inlet convective velocities \( \bar{w}^0 \), computed \( \kappa \) for given \( \omega \). Left: study I-A, right: study I-B.

Figure 8: The comparison of \( \kappa \to \omega \) (Fig. 6) and \( \omega \to \kappa \) (Fig. 7) dispersion results for the study I-B, \( \bar{w}^0 = 100 \). Only the first quadrant is shown.
Figure 9: The dependence of homogenized coefficients $a_n, b_n$ on inlet convective velocities $\bar{w}^0$. Left: study I-A, right: study I-B.
Figure 10: The dependence of the homogenization-based phase velocities $c_+,-c_-$ on $\bar{w}^0$ (top) and the dependence of the difference $|c_+| - |c_-|$ on $\bar{w}^0$ (bottom). Left: study I-A, right: study I-B.
5.2.1. Homogenization-based analysis

By using (3.42) we studied, for a fixed finite scale parameter \( \varepsilon = 10^{-5} \), the influence of the convective velocity magnitude \( \bar{w}^0 \) on the dispersion properties. The incident wave vector direction is \( n = (0, 1)^T \), whereas the convective flow represented by \( \bar{w}^0 \parallel (1, 0)^T \) is aligned with the \( x_1 \)-axis. The associated advection field \( \bar{w} \) is obtained by the standard reconstruction of the homogenized Stokes flow, see e.g. [25, 10, 32]; for illustration of \( \bar{w} \), the streamlines are depicted in Fig. 11. Four velocities were used: \( \bar{w}^0 \in \{0, 1, 5, 10\} \) m/s.

![Figure 11: The finite element mesh and viscous flow velocity field.](image)

The characteristic responses \( \chi^k \) defined by (3.33) are shown in Fig. 12 for two velocities: \( \bar{w}^0 = 0 \) and \( \bar{w}^0 = 10 \) m/s. The steady advection flow modifies the so-called correctors (the characteristic responses) associated with the macroscopic pressure gradients, see (3.30), thus, inducing a non-symmetry of the dynamic permeability calculated according to (3.34). This effect is demonstrated in Fig. 13 while for zero advection, the responses of the modes \( k = 1 \) and \( k = 2 \) are symmetric with respect to the coordinate axes (rotation by \( \pi/2 \)), this symmetry is lost for \( \bar{w}^0 \neq 0 \). Finally, the dispersion properties, as depending on \( \bar{w}^0 \), in terms of the \( \kappa(\omega) \) plots and phase velocity and attenuation plots are shown in Fig. 14.

5.2.2. Bloch wave analysis compared to homogenization

The homogenization-based dispersion analysis (3.42) results were compared with the Bloch wave analysis (4.20) as follows. The incoming wave vector direction was \( n = (0, 1)^T \). The convective flow \( \bar{w} \) had the overall direction along the \( x_1 \)-axis \( (1, 0)^T \), and was specified using its magnitude \( \bar{w}^0 \) on the inflow (left) boundary. It is illustrated, together with the used finite element mesh, in Fig. 15 in terms of streamlines.

Two parametric studies of the dispersion were performed:

- Study V-A: The finite scale parameter \( \varepsilon_0 \) was modified in the range \([10^{-4}, 5.23 \cdot 10^{-3}]\) in lockstep with the finite scale advection velocity \( \bar{w}^{e_0} \), so that \( |\bar{w}| = 1 \) in (3.33), and (3.34); recall the scaling (3.22).
Figure 12: Characteristic responses $w^k$ for “horizontal” flow $\bar{w}$.
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- $k = 2$  

$\Im w^k$  
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$|\bar{w}| = 0$ [m/s]  
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Figure 13: The influence of the convective velocity magnitude $\bar{w}^0$ on the eigenvalues of the homogenized permeability tensor.

(a) 1st eig. val. of $\mathcal{K}(i\omega)$, $\Re \lambda_1(\omega)$

(b) 2nd eig. val. of $\mathcal{K}(i\omega)$, $\Re \lambda_2(\omega)$

(c) 1st eig. val. of $\mathcal{K}(i\omega)$, $\Im \lambda_1(\omega)$

(d) 2nd eig. val. of $\mathcal{K}(i\omega)$, $\Im \lambda_2(\omega)$

Figure 13: The influence of the convective velocity magnitude $\bar{w}^0$ on the eigenvalues of the homogenized permeability tensor.
Figure 14: The influence of the convective velocity magnitude $\bar{v}^0$ on the wave number, phase velocity and attenuation.

(c) phase velo.: $c_w(\omega) = \omega/\Re \kappa$

(d) attenuation $\omega/\Im \kappa$

Figure 15: The finite element mesh and viscous flow streamlines.
Study V-B: The dispersion analyses were performed for the fixed finite scale parameter \( \varepsilon_0 = 10^{-3} \), while changing \( \bar{w}^0 \) in range \([1, 21.5]\).

While in the V-A study, the advection effect is being changed proportionally to the microstructure size, the V-B study is aimed to explore the advection effect for a fixed microstructure size.

The eigenvalues in the FB analysis were computed using the MATLAB® function eigs() via the MATLAB Engine API for Python. Ten eigenvalues \((\frac{1}{\kappa})\) with the largest real part, i.e., \( \propto \) with the smallest imaginary part, were requested in the study V-A, while only three eigenvalues in the study V-B.

The dispersion curves and phase velocities obtained by the homogenization-based and FB-based analyses are compared in Fig. 16 for the study V-A, for two selected finite scale parameters \( \varepsilon_0 \). Similarly, in Fig. 17, for the study V-B, the results for two of the \( \bar{w}^0 \) are shown. The dispersion curves \( \omega \mapsto \kappa \) computed by the homogenization-based method were always closest to those obtained from the FB analysis with the smallest imaginary part of eigenvalues \( \kappa \), thus, to the least attenuated modes.

Since, in this case, the dispersion analyses by the two approaches correspond well, only those results obtained by the homogenization method are presented. For the study V-A, the dependence of \( \kappa(\omega) \), phase velocity \( c_w(\omega) = \omega/\Re \kappa \) and attenuation \( \omega/3\kappa \) curves on \( \varepsilon_0 \in [10^{-4}, 5.23 \cdot 10^{-3}] \) is shown in Fig. 18. In analogy, for the study V-B, the dependence of the dispersion curves on \( \bar{w}^0 \in [1, 21.5] \) for the fixed \( \varepsilon_0 = 10^{-3} \) is presented in Fig. 19.

6. Conclusion

We derived a macroscopic model governing the acoustic waves in rigid periodically porous media perfused by permanent flows of Newtonian, or inviscid fluids. This model extends the results reported in the literature by considering the advection phenomenon associated with the Navier-Stokes equations. The dynamic effects described by the model are limited by the linearization based on the assumptions stated in Section 2.1. Besides the thermal effects which are neglected by virtue of the restriction to barotropic fluids, the acoustic linearization assumes the oscillations of the velocity field magnitudes and of its gradient being small in comparison with the permanent flow velocity field. For the inviscid fluid with considered advection permanent flow, a reduced formulation for pressure field only was proposed.

As the second contribution of the paper, the Floquet-Bloch (FB) theory was applied to study the dispersion phenomena for models featured by the advection effects for the viscous and inviscid fluids. In both considered types of fluid, the analysis leads to a general form of the quadratic eigenvalue problem (QEP). Linearizations of QEPs for all treated models were suggested. Numerical solutions were obtained using the finite element method implemented in the software SfePy [7]. The numerical studies demonstrated expected relationships between the wave dispersion results obtained by the homogenization-based and the FB-based analyses. While the first approach provides a sufficiently accurate approximation for long waves only, the second approach enables to analyze planar waves propagating in infinite media. However, further research of numerical methods for the FB should be pursued to improve robustness and efficiency of the computational algorithms, especially in the case of the QEP using techniques presented, e.g., in [29].

We believe that the modelling approach presented in this paper can be developed further towards a more detailed study of the nonlinear phenomena of acoustics in porous media, such
ε₀ = 10⁻⁴

ε₀ = 5.23 · 10⁻³

Figure 16: Study V-A, the comparison of dispersion curves (left) and phase velocities (right) for homogenization (solid lines) and Bloch-based analyses (triangles).
Figure 17: Study V-B, the comparison of dispersion curves (left) and phase velocities (right) for homogenization (solid lines) and Bloch-based analyses (triangles).
Figure 18: Study V-A, the dependence of dispersion curves (left) and phase velocities (right) on $\varepsilon_0$ obtained by the homogenization analysis.
Figure 19: Study V-B, the dependence of dispersion curves (left) and phase velocities (right) on $\bar{w}^0$ obtained by the homogenization analysis.
as the acoustic streaming, with a high applicability in biomedical technologies and smart material design.

Acknowledgments. This research was supported by project GACR 17-01618S of the Scientific Foundation of the Czech Republic and due to the European Regional Development Fund—Project “Application of Modern Technologies in Medicine and Industry” (No. CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/17 048/0007280), and in part by project LO 1506 of the Czech Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports.

Appendix A. Model of acoustic fluctuations

Based on the Assumptions established in Section 2.1, we may consider the fluctuations in (2.2) being proportional to a small parameter $\alpha > 0$, so that, without changing the notation, (2.2) becomes $w = \bar{w} + \alpha \tilde{w}, p = \bar{p} + \alpha \tilde{p}$, and $\rho = \rho_0 + \alpha \tilde{\rho}$ where $\bar{p} = \rho_0$ is assumed to be a constant, see Assumption (A4). Upon substituting these expansions in (2.1), at order $\alpha^0$, this yields the stationary flow model (2.5). At order $\alpha^1$, the momentum equation (2.1) straightforwardly, while from the mass conservation (2.1) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_0 \bar{u} + \tilde{\rho} \bar{w}) = 0 .$$

Hence, using the incompressibility (2.5) and the assumptions (A5) related to the barotropic approximation, i.e. $\bar{p} = c_f^2 \tilde{\rho}$, with $c_f^2 = (\gamma \rho_0)^{-1}$, the density $\tilde{\rho}$ can be eliminated, so that the above equation becomes

$$\rho_0 \gamma \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial t} + \bar{w} \cdot \nabla \tilde{p} \right) + \rho_0 \nabla \cdot \tilde{u} = 0 , \quad (A.1)$$

thus, (2.4) holds. Obviously, terms of higher orders in the perturbation parameter $\alpha$ could be considered, bringing further equations involving the first-order approximation handled in this paper.

Appendix B. Basics of the homogenization by the unfolding operator method

In Section 3, the homogenization results were obtained by the periodic unfolding method, see [9]. To introduce the periodic unfolding operator, a domain is needed, containing the “entire” periods $\epsilon Y$ only:

$$\hat{\Omega}^\epsilon = \text{interior } \bigcup_{\zeta \in \Xi^\epsilon} Y_\zeta^\epsilon, \quad Y_\zeta^\epsilon = \epsilon (\bar{Y} + \zeta) ,$$

where $\Xi^\epsilon = \{ \zeta \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \mid \epsilon (\bar{Y} + \zeta) \subset \Omega \}$.

For simplicity we may consider such domains $\Omega$ and such subsequences $\{ \epsilon_k \}$ for which $\Omega = \hat{\Omega}^\epsilon_k$. For all $z \in \mathbb{R}^3$, let $[z]$ be the unique integer such that $z - [z] \in Y$. Since $z = [z] + \{z\}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^3$, for all $\epsilon > 0$, the unique decomposition holds,

$$x = \epsilon \left( \left[ \frac{x}{\epsilon} \right] + \left\{ \frac{x}{\epsilon} \right\} \right) = \xi + \epsilon y \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3 , \quad \xi = \epsilon \left[ \frac{x}{\epsilon} \right] . \quad (B.1)$$
Based on this decomposition, the periodic unfolding operator $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon : L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\Omega \times Y; \mathbb{R})$ is defined as follows: for any function $v \in L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$, extended to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R})$ by zero outside $\Omega$, i.e. $v = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega$,

$$
\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(v)(x, y) = \begin{cases}
v \left( \frac{x}{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon y \right), & x \in \hat{\Omega}^\varepsilon, y \in Y, \\
0 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
$$

For product of any $u$ and $v$ the unfolding yields $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(uv) = \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(u)\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(v)$. The following integration formula holds:

$$
\int_{\hat{\Omega}_\varepsilon} v \, dx = \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_{\Omega \times Y} \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(v) \, dy \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \int_Y \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(v) \, dy \, dx \quad \forall v \in L^1(\Omega).
$$

**Appendix C. Local periodic advection velocity field for the inviscid fluid**

Here we briefly present the homogenization result of the problem (2.6). For a given “macroscopic” advection velocity $w_0$, which may depend on the macroscopic position $x \in \Omega$, the fluctuation of the velocity potential $\psi(x, \cdot) \in H^1_{\#}(Y_f)$ for almost all $x \in \Omega$ satisfies

$$
\int_{Y_f} \nabla_y \psi \cdot \nabla_y q = w_0 \cdot \int_{Y_f} \nabla_y q \quad \forall q \in H^1_{\#}(Y_f).
$$

(C.1)

Note that the non-penetration condition holds, i.e. $\nu \cdot (\nabla_y \psi - w_0) = 0$ on $\Gamma$, where $w^1 := -\nabla_y \psi$ is the fluctuating part of the velocity. Then, the local advection field $\bar{w}$ is computed, as follows:

$$
\bar{w}(x, y) = w_0(x) - \nabla_y \psi(x, y).
$$

(C.2)

In the present paper, we assume periodic advection $\bar{w}_\varepsilon$, so that $w_0$ is a constant vector.

**Appendix D. Limit seepage velocity**

We prove the convergence of $u^{\partial\varepsilon}$ to trace of the limit seepage velocity $u^0$ on $\partial\Omega$, see (3.27). Let $\varphi^\delta \in C^\infty(\Omega)$. We compute limits of the identity,

$$
\int_{\partial \Omega_f^\varepsilon} \varphi^\delta u^{\partial\varepsilon} \cdot n - \int_{\Omega_f^\varepsilon} \nabla \cdot u^\varepsilon \varphi^\delta = \int_{\Omega_f^\varepsilon} u^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \varphi^\delta.
$$

(D.1)

Clearly, the right hand side integral converges, as follows

$$
\int_{\Omega_f^\varepsilon} u^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \varphi^\delta \to \int_{\Omega_f} \int_{Y_f} \hat{u} \cdot \nabla_x \varphi^\delta = \int_{\Omega} \nabla_x \varphi^\delta \cdot (\phi_f u^0)
$$

$$
= -\int_\Omega \varphi^\delta \nabla_x \cdot (\phi_f u^0) + \int_{\partial \Omega} (\phi_f u^0) \cdot n.
$$

(D.2)

The first left hand side integral in (D.1) converges by virtue of the given data $u^{\partial\varepsilon}$, thus

$$
\int_{\partial \Omega_f^\varepsilon} \varphi^\delta u^{\partial\varepsilon} \cdot n \to \int_{\partial \Omega} \varphi^\delta \phi_f u^0 \cdot n.
$$

(D.3)
The volume integral on the left hand side in (D.1) converges, as follows

\[- \int_{\Omega_f} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}^\delta \phi^\delta \to - \int_{\Omega} \nabla_x \phi^\delta \cdot \int_{Y_f} \hat{y} \nabla \hat{u} = 0, \tag{D.4}\]

since \(\nabla_y \cdot \hat{u} = 0\) in \(Y_f\). Above \(\hat{y}\) is the relative position of \(y\) with respect to the barycenter of \(Y\). Hence (D.2) and (D.3) yield

\[\int_{\partial \Omega} \phi^\delta \hat{\phi}_f \mathbf{u}^0 \cdot \mathbf{n} = - \int_{\Omega} \phi^\delta \nabla_x \cdot (\phi_f \mathbf{u}^0) + \int_{\partial \Omega} \phi^\delta (\phi_f \mathbf{u}^0) \cdot \mathbf{n}. \tag{D.5}\]

The assertion (3.27) now follows by \(\delta \to 0\).
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