THE IMAGES OF POLYNOMIALS ON UPPER TRIANGULAR MATRIX ALGEBRAS
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Abstract. Let \( p \) be a polynomial in non-commutative variables \( x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_s \) with constant term zero over an algebraically closed field \( K \). The object of study in this paper is the image of this kind of polynomial over the algebra of upper triangular matrices \( T_n(K) \). We introduce a family of polynomial, to be called multi-index \( p \)-inductive polynomials for a given polynomial \( p \). Using this we will show that, if \( p \) is a polynomial identity of \( T_m(K) \) but not of \( T_{m+1}(K) \), then \( p(T_n(K)) \subseteq T_n(K)^{(m-1)} \). Equality is achieved in the case \( m = 1 \) and \( n - 1 \). In the other cases we specify some structure of the matrices which are member of \( p(T_n(K)) \).
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1. Introduction

Let \( F_n \) denotes the free group on \( n \) symbols \( x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n \) and \( K \) be an algebraically closed field. The elements of the group ring \( K[F_n] \) will be called as polynomials in non-commutative variables. Given an algebra \( \mathcal{A} \) over \( K \) and \( p \in K[F_n] \), consider the following map

\[
\Phi_p : \mathcal{A}^n \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}, \quad (a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n) \mapsto p(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n).
\]

These are known as polynomial maps on \( \mathcal{A} \). Images of polynomials are crucial to answer different questions of non-commutative algebra. A problem due to Kaplansky [Kap57] was settled down after the construction of central polynomials (i.e., a polynomial \( f \) such that \( f(\mathcal{A}) \) lies inside \( Z(\mathcal{A}) \) by Formanek [For72].

The parallel study in group theory is concerned about the maps corresponding to an element of \( F_n \), known as word maps. This problem is motivated by the classical Waring problem in number theory, which asks whether every positive integer can be written as \( g(k) \) many \( k \)-th powers for a suitable function \( g \). For a prime \( q \), to investigate the image of an word map in pro-\( q \)-groups, it is important to know the image of Lie polynomials over the algebra of \( n \times n \) matrices. Indeed, this has helped Zelmanov [Zel05] to prove that for \( q \gg n \), the free pro-\( q \)-group can not be embedded into the algebra of \( n \times n \) matrices. It has been proved that for semisimple algebraic group \( G \) and any word
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$w \in F_n$, the map $w : G^n \to G$ is dominant, by Borel [Bor83] and Larsen [Lar04] independently. This has further motivated mathematicians to investigate word maps on finite simple groups of Lie type. A recent breakthrough in this direction is the affirmation of Ore’s conjecture (which states that the commutator map corresponding to the word $xyx^{-1}y^{-1} \in F_2$ is surjective in case of finite simple groups), by Liebeck, OBrien, Shalev and Tiep [LOST10], using the methods from character theory. For a survey of these problems in the context of group theory we refer the reader to the survey article due to Shalev [Sha09]. This has further motivated the Waring type problem in algebra setting as well.

The famous Kaplansky-Lvov conjecture is concerned about multilinear maps in non-commutative variables over the algebra $M_n(K)$ of $n \times n$ matrices. It states the following:

**Conjecture 1.** Let $p$ be a multilinear polynomial in $m$ variables, over a field $k$. Consider the image set of $M_n(k)$ under the polynomial map. Then $\text{Im} \Phi_p$ is either $\{0\}$, $\{aI : a \in k\}$, $\mathfrak{s}l_n(k)$ or $M_n(k)$, where $\mathfrak{s}l_n(k)$ denotes the set of all trace 0 matrices in $M_n(k)$.

At the time of writing this paper the conjecture stands open for $n \geq 4$. The case $n = 2$ has been solved in [KBMR12] and for $n = 3$ in [KBMR16]. Some partial results are known due to [?], in case $n = 4$. Since over algebraically closed field any matrix can be conjugated to an upper triangular matrix, several variations of the Kaplansky-Lvov conjecture are being studied, in recent times. The following conjecture was posed in 2019 by Fagunde and Mello [FdM19].

**Conjecture 2.** The images of a multilinear polynomial in non-commutative variables over a field $K$, for the algebra $T_m(K)$ is a vector space.

This conjecture has been settled recently by Luo, Wang in [LW22] and Gargate, Mello in [GdM] independently. The result are as follows.

**Theorem** (Luo, Wang). Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer. Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. Let $K$ be a field. Let $p(x_1, \cdots, x_m)$ be a nonzero multilinear polynomial in non-commutative variables over $K$. Suppose that $|K| > \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$. We have that $p(T_n(K)) = T_n(K)^{(k)}$ for some integer $1 \leq k \leq \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor - 1$, where $\left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor$ is the integer part of $\frac{m}{2}$.

**Theorem** (Gargate, Mello). Let $f \in K[F_n]$ be a multilinear polynomial. Then the image of $f$ on $T_m(K)$ is $J^r$ if and only if $f$ has commutative degree $r$ where $J$ is the Jacobson radical of $T_m(K)$.

We take up the more general problem and attempt to give answer to the following question

**Question 1.** Let $p$ be a polynomial in non-commutative variables, satisfying $p(0) = 0$, over an algebraically closed field $K$. Consider the algebra of upper triangular matrices over $K$, to be denoted by $T_m(K)$. Then what are the possible images $p(T_m(K))$?

This question has been answered completely in case of $n = 2$ by Chen, Luo and Wang [WZL21] and $n = 3$ [WZL22]. We take a unified approach to the general case $n = m$.

The results are as follows.

**Theorem** (Theorem 3.1, [WZL21]). Let $p(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ be a polynomial with zero constant term in non-commutative variables over an algebraically closed field $K$. Then one of the following statements holds:

1. If $\text{ord}(p) \geq 2$ then $p(T_2(K)) = 0$;
2. If $\text{ord}(p) = 1$ the $p(T_2(K)) = T_2(K)^{(0)}$;


(3) If $\text{ord}(p) = 0$ then
\[ p(T_2(K)) = T_2(K) \setminus \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} c & a \\ c & b \end{pmatrix} : c \in K \setminus S(K), a \in K^x \right\}, \]
where $S(K)$ denotes the set of strange points (for definition check [WZL21, Definition 2.1]) of $K$, is a Zariski dense subset of $T_2(K)$. Suppose further that $\text{char}(K) = 0$ or $\text{char}(K) > d$, where $d$ is the degree of $p$. We have that $|K \setminus S(K)| < d$.

**Theorem (Theorem 3.1, [WZL22])**. Let $p(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ be a polynomial with zero constant term in non-commutative variables over an algebraically closed field $K$. Then one of the following statements holds:

1. If $\text{ord}(p) \geq 3$ then $p(T_3(K)) = 0$;
2. If $\text{ord}(p) = 2$ then $p(T_3(K)) = T_3(K)^{(1)}$;
3. If $\text{ord}(p) = 1$ then $p(T_3(K)) = T_3(K)^{(0)}$;
4. If $\text{ord}(p) = 0$ then $p(T_3(K))$ is a Zariski dense subset of $T_3(K)$.

We take a unified approach to the general case. We will be using concepts from polynomial algebras, basic algebraic geometry and results about conjugacy classes over algebraically closed fields, to conclude our result.

**Organization of the paper**: We start with few definitions and recall necessary theorems in § 2. After that we present a proof for the case $n = 4$ in § 3, which has been further generalized in § 5. In § 4, we introduce the notion of multi-index $p$-inductive polynomials, for a given polynomial $p \in K[F_n]$. This will be used to draw our main results in § 5.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this section $T_m(K)$ will denote the algebra of upper triangular matrices of size $m$ over the field $K$. Consider $T_m(K)$ as a subspace of $T_{m+1}(K)$ by the embedding
\[ T_{m+1}(K) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & \vec{v} \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} : A \in T_m(K), \vec{v} \in K^m, a \in K \right\}. \]
Then we have a chain of algebra as follows
\[ K = T_1(K) \subseteq T_2(K) \subseteq T_3(K) \cdots. \]
We reserve the notation $T_m(K)^{(t)}$ to denote all the matrices in $T_m(K)$ such that the $ij$th entry is 0 whenever $j - i \leq t$. For a ring $R$, $R^x$ denotes the set of all invertible elements of $R$.

**Example 2.1.** Take $m = 4$ and $t = 2$. Then
\[ T_4(K)^{(2)} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : x \in K \right\}. \]

Given a polynomial $f \in K[F_n]$ and an associative ring $R$ with unity, $f$ is called a polynomial identity if
\[ f(r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n) = 0, \quad \text{for all } r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n \in R. \]
For an algebra $\mathcal{A}$, we denote the set of all polynomial identity as $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$. We have the following sequence of subsets
\[ \mathcal{P}(K) \supseteq \mathcal{P}(T_2(K)) \supseteq \mathcal{P}(T_3(K)) \supseteq \cdots. \]
Example 2.2. Consider the polynomial $p \in K[F_2]$ as $p(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 x_2 - x_2 x_1)^2$. Take $u_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & c_i \\ 0 & b_i \end{pmatrix} \in T_2(K)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then we have

$$\begin{align*}
\left[ \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & c_1 \\ b_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & c_2 \\ b_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & c_2 \\ b_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & c_1 \\ b_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]^2 \\
= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_1 c_2 + b_2 c_1 - a_2 c_1 - b_1 c_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{align*}$$

But note that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 5 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^2 \neq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

Thus, $p \in \mathcal{P}(T_2(K))$ but $p \notin \mathcal{P}(T_3(K))$. This motivates us to define the following.

**Definition 2.3.** A polynomial $p \in K[F_n]$ will be called to have order $t$ if $p \in \mathcal{P}(T_t(K))$ but $p \notin \mathcal{P}(T_{t+1}(K))$ where $t \geq 0$, and will be denoted by $\text{ord}(p)$.

Example 2.4. The polynomial $p(x_1, x_2) = x_1 x_2 - x_2 x_1$ is of order 2.

The polynomial identities for the algebra of upper triangular matrix is well known, as stated in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.5.** [Dre00, Theorem 5.4] Let $K$ be an infinite field and let $T_m(K)$ be the algebra of upper triangular matrices of size $m$. The polynomial identity

$$[x_1, x_2][x_3, x_4] \cdots [x_{2m-1}, x_{2m}] = 0$$

forms a basis of the polynomial identities of $T_m(K)$, where $[x_l, x_{l+1}] = x_l x_{l+1} - x_{l+1} x_l$.

Next we recall some terminology from algebraic geometry, for completeness. The space $K^n$ will be referred to as affine $n$-space. A closed set is given by common zero of ideal in $K[y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_n]$, i.e. for $T \subseteq K^n$ is closed if there exists an ideal $I \subseteq K[y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_n]$ such that

$$T = \{(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n) \in K^n : f(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in I\}.$$ 

The set of complements of these closed sets form the so called Zariski topology. A subset $U \subseteq K^n$ will be called Zariski dense, if for all open sets $V \subseteq K^n$, we have $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$. This is the usual definition of dense subsets in topological sense. We end this section by providing the structure of the general polynomial we will be working with. Henceforth, $p \in K[F_n]$ will be assumed to be satisfying $p(0) = 0$, and

$$p(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \left( \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq n} \mu_{i_1 \cdots i_k} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_k} \right),$$

where $d$ is the degree of the polynomial and $\mu_{i_1 \cdots i_k} \in K$. 

3. Proof for \( n = 4 \)

In this section we will prove results about the image of polynomials on \( T_4(K) \) and then in § 5 using this we will generalize our idea.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \( k \geq 2 \) be an integer. Let

\[
\Lambda_k = \prod_{j=1}^{k} u_{ij} = \left( \prod_{j=1}^{k} a_{11}(i_j) \prod_{j=1}^{k} a_{12}(i_j) \prod_{j=1}^{k} a_{13}(i_j) \prod_{j=1}^{k} a_{14}(i_j) \right)
\]

for all \( i = 1, 2, \cdots, n \). For any \( 1 \leq i_1, \cdots, i_k \leq n \), we have

\[
\Lambda_k = \prod_{j=1}^{k} u_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix}
\prod_{j=1}^{k} a_{11}(i_j) & \lambda_{12} & \lambda_{13} & \lambda_{14} \\
\prod_{j=1}^{k} a_{22}(i_j) & \lambda_{23} & \lambda_{24} \\
\prod_{j=1}^{k} a_{33}(i_j) & \lambda_{34} \\
\prod_{j=1}^{k} a_{44}(i_j)
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where

\[
\lambda_{ll+1} = \sum_{s=1}^{k} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} a_{ll}(i_j) \right) a_{ll+1}(i_s) \left( \prod_{j=s+1}^{k} a_{ll+1}(i_j) \right)
\]

\[
\lambda_{ll+2} = \sum_{s=1}^{k} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} a_{ll}(i_j) \right) \left( \prod_{j=s+1}^{k} a_{ll+2}(i_j) \right) + \sum_{1 \leq s < t \leq k} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} a_{ll}(i_j) \right) \left( \prod_{j=s+1}^{t-1} a_{ll+1}(i_j) \right) \left( \prod_{j=t+1}^{k} a_{ll+2}(i_j) \right)
\]

\[
\lambda_{14} = \sum_{s=1}^{k} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} a_{11}(i_j) \right) a_{14}(i_s) \left( \prod_{j=s+1}^{k} a_{44}(i_j) \right)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{1 \leq s < t \leq k} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} a_{11}(i_j) \right) a_{12}(i_s) \left( \prod_{j=s+1}^{t-1} a_{22}(i_j) \right) a_{24}(i_t) \left( \prod_{j=t+1}^{k} a_{44}(i_j) \right)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{1 \leq s < t \leq k} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} a_{11}(i_j) \right) a_{13}(i_s) \left( \prod_{j=s+1}^{t-1} a_{33}(i_j) \right) a_{34}(i_t) \left( \prod_{j=t+1}^{k} a_{44}(i_j) \right)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{1 \leq s < t < u \leq k} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} a_{11}(i_j) \right) a_{12}(i_s) \left( \prod_{j=s+1}^{t-1} a_{22}(i_j) \right) a_{23}(i_t) \left( \prod_{j=t+1}^{u-1} a_{33}(i_j) \right) a_{34}(i_u) \left( \prod_{j=u+1}^{k} a_{44}(i_j) \right)
\]
Similarly, it can be shown for the entries \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \).

Now we will compute \( u_1 u_2 = \)

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  a_{11}(i_1) a_{11}(i_2) & a_{11}(i_1) a_{12}(i_2) & a_{11}(i_1) a_{13}(i_2) & a_{11}(i_1) a_{14}(i_2) \\
  a_{12}(i_1) a_{22}(i_2) & a_{12}(i_1) a_{23}(i_2) & a_{12}(i_1) a_{24}(i_2) \\
  a_{13}(i_1) a_{33}(i_2) & a_{13}(i_1) a_{34}(i_2) \\
  a_{14}(i_1) a_{44}(i_2)
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Hence, the formula is true for \( k = 2 \). Suppose that it is true for \( k = m \). We need to show the same holds for \( k = m + 1 \). Note that

\[
\prod_{j=1}^{m+1} u_{ij} = \left( \prod_{j=1}^{m} u_{ij} \right) u_{im+1}
\]

\[
= \begin{pmatrix}
  \prod_{j=1}^{m+1} a_{11}(i_j) & \lambda'_{12} & \lambda'_{13} & \lambda'_{14} \\
  \prod_{j=1}^{m+1} a_{22}(i_j) & \lambda'_{23} & \lambda'_{24} \\
  \prod_{j=1}^{m+1} a_{33}(i_j) & \lambda'_{34} \\
  \prod_{j=1}^{m+1} a_{44}(i_j)
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Now we will compute \( \lambda'_{\alpha \beta} \). We have

\[
\lambda'_{12} = \prod_{j=1}^{m} a_{11}(i_j) a_{12}(i_{m+1}) + \lambda_{12} a_{22}(i_{m+1})
\]

\[
= \prod_{j=1}^{m} a_{11}(i_j) a_{12}(i_{m+1}) + \sum_{s=1}^{m-1} a_{11}(i_j) \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} a_{11}(i_j) a_{12}(i_s) \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} a_{22}(i_j) a_{22}(i_{m+1})
\]

\[
= \prod_{j=1}^{m+1} a_{11}(i_j) a_{12}(i_s) \prod_{j=1}^{m+1} a_{22}(i_j)
\]

Similarly, it can be shown for the entries \( \lambda'_{23} \) and \( \lambda'_{34} \).
Similarly, it can be shown for the entry $\lambda_{14}$. Finally,

$$\lambda_{14} = \prod_{j=1}^{m} a_{11}(i_j) a_{14}(i_{m+1}) + \lambda_{12} a_{24}(i_{m+1}) + \lambda_{13} a_{34}(i_{m+1}) + \lambda_{14} a_{44}(i_{m+1})$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^{m} a_{11}(i_j) a_{14}(i_{m+1})$$

$$+ \sum_{s=1}^{m} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} a_{11}(i_j) \right) a_{12}(i_s) \left( \prod_{j=s+1}^{m} a_{22}(i_j) \right) a_{24}(i_{m+1})$$

$$+ \sum_{s=1}^{m} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} a_{11}(i_j) \right) a_{13}(i_s) \left( \prod_{j=s+1}^{m} a_{33}(i_j) \right) a_{34}(i_{m+1})$$

$$+ \sum_{1 \leq s < t \leq m} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} a_{11}(i_j) \right) a_{12}(i_s) \left( \prod_{j=s+1}^{t-1} a_{22}(i_j) \right) a_{23}(i_t) \left( \prod_{j=t+1}^{m} a_{33}(i_j) \right) a_{34}(i_{m+1})$$

$$+ \sum_{s=1}^{k} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} a_{11}(i_j) \right) a_{14}(i_s) \left( \prod_{j=s+1}^{k} a_{44}(i_j) \right) a_{44}(i_{m+1})$$

$$+ \sum_{1 \leq s < t \leq k} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} a_{11}(i_j) \right) a_{12}(i_s) \left( \prod_{j=s+1}^{t-1} a_{22}(i_j) \right) a_{24}(i_t) \left( \prod_{j=t+1}^{k} a_{44}(i_j) \right) a_{44}(i_{m+1})$$

$$+ \sum_{1 \leq s < t < u \leq k} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} a_{11}(i_j) \right) a_{12}(i_s) \left( \prod_{j=s+1}^{t-1} a_{22}(i_j) \right) a_{23}(i_t) \left( \prod_{j=t+1}^{u-1} a_{33}(i_j) \right) a_{34}(i_u) \left( \prod_{j=u+1}^{k} a_{44}(i_j) \right) a_{44}(i_{m+1})$$

$$= \sum_{s=1}^{m+1} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} a_{11}(i_j) \right) a_{14}(i_s) \left( \prod_{j=s+1}^{m+1} a_{44}(i_j) \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{1 \leq s < t \leq m+1} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} a_{11}(i_j) \right) a_{12}(i_s) \left( \prod_{j=s+1}^{t-1} a_{22}(i_j) \right) a_{24}(i_t) \left( \prod_{j=t+1}^{m+1} a_{44}(i_j) \right)$$
where \( \vec{u} \) is a polynomial over \( \mathbb{K} \).

Proof. We now write the following result which will prove how does \( p(\vec{u}) \) look like where \( \vec{u} \) is \( (u_1, \cdots, u_n) \).

**Lemma 3.2.** Let

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
(a_{11}(i)) & (a_{12}(i)) & (a_{13}(i)) & (a_{14}(i)) \\
(a_{22}(i)) & (a_{23}(i)) & (a_{24}(i)) & \\
(a_{33}(i)) & (a_{34}(i)) & & \\
(a_{44}(i)) & & & \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \). Then, \( p(\vec{u}) \) is given by

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
p(\vec{a}_{11}) \\
p(\vec{a}_{12}) \\
p(\vec{a}_{13}) \\
p(\vec{a}_{14}) \\
p(\vec{a}_{22}) \\
p(\vec{a}_{23}) \\
p(\vec{a}_{33}) \\
p(\vec{a}_{44})
\end{pmatrix}
\]

where \( \vec{u} = (u_1, \cdots, u_n) \), \( \vec{a}_{ii} = (a_{ii}(1), \cdots, a_{ii}(n)) \) and

\[
p_{ijk}(\vec{a}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta})(i, j, k) = p_{ijk}(\vec{a}_\alpha, \vec{a}_\beta, \vec{a}_\gamma, \vec{a}_\delta) a_{\alpha\beta}(i) a_{\beta\gamma}(j) a_{\gamma\delta}(k).
\]

Here \( p_{ijk} \) is a polynomial over \( \mathbb{K} \) for \( i, j, k = 1, 2 \ldots n \).

**Proof.** Recall that the form of \( p \) is given by **Equation 1**. Using **Lemma 3.1**, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
p(\vec{u}) &= \sum_{k=1}^{d} \left( \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_k \leq n} \mu_{i_1 \ldots i_k} u_{i_1} \cdots u_{i_k} \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{d} \left( \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_k \leq n} \mu_{i_1 \ldots i_k} \Lambda_k \right)
\end{align*}
\]
\[ a_{l+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \left( \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_k \leq n} \mu_{i_1 \ldots i_k} x_{l+1}^{i_1} \right) \]
\[ = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \left( \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_k \leq n} \mu_{i_1 \ldots i_k} \sum_{s=1}^{m+1} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} a_{l(i_j)} \right) a_{l+1(i_s)} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{m+1} a_{l+1+1(i_j)} \right) \right) \]
\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i (\bar{a}_l, \bar{a}_{l+1}) a_{l+1(i)} , \]

where \( p_i (v_1, v_2) \) is a polynomial over \( K \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \).

\[ a_{l+2} = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \left( \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_k \leq n} \mu_{i_1 \ldots i_k} x_{l+2}^{i_1} \right) \]
\[ = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \left( \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_k \leq n} \mu_{i_1 \ldots i_k} \sum_{s=1}^{m+1} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} a_{l(i_j)} \right) a_{l+2(i_s)} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{m+1} a_{l+2+2(i_j)} \right) \right) \]
\[ + \sum_{1 \leq s < t \leq m+1} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} a_{11(i_j)} \right) a_{l+1(i_s)} \left( \prod_{j=s+1}^{t-1} a_{l+1+1(i_j)} \right) a_{l+1+2(i_t)} \]
\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i (\bar{a}_l, \bar{a}_{l+2+2}) a_{l+1(i)} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} p_{ij} (\bar{a}_l, \bar{a}_{l+1+1}, \bar{a}_{l+2+2}) a_{l+1(i)} a_{l+1+2+2(j)} , \]

where \( p_{ij} (v_1, v_2, v_3) \) is a polynomial over \( K \) for \( i, j = 1, \ldots, n \). Finally,

\[ a_{14'} = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \left( \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_k \leq n} \mu_{i_1 \ldots i_k} x_{14}^{i_1} \right) \]
\[ = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \left( \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_k \leq n} \mu_{i_1 \ldots i_k} \sum_{s=1}^{m+1} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} a_{11(i_j)} \right) a_{14(i_s)} \left( \prod_{j=s+1}^{m+1} a_{44(i_j)} \right) \right) \]
\[ + \sum_{1 \leq s < t \leq m+1} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} a_{11(i_j)} \right) a_{12(i_s)} \left( \prod_{j=s+1}^{t-1} a_{22(i_j)} \right) a_{24(i_t)} \left( \prod_{j=t+1}^{m+1} a_{44(i_j)} \right) \]
\[ + \sum_{1 \leq s < t \leq m+1} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} a_{11(i_j)} \right) a_{13(i_s)} \left( \prod_{j=s+1}^{t-1} a_{33(i_j)} \right) a_{34(i_t)} \left( \prod_{j=t+1}^{m+1} a_{44(i_j)} \right) \]
\[ + \sum_{1 \leq s < t < u \leq m+1} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} a_{11(i_j)} \right) a_{12(i_s)} \left( \prod_{j=s+1}^{t-1} a_{22(i_j)} \right) a_{23(i_t)} \left( \prod_{j=t+1}^{u-1} a_{33(i_j)} \right) \]
\[ a_{34(i_u)} \left( \prod_{j=u+1}^{m+1} a_{44(i_j)} \right) \].
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i(\vec{a}_{1i}, \vec{a}_{2i}) a_{12}(i) + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} p_{ij}(\vec{a}_{11}, \vec{a}_{22}, \vec{a}_{33}) a_{12}(i)a_{23}(j) \]

\[ + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} p_{ij}(\vec{a}_{11}, \vec{a}_{22}, \vec{a}_{33}) \vec{a}_{41}a_{12}(i)a_{23}(j)a_{34}, \]

where \( p_{ijk}(v_1, v_2, v_3) \) is a polynomial over \( K \) for \( i, j, k = 1, \ldots, n \).

\( \square \)

**Lemma 3.3.** Let \( p \in K[F_{3n}] \) be a polynomial over a field \( K \). Suppose there exists \( \vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{d} \in K^n \) such that \( p(\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{d}) \neq 0 \), then there exist \( \vec{a}', \vec{b}', \vec{c}', \vec{d}' \in K^n \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
 p(\vec{a}', \vec{b}', \vec{c}') &\neq 0 \\
 p(\vec{a}', \vec{b}', \vec{d}') &\neq 0 \\
 p(\vec{a}', \vec{c}', \vec{d}') &\neq 0, \text{ and} \\
 p(\vec{b}', \vec{c}', \vec{d}') &\neq 0.
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof.** Since \( p(\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{d}) \neq 0 \) and hence \( p(x, y, z) \) is a non-zero polynomial. Define

\[ q(x, y, z, w) = p(x, y, z) \cdot p(x, z, w) \cdot p(y, z, w). \]

Since \( p(x, y, z), p(x, z, w) \) and \( p(y, z, w) \) are non-zero polynomials and \( K \) is a field, \( q(x, y, z, w) \) will be a non-zero polynomial. Hence, there exists \( \vec{a}', \vec{b}', \vec{c}', \vec{d}' \in K^n \) such that Equation 2 holds. \( \square \)

**Theorem 3.4.** Suppose that \( p(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \) is a polynomial with zero constant term in non commutative variables over an algebraically closed field \( K \). Then one of the following statements must hold:

(i) If \( \text{ord}(p) \geq 4 \), then \( p(T_4(K)) = \{0\} \);
(ii) If \( \text{ord}(p) = 3 \), then \( p(T_3(K)) = T_4(K)^{(2)} \);
(iii) If \( \text{ord}(p) = 2 \), then \( p(T_3(K)) = T_4(K)^{(1)} \);
(iv) If \( \text{ord}(p) = 1 \), then \( p(T_3(K)) = T_4(K)^{(0)} \).

**Proof.**

(i) Suppose that \( \text{ord}(p) \geq 4 \). This implies, from the definition, that \( p(T_4(K)) = \{0\} \) and hence the statement (i) is proved.

(ii) Suppose that \( \text{ord}(p) = 3 \). This implies that \( p(T_3(K)) = 0 \) but \( p(T_4(K)) \neq 0 \). Let

\[
 u_i = \begin{pmatrix}
 a_{11}(i) & a_{12}(i) & a_{13}(i) & a_{14}(i) \\
 a_{22}(i) & a_{23}(i) & a_{24}(i) & a_{25}(i) \\
 a_{33}(i) & a_{34}(i) & a_{35}(i) & a_{36}(i) \\
 a_{44}(i) & a_{45}(i) & a_{46}(i) & a_{47}(i)
\end{pmatrix} \in T_4(K),
\]

for \( i = 1, \cdots, n \). Then using **Lemma 3.2**, [WZL21], and [WZL22, Lemma 3.1], we have

\[
p(\vec{u}) = \begin{pmatrix}
 0 & 0 & 0 & \sum_{i,j,k} p_{ijk}(\vec{a}_{1,2,3,4}) (i, j, k) \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
This implies that \( p(T_4(K)) \subseteq T_4(K)^{(2)} \). Now we need to show that \( p(T_4(K))^{(2)} \subseteq p(T_4(K)) \).

Let

\[
X = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & x_{14} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix} \in p(T_4(K))^{(2)}.
\]

Since \( p(T_4(K)) \neq 0 \), we can find \( i_0, j_0, k_0 \in \{1, \cdots, n\} \) such that

\[
p_{i_0j_0k_0}\left(\bar{a}_{\{1,2,3,4\}}\right) \neq 0,
\]

for some \( \bar{a}_{11}, \bar{a}_{22}, \bar{a}_{33}, \bar{a}_{44} \in K^n \). We set

\[
\lambda_0 := p_{i_0j_0k_0}\left(\bar{a}_{\{1,2,3,4\}}\right).
\]

Suppose first that \( i_0 = j_0 = k_0 \). Take

\[
u_i = \begin{cases} 
    \begin{pmatrix}
    a_{11}(i) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    a_{22}(i) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    a_{33}(i) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    a_{44}(i) & 0 & 0 & 0
    \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } i \neq i_0 \\
    \begin{pmatrix}
    a_{11}(i_0) & \lambda_0^{-1}x_{14} & 0 & 0 \\
    a_{22}(i_0) & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
    a_{33}(i_0) & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
    a_{44}(i_0) & 0 & 0 & 0
    \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } i = i_0.
\end{cases}
\]

Note that

\[
p(\bar{u}) = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & p_{i_0j_0k_0}\left(\bar{a}_{\{1,2,3,4\}}\right)\lambda_0^{-1}x_{14} & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix} = X.
\]
Thus, $p(T_4(K))^{(1)} \subseteq p(T_4(K))$. Hence, we proved that $p(T_4(K)) = p(T_4(K))^{(2)}$. Now we assume that $i_0 \neq j_0 = k_0$. Take

$$u_i = \begin{cases} 
\begin{pmatrix}
  a_{11}(i) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  a_{22}(i) & 0 & 0 \\
  a_{33}(i) & 0 \\
  a_{44}(i)
\end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } i \neq i_0, j_0 \\
\begin{pmatrix}
  a_{11}(i_0) & \lambda_0^{-1} x_{14} & 0 & 0 \\
  a_{22}(i_0) & 0 & 0 \\
  a_{33}(i_0) & 0 \\
  a_{44}(i_0)
\end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } i = i_0 \\
\begin{pmatrix}
  a_{11}(j_0) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  a_{22}(j_0) & 1 & 0 \\
  a_{33}(j_0) & 1 \\
  a_{44}(j_0)
\end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } i = j_0.
\end{cases}$$

So we have

$$p(\bar{u}) = \begin{pmatrix}
  0 & 0 & 0 & p_{i_0,j_0,k_0} (\bar{a}_{\{1,2,3,4\}}) \lambda_0^{-1} x_{14} \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix} = X.$$

Hence, $p(T_4(K)) = p(T_4(K))^{(2)}$. If $i_0 \neq j_0 \neq k_0$, then take

$$u_{j_0} = \begin{pmatrix}
  a_{11}(j_0) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  a_{22}(j_0) & 1 & 0 \\
  a_{33}(j_0) & 0 \\
  a_{44}(j_0)
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and } u_{k_0} = \begin{pmatrix}
  a_{11}(k_0) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  a_{22}(k_0) & 0 & 0 \\
  a_{33}(k_0) & 1 \\
  a_{44}(k_0)
\end{pmatrix}$$

and remaining $u_i's$ are same. Hence, $p(T_4(K)) = p(T_4(K))^{(2)}$.

(iii) Suppose that $\text{ord}(p) = 2$. This implies that $p(T_2(K)) = 0$ but $p(T_3(K)) \neq 0$. Let

$$u_i = \begin{pmatrix}
  a_{11}(i) & a_{12}(i) & a_{13}(i) & a_{14}(i) \\
  a_{22}(i) & a_{23}(i) & a_{24}(i) \\
  a_{33}(i) & a_{34}(i) \\
  a_{44}(i)
\end{pmatrix} \in T_4(K),$$
for $i = 1, \cdots, n$. Then using Lemma 3.2 and [WZL21], we have

$$
p(\mathbf{u}) = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & \sum_{i,j} p_{ij} \left( \mathbf{\bar{a}}_{(1,2,3)} \right) (i, j)
+ \sum_{i,j} p_{ij} \left( \mathbf{\bar{a}}_{(1,2,4)} \right) (i, j)
+ \sum_{i,j} p_{ij} \left( \mathbf{\bar{a}}_{(1,3,4)} \right) (i, j)
+ \sum_{i,j,k} p_{ijk} \left( \mathbf{\bar{a}}_{(1,2,3,4)} \right) (i, j, k)
0 & 0 & \sum_{i,j} p_{ij} \left( \mathbf{\bar{a}}_{(2,3,4)} \right) (i, j)
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

This implies that $p(T_4(K)) \subseteq T_4(K)^{(1)}$. For showing the other side inclusion, take

$$
X = \begin{pmatrix}
x_{13} & x_{14}
0 & x_{24}
0 & 0
0 & 0
\end{pmatrix} \in T_4(K)^{(1)}.
$$

Since $p(T_3(K)) \neq 0$, there exist $i_0, j_0 \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$ such that

$$
p_{i_0 j_0} (\mathbf{\bar{a}}_{(1,2,4)}) \neq 0
$$

for some $\mathbf{\bar{a}}_{11}, \mathbf{\bar{a}}_{22}, \mathbf{\bar{a}}_{44} \in K^n$. By using Lemma 3.3 we can find $\mathbf{\bar{a}}_{11}', \mathbf{\bar{a}}_{22}', \mathbf{\bar{a}}_{33}', \mathbf{\bar{a}}_{44}' \in K^n$ such that

$$
p_{i_0 j_0} (\mathbf{\bar{a}}_{(1,2,3)}) \neq 0,
p_{i_0 j_0} (\mathbf{\bar{a}}_{(1,2,4)}) \neq 0,
p_{i_0 j_0} (\mathbf{\bar{a}}_{(1,3,4)}) \neq 0,
p_{i_0 j_0} (\mathbf{\bar{a}}_{(2,3,4)}) \neq 0.
$$

We set

$$
\lambda_1 = p_{i_0 j_0} (\mathbf{\bar{a}}_{(1,2,4)}),
\lambda_2 = p_{i_0 j_0} (\mathbf{\bar{a}}_{(1,2,3)}),
\lambda_3 = p_{i_0 j_0} (\mathbf{\bar{a}}_{(1,3,4)}),
\lambda_4 = p_{i_0 j_0} (\mathbf{\bar{a}}_{(2,3,4)}).
$$

We first assume that $i_0 = j_0$. Furthermore, we divide our proof in a couple of cases.

**Case I: $x_{13} \neq 0$.** We take

$$
u_i = \begin{cases}
(\text{diag} \ (a_{11}(i), a_{22}(i), a_{33}(i), a_{44}(i))) , & i \neq i_0 \\
\begin{pmatrix}
a_{11} (i) & \lambda_2^{-1} x_{13} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{22} (i) & 1 & \lambda_1^{-1} \lambda_2 x_{13}^{-1} (x_{14} - \alpha \lambda_2^{-1} \lambda_3^{-1} x_{13} x_{14}) \\
a_{33} (i) & \lambda_3^{-1} x_{14} & \alpha & 0 \\
a_{44} (i) & 0 & 0 & \end{pmatrix}, & i = i_0
\end{cases},
$$

where $\alpha = p_{i_0 i_0} (\mathbf{\bar{a}}_{(1,2,3,4)})$. Then note that we have $p(\mathbf{u}) = X$. 


Case II: $x_{13} = 0$. Take

$$u_i = \begin{cases} 
\text{diag} (a_{11}(i), a_{22}(i), a_{33}(i), a_{44}(i)), & i \neq i_0 \\
\begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(i) & 0 & \lambda_4^{-1}x_{14} & 0 \\
a_{22}(i) & \lambda_3^{-1}x_{14} & 0 \\
a_{33}(i) & 0 \\
a_{44}(i) & \lambda_3^{-1}x_{14} \\
\end{pmatrix}, & i = i_0.
\end{cases}$$

In this case also note that $p(\mathbf{u}) = X$. This proves that $T_4(K)^{(1)} \subseteq p(T_4(k))$.

Now we assume that $i_0 \neq j_0$, and again we divide our proof in a couple of cases.

Case I: $x_{13} \neq 0$. We take

$$u_i = \begin{cases} 
\text{diag} (a_{11}(i), a_{22}(i), a_{33}(i), a_{44}(i)), & i \neq i_0 \\
\begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(i) & \lambda_2^{-1}x_{13} & 0 & 0 \\
a_{22}(i) & 1 & \lambda_1^{-1}\lambda_2^{-1}x_{13} & 0 \\
a_{33}(i) & 0 \\
a_{44}(i) & \lambda_3^{-1}x_{14} \\
\end{pmatrix}, & i = i_0 \\
\begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(i) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
a_{22}(i) & 1 & 0 \\
a_{33}(i) & 0 \\
a_{44}(i) & \lambda_3^{-1}x_{14} \\
\end{pmatrix}, & i = j_0
\end{cases}$$

where $\alpha = p_{i_0,j_0} (\mathbf{a}^{(1,2,3,4)}_{i_0})$. Then note that we have $p(\mathbf{u}) = X$.

Case II: $x_{13} = 0$. Take

$$u_i = \begin{cases} 
\text{diag} (a_{11}(i), a_{22}(i), a_{33}(i), a_{44}(i)), & i \neq i_0 \\
\begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(i) & \lambda_4^{-1}x_{14} & 0 \\
a_{22}(i) & \lambda_3^{-1}x_{14} & 0 \\
a_{33}(i) & 0 \\
a_{44}(i) & \lambda_3^{-1}x_{14} \\
\end{pmatrix}, & i = i_0 \\
\begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(i) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
a_{22}(i) & 0 & 0 \\
a_{33}(i) & 1 \\
a_{44}(i) & \lambda_3^{-1}x_{14} \\
\end{pmatrix}, & i = j_0
\end{cases}$$

Thus, we have $p(\mathbf{u}) = X$ and hence $T_4(K)^{(1)} \subseteq p(T_4(k))$.

(iv) Suppose that $\text{ord}(p) = 1$. This implies that $p(T_4(K)) = 0$ but $p(T_2(K)) \neq 0$. Let

$$u_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(i) & a_{12}(i) & a_{13}(i) & a_{14}(i) \\
a_{22}(i) & a_{23}(i) & a_{24}(i) \\
a_{33}(i) & a_{34}(i) \\
a_{44}(i) \\
\end{pmatrix} \in T_4(K),$$
for $i = 1, \cdots, n$. We have

$$p(\vec{u}) = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & \sum_i p_i(\vec{a}_{1,2}) (i) & \sum_i p_i(\vec{a}_{1,3}) (i) & \sum_i p_i(\vec{a}_{1,4}) (i) \\
+ \sum_{i,j} p_{ij}(\vec{a}_{1,2,3}) (i, j) & \sum_{i,j} p_{ij}(\vec{a}_{1,2,4}) (i, j) & \sum_{i,j} p_{ij}(\vec{a}_{1,3,4}) (i, j) & \sum_{i,j,k} p_{ijk}(\vec{a}_{1,2,3,4}) (i, j, k) \\
0 & \sum_i p_i(\vec{a}_{2,3}) (i) & \sum_i p_i(\vec{a}_{2,4}) (i) & \sum_i p_i(\vec{a}_{3,4}) (i) \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.$$  

This implies that $p(T_4(K)) \subseteq T_4(K)^{(0)}$. For showing the other side inclusion, take

$$X = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & x_{12} & x_{13} & x_{14} \\
0 & x_{23} & x_{24} & x_{34} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix} \in T_4(K)^{(0)}.$$

Since $p(T_3(K)) \neq 0$, there exist $i_0 \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$ such that $p_{i_0}(\vec{a}_{1,4}) \neq 0$

for some $\vec{a}_{11}, \vec{a}_{14} \in K^n$. By using a similar argument given in the Lemma 3.3 we can find $\vec{a}_{11}', \vec{a}_{12}', \vec{a}_{13}', \vec{a}_{14}' \in K^n$ such that

$$p_{i_0}(\vec{a}_{1,2}') \neq 0, \quad p_{i_0}(\vec{a}_{1,3}') \neq 0, \quad p_{i_0}(\vec{a}_{2,3}') \neq 0,$$

$$p_{i_0}(\vec{a}_{1,4}') \neq 0, \quad p_{i_0}(\vec{a}_{2,4}') \neq 0$$ and $p_{i_0}(\vec{a}_{3,4}') \neq 0$.

We set

$$\lambda_{\alpha \beta} = p_{i_0}(\vec{a}_{1,\alpha}') .$$

Take

$$u_{i_0} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & \lambda_{12}^{-1} x_{12} & \lambda_{13}^{-1} (x_{13} - \alpha_{123} \lambda_{12}^{-1} \lambda_{23}^{-1} x_{12} x_{23}) \\
\lambda_{14}^{-1} (x_{14} - \alpha_{124} \lambda_{12}^{-1} x_{12}) & \lambda_{24}^{-1} (x_{24} - \alpha_{234} \lambda_{23}^{-1} \lambda_{34}^{-1} x_{23} x_{34}) \\
\cdot \lambda_{13}^{-1} (x_{13} - \alpha_{123} \lambda_{12}^{-1} \lambda_{23}^{-1} x_{12} x_{23}) & \cdot \lambda_{34}^{-1} x_{34} \\
0 & \lambda_{23}^{-1} x_{23} & \lambda_{24}^{-1} (x_{24} - \alpha_{234} \lambda_{23}^{-1} \lambda_{34}^{-1} x_{23} x_{34}) \\
0 & \lambda_{34}^{-1} x_{34} & \lambda_{34}^{-1} x_{34}
\end{pmatrix}.$$
and
\[ u_i = \text{diag} \left( a_{11}(i), a_{22}(i), a_{33}(i), a_{44}(i) \right). \]

Then \( p(\bar{u}) = X \) and hence the conclusion is proved.

\[ \Box \]

4. Multi index \( p \)-inductive polynomials

To generalize the Lemma 3.1 in case of upper triangular matrix of order \( n \), we give the following algorithm. Let \( u_i \in T_n(K) \) and \( \lambda_{\alpha\beta} \) denotes the \((\alpha\beta)\text{th} \) entry of \( \Lambda_k(I) = \prod_{j=1}^k u_j \), where \( I = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k) \). Take \( \gamma = \min\{\beta - \alpha, k\} \). Now we will be finding all possible monomials contributing to the entry corresponding the smaller upper triangular matrices of size \( 2 \leq \epsilon \leq \gamma + 1 \).

**Step 1:** Set \( \epsilon = 2 \), then the \( 2 \times 2 \) upper triangular matrix coming from \( u_i \) is given by
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
    a_{\alpha\alpha}(i) & a_{\alpha\beta}(i) \\
    a_{\beta\alpha}(i) & a_{\beta\beta}(i)
\end{pmatrix}
\]

The monomial corresponding to \( \epsilon = 2 \) will be given by \( P^{(1)}_{\alpha\beta}(\Lambda_k(I)) \)
\[
\sum_{1 \leq s_1 \leq k} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{s_1-1} a_{\alpha\alpha}(i_j) \right) a_{\alpha\beta}(i_{s_1}) \left( \prod_{j=s_1+1}^k a_{\beta\beta}(i_j) \right). \quad (3)
\]

**Step 2:** Set \( \epsilon = 3 \), then the \( 3 \times 3 \) upper triangular matrices from \( u_i \) is given by
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
    a_{\alpha\alpha}(i) & a_{\alpha\eta}(i) & a_{\alpha\beta}(i) \\
    a_{\eta\alpha}(i) & a_{\eta\eta}(i) & a_{\eta\beta}(i) \\
    a_{\beta\alpha}(i) & a_{\beta\eta}(i) & a_{\beta\beta}(i)
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Choose \( \eta \) such that \( \alpha < \eta < \beta \), then the monomial corresponding to \( \epsilon = 3 \) is given by \( P^{(2)}_{\alpha\beta}(\Lambda_k(I)) \)
\[
\sum_{\alpha < \eta < \beta} \sum_{1 \leq s_1 < s_2 \leq k} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{s_1-1} a_{\alpha\alpha}(i_j) \right) a_{\alpha\eta}(i_{s_1}) \left( \prod_{j=s_1+1}^{s_2-1} a_{\eta\eta}(i_j) \right) a_{\eta\beta}(i_{s_2}) \left( \prod_{j=s_2+1}^k a_{\beta\beta}(i_j) \right). \quad (4)
\]

**Step r:** Set \( \epsilon = r + 1 \), then the \((r + 1) \times (r + 1) \) upper triangular matrices from \( u_i \) is given by
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
    a_{\alpha\alpha}(i) & a_{\alpha\eta_1}(i) & \cdots & a_{\alpha\eta_r}(i) & a_{\alpha\beta}(i) \\
    a_{\eta_1\alpha}(i) & a_{\eta_1\eta_1}(i) & \cdots & a_{\eta_1\eta_r}(i) & a_{\eta_1\beta}(i) \\
    & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    & & & a_{\eta_r\eta_r}(i) & a_{\eta_r\beta}(i)
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Choose \( \eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots, \eta_r \) such that \( \alpha < \eta_1 < \eta_2 < \cdots < \eta_r < \beta \), then the monomial corresponding to \( \epsilon = r + 2 \) is given by \( P^{(r)}_{\alpha\beta}(\Lambda_k(I)) \)
\[
\sum_{\alpha < \eta_1 < \cdots < \eta_r < \beta} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{s_1-1} a_{\alpha\alpha}(i_j) \right) a_{\alpha\eta_1}(i_{s_1}) \left( \prod_{j=s_1+1}^{s_2-1} a_{\eta_1\eta_1}(i_j) \right) a_{\eta_1\eta_2}(i_{s_2}) \times \cdots \\
\times \left( \prod_{j=s_r+1}^{s_{r+1}-1} a_{\eta_r\eta_r}(i_j) \right) a_{\eta_r\beta}(i_{s_{r+1}}) \left( \prod_{j=s_{r+1}+1}^k a_{\beta\beta}(i_j) \right). \quad (5)
\]

Since \( \gamma \) is finite, we have finitely many steps. Now the final step is given as follows;

**Step \( \gamma + 2 \):** Add all the monomials together to obtain the entry \( \lambda_{\alpha\beta} \).
Example 4.1. To have a better understanding of the algorithm we present a diagrammatic view of the monomials. Choose $n = 6$, $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 6$. Then to compute the $16$-th entry of the product $\prod_{i=1}^{7} u_i$ we proceed as follows.

**Figure 1. Combinations for 2-fold product**

**Figure 2. Combinations for 3-fold product**

**Figure 3. Combinations for 4-fold product**
Note that there will not be any term involving 7-fold product since $\gamma = 5$.

Now we present the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.2.** Let $u_i \in T_n(K)$ be matrices with $(u_i)_{uv} = a_{uv}(i)$, for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$. If $\lambda_{\alpha\beta}$ denotes the $\alpha\beta$th entry of the product $\prod_{j=1}^{k} u_{ij}$, then

$$
\lambda_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{r=1}^{\beta-\alpha} P_{\alpha\beta}^{(r)} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{k} u_{ij} \right).
$$

**Proof.** As this can be proved by induction on $k$ as in the case $n = 4$, this is left to the reader. $\square$

Note that in this case $n = 4$, each coordinate is determined by a family of multi index polynomials. These polynomials $\{p_I\}$ solely depend on the polynomial we started with, namely $p$. As evident from the general formula of the multiplication, a general formula for an entry in the position with higher indices, depends on smaller indices as shown in the following diagram.
This is true in general as evident from the following proposition.

**Lemma 4.3.** For a polynomial \( f \in K[F_n] \) let \( P_{\alpha\beta}^{(r)}(f) \) denote the \( \alpha\beta \)th entry of \( f(\vec{u}) \) of weight \( r \). Then the following statements are true for two monomials \( g, h \in F_n \).

(i) \( P_{\alpha\beta}^{(r)}(g + h) = P_{\alpha\beta}^{(r)}(g) + P_{\alpha\beta}^{(r)}(h) \).

(ii) For any \( \delta \in K \), \( P_{\alpha\beta}^{(r)}(\delta g) = \delta P_{\alpha\beta}^{(r)}(g) \).

**Proof.** Note that for any two matrices \( U, V \in T_m(K) \), \( c \in K \) we have

\[
\begin{align*}
[U + V]_{\alpha\beta} &= U_{\alpha\beta} + V_{\alpha\beta}, \\
[cU]_{\alpha\beta} &= cU_{\alpha\beta}.
\end{align*}
\]

□

The following proposition follows immediately using the above lemma.

**Proposition 4.4.** Let \( p \in K[F_n] \), \( u_i \in T_m(K) \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), then the \( \alpha\beta \)th entry of \( p(\vec{u}) \) is given by the following expression:

\[
[p(\vec{u})]_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{r=1}^{\beta-\alpha} P_{\alpha\beta}^{(r)}(p).
\]

Here \( P_{\alpha\beta}^{(r)}(p) \) is defined as follows. Suppose

\[
p = \sum_I \mu_I x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_k}, \quad I = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k),
\]

then

\[
P_{\alpha\beta}^{(r)}(p) = \sum_I \mu_I P_{\alpha\beta}^{(r)}(\Lambda_k(I)).
\]

**Proof.** Note that using **Proposition 4.2**, we have

\[
[p(\vec{u})]_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{r=1}^{\beta-\alpha} P_{\alpha\beta}^{(r)}(p) = \sum_{r=1}^{\beta-\alpha} \sum_I \mu_I P_{\alpha\beta}^{(r)}(\Lambda_k(I)).
\]

□

**Definition 4.5.** Given a polynomial \( p \), the polynomials \( P_{\alpha\beta}^{(r)}(p) \) in **Proposition 4.4** will be called as multi-index \( p \)-inductive polynomial.

Here we present two examples of multi-index \( p \)-inductive polynomials.

**Example 4.6.** Let \( K \) be an algebraically closed field and consider the following polynomial \( p(x, y, z) = x^2 + y + z \in K[x, y, z] \). Let

\[
u_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i & c_i & d_i \\ e_i & f_i & g_i & h_i \\ i_j & j_i & k_i & l_i \end{pmatrix} \in T_4(K)
\]
for $i = 1, 2, 3$. We will compute $p(u_1, u_2, u_3)$. Then $p(u_1, u_2, u_3)$ will be
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
    a_1^2 + a_2 + a_3 & (a_1 + e_1) b_1 + b_2 + b_3 & (a_1 + h_1) c_1 + c_2 + c_3 \\
    e_1^2 + e_2 + e_3 & (a_1 + h_1) f_1 + f_2 + f_3 & (a_1 + l_1) g_1 + g_2 + g_3 \\
    h_1^2 + h_2 + h_3 & (h_1 + l_1) j_1 + j_2 + j_3 & l_1^2 + l_2 + l_3
\end{pmatrix}
\]
Here the multi-index $p$-inductive polynomials are given by
\[
p_i(x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3) = \begin{cases} x_1 + y_1, & \text{if } i = 1 \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]
\[
p_{ij}(x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3, z_1, z_2, z_3) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = j = 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

and
\[p_{ijk} \equiv 0 \text{ for } i, j, k = 1, 2, 3.\]

**Example 4.7.** Take
\[p(x, y) = xy + yx\]
For the same $u_i$ taken in Equation 7, we have $p(u_1, u_2)$
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
    2a_1 a_2 & (a_2 + e_2) b_1 & (a_2 + h_2) c_1 & (a_2 + l_2) d_1 \\
    + (a_1 + e_1) b_2 & + (a_1 + h_1) c_2 & + (a_1 + l_1) c_2 \\
    + b_1 f_2 + b_2 f_1 & + b_1 g_2 + b_2 g_1 + c_1 j_2 + c_2 j_1 \\
    2e_1 e_2 & (e_2 + h_2) f_1 & (e_2 + l_2) g_1 & (e_2 + l_2) g_1 \\
    + (e_1 + h_1) f_2 & + (e_1 + l_1) g_2 & + (e_1 + l_1) g_2 \\
    + f_1 j_2 + f_2 j_1 + & & & 2h_1 h_2 \\
    2h_1 h_2 & (h_1 + l_1) j_2 & (h_1 + l_1) j_2 & (h_1 + l_1) j_2 \\
    & & & 2l_1 l_2
\end{pmatrix}
\]
Here we have
\[
p_i(x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3) = \begin{cases} x_2 + y_2, & \text{if } i = 1 \\ x_1 + y_1, & \text{if } i = 2 \end{cases}
\]
\[
p_{ij}(x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3, z_1, z_2, z_3) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = 1, j = 2 \\ 1, & \text{if } i = 2, j = 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]
and
\[p_{ijk} \equiv 0 \text{ for } i, j, k = 1, 2, 3.\]

**Proposition 4.8.** Let $k \geq 2$, $f \in K [F_{kn}]$. Suppose there exists $\bar{a}_1, \ldots, \bar{a}_n \in K^k$ such that
\[f(\bar{a}_1, \ldots, \bar{a}_n) \neq 0.\]
Then for any $l \geq 1$ there exist vectors $\mathbf{a}'_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{a}'_{n}, \mathbf{a}'_{n+1}, \cdots, \mathbf{a}'_{n+l} \in K^{k}$ satisfying

$$f \left( \mathbf{a}'_{0(1)}, \cdots, \mathbf{a}'_{0(n)} \right) \neq 0,$$

for all $\sigma \in S_{n+l}$ where $S_{n+l}$ is the symmetric group on $(n + l)$ letters.

\textbf{Proof.} The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.3, and hence we leave it to the reader. \hfill \Box

\section{Main Theorem}

\textbf{Lemma 5.1.} Let $p \in K[F_{n}]$ satisfying $p(0) \neq 0$. Then we have that

$$\{ A = (a_{\alpha \beta}) \in T_{m}(K) : a_{\delta \delta} \neq a_{\sigma \sigma} \text{ for all } 1 \leq \delta \neq \sigma \leq m \} \subseteq \{ p(T_{m}(K)) \}.$$

\textbf{Proof.} Let $A$ satisfy that all the diagonal entries are pairwise distinct. Then the following equivalence can be easily checked.

$$\begin{pmatrix}
  a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1m} \\
  a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2m} \\
  \vdots \\
  a_{mm}
\end{pmatrix} \sim \text{diag}(a_{11}, a_{22}, \cdots, a_{mm}).$$

Now note that, if $u_{i} \in T_{m}(K)$, $v \in T_{m}(K)^{\times}$, $p \in K[F_{n}]$, we have that

$$vp(u_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{n}v^{-1}) = p(vu_{1}v^{-1}, vu_{2}v^{-1}, \cdots, vu_{n}v^{-1}).$$

Indeed, $\prod_{j=1}^{l}(vx_{i_{j}}v^{-1}) = vx_{i_{1}}v^{-1} \cdot vx_{i_{2}}v^{-1} \cdots vx_{i_{l}}v^{-1}$. Hence, to prove the containment it is enough to show that

$$\text{diag}(a_{11}, a_{22}, \cdots, a_{mm}) \in \{ p(T_{m}(K)) \}.$$}

Since $p(K) \neq 0$, for $1 \leq \alpha \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$, there exists $a_{\alpha \alpha}(j) \in K$, such that $p(a_{ii}(1), a_{ii}(2), \cdots, a_{ii}(n)) = a_{ii}$, by [WZL21, Lemma 3.1]. Hence, considering

$$u_{i} = \text{diag}(a_{11}(i), a_{22}(i), \cdots, a_{mm}(i)),$$

we get that $\text{diag}(a_{11}, a_{22}, \cdots, a_{mm}) \in \{ p(T_{m}(K)) \}$. This finishes the proof. \hfill \Box

\textbf{Lemma 5.2.} Let $p \in K[F_{n}]$ satisfy $p(0) \neq 0$. Then $p(T_{m}(K))$ is a Zariski dense subset of $T_{m}(K)$.

\textbf{Proof.} This has been proved in case of $n = 2, 3$ in [WZL21, WZL22]. We present a proof for the general case, necessarily imitating the proof therein. Consider $T_{m}(K)$ as the affine space $K^{m(m+1)}/2$.

By Lemma 5.1, we have that

$$D = \{ A = (a_{\alpha \beta}) \in T_{m}(K) : a_{\delta \delta} \neq a_{\sigma \sigma} \text{ for all } 1 \leq \delta \neq \sigma \leq m \} \subseteq \{ p(T_{m}(K)) \}.$$}

Hence, it is enough to prove that $D$ is dense in $T_{m}(K)$.

Take a point $P = (a_{11}, a_{22}, \cdots, a_{mm}, a_{12}, a_{23}, \cdots, a_{m-1m}, \cdots, a_{1m}) \in K^{m(m+1)/2}$ and an open set $U$ containing $P$. Hence, there exists an ideal $I$ of $K[y_{1}, \cdots, y_{m(m+1)/2}]$ such that $U = K^{m(m+1)/2} \setminus Z(I)$, where $Z(I)$ denotes the zero set of $I$. This implies there exists $f \in I$ with $f(P) \neq 0$ that is

$$f(a_{11}, a_{22}, \cdots, a_{mm}, a_{12}, a_{23}, \cdots, a_{m-1m}, \cdots, a_{1m}) \neq 0.$$
If \( a_{ij} \neq a_{jj} \) for all \( i \neq j \), then \( P \in \mathcal{D} \). So we will assume that there exists some \( i_0 \neq j_0 \) but \( a_{i_0,j_0} = a_{j_0,i_0} \). Without loss of generality assume that \( i_0 = 1 \) and \( j_0 = 2 \). Set \( a'_{11} = a_{11} \). We want to find \( a'_{22} \neq a_{22} \) such that \( f(a'_{11}, a'_{22}, \ldots, a_{mm}, a_{12}, a_{23}, \ldots, a_{m-1m}, \ldots, a_{1m}) \neq 0 \). Define
\[
h_{22}(x) = f(a'_{11}, x, \cdots, a_{mm}, a_{12}, a_{23}, \cdots, a_{m-1m}, \cdots, a_{1m}).
\]

Note that the function is not identically zero. As \( K \) is an algebraically closed field (hence infinite), there exists \( a'_{22} \notin \{a'_{11}\} \) such that \( h_{22}(a'_{22}) \neq 0 \).

Since \( K \) is infinite, this procedure can be continued to obtain an element
\[
P' = (a'_{11}, a'_{22}, \ldots, a'_{mm}, a_{12}, \ldots, a_{1m})
\]
such that \( a'_{ii} \neq a'_{jj} \) for all \( i \neq j \) and \( f(P') \neq 0 \). Hence \( P' \in \mathcal{D} \).

**Theorem 5.3.** Let \( p \in K[F_n] \) be a polynomial in non-commutative variable. Suppose \( \text{ord}(p) = m - 1 \). Then \( p(T_m(K)) = T_m(K)^{(m-2)} \).

**Proof.** Since \( \text{ord}(p) = m - 1 \), we have \( p(T_{m-1}(K)) = 0 \) but \( p(T_m(K)) \neq 0 \). Since \( p(T_{m-1}(K)) = 0 \), the multi-index \( p \)-inductive polynomials of index size less than or equal to \( m - 2 \) are identically zero. Hence, \( p(T_m(K)) \subseteq T_m(K)^{(m-2)} \). For showing the other inequality, we take a matrix \( X \in T_n(K)^{(m-2)} \) such that
\[
X_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
  x, & \text{if } i = 1, j = n \\
  0, & \text{otherwise.} 
\end{cases}
\]

Since \( p(T_m(K)) \neq 0 \), there exists a multi-index \( I \) of size \( m - 1 \) such that \( p_I \) is not identically zero, say \( I_0 = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{m-1}) \). Let \( p_I(\bar{a}_{11}, \bar{a}_{22}, \cdots, \bar{a}_{mm}) \neq 0 \) for some \( \bar{a}_{11}, \cdots, \bar{a}_{mm} \in K^n \). Set
\[
\lambda_0 = p_{i_0}(\bar{a}_{11}, \bar{a}_{22}, \cdots, \bar{a}_{mm}).
\]

At first assume that \( i_1 = i_2 = \ldots = i_{m-1} \). Set
\[
u_i = \begin{pmatrix}
  a_{11}(i) & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
  a_{22}(i) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
  \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
  a_{i-1,i-1}(i) & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
  a_{i,i-1}(i) & \lambda_0^{-1}x & \cdots & 0 \\
  a_{i,i+1}(i) & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\
  \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
  a_{m-1m-1}(i) & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\
  a_{mm}(i) & \cdots & 0 
\end{pmatrix},
\]

if \( i \neq i_1 \)
\[
u_i = \begin{pmatrix}
  a_{11}(i) & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
  a_{22}(i) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
  \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
  a_{i-1,i-1}(i) & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
  a_{i,i-1}(i) & \lambda_0^{-1}x & \cdots & 0 \\
  a_{i,i+1}(i) & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\
  \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
  a_{m-1m-1}(i) & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\
  a_{mm}(i_1) & \cdots & 0 
\end{pmatrix},
\]

if \( i = i_1 \)

Then \( p(\bar{u}) = X \) and hence \( p(T_m(K)) = T_m(K)^{(m-2)} \).
We now assume that $i_1 \neq i_2 = i_3 = \cdots = i_{m-1}$. In that case we take
\[
\begin{align*}
    u_i = & \begin{cases} 
    \begin{pmatrix} 
        a_{11}(i) & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
        a_{22}(i) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
        \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
        a_{mm}(i) & \end{pmatrix}, & \quad \text{if } i \neq i_1, i_2 \\
        \begin{pmatrix} 
        a_{11}(i) & \lambda_0^{-1} x & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
        a_{22}(i) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
        \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
        a_{mm}(i) & \end{pmatrix}, & \quad \text{if } i = i_1 \\
        \begin{pmatrix} 
        a_{11}(i) & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
        a_{22}(i) & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\
        \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
        a_{mm}(i) & \end{pmatrix}, & \quad \text{if } i = i_2 \\
        \begin{pmatrix} 
        a_{m-1m-1}(i) & 1 \\
        a_{mm}(i) & \end{pmatrix} & \quad \text{if } i = i_3 
    \end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

Again note that $p(\bar{u}) = X$ and hence we are done. In this way, it can be shown that there exists $\bar{u}$ such that $p(\bar{u}) = X$. \qed

**Theorem 5.4.** Let $p \in K[F_n]$ be a polynomial in non-commutative variable. Suppose $\text{ord}(p) = 1$. Then $p(T_m(K)) = T_m(K)^{(0)}$.

**Proof.** Since $\text{ord}(p) = 1$, we have $p(T_1(K)) = 0$ but $p(T_2(K)) \neq 0$. Since $p(T_1(K)) = 0$, the multi-index $p$-inductive polynomials of index size less than or equal to $1$ are identically zero. Hence, $p(T_m(K)) \subseteq T_m(K)^{(0)}$.

For the reverse inclusion, let
\[
X = \begin{pmatrix} 
0 & x_{12} & x_{13} & \cdots & x_{1m} \\
0 & x_{23} & \cdots & x_{2m} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & & & x_{mm} 
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

We want to find $\bar{u} = (u_1, \ldots, u_n) \in T_m(K)^n$ such that $p(\bar{u}) = X$. We will give an algorithm to find $\bar{u}$. First, observe that $p(T_2(K)) \neq 0$, so there exists $i_0 \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ such that
\[
p_{i_0} \left( \bar{a}^{(1, m)} \right) \neq 0.
\]

Using **Proposition 4.8**, we can find $\bar{a}^{(1)}_{1m}, \ldots, \bar{a}^{(1)}_{nm} \in K^n$ for all $\sigma \in S_m$ such that
\[
\lambda_{i_0} = p_{i_0} \left( \bar{a}^{(1, \sigma)}_{i_0} \right) \neq 0.
\]

Now we define $u_i$ to be $\text{diag}(a_{11}(i), \ldots, a_{mm}(i))$ if $i \neq i_0$. If $i = i_0$, we take $u_{i_0}$ as follows.

- The $(ll)$-entry will be $(u_{i_0})_{ll} = a_{ll}(i_0)$.
- The $(ll + 1)$-entry will be $(u_{i_0})_{l+1} = \lambda_{l+1}^{-1} x_{l+1}$.
- The $(ll + 2)$-entry will be
\[
(u_{i_0})_{l+2} = \lambda_{l+2}^{-1} \left( x_{l+2} - \lambda_{l+1} x_{l+1} + (u_{i_0})_{l+1} (u_{i_0})_{l+1} \right)
\]
- The $(ll + 3)$-entry will be
\[
(u_{i_0})_{l+3} = \lambda_{l+3}^{-1} \left( x_{l+3} - \lambda_{l+2} x_{l+2} + (u_{i_0})_{l+2} (u_{i_0})_{l+2} \right)
\]
Here we will present how to find matrices $p_\alpha$ for $\alpha = 1, 2, \ldots, k - 1$. It can be checked that $p(\mathbf{u}) = X$. Hence, we have $p(T_m(K)) = T_m(K)^{(0)}$.

**Theorem 5.5.** Let $p \in K[F_n]$ be a polynomial in non-commutative variable. Suppose $1 < \text{ord}(p) = t < m - 1$. Then $p(T_m(K)) \subseteq T_m(K)^{(t-1)}$. Furthermore, if $X \in T_m(K)^{(t-1)}$ satisfies $x_{ij} \neq 0$ for all $j - i \geq t - 1$ then $X \in p(T_m(K))$.

**Proof.** Since $\text{ord}(p) = t$, we have $p(T_i(K)) = 0$ but $p(T_{t+1}(K)) \neq 0$. Since $p(T_i(K)) = 0$, the multi-index $p$-inductive polynomials of index size less than or equal to $t - 1$ are identically zero. Hence, $p(T_m(K)) \subseteq T_m(K)^{(t-1)}$.

Here we will present how to find matrices $u_i$ for $m = 5, t = 2$ and the same algorithm can be adopted for any $m$ and $t$. Let

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & x_{13} & x_{14} & x_{15} \\ 0 & 0 & x_{24} & x_{25} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_{35} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where we assume that $x_{ij} \neq 0$ for all $i, j$. Note that

$$p(\mathbf{u}) = \begin{pmatrix} \sum p_{ij}(\mathbf{a}_{1,2}) & \sum p_{ij}(\mathbf{a}_{1,3}) & \sum p_{ij}(\mathbf{a}_{1,4}) & \sum p_{ij}(\mathbf{a}_{1,5}) \\ \sum p_{ij}(\mathbf{a}_{2,3}) & \sum p_{ij}(\mathbf{a}_{2,4}) & \sum p_{ij}(\mathbf{a}_{2,5}) \\ \sum p_{ij}(\mathbf{a}_{3,4}) & \sum p_{ij}(\mathbf{a}_{3,5}) \\ \sum p_{ij}(\mathbf{a}_{4,5}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $p(T_3(K)) \neq 0$, there exist $i_0, j_0 \in \{1, \ldots, 5\}$ such that

$$p_{i_0,j_0}(\mathbf{a}_{1,2.5}) \neq 0$$

for some $\mathbf{a}_{11}, \mathbf{a}_{22}, \mathbf{a}_{44}, \mathbf{a}_{55} \in K^n$. By using Proposition 4.8 we can find $\mathbf{a'}_{11}, \mathbf{a'}_{22}, \mathbf{a'}_{33}, \mathbf{a'}_{44}, \mathbf{a'}_{55} \in K^n$ such that

$$p_{i_0,j_0}(\mathbf{a'}_{1,2,3}) \neq 0, \quad \sigma \in S_3.$$

We set

$$\lambda_{\sigma(1)\sigma(2)\sigma(3)} = p_{i_0,j_0}(\mathbf{a'}_{\sigma(1),\sigma(2),\sigma(3)}).$$

First assume that $i_0 = j_0$. Consider $u_i = \text{diag}(a_{11}(i), a_{22}(i), \ldots, a_{55}(i))$ for $i \neq i_0$. We define $u_{i_0}$ as follows.

- $(u_{i_0})_{ii} = a_{ii}(i_0).
- (u_{i_0})_{12} = 1, (u_{i_0})_{23} = \lambda_{123}^{-1}x_{13}, (u_{i_0})_{34} = \lambda_{234}^{-1}x_{24}(u_{i_0})_{23}^{-1}$ and $(u_{i_0})_{45} = \lambda_{345}^{-1}x_{45}(u_{i_0})_{34}^{-1}$. 

• \((u_{i0})_{13} = 1,
\)
\((u_{i0})_{24} = (\lambda_{124} (u_{i0})_{12})^{-1} (x_{14} - \lambda_{134} (u_{i0})_{13} (u_{i0})_{34} - \lambda_{1234} (u_{i0})_{12} (u_{i0})_{23} (u_{i0})_{34})
\)
\((u_{i0})_{35} = (\lambda_{235} (u_{i0})_{23})^{-1} (x_{25} - \lambda_{245} (u_{i0})_{24} (u_{i0})_{45} - \lambda_{2345} (u_{i0})_{23} (u_{i0})_{34} (u_{i0})_{45}).
\)

• \((u_{i0})_{14} = 1
\)
\((u_{i0})_{25} = (\lambda_{125} (u_{i0})_{12})^{-1} [x_{15} - \lambda_{135} (u_{i0})_{13} (u_{i0})_{35} - \lambda_{145} (u_{i0})_{14} (u_{i0})_{45}
- \lambda_{1235} (u_{i0})_{12} (u_{i0})_{23} (u_{i0})_{35} - \lambda_{1245} (u_{i0})_{12} (u_{i0})_{24} (u_{i0})_{45}
- \lambda_{1345} (u_{i0})_{13} (u_{i0})_{34} (u_{i0})_{45} - \lambda_{12345} (u_{i0})_{12} (u_{i0})_{23} (u_{i0})_{34} (u_{i0})_{45}].
\)

Rest of the entries of \(u_{i0}\) are taken to be zero.

We now assume that \(i_0 \neq j_0\). For the simplicity, we denote the entries by \(v_{ij}\) that we obtained in the previous case. Then define \(u_i = \text{diag}(a_{11}(i), a_{22}(i), \cdots, a_{55}(i))\) for \(i \neq i_0, j_0\). The matrices \(u_{i0}\) and \(j_0\) are given by

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  v_{11} & v_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  v_{22} & v_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  v_{33} & v_{34} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  v_{44} & v_{45} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  v_{55} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

if \(i = i_0\)

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  v_{11} & 0 & v_{13} & v_{14} & v_{15} \\
  v_{22} & 0 & v_{24} & v_{25} & 0 \\
  v_{33} & 0 & v_{35} & 0 & 0 \\
  v_{44} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  v_{55} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

if \(i = j_0\).

In both the cases, the equality \(p(\bar{u}) = X\) can be checked with cumbersome calculations and hence we leave it to the reader.

\[\blacksquare\]
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