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Abstract

We give examples of Lie–Rinehart algebras whose universal enveloping algebra is not a Hopf algebroid either in the sense of Böhm and Szlachányi or in the sense of Lu. We construct these examples as quotients of a canonical Lie–Rinehart algebra over a Jacobi algebra which does admit an antipode.

1 Introduction

Hopf algebroids are generalizations of Hopf algebras. In the literature, the term Hopf algebroid refers to either of the following three concepts: Hopf algebroids in the sense of Böhm and Szlachányi [BS04] (which we will call full), to Hopf algebroids in the sense of Lu [Lu96], or to left Hopf algebroids (which were introduced under the name $\times_R$-Hopf algebras by Schauenburg [Sch00]).

In [BS04], an example of a full Hopf algebroid is given that does not satisfy the axioms of [Lu96]; it is however unknown whether all Hopf algebroids in the sense of [Lu96] are full. Moreover, until [KR13] it had been an open question whether Hopf algebroids, in the sense of [BS04] or in the sense of [Lu96], were equivalent to left Hopf algebroids. It turns out that the universal enveloping algebra of a Lie–Rinehart algebra (a fundamental example of a left Hopf algebroid, see [KK10, Example 2]) will not carry an antipode in general. Hence there exist left Hopf algebroids that are neither full nor Hopf algebroids in the sense of [Lu96].

Motivated by [KR13], in the present note we give further examples of Hopf algebroids without an antipode, i.e., left Hopf algebroids which are neither full nor satisfy the axioms of [Lu96]. In these examples we consider Jacobi algebras, a generalization of Poisson algebras first introduced in a differential geometric context in [Kir76, Lic78], and construct quotients of a canonical Lie–Rinehart algebra associated to them (see Lemma 3.4 in Section 3.1). In certain cases, the universal enveloping algebra of some of these quotient Lie–Rinehart algebras (see Section 4.2) will not admit an antipode. To prove this, we use a result by Kowalzig and Posthuma [KP11] which states that an antipode will exist on the universal enveloping algebra of a Lie–Rinehart algebra $(A,L)$ if and only if $A$ is a right $(A,L)$-module or equivalently there exist flat right $(A,L)$-connections on $A$, see [Hue98], or flat right $(A,L)$-connections characters on $A$, see Proposition 2.6.

More precisely, the aim of this note is twofold:
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Firstly, in Section 3.1, we focus on the algebraic characterization (as a Lie–Rinehart algebra) of a canonical Lie algebroid associated to Jacobi manifolds proposed in [KSB93, Vai00]. Our description is equivalent to the one given by Okassa [Oka07], although we use a different approach: while [Oka07] uses so-called Jacobi 1- and 2-forms on the trivial extension of a Jacobi algebra \( A \) over a field \( k \) by its module of Kähler differentials \( \Omega^1(A) \), we consider the \( A \)-module \( A \oplus \Omega^1(A) \) as a quotient (see [Mat80, Chapter 10] for this construction) which we call the 1-jet space of \( A \) and denote by \( J^1(A) \). Furthermore, we prove that there exist flat right \( (A, J^1(A)) \)-connections on \( A \), and hence that the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie–Rinehart algebra \( (A, J^1(A)) \) admits an antipode, a fact which to our knowledge has not been stated before in the literature.

We formulate these results in our first theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( (A, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_J) \) be a Jacobi algebra over a field \( k \), \( I \) be the kernel of the multiplication map \( \mu : A \otimes A \to A \), \( J^1(A) := (A \otimes A)/I^2 \cong A \oplus \Omega^1(A) \) be the 1-jet space of \( A \) and \( j^1 : A \to J^1(A) \) be the 1-jet map \( a \mapsto 1 \otimes a \pmod{I^2} \), for all \( a \in A \).

1. The pair \( (A, J^1(A)) \) is a Lie–Rinehart algebra with anchor
   \[
   \rho_J^1 : J^1(A) \to \text{Der}_k(A), \quad j^1(a) \mapsto \Phi_a := \{a, \cdot\}_J + \{1, a\}_J \cdot \cdot
   \] (1.1)
   and Lie bracket on \( J^1(A) \) given by
   \[
   [j^1(f), j^1(g)]_{J^1(A)} = j^1(\{f, g\}_J).
   \] (1.2)

2. The map
   \[
   \varphi_{J^1} : J^1(A) \to A, \quad a \cdot j^1(b) \mapsto \{a, b\}_J
   \] (1.3)
   is a flat right \( (A, J^1(A)) \)-connection character on \( A \) which induces a flat right \( (A, J^1(A)) \)-connection on \( A \).

Consequently, the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie–Rinehart algebra \( (A, J^1(A)) \) admits an antipode.

Secondly, in Section 4, we give a method to construct new Lie–Rinehart algebras associated to certain Jacobi algebras as a quotient of the canonical lift of \( (A, J^1(A)) \) to \( (A, A \otimes A) \). These new Lie–Rinehart algebras do not admit antipodes in certain cases. We summarise these results in our second theorem.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let \( (A, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_J) \) be a Jacobi algebra over a field \( k \), let \( h \in A \), and assume that \( r \cdot \{\cdot,\cdot\}_J = 0 \) for all \( r \in \text{Ann}_A(\{h\}) \).

1. The pair \( (A, Ah \otimes A) \) is a Lie–Rinehart algebra with anchor \( \rho_{Ah \otimes A} : Ah \otimes A \to \text{Der}_k(A) \), given by \( h \otimes a \mapsto \Phi_a \), and Lie bracket on \( Ah \otimes A \) given by
   \[
   [h \otimes f, h \otimes g]_{Ah \otimes A} = h \otimes \{f, g\}_J.
   \] (1.4)

2. Assume there exists a right \( (A, Ah \otimes A) \)-connection \( \nabla^r \) on \( A \).
   (a) Then there exists some \( a \in A \) satisfying \( a \cdot r = \{1, r\}_J \) for all \( r \in \text{Ann}_A(\{h\}) \).
   (b) Moreover, if \( \nabla^r \) is flat, so that \( A \) is a right \( (A, Ah \otimes A) \)-module extending multiplication in \( A \), then for all \( b \in A \) satisfying \( \{1, b\}_J = 0 \), there exists an element \( a \in A \) satisfying \( a \cdot r = \{1, r\}_J \) for all \( r \in \text{Ann}_A(\{h\}) \) and such that the following compatibility condition holds:
   \[
   \{b, a\}_J = \{1, c\}_J, \quad \text{for some } c \in A.
   \]
We see that if a Jacobi algebra \((A, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_J)\) satisfying \(r \cdot \{\cdot, \cdot\}_J = 0\) for all \(r \in \text{Ann}_A(\{h\})\) for some fixed \(h \in A\) does not satisfy conditions (a) or (b) in Theorem 1.2 Part 2, then there is an obstruction to the existence of flat right \((A, Ah \otimes A)\)-connections on \(A\). By a result of Kowalzig and Posthuma [KP11], see Section 2.2 below, the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie–Rinehart algebra \((A, Ah \otimes A)\) associated to these Jacobi algebras will provide new examples of left Hopf algebroids without antipode. Section 5 is dedicated to examples of this construction.
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2 Background

In this section we recall the definition of a Lie–Rinehart algebra, see [Her53,Hue90,Hue91,Rin63] and of its universal enveloping algebra; we review the main tools used in this note, namely right \((A, L)\)-connections and right connection characters on \(A\), see [Hue98, Kow09, KP11]; and give some background on Jacobi algebras, see [Kir76, Lic78].

2.1 Lie–Rinehart algebras

The term Lie–Rinehart algebra was coined by Huebschmann [Hue91]. However, this algebraic structure, which was introduced by Herz [Her53] under the name Lie pseudo-algebra (also known as Lie algebroid [Pra67] in a differential geometric context), had been developed and studied before as a generalization of Lie algebras. See [Hue90, Section 1] for some historical remarks on this development.

Definition 2.1. Let \(R\) be a commutative ring with identity, \((A, \cdot)\) a commutative \(R\)-algebra and \((L, [\cdot, \cdot]_L)\) a Lie algebra over \(R\). A pair \((A, L)\) is called a Lie–Rinehart algebra over \(R\) if \(L\) has a left \(A\)-module structure \(A \otimes_R L \to L\), \(a \otimes_R \xi \mapsto a \cdot \xi\) for \(a \in A, \xi \in L\), and there is an \(A\)-linear Lie algebra homomorphism \(\rho : L \to \text{Der}_R(A)\), called the anchor map, satisfying the Leibniz rule

\[
[\xi, a \cdot \eta]_L = a \cdot [\xi, \eta]_L + \rho(\xi)(a) \cdot \eta, \quad a \in A, \xi, \eta \in L. \tag{2.1}
\]

In what follows, \(R\) will always be a commutative ring with identity and, unless stated otherwise, all algebras will be over \(R\).

A fundamental example is \((A, \text{Der}_R(A))\) where \(A\) is a commutative algebra, and we consider the usual Lie bracket and \(A\)-module structure on \(\text{Der}_R(A)\) with anchor given by the identity. See references above for further examples and applications.

An important milestone in the development of these algebraic structures is Rinehart’s work [Rin63] in which he gives the structure of their universal enveloping algebra (see [Rin63, Section 2]), generalizing the construction of the universal enveloping algebra of a Lie algebra.

Definition 2.2 (Rinehart [Rin63]). Let \((A, L)\) be a Lie–Rinehart algebra. Its universal enveloping algebra, denoted by \(V(A, L)\), is the universal associative \(R\)-algebra with

1. an \(R\)-algebra map \(A \to V(A, L)\),
2. a Lie algebra map \(\iota_\xi : (L, [\cdot, \cdot]_L) \to (V(A, L), [\cdot, \cdot])\) given by \(\xi \mapsto \iota_\xi(\xi) =: \bar{\xi}\), where \([\cdot, \cdot]\) denotes the commutator in \(V(A, L)\)

such that for all \(a \in A\) and \(\xi \in L\) we have

\[
[\xi, a] = \rho(\xi)(a), \quad a\xi = a \cdot \bar{\xi}
\]

where the product in \(V(A, L)\) is denoted by concatenation.
2.2 Right \((A, L)\)-module structures and connections on \(A\)

The concepts of left (respectively, right) \((A, L)\)-connections and \((A, L)\)-module structures on \(A\)-modules were introduced in [Hue98]. There is an equivalence of categories between these module structures and left (respectively, right) \(V(A, L)\)-module structures. While the anchor map defines a canonical left \(V(A, L)\)-module structure on \(A\) itself [KP11, Remark 3.10], there is no canonical right \(V(A, L)\)-module structure on \(A\), see [KP11, Section 3.2.2] for discussion.

In fact, as proved in [KR13], \(A\) will not carry a right \(V(A, L)\)-module structure in general. We will only be considering right \((A, L)\)-module structures (and connections) on \(A\).

**Definition 2.3.** Let \((A, L)\) be a Lie–Rinehart algebra. A **right \((A, L)\)-connection** on \(A\), where \(A\) is considered as a module over itself, is an \(R\)-linear map \(\nabla^r : A \otimes_R L \to A\) given by \(a \otimes_R \xi \mapsto \nabla^r(a \otimes_R \xi) =: \nabla^r_\xi(a)\) satisfying

\[
\nabla^r_\xi(a \cdot b) = \nabla^r_\xi(a) b - \rho(\xi)(a) \cdot b, \quad a, b \in A, \xi \in L. \tag{2.2}
\]

A right \((A, L)\)-connection on \(A\) is **flat** if the map \(\nabla^r : A \otimes_R L \to A\) turns \(A\) into a right \(L\)-module, that is, \(\left( [\nabla^r_\xi, \nabla^r_\zeta]_{\text{Der}_R(A)} + \nabla^r_{[\xi, \zeta]}\right)(a) = 0\) for \(a \in A, \xi, \zeta \in L\).

Since right \((A, L)\)-connections are not necessarily flat, it is useful to define the following:

**Definition 2.4.** Given a right \((A, L)\)-connection on \(A\), we define the operator \(C^\nabla_r\) which we call **right \((A, L)\)-curvature operator**, as follows:

\[
C^\nabla_r : L \otimes_R L \otimes_R A \to A: \quad (\xi, \zeta, a) \mapsto C^\nabla_r(\xi, \zeta)(a) := \left( [\nabla^r_\xi, \nabla^r_\zeta]_{\text{Der}_R(A)} + \nabla^r_{[\xi, \zeta]}\right)(a)
\]

for \(a \in A, \xi, \zeta \in L\). We call \(C^\nabla_r(\xi, \zeta)(a) \in A\) the **curvature** of the right \((A, L)\)-connection \(\nabla^r : A \otimes_R L \to A\) on \(\xi, \zeta \in L\) evaluated at \(a \in A\).

We are now ready to define the main object we will consider:

**Definition 2.5.** A flat right \((A, L)\)-connection on \(A\), that is, a connection with \(C^\nabla_r(\xi, \zeta)(a) = 0\) for all \(a \in A\) and \(\xi, \zeta \in L\), turns \(A\) into a **right \((A, L)\)-module**.

Now, from (2.2) it follows that \(\nabla^r(a \otimes_R \xi) = \nabla^r(1 \otimes_R a \cdot \xi)\). So we deduce that a right \((A, L)\)-connection on \(A\) is in fact a certain map from \(A \otimes_A L\) to \(A\), that is, a map from \(L\) to \(A\), satisfying a Leibniz-type rule. More precisely, we have:

**Proposition 2.6.** There exists a one-to-one correspondence between right \((A, L)\)-connections on \(A\) and operators

\[
\delta^\nabla_r : L \to A, \quad \xi \mapsto \nabla^r_\xi(1_A) =: \delta^\nabla_r(\xi), \quad \xi \in L \tag{2.4}
\]

satisfying

\[
\delta^\nabla_r(a \cdot \xi) = a \cdot \delta^\nabla_r(\xi) - \rho(\xi)(a). \tag{2.5}
\]

The operator \(\delta^\nabla_r\) is called **right connection character**.

**Proof.** The property of the map \(\delta^\nabla_r : L \to A\) given in (2.5) follows from (2.4) and (2.3) since \(\delta^\nabla_r(a \cdot \xi) = \nabla^r_a(1_A) = a \cdot \nabla^r_\xi(1_A) - \rho(\xi)(a) \cdot 1_A = a \cdot \delta^\nabla_r(\xi) - \rho(\xi)(a)\). \(\square\)

Note that this correspondence is implicit in [Hue98, Theorem 1], see also [Kow09, Theorem 4.2.7 and p85].

Since a right \((A, L)\)-connection \(\nabla^r\) can be described in terms of a corresponding map \(\delta^\nabla_r\), a natural description of the operator \(C^\nabla_r\) follows:
\textbf{Lemma 2.7.} In terms of the operator $\delta^V_r$, the map $C^V_r : L \otimes_R L \otimes_R A \to A$ is

\[
C^V_r : L \otimes_R L \otimes_R A \to A, \quad (\xi, \zeta, c) \mapsto c \cdot (-\rho(\xi)(\delta^V_r(\zeta)) + \rho(\zeta)(\delta^V_r(\xi)) + \delta^V_r([\xi, \zeta]_L)) \tag{2.6}
\]

where $c \in A$ and $\xi, \zeta \in L$. Moreover, the operator $C^V_r$ is trilinear.

\textbf{Proof.} Using Definition 2.3 and Proposition 2.6 we argue as follows

\[
\begin{align*}
C^V_r(a \cdot \xi, b \cdot \zeta)(c) &= \nabla^r_{a \cdot \xi} \left( \nabla^r_{b \cdot \zeta}(c) \right) - \nabla^r_{b \cdot \zeta} \left( \nabla^r_{a \cdot \xi}(c) \right) + \nabla^r_{[a \cdot \xi, b \cdot \zeta]_L}(c) \\
&= \nabla^r_{a \cdot \xi} \left( \delta^V_r(b \cdot c \cdot \zeta) \right) - \nabla^r_{b \cdot \xi} \left( \delta^V_r(a \cdot c \cdot \xi) \right) + \delta^V_c(c \cdot [a \cdot \xi, b \cdot \zeta]_L) \\
&= \delta^V_r(a \cdot \delta^V_r(b \cdot c \cdot \zeta) \cdot \xi) - \delta^V_r(b \cdot \delta^V_r(a \cdot c \cdot \zeta) \cdot \xi) \\
&\quad + \delta^V_r(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot [\xi, \zeta]_L + a \cdot c \cdot \rho(\xi)(b) \cdot \zeta - c \cdot b \cdot \rho(\zeta)(a) \cdot \xi) \\
&= a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot (-\rho(\xi)(\delta^V_r(\zeta)) + \rho(\zeta)(\delta^V_r(\xi)) + \delta^V_r([\xi, \zeta]_L)).
\end{align*}
\]

Since the operator $C^V_r$ is $A$-linear, and in fact $A$-trilinear, we only need to consider the curvature of a right $(A, L)$-connection at $1_A \in A$ and so, we write $C^V_r(\xi, \zeta)(1_A) =: C^V_r(\xi, \zeta)$ for $\xi, \zeta \in L$. Furthermore, since right $(A, L)$-connections on $A$ and right $(A, L)$-connection characters on $A$ are equivalent, we will refer to the operator $\delta^V_r$ in (2.4) as connection.

In [KP11, Proposition 3.11], it is proved that there exists an antipode on the universal enveloping algebra of $(A, L)$ turning the left Hopf algebroid structure on $V(A, L)$ into a full Hopf algebroid if and only if there exists a right $V(A, L)$-module structure on $A$. From [KR13], it follows that the left Hopf algebroid $V(A, L)$ is not, in general, a full one. However, examples where $V(A, L)$ admits an antipode do exist: e.g. the universal enveloping algebra of a Lie algebroid [ELW99] and of the canonical Lie–Rinehart algebra associated to Poisson algebras [Hue98, Section (3.2)].

\subsection*{2.3 Jacobi algebras}

Jacobi algebras were first introduced by Kirillov [Kir76] under the name “local Lie algebras” and independently by Lichnerowicz [Lic78] as the algebraic structure on the ring of $C^\infty$-functions on a certain kind of smooth manifolds, called Jacobi manifolds, see Section 3.2 below. (See [Mar91, Section 2.2] for some remarks comparing both definitions.) Here we give a purely algebraic definition, see [GM03] for a graded version and [AM14] for results on Frobenius Jacobi algebras, representations of Jacobi algebras, and classification.

\textbf{Definition 2.8.} A Jacobi algebra over $R$ is a commutative $R$-algebra $(A, \cdot)$ endowed with an $R$-linear Lie bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_J$, called the Jacobi bracket, satisfying the Leibniz rule

\[
\{a \cdot b, c\}_J = a \cdot \{b, c\}_J + b \cdot \{a, c\}_J - a \cdot b \cdot \{1, c\}_J, \quad a, b, c \in A. \tag{2.7}
\]

Poisson algebras can be seen as Jacobi algebras where $\{1, a\}_J = 0$ for all $a \in A$.

\textbf{Proposition 2.9.} The Jacobi bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_J$ induces a Lie algebra map defined by

\[
\Phi : A \to \text{Der}_R(A), \quad a \mapsto \Phi_a := \{a, \cdot\}_J + \cdot \cdot \{1, a\}_J, \quad a \in A. \tag{2.8}
\]

\textbf{Proof.} First we check that $\Phi_a$ is a derivation on $A$ for all $a \in A$. We have

\[
\Phi_a(b \cdot c) = \{a, b \cdot c\}_J + b \cdot c \cdot \{1, a\}_J = b \cdot \{a, c\}_J + c \cdot \{a, b\}_J + 2 \cdot b \cdot c \cdot \{1, a\}_J = b \cdot \Phi_a(c) + c \cdot \Phi_a(b).
\]

Furthermore, using the fact that $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_J$ satisfies the Jacobi identity, a straightforward computation shows that the derivation $\Phi_{\{a, b\}_J} - [\Phi_a, \Phi_b]_{\text{Der}_R(A)}$ vanishes on all $c \in A$. Hence $\Phi$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism. \hfill \square
Corollary 2.10. The operator \( \{a, \bullet\}_J \) is a first-order differential operator for all \( a \in A \), and a derivation for the case \( a = 1 \).

See also [Kir76, Proof of Lemma 4].

3 The Lie–Rinehart algebra \( (A, \mathcal{J}^1(A)) \) over a Jacobi algebra \( A \)

In this section we develop the proof of Theorem 1.1.

3.1 The 1-jet space of \( A \)

In this section we first describe the \( A \)-module structure of the 1-jet space of a commutative algebra \( A \). Then we consider \( A \) to be a Jacobi algebra and show that the pair \( (A, A \otimes A) \) admits a Lie–Rinehart algebra structure (see Lemma 3.4) which descends to a Lie–Rinehart structure on \( (A, \mathcal{J}^1(A)) \), (Theorem 1.1 Part 1).

Definition 3.1. Let \( A \) be a commutative algebra, \( \mu : A \otimes_R A \to A \) be the multiplication map \( a \otimes_R b \mapsto a \cdot b \), and let \( I = \text{Ker} \mu \subseteq A \otimes_R A \). The \textbf{1-jet space of} \( A \) is an \( A \)-module defined by

\[
\mathcal{J}^1(A) := (A \otimes_R A)/I^2. \tag{3.1}
\]

We now provide a characterization of \( \mathcal{J}^1(A) \) as the trivial extension of \( A \) by \( \Omega^1(A) \), the \( A \)-module of Kähler differentials over \( A \), explaining its relation to (3.1), see [Mat80, Chapter 10] for more details.

Proposition 3.2. There exists a canonical isomorphism of \( A \)-modules

\[
(A \otimes_R A)/I^2 \cong A \oplus \Omega^1(A) \tag{3.2}
\]

which identifies \( a \otimes_R b \) (mod \( I^2 \)) with \((a \cdot b, a \cdot db)\) for all \( a, b \in A \).

Proof. First note \( \Omega^1(A) = I/I^2 \) and \( da = 1 \otimes_R a - a \otimes_R 1 \) (mod \( I^2 \)), so that \( a \cdot db = a \otimes_R b - a \cdot b \otimes_R 1 \) (mod \( I^2 \)). Let \( \lambda : A \to A \otimes_R A \) be given by \( a \mapsto a \otimes_R 1 \). Then for all \( a, b \in A \) we write \( a \otimes_R b \in A \otimes_R A \) as \( a \otimes_R b = a \cdot b \otimes_R 1 + (a \otimes_R b - a \cdot b \otimes_R 1) \) where \( a \cdot b \otimes_R 1 \in \lambda(A) \) and \( a \otimes_R b - a \cdot b \otimes_R 1 \in I \). Since \( I \cap \lambda(A) = 0 \), we deduce \( A \otimes_R A = \lambda(A) \oplus I \) and \( \lambda(A)/I^2 = \lambda(A) \).

Since \( \lambda \) is injective, we can identify \( \lambda(A) \) with \( A \), hence

\[
(A \otimes_R A)/I^2 = (\lambda(A) \oplus I)/I^2 = (\lambda(A)/I^2) \oplus (I/I^2) \cong A \oplus \Omega^1(A). \tag{3.3}
\]

Proposition 3.3. As an \( A \)-module, \( \mathcal{J}^1(A) \) is generated by the image of \( (A, \cdot) \) under the map \( j^1 : A \to \mathcal{J}^1(A) \) given by \( a \mapsto 1 \otimes_R a \) (mod \( I^2 \)) and called the \textbf{1-jet map}. The elements \( j^1(a) \in \mathcal{J}^1(A) \) satisfy the Leibniz rule

\[
j^1(a \cdot b) - a \cdot j^1(b) - b \cdot j^1(a) + a \cdot b \cdot j^1(1) = 0. \tag{3.4}
\]

Proof. For an element \( \sum a_i \otimes_R b_i \in A \otimes_R A \) we have \( \sum a_i \otimes_R b_i = \sum a_i \cdot (1 \otimes_R b_i) \). Since we have \( 1 \otimes_R b_i \) (mod \( I^2 \)) = \( j^1(b_i) \) we deduce that any element in \( \mathcal{J}^1(A) = (A \otimes_R A)/I^2 \) is of the form \( \sum a_i \cdot j^1(b_i) \). Hence we deduce that, as an \( A \)-module, \( \mathcal{J}^1(A) \) is generated by \( j^1(a) \in \mathcal{J}^1(A) \) for all \( a \in A \).

As \( 1 \otimes_R a \cdot b = a \otimes_R b - a \otimes_R a \cdot b + a \cdot b \otimes_R 1 = (1 \otimes_R a \otimes_R b) - (1 \otimes_R b - b \otimes_R 1) \in I^2 \), the Leibniz rule in (3.3) holds.

Note that the isomorphism \( (A \otimes_R A)/I^2 \cong A \oplus \Omega^1(A) \) given in (3.2) identifies \( a \cdot j^1(b) \in \mathcal{J}^1(A) \) with \((a \cdot b, a \cdot db) \in A \oplus \Omega^1(A) \) for all \( a, b \in A \).
Our next aim is to endow the $A$-module $A \otimes A$, where $A$ is a Jacobi algebra over a field $k$, with a Lie bracket, denoted $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{A \otimes A}$, and an $A$-linear Lie algebra map from $A \otimes A$ to $\text{Der}_k(A)$ compatible with the bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{A \otimes A}$ so that the pair $(A, A \otimes A)$ is a canonical Lie–Rinehart algebra over $A$.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let $(A, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ be a Jacobi algebra over a field $k$, the pair $(A, A \otimes A)$ is a Lie–Rinehart algebra with anchor

$$\rho_{A \otimes A} : A \otimes A \rightarrow \text{Der}_k(A), \quad a \otimes b \mapsto a \cdot \Phi_b$$

and Lie bracket on $A \otimes A$ given by

$$[a \otimes f, b \otimes g]_{A \otimes A} = a \cdot \Phi_f(b \otimes g) - b \cdot \Phi_g(a) \otimes f$$

(3.5)

**Proof.** The bracket in (3.5) is skew-symmetric and by a brief computation we see that it satisfies the Jacobi identity. We now check that the $A$-linear map in (3.4) is a Lie algebra map:

$$\rho_{A \otimes A}([a \otimes f, b \otimes g]_{A \otimes A}) = \rho_{A \otimes A}(a \cdot \Phi_f(b \otimes g) - b \cdot \Phi_g(a) \otimes f)$$

$$= a \cdot b \cdot \Phi_f(a) \cdot \Phi_b + a \cdot b \cdot \Phi_f(b) \cdot \Phi_g(a)$$

$$= a \cdot b \cdot \Phi_f(b \otimes g) - b \cdot \Phi_g(a) \otimes f$$

$$= [a \otimes f, b \otimes g]_{A \otimes A} + \rho_{A \otimes A}(a \otimes f)(b) \cdot (c \otimes g).$$

Lastly, we show that the bracket in (3.5) is compatible with the anchor in (3.4) since the Leibniz rule in (2.1) is satisfied:

$$[a \otimes f, b \otimes c \otimes g]_{A \otimes A} = a \cdot b \cdot c \otimes \{f, g\}_A + a \cdot \Phi_f(b \otimes c) \otimes g - b \cdot c \cdot \Phi_g(a) \otimes f$$

$$= b \cdot (a \cdot c \otimes \{f, g\}_A + a \cdot \Phi_f(b \otimes c) \otimes g - b \cdot c \cdot \Phi_g(a) \otimes f) + a \cdot c \cdot \Phi_f(b \otimes g)$$

$$= b \cdot [a \otimes f, c \otimes g]_{A \otimes A} + \rho_{A \otimes A}(a \otimes f)(b) \cdot (c \otimes g).$$

We are now ready to prove that the pair $(A, J^1(A))$ admits a Lie–Rinehart algebra structure: the Lie bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{A \otimes A}$ on $A \otimes A$ in (3.5) and the anchor map given by $\rho_{A \otimes A} : A \otimes A \rightarrow \text{Der}_k(A)$ in (3.4) descend respectively to a Lie bracket on $J^1(A)$ and to an $A$-linear Lie algebra map from $J^1(A)$ to $\text{Der}_k(A)$ which are compatible with each other since they satisfy the Leibniz rule (2.1).

**Proof of Theorem 1.1 Part 1.** The Lie bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{A \otimes A}$ on $A \otimes A$ given in (3.5) descends to the bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{J^1(A)}$ given in (1.2) if and only if it maps the $A$-module $A \otimes A \otimes I^2 + I^2 \otimes A \otimes A$ to $I^2$. Since $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{A \otimes A}$ is a skew-symmetric bracket, it is enough to check that it maps $A \otimes A \otimes I^2$ to $I^2$. Let $a_1 \otimes b_1 \in A \otimes A$ and $\sum a_i \otimes b_i, \sum f_j \otimes g_j \in I$ so that $\sum a_i \cdot b_i = \sum f_j \cdot g_j = 0$. Then we have $(a_1 \otimes b_1) \otimes ((\sum a_i \otimes b_i) \cdot (\sum f_j \otimes g_j)) \in A \otimes A \otimes I^2$ which under $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{A \otimes A}$ becomes

$$[a_1 \otimes b_1, (\sum a_i \otimes b_i) \cdot (\sum f_j \otimes g_j)]_{A \otimes A} = [a_1 \otimes b_1, \sum a_i \cdot f_j \otimes b_i \cdot g_j]_{A \otimes A}$$

$$= a_1 \cdot (\sum a_i \otimes b_i) \cdot (\sum f_j \otimes \{b_1, g_j\}) + a_1 \cdot (\sum f_j \otimes g_j) \cdot (\sum a_i \otimes \{b_1, b_i\})$$

$$+ a_1 \cdot (\sum a_i \cdot b_i) \cdot (\sum \{b_1, f_j\} \otimes g_j) + a_1 \cdot (\sum f_j \otimes g_j) \cdot (\sum b_1, a_i) \otimes b_i$$

$$+ a_1 \cdot (\sum a_i \otimes b_2) \cdot (\sum f_j \otimes g_j) \cdot (1 \otimes \{1, b_1\} + 2 \{1, b_1\} \otimes 1) \in I^2$$

since we have $\sum a_i \otimes b_i \in I$, $\sum f_j \otimes g_j \in I$, $\sum (f_j \otimes \{b_1, g_j\}) + \{b_1, f_j\} \otimes g_j \in I$ and $\sum (a_i \otimes \{b_1, b_i\}) + \{b_1, a_i\} \otimes b_i \in I$. Hence $A \otimes A \otimes I^2 + I^2 \otimes A \otimes A$ is mapped to $I^2$ under
Let $\rho_{A\otimes A}$ in (3.4) descend to the map in (1.1). Let $a \otimes b, f \otimes g \in I$ so that $a \cdot f \otimes b \cdot g \in I^2$, which under $\rho_{A\otimes A}$ becomes

$$\rho_{A\otimes A}(a \cdot f \otimes b \cdot g) = a \cdot f \cdot \Phi_{b \cdot g} = a \cdot f \cdot \{b \cdot g, \bullet \}_J + a \cdot f \cdot \{1, b \cdot g\}_J \cdot \bullet = 0.$$ 

Since $\rho_{A\otimes A}$ maps $I^2$ to 0, we deduce that it descends to the map $\rho_J$.

Moreover, a short computation similar to one performed in the proof of Lemma 3.4 shows that the Leibniz rule in (2.1) holds. Thus, the Lie bracket $[\bullet, \bullet]_{J^1(A)}$ in (1.2) and the anchor $\rho_J$ in (1.1) turn $(A, J^1(A))$ into a Lie–Rinehart algebra.

### 3.2 Relation of $(A, J^1(A))$ to the Lie algebroid over a Jacobi manifold

In this brief section we explain how our algebraic description, given in Theorem 1.1, of the canonical Lie–Rinehart algebra $(A, J^1(A))$ associated to a Jacobi algebra $(A, \bullet, \bullet)_J$ is related to the geometric description of the Lie algebroid over a Jacobi manifold $M$ as given in [KSB93].

**Definition 3.5.** Let $M$ be a smooth manifold equipped with a bivector field $\Lambda$ and a vector field $E$. The ring $C^\infty(M)$ admits a Jacobi structure, given by

$$\{f, g\}_J = \Lambda(df, dg) + f \cdot E(g) - g \cdot E(f), \quad f, g \in C^\infty(M)$$

if and only if $\Lambda$ and $E$ satisfy $[\Lambda, \Lambda] = 2E \wedge \Lambda$ and $[\Lambda, E] = 0$, where $[\bullet, \bullet]$ is the Schouten bracket. Then $(M, \Lambda, E)$ is called a Jacobi manifold.

In order to construct the canonical Lie algebroid associated to a Jacobi manifold $(M, \Lambda, E)$, Kerbrat and Souici-Benhammadi [KSB93] endow the bundle $J^1(M, \mathbb{R})$ of 1-jets of smooth functions on the manifold $M$, which is isomorphic as a $C^\infty(M)$-module to the direct sum $C^\infty(M) \oplus \Omega^1(M)$ where $\Omega^1(M)$ are the smooth differential 1-forms, with a Lie bracket given by $\{(f_1, a_1 \cdot db_1), (f_2, a_2 \cdot db_2)\} = (f, a \cdot db)$ where

$$f = -\Lambda(a_1 \cdot db_1, a_2 \cdot db_2)$$

$$+ i(\Lambda^#(a_1 \cdot db_1) + f_1 \cdot E) \cdot df_2 - i(\Lambda^#(a_2 \cdot db_2) + f_2 \cdot E) \cdot df_1$$

$$a \cdot db = \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^#(a_1 \cdot db_1) + f_1 \cdot E) a_2 \cdot db_2 - \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^#(a_2 \cdot db_2) + f_2 \cdot E) a_1 \cdot db_1$$

$$- (a_1 \cdot db_1, E)(a_2 \cdot db_2 - df_2) + (a_2 \cdot db_2, E)(a_1 \cdot db_1 - df_1)$$

$$- d(\Lambda(a_1 \cdot db_1, a_2 \cdot db_2))$$

for $f, f_1, f_2 \in C^\infty(M)$ and $a \cdot db, a_1 \cdot db_1, a_2 \cdot db_2 \in \Omega^1(M)$, which we can write in terms of the Jacobi bracket in (3.6) as

$$f = -a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \{b_1, b_2\}_J + a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot b_1 \cdot \{1, b_2\}_J - a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot b_2 \cdot \{1, b_1\}_J$$

$$+ a_1 \cdot \{b_1, f_2\}_J - a_1 \cdot b_1 \cdot \{1, f_2\}_J + a_1 \cdot f_2 \cdot \{1, b_1\}_J + f_1 \cdot \{1, f_2\}_J$$

$$- a_2 \cdot \{b_2, f_1\}_J + a_2 \cdot b_2 \cdot \{1, f_1\}_J - a_2 \cdot f_1 \cdot \{1, b_2\}_J - f_2 \cdot \{1, f_2\}_J$$

$$a \cdot db = a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot d\{b_1, b_2\}_J$$

$$+ (a_2 \cdot \{a_1, b_2\}_J - f_2 \cdot \{1, a_1\}_J + a_2 \cdot b_2 \cdot \{1, a_1\}_J - a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \{1, b_2\}_J) \cdot db_1$$

$$- (a_1 \cdot \{a_2, b_1\}_J - f_1 \cdot \{1, a_2\}_J + a_1 \cdot b_1 \cdot \{1, a_2\}_J - a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \{1, b_1\}_J) \cdot db_2$$

$$- (a_1 \cdot f_2 - a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot b_2) \cdot d\{b_1, b_2\}_J + (a_2 \cdot f_1 - a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot b_1) \cdot d\{1, b_2\}_J.$$
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Now, take $A = C^\infty(M)$ in (1.2). By the isomorphism given in (3.2) we can identify an element $a \cdot j^1(b) + (f - a \cdot b) : J^1(1) \in J^1(C^\infty(M))$ with $(f, a \cdot db) \in C^\infty(M) \oplus \Omega^1(C^\infty(M))$. By the universal property of Kähler differentials, a straightforward computation shows that the bracket (on the algebraic Kähler differentials) given in (1.2) descends to the bracket (on differential forms) defined in [KSB93], so that both constructions are in fact compatible.

Furthermore, since elements $a \cdot j^1(b) \in J^1(C^\infty(M))$ are identified, as above, with elements $(a \cdot b, a \cdot db) \in C^\infty(M) \oplus \Omega^1(M)$, we see that the anchor map we defined in (1.1) yields the anchor defined in [KSB93], that is a map $\rho : J^1(M, \mathbb{R}) \to TM$ given by $(f, a \cdot db) \mapsto \Lambda^#(a \cdot db) + f \cdot E$.

### 3.3 The Jacobi algebra $(A, \{\cdot, \cdot\})_J$ as a right $(A, J^1(A))$-module

We are now ready to prove the main result stated in Theorem 1.1, which we recall here:

**Theorem 3.6.** Let $(A, J^1(A))$ be the canonical Lie–Rinehart algebra associated to a Jacobi algebra $(A, \{\cdot, \cdot\})_J$. The map

$$\varphi_{J^1} : J^1(A) \to A, \quad a \cdot j^1(b) \mapsto \{a, b\}$$

(3.7)

is a flat right $(A, J^1(A))$-connection character on $A$.

**Proof.** We first check that the map (3.7) is well-defined. The map $\gamma : A \otimes A \to A$ given by $a \otimes b \mapsto \{a, b\}_J$ induces the map in (3.7) if and only if $\gamma (I^2) = 0$. Let $\sum a_i \otimes b_i, \sum f_j \otimes g_j \in I$, so that $\sum a_i \cdot b_i = \sum f_j \cdot g_j = 0$. Then, we have $(\sum a_i \otimes b_i) \cdot (\sum f_j \otimes g_j) = \sum a_i \cdot f_j \otimes b_i \cdot g_j \in I^2$ which under the map $\gamma : A \otimes A \to A$ becomes

$$\gamma \left( \sum a_i \cdot f_j \otimes b_i \cdot g_j \right) = \sum \{a_i \cdot f_j, b_i \cdot g_j\}_J$$

$$= \sum a_i \cdot g_j \cdot \{f_j, b_i\} + \sum f_j \cdot b_i \cdot \{a_i, g_j\}_J + \sum a_i \cdot f_j \cdot \{g_j, b_i\}_J - \sum a_i \cdot f_j \cdot \{g_j, b_i\}_J$$

$$= \sum a_i \cdot \{f_j, g_j, b_i\}_J + \sum f_j \cdot \{a_i, b_i, g_j\}_J = 0$$

so $\varphi_{J^1} : J^1(A) \to A$ is well-defined. We now prove the map $\varphi_{J^1} : J^1(A) \to A$ is a right $(A, J^1(A))$-connection character on $A$. Let $\sum a_i \cdot j^1(b_i) \in J^1(A)$, then we have

$$\varphi_{J^1} \left( \sum c \cdot a_i \cdot j^1(b_i) \right) = \sum \{c \cdot a_i, b_i\}_J$$

$$= \sum (c \cdot \{a_i, b_i\}_J - a_i \cdot \{b_i, c\}_J - c \cdot a_i \cdot \{1, b_i\}_J)$$

$$= c \cdot \varphi_{J^1} \left( \sum a_i \cdot j^1(b_i) \right) - \varphi_{J^1} \left( \sum a_i \cdot j^1(b_i) \right) (c)$$

so $\varphi_{J^1}$ satisfies (2.5). Lastly, using the identity (2.6) in Lemma 2.7, we compute the curvature of the $(A, J^1(A))$-connection (character) on $A$ given in (3.7):

$$C^\gamma_{\varphi_{J^1}} (j^1(a), j^1(b)) = -\varphi_{J^1} (j^1(a)) (\varphi_{J^1} (j^1(b))) + \varphi_{J^1} (j^1(b)) (\varphi_{J^1} (j^1(a))) + \varphi_{J^1} ([j^1(a), j^1(b)]_{J^1(A)})$$

$$= -\Phi_a ([1, b]_J) + \Phi_b ([1, a]_J) + \varphi_{J^1} (j^1[a, b]_J)$$

$$= -\{a, \{1, b\}_J \} - \{1, a\} \cdot \{1, b\}_J + \{b, \{1, a\}_J \} + \{1, b\}_J \cdot \{1, a\}_J + \{1, \{a, b\}_J \}_J$$

$$= 0$$

for all $a, b \in A$. 

### 4 Other quotient Lie–Rinehart algebras of $(A, A \otimes A)$

In the previous section we considered $(A, J^1(A))$ as a quotient of the Lie–Rinehart algebra $(A, A \otimes A)$ associated to a Jacobi algebra over a field $k$. In this section we construct new quotient Lie–Rinehart algebras of $(A, A \otimes A)$. 
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4.1 Quotient Lie–Rinehart algebras

Lemma 4.1. Let \((A, L)\) be a Lie–Rinehart algebra with Lie bracket on \(L\) denoted by \([\cdot, \cdot]_L\) and anchor \(\rho_L\). For \(h \in A\), define \(\mu_h : L \to L\) by \(\zeta \mapsto h \cdot \zeta\) for \(\zeta \in L\) and put \(M := \text{Im}(\mu_h) = hL\), \(K = \text{Ker}(\mu_h) = \{\xi \in L \mid h \cdot \xi = 0\}\). The pair \((A, M)\) admits a Lie–Rinehart algebra structure with Lie bracket on \(M\) given by

\[
[h \cdot \zeta, h \cdot \gamma]_M := h \cdot [\zeta, \gamma]_L, \quad \zeta, \gamma \in L, \quad (4.1)
\]

and anchor

\[
\rho_M : (M, [\cdot, \cdot]_M) \to \text{Der}_k(A), \quad \rho_M(h \cdot \zeta) := \rho_L(\zeta), \quad \zeta \in L \quad (4.2)
\]

turning the map

\[
\mu_h : (L, [\cdot, \cdot]_L) \to (M, [\cdot, \cdot]_M), \quad \zeta \mapsto h \cdot \zeta \quad (4.3)
\]

into a Lie–Rinehart algebra homomorphism if and only if

1. \(\rho_L(\xi) = 0\), for all \(\xi \in K\),
2. \(K\) is a Lie ideal in \(L\), i.e., \(h \cdot [\xi, \cdot]_L = 0\), for all \(\xi \in K\).

Proof. Assume first that \((A, L)\) and \(h \in A\) satisfy conditions (1) and (2) above. The bracket \([\cdot, \cdot]_M : M \otimes M \to M\), defined by \([\cdot, \cdot]_M \circ (\mu_h \otimes \mu_h) = \mu_h \circ [\cdot, \cdot]_L\), is well-defined since we have \(K = \text{Ker}(\mu_h)\) and \([K, M]_L \subset K\) by condition (2). Moreover, \([\cdot, \cdot]_M\) is skew-symmetric and satisfies the Jacobi identity since \([\cdot, \cdot]_L\) does. Furthermore, we have \(\rho_M(\theta) = \rho_M(h \cdot \xi') = \rho_L(\xi')\) which vanishes by our assumptions, so the map \(\rho_M : M \to \text{Der}_k(A)\) is well-defined. We next check that \(\rho_M\) is a Lie algebra map:

\[
\rho_M([h \cdot \zeta, h \cdot \gamma]_M) = \rho_M(h \cdot [\zeta, \gamma]_L) = \rho_L([\zeta, \gamma]_L) = [\rho_L(\zeta), \rho_L(\gamma)]_L
\]

We finally check that \([\cdot, \cdot]_M\) is compatible with \(\rho_M\) since the Leibniz rule in (2.1) is satisfied:

\[
[h \cdot \zeta, a \cdot h \cdot \gamma]_M = h \cdot [\zeta, a \cdot \gamma]_L = h \cdot a \cdot [\zeta, \gamma]_L + h \cdot \rho_L(\zeta)(a) \cdot \gamma = a \cdot [h \cdot \zeta, h \cdot \gamma]_M + \rho_M(h \cdot \zeta)(a) \cdot (h \cdot \gamma).
\]

Conversely, assume \(M\) admits the Lie–Rinehart algebra structure with bracket on \(M\) given by (4.1) and anchor given by (4.2). Since for all \(\xi \in K\) we have \(\rho_M(h \cdot \xi) = 0\), by (4.2) we deduce \(\rho_L(\xi) = 0\). Moreover, for elements \(\xi \in K\) and \(\gamma \in L\) we have \([h \cdot \xi, h \cdot \gamma]_M = 0 = h \cdot [\xi, \gamma]_L\) so \([\xi, \gamma]_L \in K\) for all \(\gamma \in L\), so that \(K\) is a Lie ideal in \(L\).

Note that since \([h \cdot \xi, \gamma_1]_L = 0\) for all \(\xi \in K\), by the Leibniz rule in (2.1) we deduce that \(\rho_L(\gamma_1)(h) \cdot \xi = 0\) for all \(\gamma_1 \in L\) so that \([\rho_L(\gamma_1)(h) \cdot \xi, \gamma_2]_L = 0\) and \(\rho_L(\gamma_2) \circ \rho_L(\gamma_1)(h) \cdot \xi = 0\). Repeating this iteration process we deduce \(\rho_L(\gamma_1) \circ \cdots \circ \rho_L(\gamma_1)(h) \cdot \xi = 0\).

We will base the proof of Theorem 1.2 Part 2 (a) on the following observation:

Lemma 4.2. If there exist some \(\zeta \in L\), \(\xi \in K\) such that \(\xi = a \cdot \zeta\) for some \(a \in A\), and no \(b \in A\) satisfying \(a \cdot b = \rho_L(\zeta)(a)\), then there exists no right \((A, M)\)-connection on \(A\).

Proof. First note that since \(\xi \in K\) we have \(h \cdot \xi = a \cdot h \cdot \zeta = 0\). Now assume there exists a right \((A, M)\)-connection character \(\delta : M \to A\) on \(A\). Then we have

\[
0 = \delta(a \cdot h \cdot \zeta) = a \cdot \delta(h \cdot \zeta) - \rho_M(h \cdot \zeta)(a) = a \cdot \delta(h \cdot \zeta) - \rho_L(\zeta)(a)
\]

which is a contradiction.
4.2 The $A$-module $Ah \otimes A$ for a Jacobi algebra $A$

Our aim in this section is to endow the $A$-module $Ah \otimes A$, where $(A, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_J)$ is a Jacobi algebra over a field $k$ and $h \in A$, with a Lie bracket that is compatible with the bracket on $\mathcal{J}^1(A)$ in (1.2).

**Lemma 4.3.** Let $(A, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_J)$ be a Jacobi algebra over a field $k$, and let $h \in A$ be such that $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_J$ satisfies $r \cdot \{\cdot, \cdot\}_J = 0$ for all $r \in \text{Ann}_A(\{h\})$. Then $(A, Ah \otimes A)$ admits a Lie–Rinehart algebra structure with Lie bracket on $Ah \otimes A$ given by

$$[h \cdot a \otimes f, h \cdot b \otimes g]_{Ah \otimes A} := h \cdot [a \otimes f, b \otimes g]_{A \otimes A}$$

(4.4)

and anchor

$$\rho_{Ah \otimes A}(h \cdot a \otimes f) = \rho_{A \otimes A}(a \otimes f) = a \cdot \Phi_f.$$  

(4.5)

**Proof.** First recall that $(A, A \otimes A)$ is a Lie–Rinehart algebra with Lie bracket given in (3.5) and anchor given in (3.4). Note also that there exists a map of $A$-modules given by

$$\mu_h : A \otimes A \to Ah \otimes A;\quad 1 \otimes a \mapsto h \cdot a.$$ 

We now prove that the conditions in Lemma 4.1 are satisfied. Let $\{e_i\}$ be a basis of the Jacobi algebra $(A, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_J)$ as a $k$-vector space, and let $K = \{\sum a_i \otimes e_i \in A \otimes A \mid \sum a_i \cdot h \otimes e_i = 0\}$. Now, let $\sum a_i \otimes e_i \in K$. Then $a_i \cdot h = 0$ so $a_i \in \text{Ann}_A(\{h\})$ for all $i$.

1. Since $r \cdot \{\cdot, \cdot\}_J = 0$ for all $r \in \text{Ann}_A(\{h\})$, we have $r \cdot \Phi_\cdot = 0$. Then, for elements $\sum a_i \otimes R e_i \in K$ we have $\rho_{A \otimes A}(\sum a_i \otimes e_i) = \sum a_i \cdot \Phi_{e_i} = 0$ since $a_i \in \text{Ann}_A(\{h\})$.

2. Since $\{h \cdot r, \cdot\}_J = r \cdot \{\cdot, \cdot\}_J = 0$, the Leibniz rule in (2.7) yields $h \cdot \{r, \cdot\}_J = 0$ for all $r \in \text{Ann}_A(\{h\})$. Furthermore, by computing the Lie bracket on $A \otimes A$ in (3.5) we obtain, for $\sum a_i \otimes e_i, \sum b_j \otimes e_j \in A \otimes A$:

$$\left[\sum a_i \otimes e_i, \sum b_j \otimes e_j\right]_{A \otimes A} = \sum \sum a_i \cdot b_j \otimes \{e_i, e_j\}_J$$

$$+ \sum \sum a_i \cdot \Phi_{e_i}(b_j) \otimes e_j$$

$$- \sum \sum b_j \cdot \Phi_{e_j}(a_i) \otimes e_i$$

hence we deduce $\left[\sum a_i \otimes e_i, \sum b_j \otimes e_j\right]_{A \otimes A} \in K$ so that $K$ is a Lie ideal in $(A \otimes A, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_{A \otimes A})$.

Hence, by Lemma 4.1, the pair $(A, Ah \otimes A)$ can be endowed with a Lie–Rinehart algebra structure with anchor $\rho_{Ah \otimes A}(h \cdot a \otimes f) = \rho_{A \otimes A}(a \otimes b) = a \cdot \Phi_b$ and Lie bracket on $Ah \otimes A$ given by $[h \cdot a \otimes f, h \cdot b \otimes g]_{Ah \otimes A} = h \cdot [a \otimes f, b \otimes g]_{A \otimes A}.$$ 

$\square$

The compatibility between the Lie–Rinehart algebra structures in $(A, A \otimes A)$, $(A, \mathcal{J}^1(A))$ and $(A, Ah \otimes A)$ can be described using the following commutative diagram:

$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{J}^1(A) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{\mathcal{J}^1}} & A \otimes A \\
\rho_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_h \\
Ah \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\rho_{Ah \otimes A}} & Ah \otimes A
\end{array}$

$\text{Der}_k(A)$
4.3 Right \((A, Ah \otimes A)\)-connections on \((A, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_J)\). Proof of Theorem 1.2

Throughout this section we assume \(A\) is a Jacobi algebra over a field \(k\), \(h \in A\) and \(r \cdot \{\cdot, \cdot\}_J = 0\) for all \(r \in \Ann_A(\{h\})\).

**Lemma 4.4.** A \(k\)-linear map \(\varphi_h : Ah \otimes A \rightarrow A\) is a right \((A, Ah \otimes A)\)-connection on \(A\) if and only if it is of the form

\[
\varphi_h : Ah \otimes A \rightarrow A, \quad a \cdot h \otimes b \mapsto a \cdot \mathcal{D}(b) + \{a, b\}_J - a \cdot \{1, b\}_J
\]

where \(\mathcal{D} : A \rightarrow A\) satisfies:

\[
r \cdot \mathcal{D}(a) - \{a, r\}_J = 0, \quad \forall r \in \Ann_A(\{h\}), \forall a \in A.
\]

In terms of \(\mathcal{D}\), the curvature of a right \((A, Ah \otimes A)\)-connection on \(A\) is

\[
C^\mathcal{D}_r (h \otimes a, h \otimes b) = \mathcal{D}(a, b)_J - \{a, \mathcal{D}(b)\}_J - \{\mathcal{D}(a), b\}_J - \{1, a\}_J \cdot \mathcal{D}(b) + \mathcal{D}(a) \cdot \{1, b\}_J.
\]

**Proof.** We start by recalling that \(Ah \otimes A\) is generated as an \(A\)-module by elements \(h \otimes a \in Ah \otimes A\), \(a \in A\). Let \(\varphi_h\) be a right \((A, Ah \otimes A)\)-connection character on \(A\), then it satisfies

\[
\varphi_h : Ah \otimes A \rightarrow A, \quad a \cdot h \otimes b \mapsto a \cdot \varphi_h(h \otimes b) - \rho_{Ah \otimes A}(h \otimes b)(a).
\]

Let \(\mathcal{D}(a) := \varphi_h(h \otimes a), \forall a \in A\). Then we have

\[
0 = \varphi_h(r \cdot h \otimes a) = r \cdot \mathcal{D}(a) - \{a, r\}_J - \{1, a\}_J \cdot r = r \cdot \mathcal{D}(a) - \{a, r\}_J.
\]

We now prove the converse statement:

A \(k\)-linear map \(\varphi_h : Ah \otimes A \rightarrow A\) given by \(a \cdot h \otimes b \mapsto a \cdot \mathcal{D}(b) + \{a, b\}_J - a \cdot \{1, b\}_J\), where \(\mathcal{D}\) satisfies (4.7), is a right \((A, Ah \otimes A)\)-connection on \(A\). Note that \(\varphi_h\) is well-defined by (4.9).

Let \(\sum \frac{a_i \cdot h \otimes b_i}{a_i} \in Ah \otimes A\), then

\[
\varphi_h\left(\sum \frac{c \cdot a_i \cdot h \otimes b_i}{a_i}\right) = \sum \frac{c \cdot a_i \cdot \mathcal{D}(b_i) + \{c \cdot a_i, b_i\}_J - \sum c \cdot a_i \cdot \{1, b_i\}_J}{a_i}
\]

\[
= \frac{c \cdot \sum \frac{a_i \cdot \mathcal{D}(b_i) + \{a_i, b_i\}_J - \sum a_i \cdot \{1, b_i\}_J}{a_i}}{a_i}
\]

\[
- \frac{\sum c \cdot a_i \cdot \{1, b_i\}_J - \sum c \cdot a_i \cdot \{1, b_i\}_J}{a_i}
\]

so \(\varphi_h\) satisfies (2.5). Lastly, the expression for the curvature in (4.8) follows directly from Lemma 2.7.

**Remark 4.5.** Assume right \((A, Ah \otimes A)\)-connections exist on \(A\), and let \(\mathcal{D}\) be the (non-empty) set of maps \(\mathcal{D} : A \rightarrow A\) satisfying (4.7) for all \(a \in A\). Since maps \(\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2 \in \mathcal{D}\) satisfy

\[
r \cdot \mathcal{D}_1(a) - r \cdot \mathcal{D}_2(a) = \{a, r\}_J - \{a, r\}_J = 0 \quad \text{for all } a \in A,
\]

we deduce \(\mathcal{D}_1(a) - \mathcal{D}_2(a) = \bigcap_{r \in \Ann_A(\{h\})} \Ann_A(r) =: H\). Hence it follows that the set \(\mathcal{D}\) is an affine space over \(\text{Lin}_k(A, H)\).

Furthermore, from (4.6) we see that right \((Ah \otimes A, A)\)-connections on \(A\) are determined by maps \(\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{D}\) so that given two connections \(\varphi_h, \varphi'_h\) we have \(r \cdot (\varphi_h - \varphi'_h)(a) = 0\) for all \(a \in A\). As before, we deduce that the set of right \((Ah \otimes A, A)\)-connections on \(A\) is an affine space over \(\text{Lin}_k(A, H)\).
Proof of Theorem 1.2. We now prove Parts 2 (a) and 2 (b):

To prove Part 2 (a), take $\xi = r \otimes 1$ where $r \in \text{Ann}_A(\{h\})$, $\zeta = 1 \otimes 1$ and $a = r$ in Lemma 4.2, so we deduce that if there exists a right $(A, Ah \otimes A)$-connection on $A$, not necessarily flat, there must exist some $b \in A$ such that $r \cdot b = \{1, r\}_J$, proving the claim. Note that taking $a = 1$ in (4.7) yields $0 = r \cdot \mathfrak{D}(1) - \{1, r\}_J$ for all $r \in \text{Ann}_A(\{h\})$.

For all $a \in A$, we denote by $S_a$ the set $\{s \in A \mid r \cdot s = \{a, r\}_J, \forall r \in \text{Ann}_A(\{h\})\}$ of solutions of (4.7).

To prove Part 2 (b), assume there exist right $(A, Ah \otimes A)$-connections on $A$ which are flat, i.e., $\mathfrak{D}$ is non-empty, so that (4.7) has solutions $\mathfrak{D}(a) \in S_a \subset A$ for all $a \in A$ and furthermore, $\mathcal{C}_\mathfrak{D}(h \otimes f, h \otimes g) = 0$ for all $f, g \in A$. Let $b \in A$ satisfy $\{1, b\}_J = 0$, then by (4.8) we have

$$0 = \mathcal{C}_\mathfrak{D}(h \otimes 1, h \otimes b) = -\{1, \mathfrak{D}(b)\}_J - \{\mathfrak{D}(1), b\}_J.$$  (4.10)

Hence if there exists no $c \in A$ satisfying $\{1, c\}_J = \{b, s\}_J$ for some $s \in S_1$, then there exists no such map $\mathfrak{D} : A \to A$, and hence there exists no flat right $(A, Ah \otimes A)$-connection on $A$.  \hfill \Box

Corollary 4.6. If $\text{Ann}_A(\{h\}) = 0$, the map $\mathfrak{D} : A \to A$ given by $a \mapsto \{1, a\}_J$ satisfies (4.7) and hence induces the right $(A, Ah \otimes A)$-connection $\varphi : Ah \otimes A \to A$ on $A$ given by $a \cdot h \otimes b \mapsto \{a, b\}_J$ which is shown to be flat, by a straightforward computation using (4.8).

5 Lie–Rinehart algebras $(A, Ah \otimes A)$ with no antipode

This section is dedicated to provide examples of Lie–Rinehart algebras $(A, Ah \otimes A)$, constructed as in the previous section. The first of our examples admits no right $(A, Ah \otimes A)$-connections on $A$ while the second one does admit them, although none of them can be flat.

5.1 Example with no $(A, Ah \otimes A)$-connections on $A$

Let $A = k[x, y]/(x \cdot y, x^2, y^2)$, let $E \in \text{Der}_k(A)$ be a derivation with $E(x) = y$, $E(y) = 0$, and let $A$ be endowed with the Jacobi bracket $\{a, b\}_J = a \cdot E(b) - E(a) \cdot b$.

Take $h = y$, then $\text{Ann}_A(\{y\}) = \text{Span}_k\{x, y\}$ and we have $r \cdot \{\cdot, \cdot\}_J = 0$ for all $r \in \text{Ann}_A(\{y\})$. Then, by Theorem 1.2 Part 1, the pair $(A, Ay \otimes A)$ is a Lie–Rinehart algebra with Lie bracket on $Ay \otimes A$ given by $[y \otimes f, y \otimes g]_{Ay \otimes A} = y \otimes \{f, g\}_J$ and anchor $\rho_{Ay \otimes A} : Ay \otimes A \to \text{Der}_k(A)$ given by $y \otimes a \mapsto \Phi_a = a \cdot E(\cdot)$. Since $x \in \text{Ann}_A(\{y\})$ and there exists no $a \in A$ satisfying $a \cdot x - \{1, x\}_J = a \cdot x - y = 0$ we deduce by Theorem 1.2 Part 2 (a) that the Lie–Rinehart algebra $(A, Ay \otimes A)$ does not admit right $(A, Ay \otimes A)$-connections on $A$. Hence its universal enveloping algebra does not admit an antipode.

Alternatively, we can prove this result by noting that $(Ay \otimes A, [\cdot, \cdot]_{Ay \otimes A})$ is isomorphic to the Heisenberg Lie algebra $H_3(k)$ of dimension 3, with central element $y \otimes y$. Let $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$ be a basis for $H_3(k)$ with central element $\alpha_3$. Following [KR13, Proposition 3.1], we define an $A$-module structure on $H_3(k)$ by $a \cdot \alpha_i := \chi(a)\alpha_i$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$ where $\chi : A \to k$ is a character on $A$ given by $\chi(x) = \chi(y) = 0$, and an anchor map $\rho : H_3(k) \to \text{Der}_k(A)$ given by $\rho(\alpha_1) = E$, $\rho(\alpha_2) = \rho(\alpha_3) = 0$. Then, $(A, H_3(k))$ is a Lie–Rinehart algebra isomorphic to $(A, Ay \otimes A)$. A similar argument as in the proof of [KR13, Theorem 1.1] yields that there exist no right $(A, H_3(k))$-connections on $A$.  
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5.2 Example with non–flat \((A, Ah \otimes A)\)-connections on \(A\)

Let \(k = \mathbb{Z}_2\) and let \(A = \mathbb{Z}_2[x, y, z]/(x^4, y^6, x^2, x \cdot y^4, x^3 \cdot y, x^3 \cdot z)\). A basis for \(A\) as \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-module is:

\[
\begin{align*}
1, x, x^2, x^3, y, y^2, y^3, y^4, y^5, z, x \cdot y, x^2 \cdot y, x \cdot y^2, x \cdot y^3, x^2 \cdot y^2, x^2 \cdot y^3, x \cdot z, \\
&x^2 \cdot z, y \cdot z, y^2 \cdot z, y^3 \cdot z, x \cdot y \cdot z, x \cdot y^2 \cdot z, x \cdot y^3 \cdot z, \\
x^2 \cdot y^2 \cdot z, x^2 \cdot y^3 \cdot z.
\end{align*}
\]  

(5.1)

Let \(E, F \in \text{Der}_R(A)\) be derivations with \(E(x) = E(z) = x^2\), \(E(y) = 0\) and \(F(x) = F(z) = 0\), \(F(y) = z\). Then the images of \(E, F \in \text{Der}_R(A)\) characterized in terms of the basis for \(A\) in (5.1) are:

\[
\text{Im}(E) = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\{x^2, x^2 \cdot y, x^2 \cdot y^2, x^3 \cdot z, x \cdot y \cdot z, x \cdot y^2 \cdot z, x \cdot y^3 \cdot z, x^2 \cdot y^2 \cdot z, x^2 \cdot y^3 \cdot z\} \subset Ax^2
\]

and

\[
\text{Im}(F) = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\{z, y^2 \cdot z, y^4 \cdot z, x \cdot z, x^2 \cdot z, x \cdot y^2 \cdot z, x^2 \cdot y^2 \cdot z\}
\]

(5.2)

(5.3)

Hence we deduce that

\[
\text{Im}(F \circ E) = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\{x^2 \cdot z, x^2 \cdot y^2 \cdot z\} \subset Ax^2 \cdot z
\]

and

\[
\text{Im}(E \circ F) = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\{x^2, x^2 \cdot y^2, x \cdot z + x^3, x \cdot y^2 \cdot z\} \subset Ax^2
\]

so \(E(a) \cdot F(E(b)) \in A\), \(E(a) \cdot E(F(b)) \in A\) vanish for all \(a, b, c \in A\). So \(A\) admits the Jacobi bracket

\[
\{a, b\}_J = E(a) \cdot F(b) - E(b) \cdot F(a) + a \cdot E(b) - E(a) \cdot b.
\]

To see this, we note \(\{1, \bullet\}_J = E\); next we check that \(\{\bullet, \bullet\}_J\) satisfies the Leibniz rule in (2.7):

\[
\{a, b \cdot c\}_J = E(a) \cdot F(b \cdot c) - F(a) \cdot E(b \cdot c) + a \cdot E(b \cdot c) - E(a) \cdot b \cdot c
\]

\[
= b \cdot \{a, c\}_J + c \cdot \{a, b\}_J + \{1, a\}_J \cdot b \cdot c;
\]

and finally we check that \(\{\bullet, \bullet\}_J\) satisfies the Jacobi identity:

\[
\begin{align*}
\{a, \{b, c\}_J\}_J + c.p. &= E(a) \cdot F(E(b) \cdot F(c) - F(b) \cdot E(c) + b \cdot E(c) - E(b) \cdot c) \\
&- F(a) \cdot E(E(b) \cdot F(c) - F(b) \cdot E(c) + b \cdot E(c) - E(b) \cdot c) \\
&+ a \cdot E(E(b) \cdot F(c) - F(b) \cdot E(c) + b \cdot E(c) - E(b) \cdot c) \\
&- E(a) \cdot (E(b) \cdot F(c) - F(b) \cdot E(c) + b \cdot E(c) - E(b) \cdot c) + c.p. \\
&= E(a) \cdot F(E(b) \cdot F(c) - E(a) \cdot F(b) \cdot F(E(c)) + E(a) \cdot b \cdot F(E(c)) - E(a) \cdot c \cdot F(E(b)) \\
&- F(a) \cdot E(b) \cdot E(F(c)) + F(a) \cdot E(F(b)) \cdot E(c) + a \cdot E(b) \cdot E(F(c)) - a \cdot E(F(b)) \cdot E(c) + c.p. \\
&= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Now, taking \(h = y^2\), we characterize \(\text{Ann}_A(\{y^2\})\) in terms of the basis for \(A\) given in (5.1) as

\[
\text{Ann}_A(\{y^2\}) = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\{x^3, y^3, y^5, x \cdot y^2, x \cdot y^3, x^2 \cdot y^2, x^2 \cdot y^3, \\
y^4 \cdot z, y^5 \cdot z, x \cdot y^2 \cdot z, x \cdot y^3 \cdot z, x^2 \cdot y^2 \cdot z, x^2 \cdot y^3 \cdot z\}
\]

(5.4)

so that \(r \cdot \{\bullet, \bullet\}_J = 0\) for all \(r \in \text{Ann}_A(\{y^2\})\). Hence, we deduce that \((A, Ay^2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} A)\) is a Lie–Rinehart algebra with anchor map \(\rho_{Ay^2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} A} : Ay^2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} A \to \text{Der}_R(A)\) given by \(y^2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} a \mapsto \Phi_a\) and Lie bracket on the \(A\)-module \(Ay^2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} A\) given by

\[
[y^2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} f, y^2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} g]_{Ay^2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} A} = y^2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \{f, g\}_J.
\]

We now show that right \((A, Ay^2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} A)\)-connections do exist on \((A, \{\bullet, \bullet\}_J)\). A straightforward computation using the characterization of \(\text{Ann}_A(\{y^2\})\) given in (5.4) shows that \(x \in A\) satisfies

\[
r \cdot x = \{1, r\}_J
\]

(5.5)
for all $r \in \text{Ann}_A(\{y^2\})$. It is now straightforward to check that the map $\mathcal{D}: A \to A$ given by $a \mapsto -x \cdot F(a) + x \cdot a$ for all $a \in A$, satisfies the condition given in (4.7) since $E(a) \cdot (F(r) - r) = 0$ for all $a \in A$ and $r \in \text{Ann}_A(\{h\})$ so that

$$r \cdot \mathcal{D}(a) = r \cdot (-x \cdot F(a) + x \cdot a) = -E(r) \cdot F(a) + E(r) \cdot a = E(a) \cdot F(r) - E(r) \cdot F(a) + a \cdot E(r) - r \cdot E(a) = \{a, r\}_J$$

for all $r \in \text{Ann}_A(\{y^2\})$. Hence, by Lemma 4.4, the map

$$\varphi: Ay^2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} A \longrightarrow A, \quad a \cdot y^2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} b \longrightarrow -a \cdot x \cdot F(b) + a \cdot b \cdot x + \{a, b\}_J - a \cdot \{1, b\}_J \quad (5.6)$$

is a right $(A, Ay^2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} A)$-connection character on $A$.

We now prove that none of the right $(A, Ay^2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} A)$-connections on $A$ is flat. First note

$$H = \bigcap_{r \in \text{Ann}_A(\{y^2\})} \text{Ann}_A(r) = x^2 A + y^2 A$$

that is

$$H = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}_2} (x^2, x^3, x^2 \cdot y, x^2 \cdot y^2, x^2 \cdot y^3, x^2 \cdot z, x^2 \cdot y \cdot z, x^2 \cdot y^2 \cdot z, x^2 \cdot y^3 \cdot z, x^2 \cdot z, y^3 \cdot z, x^3 \cdot y, x^2 \cdot y^2, y^3 \cdot z, x \cdot y^2 \cdot z, x \cdot y^3 \cdot z, x \cdot y^4 \cdot z, y^3 \cdot z, x \cdot y^3 \cdot z).$$

Then, from Remark 4.5 and (5.5) we deduce that the only solutions of the equation $a \cdot r = \{1, r\}_J$, for all $r \in \text{Ann}_A(\{y^2\})$, are elements $a = x + \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in H$. Since $\{1, y\}_J = E(y) = 0$, we can take $a = x + \alpha$ and $b = y$ in Theorem 1.2, and compute

$$\{a, b\}_J = \{x + \alpha, y\}_J = x^2 \cdot z - x^2 \cdot y + E(\alpha) \cdot F(y) - y \cdot E(\alpha) = x^2 \cdot z - x^2 \cdot y + \lambda_1 \cdot x^2 \cdot y^2 \cdot z + \lambda_2 \cdot x^2 \cdot y^3 + \lambda_3 \cdot x^2 \cdot y^3 \cdot z$$

for $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, which by (5.2) is not in the image of $E$. Hence there exists no $c \in A$ satisfying $\{a, b\}_J = \{1, c\}_J$ and by Theorem 1.2 Part 2 (b), we find that $A$ is not a right $(A, Ay^2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} A)$-module.
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