Electronic Structures of Hybrid Graphene/Phosphorene Nanocomposite
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Combining the electronic structures of two-dimensional monolayers in ultrathin hybrid nanocomposites is expected to display new properties beyond their simplex components. Here, first-principles calculations are performed to study the structural, electronic and optical properties of hybrid graphene and phosphorene nanocomposite. It turns out that weak van der Waals interactions dominate between graphene and phosphorene with their intrinsic electronic properties preserved. Hybrid graphene and phosphorene nanocomposite shows tunable band gaps at graphene’s Dirac point and a transition from hole doing to electron doing for graphene as the interfacial distance decreases. Charge transfer between graphene to phosphorene induces interfacial electron-hole pairs in hybrid graphene and phosphorene nanocomposite with enhanced visible light response.

I. INTRODUCTION

Two-dimensional (2D) ultrathin materials, such as graphene,1 2 silicon,3 4 hexagonal boron nitride,5 6 graphitic carbon nitride,7 8 graphitic zinc oxide,9 10 molybdenum disulphide,11 12 have received considerable interest recently owing to their outstanding properties and potential applications. Graphene,2 a 2D sp²-hybridized carbon monolayer, is known to have remarkable electronic properties, such as a high carrier mobility, but the absence of a bandgap limits its applications of large-off current and high on-off ratio for graphene-based electronic devices. Furthermore, intrinsic electronic properties of graphene depend sensitively on the substrates due to strong graphene-substrate interactions, such as SiO2,13 14 SiC15 16 and metal17 18 surfaces. Therefore, opening a small bandgap and finding an ideal substrate for graphene remains challenging in the experiments.

Interestingly, many 2D ultrathin hybrid graphene-based nanocomposites have been widely studied experimentally and theoretically, such as graphene/silicene (G/S),19 21 graphene/graphitic boron nitride (G/g-BN),22 24 graphene/graphitic carbon nitride (G/g-C3N4),25 27; graphene/graphitic zinc oxide (G/g-ZnO)28 30 and graphene/molybdenum disulphide (G/MoS2)31 33. These hybrid graphene-based nanocomposites show much more new properties far beyond their simplex components. Furthermore, most of them are ideal substrates for graphene to preserve the intrinsic electronic properties of graphene and substrates.

Most recently, a new 2D material, namely, black phosphorus monolayer or phosphorene,34 37 has been isolated in the experiments through mechanical exfoliation from bulk black phosphorus and has immediately received considerable attention. Phosphorene also shows some remarkable electronic properties superior to graphene. For example, phosphorene is a semiconductor with a direct bandgap of about 1 eV.[35] showing the drain current modulation up to 105 and carrier mobility up to 105 cm²/(Vs) in nanoelectronics.[36] Here, an interesting question arise: whether graphene and phosphorene can form a 2D hybrid G/P nanocomposite with new properties?

In the present work, we design a new 2D hybrid graphene and phosphorene nanocomposite and study its electronic and optical properties with first-principles calculations. The results show that graphene interacts overall weakly with phosphorene via van der Waals (vdW) interactions, thus, their intrinsic electronic properties can be preserved in hybrid graphene/phosphorene nanocomposite. Moreover, interlayer interactions in hybrid graphene/phosphorene nanocomposite can induce tunable band gaps at graphene’s Dirac point, a transition from hole doing to electron doing for graphene and enhanced visible light response.

II. THEORETICAL METHODS AND MODELS

The lattice parameters of graphene and phosphorene calculated to setup unit cell are a(G) = b(G) = 2.47 Å, a(P) = 4.62 Å and b(P) = 3.30 Å.35 We design a new 2D hybrid graphene/phosphorene nanocomposite (40 carbon atoms and 28 phosphorus atoms) as shown in Figure 1 with a small lattice mismatch less than 2%. The vacuum space in the Z direction is about 15 Å to separate the interactions between neighboring slabs.
First-principles calculations are based on the density functional theory (DFT) implemented in the VASP 
package. The generalized gradient approximation of Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof (GGA-PBE) with van 
der Waals (vdW) correction proposed by Grimme (DFT-D2) is chosen due to its good description of long 
range vdW interactions. As a benchmark, DFT-D2 calculations give a good bilayer distance of 3.25 Å and 
binding energy of E_b = -25 meV per carbon atom. The energy cutoff is set to be 500 eV. The surface 
Brillouin zone is sampled with a 3×3 regular mesh and 120 k points are used for calculating the tiny band 
gaps at the Dirac point graphene in the hybrid G/P nanocomposite supercell. All the geometry structures are 
fully relaxed until energy and forces are converged to 10⁻⁵ eV/Å, respectively.

To investigate the optical properties of hybrid G/P nanocomposite, the frequency-dependent dielectric 
matrix is calculated. In order to calculate the optical properties of hybrid G/P nanocomposite, a large 
6×6 regular mesh for the surface Brillouin zone, a large number of empty conduction band states (two times more 
than the number of valence band) and frequency grid points (2000) are adopted. We crosscheck the optical 
properties of graphene and phosphorene, consistent with previous theoretical calculations.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Electronic properties of pristine graphene and phosphorene monolayers in the supercells are checked first and 
their electronic band structures are plotted in Figure 2a and 2b. Graphene is a zero-gap semiconductor (Figure 
2a), showing a linear Dirac-like dispersion relation E(k) = ±ℏν_F|k| around the Fermi level where ν_F is the Fermi 
velocity, and ν_F(G) = 0.8×10⁶ m/s at the Dirac point of graphene. Monolayer phosphorene is semiconducting 
with a direct band gap of 0.85 eV (Figure 2b), which agrees well with previous theoretical studies.

We then study the geometric structures of hybrid G/P nanocomposite. Typical vdW equilibrium spacing of about 
3.43 Å with corresponding small binding energy of about -24.7 meV per atom of graphene is obtained 
for hybrid G/P nanocomposite, which is well comparable with recent theoretical calculations in 2D graphene based 
nanocomposites, such as G/S, G/g-BN, G/g-C₃N₄, G/g-ZnO and G/MoS₂. Thus, weak vdW interactions dominate between graphene and phosphorene, suggesting that phosphorene can be used as an 
ideal substrate for graphene with their intrinsic electronic structures undisturbed. Notice that the small lattice mismatch of about 2% for graphene and phosphorene has little effect on their electronic properties in hybrid G/P nanocomposite.

Electronic band structure of hybrid G/P nanocomposite is shown in Figure 2c. The Dirac point of graphene 
is still preserved, and the Fermi velocity at the Dirac point is almost unchanged (ν_F(G/P) = 0.8×10⁶ m/s) in 
hybrid G/P nanocomposite compared to free-standing graphene, though small band gap of 10 meV is opened at 
the Dirac point of graphene. Notice that induced band gaps at the Dirac point of graphene are typically sen-
sitive and tunable to other external conditions, such as interlayer separation, as plotted in Figure 3. The gap 
values at graphene’s Dirac point increase from 5 to 90 meV as the interfacial distance decreases from 3.7 to 2.7
Å in hybrid G/P nanocomposite, showing a potential for high-performance graphene-based electronic devices.

Interestingly, we find that the Dirac point of graphene moves form the conduction band to the valence band of phosphorene as the interfacial distance decreases from 4.5 to 2.7 Å in hybrid G/P nanocomposite, inducing a transition from hole (p-type) doping to electron (n-type) doping for graphene as shown in Figure 4. When the interfacial distance artificially increases larger than 4.5 Å, graphene’s Dirac point is close to phosphorene’s conduction band. That is because graphene’s work function (4.3 eV) is close to phosphorene’s nucleophilic potential (4.1 eV). Based on the Schottky-Mott model, electrons easily transfer from graphene to phosphorene, resulting in p-type doping of graphene. But, weak overlap of electronic states between graphene and phosphorene are enhanced as the interfacial distance decreases. Furthermore, carbon has a large electronegativity (2.55) than that (2.19) of phosphorus. Thus, when graphene and phosphorene are close to each other (smaller than 3.0 Å), electrons easily transferred from phosphorene to graphene, resulting in n-type doping of graphene. Similarly, interlayer-interaction induced a transition from p-type doping to n-type doping for graphene has also observed experimentally and theoretically in hybrid graphene/silicene nanocomposite and graphene adsorption on some metal substrates.

Besides commonly focused electronic structures in 2D graphene based nanostructures, we also study the optical properties in hybrid G/P nanocomposite. Though pristine graphene and phosphorene themselves display unique optical properties, interlayer interactions and charge transfer in 2D nanocomposites may induce new optical transitions. As shown in Figure 5, charge transfer between graphene to phosphorene induces interfacial electron-hole pairs in hybrid G/P nanocomposite. In optical property calculations, the imaginary part of dielectric function for graphene and phosphorene monolayers as well as corresponding hybrid G/P nanocomposite are evaluated, including the light polarized parallel and perpendicular to the plane, as shown in Figure 5. Parallel optical absorption of pristine graphene and phosphorene monolayers mainly possesses in the visible light range from 200 to 1000 nm due to the transitions from \( \pi \) to \( \pi^* \) states and \( \sigma \) to \( \sigma^* \) states. Hybrid G/P nanocomposite exhibits stronger optical absorption, especially in the visible light range from 300 to 800 nm, compared with simplex graphene and phosphorene monolayers, because the interlayer coupling in hybrid G/P nanocomposite induces electronic states overlap and electrons can now be directly excited between graphene and phosphorene. But, perpendicular dielectric function is almost unaffected by the interlayer interactions in hybrid G/P nanocomposite due to very weak optical absorption of graphene in this direction.

**IV. CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, we study the electronic structures and optical properties of hybrid graphene/phosphorene nanocomposite with first-principles calculations. We find that phosphorene interacts weakly with graphene via weak vdW interactions to preserve their intrinsic electronic properties. Moreover, interlayer interactions can induce tunable band gaps at graphene’s Dirac point, a transition from hole doing to electron doing for graphene and enhanced visible light response in hybrid graphene/phosphorene nanocomposite. With the
excellent electronic and optical properties combined beyond simplex graphene and phosphorene monolayers, 2D ultrathin hybrid graphene/phosphorene nanocomposite system is expected to be of a great potential in new graphene-based electronic devices.
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