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Abstract

A model operator $H_{\mu}$, $\mu > 0$ corresponding to a three-particle discrete Schrödinger operator on a lattice $\mathbb{Z}^3$ is considered. We study the case where the parameter function $w$ has a special form with the non degenerate minimum at the $n, n > 1$ points of the six-dimensional torus $T^6$. If the associated Friedrichs model has a zero energy resonance, then we prove that the operator $H_{\mu}$ has infinitely many negative eigenvalues accumulating at zero and we obtain an asymptotics for the number of eigenvalues of $H_{\mu}$ lying below $z, z < 0$ as $z \to -0$.
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1 INTRODUCTION

We are going to discuss the following remarkable phenomenon of the spectral theory of the three-body Schrödinger operators, known as the Efimov effect: if a system of three particles interacting through pair short-range potentials is such that none of the three two-particle subsystems has bound states with negative energy, but at least two of them have a zero energy resonance, then this three-particle system has an infinite number of three-particle bound states with negative energy accumulating at zero.

For the first time the Efimov effect has been discussed in [7]. An independent proof on a physical level of rigor has been also given in [5] and then many works devoted to this subject, see for example, [6, 11, 12, 13, 14]. A rigorous mathematical proof of the existence of Efimov’s effect was originally carried out in [16].

Denote by $N(z)$ the number of eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian lying below $z$, $z < 0$. The growth of $N(z)$ has been studied in [2] for the symmetric case. Namely, the authors of [2] have first found (without proofs) the exponential asymptotics of eigenvalues corresponding to spherically symmetric bound states. This result is consistent with the lower bound $\lim_{z \to -0} \inf N(z)|\log|z||^{-1} > 0$ established in [13] without any symmetry assumptions.

In [12] the asymptotics of the form $N(z) \sim U_0|\log|z||$ as $z \to -0$ for the number $N(z)$ of bound states of a three-particle Schrödinger operator below $z, z < 0$ was obtained, where the coefficient $U_0$ depends only on the ratio of the masses of the particles.

Recently in [15] the existence of the Efimov effect for $N$-body quantum systems with $N \geq 4$ has been proved and a lower bound on the number of eigenvalues was given.

In [1, 3, 8, 9, 10] the presence of Efimov’s effect for the three-particle discrete Schrödinger operators has been proved and in [1, 3] an asymptotics for the number of eigenvalues similarly to [12, 14] was obtained.
In the present paper, we study the model operator $H_{\mu}$, $\mu > 0$ corresponding to a three-particle discrete Schrödinger operator on a lattice $\mathbb{Z}^3$. Here we are interested to discuss the case where the parameter function $w$ has a special form with the non-degenerate minimum at the $n, n > 1$ points of the six-dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^6$. If the associated Friedrichs model has a zero energy resonance, then we prove that the operator $H_{\mu}$ has infinitely many negative eigenvalues accumulating at zero (in the considering case zero is the bottom of the essential spectrum of $H_{\mu}$). Moreover, we establish the asymptotic formula

$$\lim_{z \to -0} \frac{N_{\mu}(z)}{|\log|z||} = \frac{n\gamma_0}{4\pi}$$

for the number $N_{\mu}(z)$ of eigenvalues of $H_{\mu}$ lying below $z$, $z < 0$. Here the number $n \equiv n(n)$, $n > 1$ is defined in Remark 2.3 (see below) and the number $\gamma_0$ is a unique positive solution of the equation

$$\gamma \sqrt{3} \cos \frac{\pi \gamma}{2} = 8 \sin \frac{\pi \gamma}{6}. \tag{1.1}$$

The asymptotics obtained in this paper can be considered as a generalization of the asymptotics, which was obtained in [1, 3, 11, 12, 14]. In [4] the non-symmetric version of the operator $H_{\mu}$ was considered and the spectrum of this operator was analyzed for an arbitrary function $w$ with $n = 1$.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 the model operator $H_{\mu}$ is introduced as a bounded self-adjoint operator and the main result of the paper is formulated. In Section 3 some spectral properties of the associated Friedrichs model $h_{\mu}(p)$, $p \in (-\pi, \pi]^3$ are studied. In Section 4, we reduce the eigenvalue problem by the principle of Birman-Schwinger. Section 5 is devoted to the prove of the main result of the paper.

## 2 Model Operator and Statement of the Main Result

Let us introduce some notations used in this work. Denote by $\mathbb{T}^3$ the three-dimensional torus, the cube $(-\pi, \pi]^3$ with appropriately identified sides. The torus $\mathbb{T}^3$ will always be considered as an abelian group with respect to the addition and multiplication by real numbers regarded as operations on the three-dimensional space $\mathbb{R}^3$ modulo $(2\pi \mathbb{Z})^3$. Let $(\mathbb{T}^3)^2 = \mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{T}^3$ be a Cartesian product, $L_2(\mathbb{T}^3)$ be the Hilbert space of square-integrable (complex) functions defined on $\mathbb{T}^3$ and $L_2^s((\mathbb{T}^3)^2)$ be the Hilbert space of square-integrable symmetric (complex) functions defined on $(\mathbb{T}^3)^2$.

Let us consider a model operator $H_{\mu}$ acting on the Hilbert space $L_2^s((\mathbb{T}^3)^2)$ as

$$H_{\mu} = H_0 - \mu V_1 - \mu V_2,$$

where

$$(H_0 f)(p, q) = w(p, q)f(p, q),$$

$$(V_1 f)(p, q) = \varphi(p) \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \varphi(s)f(s, q)ds,$$

$$(V_2 f)(p, q) = \varphi(q) \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \varphi(s)f(p, s)ds.$$
Here $\mu$ is a positive real number, the function $\varphi(\cdot)$ is a real-valued analytic even function on $\mathbb{T}^3$ and the function $w$ has form
\[
w(p, q) = \varepsilon(p) + \varepsilon(p + q) + \varepsilon(q)
\]
with
\[
\varepsilon(p) = 3 \sum_{j=1}^{3}(1 - \cos mp(j)), \quad p = (p^{(1)}, p^{(2)}, p^{(3)}) \in \mathbb{T}^3,
\]
where $m$ is the positive integer number.

Under these assumptions the operator $H_\mu$ is bounded and self-adjoint in $L^2_2((\mathbb{T}^3)^2)$.

To formulate the main result of the paper we introduce the Friedrichs model $h_\mu(p), \; p \in \mathbb{T}^3$, which acts in $L^2_2(\mathbb{T}^3)$ as
\[
h_\mu(p) = h_0(p) - \mu v,
\]
where
\[
(h_0(p)f_1)(q) = w(p, q)f(q),
\]
\[
(vf)(q) = \varphi(q) \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \varphi(s)f(s)ds.
\]

The perturbation $\mu v$ of the operator $h_0(p), \; p \in \mathbb{T}^3$ is a self-adjoint operator of rank one. Therefore in accordance with the invariance of the essential spectrum under finite rank perturbations the essential spectrum $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(h_\mu(p))$ of $h_\mu(p), \; p \in \mathbb{T}^3$ fills the following interval on the real axis:
\[
\sigma_{\text{ess}}(h_\mu(p)) = [m(p); M(p)],
\]
where the numbers $m(p)$ and $M(p)$ are defined by
\[
m(p) = \varepsilon(p) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{3}(1 - \cos mp(j)/2), \quad p = (p^{(1)}, p^{(2)}, p^{(3)}) \in \mathbb{T}^3,
\]
\[
M(p) = \varepsilon(p) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{3}(1 + \cos mp(j)/2), \quad p = (p^{(1)}, p^{(2)}, p^{(3)}) \in \mathbb{T}^3.
\]

The following Theorem 2.1 describes the location of the essential spectrum of $H_\mu$.

**Theorem 2.1** For the essential spectrum $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_\mu)$ of the operator $H_\mu$ the equality
\[
\sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_\mu) = \bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{T}^3} \sigma_{\text{disc}}(h_\mu(p)) \cup [0; \frac{27}{2}]
\]
holds, where $\sigma_{\text{disc}}(h_\mu(p))$ is the discrete spectrum of $h_\mu(p), \; p \in \mathbb{T}^3$.

**Definition 2.2** The set $\bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{T}^3} \sigma_{\text{disc}}(h_\mu(p))$ resp. $[0; \frac{27}{2}]$ is called two- resp. three-particle branch of the essential spectrum $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_\mu)$ of the operator $H_\mu$, which will be denoted by $\sigma_{\text{two}}(H_\mu)$ resp. $\sigma_{\text{three}}(H_\mu)$. 
Denote by $n \equiv n(m)$ the number of the all points of the form $(p_i, q_j) \in (T^3)^2$ with $p_i = (p_i^{(1)}, p_i^{(2)}, p_i^{(3)})$ and $q_j = (q_j^{(1)}, q_j^{(2)}, q_j^{(3)})$ such that $p_i^{(k)}, q_j^{(k)} \in \{0, \pm \frac{m}{n}\pi; \pm \frac{1}{m}\pi; \cdots; \pm \frac{2m}{n}\pi\}$, $k = 1, 2, 3$ and $p_s \neq p_l, q_s \neq q_l$ for $s \neq l$, where

$$m' = \begin{cases} m - 2, & \text{if the number } m \text{ is even} \\ m - 1, & \text{if the number } m \text{ is odd}. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that the function $w(\cdot, \cdot)$ has the non-degenerate minimum at that points $(p_i, q_j) \in (T^3)^2$ and $n = (m' + 1)^6$.

Now we additionally assume that $m \geq 3$. Because, it is easy to show that, if $m = 1, 2$, then $n = 1$. In this paper we are interested to study the case where $n > 1$.

We denote that $\frac{1}{n}, n = \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$.

**Remark 2.3** In our analysis of the discrete spectrum of $H_{\mu}$ crucial role is played by the zeroes of the function $\varphi(\cdot)$ at the points $q_j \in T^3, j = 1, \sqrt{n}$ (see, for example [3]). Suppose that at only $n, 1 < n \leq n$ points of the set $\{q_j\}_{j=1}^n$ the value of the function $\varphi(\cdot)$ is nonzero.

We consider the set $\{(p_i, q_s) \in (T^3)^2 : i \equiv \frac{1}{1, n}, s = 1, n\}$, where $s_i = \frac{1}{1, n}, i \equiv \frac{1}{1, n}$, such that $\varphi(q_s) \neq 0, i = 1, n$ and $\varphi(q_s) = 0, i = \frac{1}{1, n}$. Throughout this paper we shall use this notation without further comments.

**Remark 2.4** Note that the equality $h_{\mu}(p_{s_1}) \equiv h_{\mu}(p_{s_i}), i = 1, n$ holds.

Let $C(T^3)$ (resp. $L_1(T^3)$) be the Banach space of continuous (resp. integrable) functions on $T^3$.

**Definition 2.5** The operator $h_{\mu}(p_{s_1})$ is said to have a zero energy resonance if the number $1$ is an eigenvalue of the integral operator

$$(G\psi_{\alpha})(q) = \frac{\mu\varphi(q)}{2} \int_{T^3} \frac{\varphi(s)\psi(s)ds}{\varepsilon(s)}, \quad \psi \in C(T^3)$$

and at least one (up to normalization constant) of the associated eigenfunctions $\psi$ satisfies the condition $\psi(q_s) \neq 0, i = 1, n$.

**Remark 2.6** We notice that if the operator $h_{\mu}(p_{s_1})$ has a zero energy resonance, then the function

$$f(q) = \frac{\mu\varphi(q)}{2\varepsilon(q)} \in L_1(T^3) \setminus L_2(T^3),$$

(2.1)

obeys the equation $h_{\mu}(p_{s_1})f = 0$ (see Lemma [3.7]).

Set

$$\mu_0 = 2 \left( \int_{T^3} \frac{\varphi^2(s)ds}{\varepsilon(s)} \right)^{-1}.$$

**Remark 2.7** We remark that the operator $h_{\mu}(p_{s_1})$ has a zero energy resonance if and only if $\mu = \mu_0$ (see Lemma [3.2]).

Let us denote by $\tau_{\text{ess}}(H_{\mu})$ the bottom of the essential spectrum $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_{\mu})$ of $H_{\mu}$ and by $N_{\mu}(z)$ the number of eigenvalues of $H_{\mu}$ lying below $z, z < \tau_{\text{ess}}(H_{\mu})$. 
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Remark 2.8 We note that $\tau_{\text{ess}}(H_{\mu_0}) = 0$ (see Lemma 3.6).

The main result of this paper is the following

**Theorem 2.9** The operator $H_{\mu_0}$ has an infinitely many negative eigenvalues accumulating at zero and for the function $N_{\mu_0}(\cdot)$ the relation

$$\lim_{z \to -0} \frac{N_{\mu_0}(z)}{|\log |z||} = \frac{n \gamma_0}{4\pi}$$

(2.2)

holds, where the number $n$ is defined in Remark 2.3 and the number $\gamma_0$ is a positive solution of the equation (1.1).

Remark 2.10 Clearly, the infinite cardinality of the negative discrete spectrum of $H_{\mu_0}$ follows automatically from the positivity of the number $\gamma_0$.

Remark 2.11 We point out that the asymptotics (2.2) is new and similar asymptotics have not yet been obtained for the three-particle Schrödinger operators on $\mathbb{R}^3$ and $\mathbb{Z}^3$.

3 SPECTRAL PROPERTIES OF THE OPERATOR $h_{\mu}(p)$

In this section we study some spectral properties of the Friedrichs model $h_{\mu}(p)$, $p \in \mathbb{T}^3$, which plays a crucial role in our analysis of the discrete spectrum of the operator $H_{\mu}$.

Let $\mathbb{C}$ be the field of complex numbers. For any $p \in \mathbb{T}^3$ we define an analytic function $\Delta_{\mu}(p; \cdot)$ (the Fredholm determinant associated with the operator $h_{\mu}(p)$, $p \in \mathbb{T}^3$) in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_{\text{ess}}(h_{\mu}(p))$ by

$$\Delta_{\mu}(p; z) = 1 - \mu \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \frac{\varphi^2(q) dq}{w(p, q) - z}.$$

The following statement (see [4]) establishes a connection between of eigenvalues of $h_{\mu}(p)$, $p \in \mathbb{T}^3$ and zeroes of the function $\Delta_{\mu}(p; \cdot)$, $p \in \mathbb{T}^3$.

**Lemma 3.1** For any $p \in \mathbb{T}^3$ the operator $h_{\mu}(p)$ has an eigenvalue $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_{\text{ess}}(h_{\mu}(p))$ if and only if $\Delta_{\mu}(p; z) = 0$.

Since the function $w(\cdot, \cdot)$ has the non-degenerate minimum at the points $(p_{s_i}, q_{s_i}) \in (\mathbb{T}^3)^2$, $i = 1, n$ and the function $\varphi(\cdot)$ is an analytic function on $\mathbb{T}^3$, the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \frac{\varphi^2(q) dq}{w(p, q)}, p \in \mathbb{T}^3$$

is finite.

By Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem and the equality $\Delta_{\mu}(p_{s_i}; 0) = \Delta_{\mu}(p_{s_i}; 0)$, $i = 2, n$ it follows that

$$\Delta_{\mu}(p_{s_i}; 0) = \lim_{p \to p_{s_i}} \Delta_{\mu}(p; 0), i = 2, n.$$

We remark that the following three statements, which are useful for the proof of main result can be proven similarly to corresponding statements of [1, 4] and hence here for completeness we only reproduce these statements without proofs.
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Lemma 3.2 The operator \( h_{p_1}(p_{s_1}) \) has a zero energy resonance if and only if \( \mu = \mu_0 \).

Lemma 3.3 The following decomposition holds

\[
\Delta_{\mu_0}(p; z) = 2\pi^2 \mu_0 \sum_{j=1}^{n} \varphi^2(q_{s_j}) \sqrt{\frac{3}{4} |p - p_{s_j}|^2} - z + O(|p - p_{s_j}|^2) + O(|z|)
\]
as \( |p - p_{s_j}| \to 0, i = \overline{1, n} \) and \( z \to -0 \).

Set

\[
U_\delta(p_0) = \{ p \in \mathbb{T}^3 : |p - p_0| < \delta \}, \quad p_0 \in \mathbb{T}^3, \quad \delta > 0.
\]

Lemma 3.4 There exist positive numbers \( C_1, C_2, C_3 \) and \( \delta \) such that

\[
C_1 |p - p_{s_i}|^2 \leq |\Delta_{\mu_0}(p; 0)| \leq C_2 |p - p_{s_i}|^2, \quad p \in U_\delta(p_{s_i}), \quad i = \overline{1, n};
\]

\[
|\Delta_{\mu_0}(p; 0)| \geq C_3, \quad p \in \mathbb{T}^3 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} U_\delta(p_{s_i}).
\]

From the representation

\[
w(p, q) = |p - p_{s_i}|^2 + (p - p_{s_i}, q - q_{s_i}) + |q - q_{s_i}|^2 + O(|p - p_{s_i}|^4) + O(|q - q_{s_i}|^4)
\]
as \( |p - p_{s_i}|, |q - q_{s_i}| \to 0, i = \overline{1, n} \) it follows the following

Lemma 3.5 There exist the numbers \( C_1, C_2, C_3 > 0 \) and \( \delta > 0 \) such that

1) \( C_1 |p - p_{s_i}|^2 + |q - q_{s_i}|^2 \leq w(p, q) \leq C_2 (|p - p_{s_i}|^2 + |q - q_{s_i}|^2) \) for \( (p, q) \in U_\delta(p_{s_i}) \times U_\delta(q_{s_i}), \)

\( i = \overline{1, n}; \)

2) \( w(p, q) \geq C_3 \) for all \( p, q \), which at least one of the conditions \( p \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} U_\delta(p_{s_i}) \) and

\( q \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} U_\delta(q_{s_i}) \) is fulfilled.

Lemma 3.6 The operator \( h_{\mu_0}(p), p \in \mathbb{T}^3 \) has no negative eigenvalues.

Proof. First we show that for any \( p \in \mathbb{T}^3 \setminus \{ p_{s_1}, p_{s_2}, \ldots, p_{s_n} \} \) the inequality \( \Delta_{\mu_0}(p; 0) > \Delta_{\mu_0}(p_{s_1}; 0) \) holds. Denote

\[
\Lambda(p) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \frac{\varphi^2(q) dq}{w(p, q)}.
\]

Since the functions \( \varphi(\cdot) \) and \( w(\cdot, \cdot) \) are even, the function \( \Lambda(\cdot) \) is also even. Then

\[
\Lambda(p) - \Lambda(p_{s_1}) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \frac{2w(p_{s_1}, q) - (w(p, q) + w(-p, q))}{w(p, q)w(-p, q)w(p_{s_1}, q)} [w(p, q) + w(-p, q)]^2 \varphi^2(q) dq - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \frac{[w(p, q) + w(-p, q)]^2}{w(p, q)w(-p, q)w(p_{s_1}, q)} \varphi^2(q) dq.
\]

By the equalities

\[
w(p_{s_1}, q) - \frac{w(p, q) + w(-p, q)}{2} = \sum_{j=1}^{3} (\cos mp^{(j)} - 1)(1 + \cos mq^{(j)})
\]
and (3.1) we have the inequality $\Lambda(p) - \Lambda(p_{s_1}) < 0$ for any $p \in \mathbb{T}^3 \setminus \{p_{s_1}, p_{s_2}, \ldots, p_{s_n}\}$.

By the definition of $\mu_0$ we have $\Delta_{\mu_0}(p_{s_1} : 0) = 0$. Hence the inequality

$$\Delta_{\mu_0}(p ; z) > \Delta_{\mu_0}(p_{s_1} ; 0) = 0$$

holds for any $p \in \mathbb{T}^3$ and $z < 0$. By Lemma 3.1 it means that, the operator $h_{\mu_0}(p)$, $p \in \mathbb{T}^3$ has no negative eigenvalues. □

Lemma 3.7 The function $f$, which is defined by (2.1), obeys the equation $h_{\mu_0}(p_{s_1})f = 0$.

Proof. First we show that $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^3) \setminus L_2(\mathbb{T}^3)$, that is,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f(q)| dq < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f(q)|^2 dq = \infty.$$

From the definition of $\mu_0$ it follows that $\Delta_{\mu_0}(p_{s_1} ; 0) = 0$. By the construction of the set $\{(p_{s_i}, q_{s_i}) \in (\mathbb{T}^3)^2 : i = 1, n\}$ we have that $\varphi(q_{s_i}) \neq 0$, $i = 1, n$ and $\varphi(q_{s_i}) = 0$, $i = n + 1, n$.

Using these facts and the definition of the function $\varepsilon(\cdot)$ we obtain that there exist the numbers $C_1$, $C_2$, $C_3 > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$C_1 |q - q_{s_i}|^2 \leq \varepsilon(q) \leq C_2 |q - q_{s_i}|^2,$$

$q \in U_\delta(q_{s_i})$, $i = \overline{1, n}$,

$$\varepsilon(q) \geq C_3, \quad q \in \mathbb{T}^3 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} U_\delta(q_{s_i}),$$

and in the case where $n < n$ we have that

$$C_1 |q - q_{s_i}|^2 \leq |\varphi(q)| \leq C_2 |q - q_{s_i}|^2,$$

$q \in U_\delta(q_{s_i})$, $i = \overline{n+1, n}$.

Applying latter inequalities we obtain that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f(q)| dq \leq C_1 \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{U_\delta(q_{n_j})} \frac{dq}{|q - q_{n_j}|^2} + C_2 < \infty,$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f(q)|^2 dq \geq C_1 \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{U_\delta(q_{n_j})} \frac{dq}{|q - q_{n_j}|^4} + C_2 = \infty.$$

It is easy to check that the function $f$ obeys the equation $h_{\mu_0}(p_{s_1})f = 0$. □

4 THE BIRMAN-SCHWINGER PRINCIPLE

For a bounded self-adjoint operator $A$, acting in Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, we define $d(\lambda, A)$ as

$$d(\lambda, A) = \sup \{dim F : (Au, u) > \lambda, u \in F \subset \mathcal{H}, ||u|| = 1\}.$$

$d(\lambda, A)$ is equal to the infinity, if $\lambda$ is in the essential spectrum and if $d(\lambda, A)$ is finite, it is equal to the number of the eigenvalues of $A$ bigger than $\lambda$.

By the definition of $N_\mu(z)$ we have

$$N_\mu(z) = d(-z, -H_\mu), -z > -\tau_{ess}(H_\mu).$$
Since the function $\Delta_\mu(\cdot, \cdot)$ is positive on $\mathbb{T}^3 \times (-\infty, \tau_{ess}(H_\mu))$, the positive square root of $\Delta_\mu(p; z)$ exists for any $p \in \mathbb{T}^3$ and $z < \tau_{ess}(H_\mu)$.

In our analysis of the spectrum of $H_\mu$, the crucial role is played by the compact integral operator $T_\mu(z)$, $z < \tau_{ess}(H_\mu)$, which acts in $L_2(\mathbb{T}^3)$ with the kernel

$$
\frac{\mu \varphi(p) \varphi(q)}{\sqrt{\Delta_\mu(p; z)} \sqrt{\Delta_\mu(q; z)} (w(p, q) - z)}.
$$

The following lemma is a realization of the well known Birman-Schwinger principle for the operator $H_\mu$ (see [1] 3 [4] 10 [12] 14 [15]).

**Lemma 4.1** For $z < \tau_{ess}(H_\mu)$ the operator $T_\mu(z)$ is compact and continuous in $z$ and one has

$$
N_\mu(z) = d(1, T_\mu(z)).
$$

This lemma has been proven in [4] for the non symmetric case.

## 5 THE PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

In this section we shall derive the asymptotics (2.22) for the number $N_{\mu_0}(z)$ of eigenvalues of the operator $H_{\mu_0}$ lying below $z$, $z < 0$, that is, we shall prove Theorem 2.9.

We shall first establish the asymptotics for $d(1, T_{\mu_0}(z))$ as $z \to -0$. Then Theorem 2.9 will be deduced by a perturbation argument based on the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.1** Let $A(z) = A_0(z) + A_1(z)$, where $A_0(z)$ (resp. $A_1(z)$) is compact and continuous in $z < 0$ (resp. $z \leq 0$). Assume that for some function $f(\cdot)$, $f(z) \to 0$, $z \to 0$ one has

$$
\lim_{z \to 0^-} f(z) d(\gamma, A_0(z)) = l(\gamma),
$$

and is continuous in $\gamma > 0$. Then the same limit exists for $A(z)$ and

$$
\lim_{z \to 0^-} f(z) d(\gamma, A(z)) = l(\gamma),
$$

For the proof of Lemma 5.1 see Lemma 4.9 of [12].

Let $T(\delta; |z|)$ be the integral operator which acts in $L_2(\mathbb{T}^3)$ with the kernel

$$
\frac{1}{2\pi^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_\delta(p - p_{s_i}) \chi_\delta(q - q_{s_i})(\frac{3}{4}|p - p_{s_i}|^2 + |z|)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\frac{3}{4}|q - q_{s_i}|^2 + |z|)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
$$

Here $\chi_\delta(\cdot)$ is the characteristic function of $U_\delta(0)$.

The following lemma can be proven using Lemmas 5.3–5.5.

**Lemma 5.2** For any $z \leq 0$ and small $\delta > 0$ the error $T_{\mu_0}(z) - T(\delta; |z|)$ is Hilbert-Schmidt operator and is continuous in the uniform operator topology at the point $z = 0$.

The space of the functions $f$ having support in $\bigcup_{i=1}^n U_\delta(p_{s_i})$, is an invariant subspace for the operator $T(\delta; |z|)$. Let $T_0(\delta; |z|)$ be the restriction of the operator $T(\delta; |z|)$ to this subspace, that is, the integral operator acting in $L_2(\bigcup_{i=1}^n U_\delta(p_{s_i}))$ with the kernel

$$
\frac{1}{2\pi^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(\frac{3}{4}|p - p_{s_i}|^2 + |z|)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\frac{3}{4}|q - q_{s_i}|^2 + |z|)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{|p - p_{s_i}|^2 + (p - p_{s_i}, q - q_{s_i}) + |q - q_{s_i}|^2 + |z|}.
$$
Denote by $\text{diag}\{A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_n\}$ the $n \times n$ diagonal matrix with operators $A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_n$ as diagonal entries.

Since the space $L_2(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} U_{\delta(p_s)})$ is an isomorphous to $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} L_2(U_{\delta}(p_s))$, the operator $T_0(\delta; |z|)$ can be written as diagonal operator

$$T_0(\delta; |z|) = \text{diag}\{T_0^{(1)}(\delta; |z|), T_0^{(2)}(\delta; |z|), \cdots, T_0^{(n)}(\delta; |z|)\},$$

where $T_0^{(i)}(\delta; |z|), i = \overline{1, n}$ is the integral operator acting in $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} L_2(U_{\delta}(p_s))$ with the kernel

$$\frac{1}{2\pi^2} \frac{(\frac{3}{4}|p - p_s|^2 + |z|)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\frac{3}{4}|q - q_s|^2 + |z|)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{|p - p_s|^2 + (p - p_s, q - q_s) + |q - q_s|^2 + |z|}.$$

One verifies that the operator $T_0(\delta; |z|)$ is unitary equivalent to the operator $T_1(r)$, $r = |z|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ acting in $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} L_2(U_{\gamma}(0))$ as

$$T_1(r) = \text{diag}\{T_1^{(1)}(r), T_1^{(2)}(r), \cdots, T_1^{(n)}(r)\},$$

where $T_1^{(i)}(r), i = \overline{1, n}$ is the integral operator acting in the $L_2(U_{\gamma}(0))$ with the kernel

$$\frac{1}{2\pi^2} \frac{1}{(\frac{3}{4}|p|^2 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\frac{3}{4}|q|^2 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}(|p|^2 + (p, q) + |q|^2 + 1)}.$$

We note that the equivalence of these operators is performed by the unitary dilation

$$B_r = \text{diag}\{B_r^{(1)}, B_r^{(2)}, \cdots, B_r^{(n)}\} : \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} L_2(U_{\delta}(p_s)) \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} L_2(U_{\gamma}(0)).$$

Here the operator $B_r^{(i)} : L_2(U_{\delta}(p_s)) \to L_2(U_{\gamma}(0)), i = \overline{1, n}$ acting by

$$(B_r^{(i)} f)(p) = r^{-\frac{1}{2}} f\left(\frac{1}{r}(p - p_s)\right).$$

Since the space $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} L_2(U_{\gamma}(0))$ is an isomorphous to $L_2(U_{\gamma}(0))$, we rewrite the operator $T_1(r)$ as integral operator acting in $L_2(U_{\gamma}(0))$ with the kernel

$$\frac{n}{2\pi^2} \frac{1}{(\frac{3}{4}|p|^2 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\frac{3}{4}|q|^2 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}(|p|^2 + (p, q) + |q|^2 + 1)}.$$

Further, we may replace $(\frac{3}{4}|p|^2 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $(\frac{3}{4}|q|^2 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $|p|^2 + (p, q) + |q|^2 + 1$ by $(\frac{3}{4}|p|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - \chi_1(p))$, $(\frac{3}{4}|q|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - \chi_1(q))$ and $|p|^2 + (p, q) + |q|^2$, respectively, we have the operator $T_2(r)$. The error $T_1(r) - T_2(r)$ will be a Hilbert-Schmidt operator and continuous up to $z = 0$.

The space of functions having support in $L_2(U_{\gamma}(0) \setminus U_1(0))$ is an invariant subspace for the operator $T_2(r)$. The kernel of this operator has form

$$K_n(p, q) = \frac{n}{\sqrt{3\pi^2}} \frac{1}{|p|^\frac{1}{2}|q|^\frac{1}{2}(|p|^2 + (p, q) + |q|^2)}.$$

Let $T(r)$ be the integral operator acting on $L_2(U_{\gamma}(0) \setminus U_1(0))$ with the kernel $K_2(p, q)$. The following lemma was proven in [1].
Lemma 5.3  The equality
\[ \lim_{z \to -0} \frac{d(1, T(z))}{|\log |z||} = \frac{\gamma_0}{2\pi} \]
is satisfied, where \( \gamma_0 \) is a positive solution of the equation (1.1).

Now Theorem 2.9 follows from Lemmas 4.1, 5.1–5.3.
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