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The existence of states with angular momenta \( I = 4 \) and \( 6 \) of four fermions in an angular momentum \( j = 9/2 \) shell of a semi-magic nucleus that for each angular momentum \( I = 4 \) and \( 6 \), the states in one irreducible module of the angular momentum algebra, briefly a \textit{multiplet}, are stationary for any rotationally invariant two-body interaction \[1\], that is, \textit{solvable} in Talmi’s terminology \[2\], despite the presence of other states with the same angular momentum, the \textit{Escuderos-Zamick} states, is shown to be equivalent to the invariance to any such interaction of the span of states generated from \( I = 0 \) states by one-body operators. This invariance is verified by exact calculation independently of previous verifications of the equivalent statement. It explains the occurrence of the Escuderos-Zamick states for just \( I = 4 \) and \( 6 \). The action of an arbitrary interaction on the invariant space and its orthogonal complement is analyzed, leading to a relation of the Escuderos-Zamick energy levels to levels with \( I = 10 \) and \( 12 \). Aspects of the observed spectra of \(^{94}\text{Ru}, \(^{96}\text{Pd}, \) and \(^{74}\text{Ni}\) are discussed in the light of this relation.

\section{I. INTRODUCTION}

Escuderos and Zamick found in a numeric study of the system of four nucleons in an angular momentum \( j = 9/2 \) shell of a semi-magic nucleus that for each angular momentum \( I = 4 \) and \( 6 \), the states in one irreducible module of the angular momentum algebra, briefly a \textit{multiplet}, are stationary for any rotationally invariant two-body interaction \[1\], that is, \textit{solvable} in Talmi’s terminology \[2\], despite the presence of other multiplets with the same \( I \). It follows that they have definite seniority \( v \) \[2\], which gives rise, in certain nuclei, to particular patterns of transition rates in \( E2 \) decay and single-nucleon transfer \[3–5\]. These calculation are case-by-case examination of the individual instances of two-body and total angular momentum, which led the authors of \[4\] to conclude that “a simple, intuitive reason for \textit{the solvability} is still lacking”. I show below that the existence of the Escuderos-Zamick states is equivalent to a property of the space of \( I = 0 \) states of the system. The verification of this property again leads to an examination of several cases one by one. The equivalence explains, however, that the solvable multiplets occur for exactly \( I = 4 \) and \( 6 \).

Throughout this paper, \( j = 9/2 \). Let \( \Phi_0 \) denote the space of \( I = 0 \) states of the four-fermion system, and let \( a_m \) be the annihilator of a fermion in the state \( |jm\rangle \) in the conventional notation \[7\]. One can then define a space

\[ \Phi_4 = \text{span}_{m,m'} a^\dagger_m a^\dagger_{m'} \Phi_0. \]

The property to be verified below and shown there to be equivalent to the existence of the Escuderos-Zamick states is the following. \( \Phi_4 \) \textit{is invariant to any rotationally invariant two-body interaction}. To see how this explains the appearance of solvable multiplets for just \( I = 4 \) and \( 6 \), note that the tensor operators \( T_{IM} = \sum_{m,m'} (-)^{j+m'} \langle jm \rangle - m' |IM\rangle a^\dagger_m a^\dagger_{m'} \), where \( \langle jm | j'm' \rangle \) is the vector coupling coefficient \[2\], form a basis for the span of operators \( a^\dagger_m a^\dagger_{m'} \). The subspace of \( \Phi_4 \) carrying quantum numbers \( I, M_I \) is \( T_{IM} \Phi_0 \). Now consider Table \[I\] obtained by a straightforward count of \( m \)-combinations. Since \( \Phi_0 \) is 2-dimensional, \( T_{IM} \Phi_0 \) has dimension 2, at most. Angular momenta \( I = 4 \) and \( 6 \) are the only ones allowing more than 2 linearly independent multiplets in the four-fermion system, exactly 3 in both cases. It may be verified by direct calculation, and also follows from a general result in Sec \[III\] that in each case, \( T_{IM} \Phi_0 \) is exactly 2-dimensional. If \( \Phi_4 \) is invariant to a Hermitian and rotationally invariant operator \( V \), then so is also \( T_{IM} \Phi_0 \), and so is then also its 1-dimensional orthogonal complement within the space of states with quantum numbers \( I, M_I \). This means that the states in the orthogonal complement are eigenstates of \( V \).

The proof of equivalence is completed in Sec. \[II\] and the verification of the invariance of \( \Phi_4 \) in Sec. \[IV\] Analyzing the actions of an arbitrary \( V \) on \( \Phi_4 \) and its orthogonal complement \( \Phi_4^\perp \) reveals remarkable regularities, of which I have found only partially fundamental explanations, and it leads to a rule for relative level spacings that is accessible to experimental verification. This analysis is the topic of Secs. \[V\] and \[VI\] followed by my conclusion in Sec. \[VII\]. A detail of my formalism is discussed in an appendix.

\section{II. ANALYSIS}

Below, \( I_E = 4 \) or \( 6 \). Important for the following is also the space \( \Phi_3 \) of states with \( I = j \) of three
that span \( I \) is, by definition, the orthogonal complement of \( \Phi_{E} \) within the space of states with \( I = I_{E} \) and \( v = 4 \) of the four fermions. It may be characterized also among such states by vanishing parentage by \( \Phi_{33} \). Evidently, it is also the orthogonal complement of \( P_{E} \) \( a_{m}^{i} \) \( \Phi_{3} \) within the space of states with \( I = I_{E} \).

In the remainder of this paper, \( V \) denotes any rotationally invariant two-body interaction. Because \( V \) acts as a scalar within the irreducible module \( \Phi_{E} \), the states in \( \Phi_{E} \) being eigenstates of \( V \) is equivalent to \( \Phi_{E} \) being invariant to \( V \). By Hermiticity of \( V \) and conservation of angular momentum, this is, in turn, equivalent to \( P_{E} \) \( a_{m}^{i} \) \( \Phi_{3} \) being invariant to \( V \). The space \( \text{span}_{m} a_{m}^{i} \Phi_{3} \) cannot contain states with \( I > 2j \). For every \( I \leq 2j \) except \( I = 4 \) and \( 6 \), it may be verified by direct calculation, and also follows from the general result in Sec III that \( P_{E} \) \( a_{m}^{i} \) \( \Phi_{3} \) exhausts the space of states of the four fermions with angular momentum \( I \) and thus is invariant to any rotationally invariant operator. Invariance of both spaces \( P_{E} \) \( a_{m}^{i} \) \( \Phi_{3} \) to \( V \) is then equivalent to \( \Phi_{4} = \text{span}_{m} a_{m}^{i} \Phi_{3} \) being invariant to \( V \). In summary, \( \Phi_{4} \) is the space of the Escuderos-Zamick states is equivalent to \( \Phi_{4} \) being invariant to any \( V \).

To establish the equivalence stated in the introduction, it remains to show that \( \Phi_{4} \) can be written in the form \( \Phi_{4} \). To this end, notice \( \text{span}_{m} a_{m} \Phi_{0} \subset \Phi_{3} \). It may be verified by direct calculation, and also follows from the general result in Sec III that the left hand side exhausts \( \Phi_{3} \) so that \( \text{span}_{m} a_{m} \Phi_{0} = \Phi_{3} \). This evidently leads to the expression \( \Phi_{4} \). The remainder of this paper is dedicated to a proof (independent of the proofs in \( \Phi_{4} \)) of the equivalent statement) that \( \Phi_{4} \) as given by \( \Phi_{4} \) is actually invariant to any \( V \), and analyses of the actions of an arbitrary \( V \) on \( \Phi_{4} \) and its orthogonal complement.

III. SPACES \( \Phi_{0} \) AND \( \Phi_{4} \)

The structure of multi-fermion states in the \( j = 9/2 \) shell is conveniently described in terms of creation operators

\[
\alpha_{m}^{i} = \sqrt{\frac{(j + m)!}{(j - m)!}} a_{m}^{i},
\]

(2)

corresponding to unnormalized single-fermion states. In terms of the usual complex coordinates \( (I_{0}, I_{\pm}) \) of the total angular momentum \( I \), these operators obey

\[
[I_{0}, \alpha_{m}^{i}] = m \alpha_{m}^{i},
\]

\[
[I_{\pm}, \alpha_{m}^{i}] = \begin{cases} 
\alpha_{m+1}^{i}, & m < j, \\
0, & m = j, \\
\alpha_{m-1}^{i}, & m > j.
\end{cases}
\]

(3)

A state of four \( j = 9/2 \) fermions can be expanded on the states

\[
|m_{1}m_{2}m_{3}m_{4}\rangle = \left( \prod_{i=1}^{4} \alpha_{m_{i}}^{i}(\cdot) \right) |0\rangle
\]

(4)

with \( j \geq m_{1} > m_{2} > m_{3} > m_{4} \geq -j \), where \(|\cdot|\) is the vacuum. The eigenspaces of \( I_{0} \) with eigenvalues \( M_{I} \) are spanned by the states with \( \sum m_{i} = M_{I} \). The space \( \Phi_{0} \) is the subspace of the \( M_{I} = 0 \) space characterized by \( J_{2} \Phi_{0} = 0 \). Since there are \( 18 \) states \( |m_{1}m_{2}m_{3}m_{4}\rangle \) with \( M_{I} = 0 \) and \( 16 \) with \( M_{I} = 1 \) (in accordance with the total multiplicities for \( I \geq 0 \) and 1 in Table I), this constraint can be expressed by a homogeneous system of \( 16 \) linear equations in \( 18 \) expansion coefficients. The equations turn out independent in accordance with the dimension of \( \Phi_{0} \). Two linear independent solution are

\[
\phi_{0} = \left( \frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{1}{5} \right) - \left( \frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, -\frac{1}{5}, \frac{3}{5} \right) + \left( \frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, -\frac{3}{5}, \frac{1}{5} \right) - \left( \frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, -\frac{3}{5} \right)
\]

\[
+ \left( \frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, -\frac{2}{5} \right) + \left( \frac{3}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, -\frac{2}{5} \right)
\]

\[
\phi_{1} = -5 \left( \frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{3}{5} \right) + 5 \left( \frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, -\frac{3}{5} \right) + 9 \left( \frac{3}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, -\frac{3}{5} \right)
\]

\[
- 7 \left( \frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{5}, -\frac{2}{5} \right) - 9 \left( \frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, -\frac{3}{5} \right) - 6 \left( \frac{3}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, -\frac{3}{5} \right)
\]

\[
- 14 \left( \frac{3}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, -\frac{2}{5} \right) - 6 \left( \frac{3}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, -\frac{2}{5} \right) + 16 \left( \frac{3}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, -\frac{2}{5} \right)
\]

\[
+ 6 \left( \frac{3}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, -\frac{2}{5} \right) - 25 \left( \frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{5}, -\frac{2}{5} \right) - 6 \left( \frac{3}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, -\frac{2}{5} \right)
\]

(5)

Here, \( \phi_{0} \) evidently has \( v = 0 \). The state \( \phi_{0} + 2 \phi_{1} \) is orthogonal to \( \phi_{0} \) and thus has \( v = 4 \). In the expansion of \( \phi_{1} \), the coefficients of \( \frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{1}{5} \) and \( \frac{3}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, -\frac{3}{5} \) are equal except for opposite signs. This is because in the expansion of \( I_{2} \phi_{1} \), the coefficient of \( \frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, -\frac{2}{5} \) gets contributions only from these two coefficients. Similar comparisons explain that all the four states \( \frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{1}{5} \), \( \frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, -\frac{3}{5} \), \( \frac{3}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, -\frac{3}{5} \), and \( \frac{3}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, -\frac{2}{5} \) have equal coefficients except for a sign. The ratios of coefficients of \( |m_{1}m_{2}m_{3}m_{4}\rangle \) and \( -m_{4}, -m_{3}, -m_{2}, -m_{1} \) are \( \prod_{i=1}^{4} (j - m_{i})/(j + m_{i}) \) so that the corresponding ratios in the basis of states \( \left( \prod_{i=1}^{4} \alpha_{m_{i}}^{i}(\cdot) \right) |0\rangle \) equal one, as required by the symmetry under half-turn rotations about axes perpendicular to the quantization axis. Since \( \Phi_{4} \) is rotationally invariant, its invariance to \( V \) is equivalent to invariance of its \( M_{I} = 0 \) subspace
where \( n_m = a_m^\dagger a_m \). This space is spanned by the 20 states \( n_m \phi_i \) with \( m = j, j - 1, \ldots, -j \) and \( i \in \{0, 1\} \). Each of these states is obtained by selecting in the expansion \( \phi \) of \( \phi_i \) the terms where the orbit \( |jm\rangle \) is occupied. Not all of them are linearly independent. Thus evidently \( n_m \phi_0 = n_m \phi_0 \). Further, \( I_0 \phi_1 = 0 \) is a linear relation among the 10 states \( n_m \phi_1 \). There remain 14 states, which turn out linearly independent. This number coincides with the total multiplicity for \( I \leq 2j \), excepting the Escuderos-Zamick multiplets. The \( M_J = 0 \) state in every remaining multiplet thus belongs to \( \Phi_{40} \). Consequently, every such multiplet is contained in \( \Phi_4 \). This requires, in turn, that the multiplets \( P_e \text{span}_m a_m^\dagger \Phi_{3v} \) with \( v = 1 \) and \( I \neq 4, 6 \), the space \( P_i \text{span}_m a_m^\dagger \Phi_3 \) exhaust the space of states with angular momentum \( I \), and that equality hold in the inclusion \( \text{span}_m a_m \Phi_0 \subset \Phi_3 \), all of which was used in Secs. [I] and [III]

I choose in \( \Phi_{40} \) a basis \( (\psi_i | i = 1, \ldots, 14) \), where \( (\psi_i | i = 1, \ldots, 5) \) are the states \( n_m \phi_0 \) with \( m = j, j - 1, \ldots, 1/2 \) in this order, and \( (\psi_i | i = 6, \ldots, 14) \) are the states \( n_m \phi_1 \) with \( m = j, j - 1, \ldots, -j + 1 \) in this order. By Hermiticity and angular momentum conservation, \( \Phi_{40} \) is invariant to \( V \) if and only if its orthogonal complement \( \Phi_{20} \) within the \( M_I = 0 \) space is so. This space is spanned by the states

\[
\chi_1 = 14[\begin{pmatrix} 9 & 9 & 9 & 9 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} + 6[\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}]
\]

and

\[
\chi_2 = 16[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} + 6[\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}]
\]

and

\[
\chi_3 = 25[\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} + 6[\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}]
\]

and

\[
\chi_4 = 9[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} + 6[\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}]
\]

It is straightforward to check \( \psi_i^\dagger \chi_k = 0 \) for every \( i, k \) using \( \|m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4\|^2 = \prod_{i=1}^4 (j + m_i)/(j - m_i)! \). For example,

\[
\psi_6^\dagger \chi_1 = \phi_0^\dagger n_{g/2} \chi_1 = (-14) \frac{3}{7} \cdot 14
\]

and

\[
\chi_1 = \frac{2}{153} \cdot (-5) \cdot (-786) + 5 \cdot 231 + 1.927 + (-7) \cdot (-72) = 0.
\]
IV. INTERACTION $V$ AND INVARIANCE OF $\Phi_4$

Every $V$ is a linear combination of five basic interactions $V_J$, where $J = 0, 2, \ldots, 2j - 1$. They can be chosen in the form

$$V_J = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{M=-J}^{J} P^J_{JM} P_{JM}$$

with

$$P_{JM} = c_J \sum_{m_1 + m_2 = M} \langle jm_1jm_2|JM \rangle a_{m_2} a_{m_1},$$

where $c_J$ is a positive constant. I set

$$c_J \langle jm_1jm_2|JM \rangle = \left( \frac{2J}{J + M} \right)^{-1/2} \frac{(j + m_1)!(j + m_2)!}{(j - m_1)!(j - m_2)!} c^J_{m_1m_2},$$

so that by (2),

$$P^J_{JM} = \left( \frac{2J}{J + M} \right)^{-1/2} \sum_{m_1 + m_2 = M} c^J_{m_1m_2} a^\dagger_{m_1} a^{}_{m_2}. \tag{12}$$

The definition (11) implies $c^J_{m_1m_2} = 0$ for $|m_1 + m_2| > J$. It follows from $[I_+, P^J_{JM}] = 0$, (12), (3), and (11) that $c_J$ can be chosen such that $c^J_{m_1m_2} = (-1)^{j-m_1}$ for $m_1 + m_2 = J$. From $[I_-, P^J_{JM}] = (J + M)(J - M + 1)P^J_{JM-1}$ for $M > -J$, (12), and (3), one gets the recursion relation

$$(J - m_1 - m_2)c^J_{m_1m_2} = (j - m_1)(j + m_1 + 1)c^J_{m_1+1,m_2}$$

$$+ (j - m_2)(j + m_2 + 1)c^J_{m_1,m_2+1}, \tag{13}$$

which then determines $c^J_{m_1m_2}$ for $-J \leq m_1 + m_2 < J$. (Continuation of the recursion in fact results in $c^J_{m_1m_2} = 0$ for $m_1 + m_2 < -J$. Terms in (13) with $m_1$ or $m_2$ equal to $j$, which involve undefined values of $c^J_{m_1m_2'}$, are just omitted.) All $c^J_{m_1m_2}$ turn out integral, which is explained in the appendix. From the definition (11) and symmetries of the vector coupling coefficients $\tilde{\alpha}$, one gets

$$c^J_{m_1m_2} = -c^J_{m_2m_1},$$

$$c^J_{m_1m_2} = \frac{j - m_1}{j + m_1} \frac{(j + m_2)!}{(j - m_2)!} c^J_{m_2,m_1}, \tag{14}$$

whence by (12) and (2) follows

$$P_{JM} = \left( \frac{2J}{J + M} \right)^{-1/2} \sum_{m_1 + m_2 = M} c^J_{m_1m_2} \frac{(j + m_1)!(j + m_2)!}{(j - m_1)!(j - m_2)!} a_{m_2} a_{m_1}$$

$$= \left( \frac{2J}{J + M} \right)^{-1/2} \sum_{m_1 + m_2 = M} c^J_{m_2,m_1} \alpha^{}_{m_1} \alpha^{}_{m_2} \tag{15}$$

in terms of annihilation operators

$$\alpha^{}_{m} = \sqrt{(j - m)!/(j + m)!} a^{}_{m} \tag{16}$$

obeying

$$\{\alpha^{}_{m}, \alpha_{m'}^\dagger\} = \delta_{m,m'}. \tag{17}$$

It follows that the action of $V_J$ on a basic state $|m_1m_2m_3m_4\rangle$ can be described by the following operation $u^J_{m_1m_2m_3m_4}$. If $m_p + m_q - m$ is outside the range of $m_i$, then $u^J_{m_1m_2m_3m_4} = 0$. Otherwise replace $m_p$ and $m_q$ by $m_p + m_q - m$. If this results in two $m_i$'s being equal, $u^J_{m_1m_2m_3m_4} = 0$. Otherwise reorder, if necessary, the $m_i$'s to decreasing order and multiply the state by the sign of the permutation. Finally multiply the state by $\left(\frac{2J}{J + m_p + m_q}\right)^{-1/2} c^J_{m_p,m_q,m_4,m_5} e^{J_{m_p,m_q}}$. Then

$$V_J |m_1m_2m_3m_4\rangle = \sum_{1 \leq p < q \leq 4, m} u^p_{m_1m_2m_3m_4} |m_p m_q m_3 m_4\rangle \tag{18}.$$

The state $V_J \chi_i$ is obtained by applying this formula to each term in the expansion (7) of $\chi_i$. I did this calculation for every $J, i$ and found that in every case, $V_J \chi_i$ is a linear combination of $\{\chi_i| i = 1, \ldots, 4\}$. This proves that $\Phi^J_4$, and in turn, $\Phi_4$, $\Phi_4$, and the orthogonal complement $\Phi^J_4$ of the latter, are invariant to every $V$. For completeness, I also verified directly that every $V_J \psi_i$ is a linear combination of $\{\psi_i| i = 1, \ldots, 4\}$.

V. ACTION OF $V$ ON $\Phi_4$

The expansion of $V_J \chi_i$ on states $\chi_k$ may be expressed by a matrix $V^{J,i} = (v^{J,k}_{i,j}) |i = 1, \ldots, 4\rangle$ defined by

$$V_J \chi_i = \sum_{k=1}^{4} v^{J,k}_{i,j} \chi_k. \tag{19}$$

These matrices are given by

$$V^{1,0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$V^{1,2} = \begin{pmatrix} -318150 & 170280 & 249300 & -18468 \\ -60027 & -161100 & 94850 & -59776 \\ 90288 & -242500 & -28917 & 0 \\ 0 & 51408 & -420989 & 59790 -50895 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$V^{1,4} = \begin{pmatrix} -207088 & 422480 & -1012475 & -50895 \\ -800100 & 249300 & -18468 & 0 \\ 90287 & -643050 & 94850 & -59776 \\ 0 & 51408 & -902853 & 28917 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$V^{1,6} = \begin{pmatrix} 102100 & 90287 & 47524 & 59790 \\ 578277 & 170280 & 249300 & -18468 \\ 0 & 90287 & 535278 & 94850 \\ -207088 & 422480 & -1012475 & -50895 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$V^{1,8} = \begin{pmatrix} 647892 & 170280 & 249300 & -18468 \\ 90287 & 804942 & 94850 & -59776 \\ 0 & 51408 & 545319 & 28917 \\ -207088 & 422480 & -1012475 & 915147 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{20}$$
where the fractions are determined by the condition that the entries in each $V^J$ be coprime integers. That the pairing force $V_0$ kills the $v = 4$ space $\Phi_{40}^+$ is no surprise. For $J \geq 2$, the matrices $V^{\perp J}$ exhibit the remarkable similarity

$$\tilde{V}^{1,2} = \tilde{V}^{1,4} + 481950 = \tilde{V}^{1,6} - 896427 = \tilde{V}^{1,8} - 966042$$

(21)

with multiplication of the scalars by the unit matrix understood. Like the invariance of the entire $\Phi_{40}^+$, this regularity lacks a fundamental explanation. In particular, a vanishing of the coefficient of $\chi_3$ in the expansion of $V_J\chi_1$ is common to every $J \geq 2$. This vanishing seems to arise from accidental cancellation. It follows from (21) that the matrices $V^{\perp J}$ have identical eigenvalue spectra for $J \geq 2$ except for displacements by the constants in that equation, and the eigenspaces are identical for eigenvalues differing by these constants. For an examination of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $V^{\perp J}$, it then suffices to consider the case $J = 2$.

The eigenstates of $V_2$ in $\Phi_{40}^+$ are also eigenstates of $J_+J_-$ with eigenvalues $I(I + 1)$, where $I = 4, 6, 10, 12$. By (4), the operator $J_+J_-$ is represented by a matrix of integers in the basis of states $|m_1,m_2,m_3,m_4\rangle$. It is then represented by a matrix of rationals in the basis of states $\chi_i$. Since this matrix has rational (even integral) eigenvalues, each eigenspace is spanned by a vector of integers. It follows that $\tilde{V}^{1,2}$ has rational eigenvalues (compare [2]), and since these eigenvalues $\lambda$ are roots in the characteristic polynomial, which is monic and integral, they are integers and belong to the union of $\{0\}$ and the finite set of divisors in the non-zero coefficient of lowest degree in the characteristic polynomial. The eigenvalue 0 occurs when the characteristic polynomial $P(x)$ is divisible by $x$, which actually happens. The remaining eigenvalues are then obtained by checking the divisors in the non-zero constant term in $P(x)/x$ one by one. One gets $\lambda = -436968, -366282, -147798, 0$, with the ratio 68:57:23:0. The eigenspaces are the kernels of $V^{\perp 2} - \lambda$, and the I of each of them can be determined by a calculation of the expectation value of $J_+J_-$, which must equal $I(I + 1)$. One finds that $\lambda$ increases with $I$. The ratio 68:57:23:0 then implies that $\lambda$ is proportional to $I(I + 1) - 12\cdot 13$. That $V_2$ kills the $I = 12$ multiplet is expected because an $I = M_I = 12$ state contains no pair with $m_1 + m_2 \leq 2$.

It follows that for every $J$, the differences of eigenvalues $\lambda_2^I - \lambda_2^{I'}$ are proportional to $I(I + 1) - I'(I' + 1)$. This then holds also for every linear combination of the $V_J$, that is, for an arbitrary $V$, which thus acts on $\Phi_{40}^+$ as a linear combination of the two-body interactions $N(N - 1)$ and $I^2 - j(j + 1)N$, where $N = \sum m n_m$. One arrives at a prediction that might be tested experimentally. To the extend of validity of the $j = 9/2$ shell model, the level spacings must have the ratio of $I(I + 1) - I'(I' + 1)$. The nucleus $^{94}$Ru has a closed neutron major shell and 4 protons in the $1g_{9/2}$ subshell. The yrast $I = 4, 6, 10, 12$ levels (with tentative assignments $I = 10$ and 12) have excitation energies 2186.6, 2498.0, 3991.2, and 4716.6 MeV [8]. The states with $I = 10$ and 12 are expected to have fairly pure $1g_{9/2}$ configurations while, according to Das et al. [9], both multiplets with $I = 4$ and 6 could be mixtures of those labeled $\gamma$ and $\alpha$ in Sec. III due to perturbation by configurations outside the proton $1g_{9/2}$ subshell. The pure Escuderos-Zamick energy levels should then be close to the observed yrast levels. Extrapolation by the spacing rule from $I = 10$ and 12 gives excitation energies 2571.9 and 2918.9 MeV, somewhat above the yrast levels. A similar analysis for $^{96}$Pd, with 4 holes in the $1g_{9/2}$ shell (and tentative assignments of the angular momenta concerned), predicts Escuderos-Zamick levels at 2237.9 and 2616.8 MeV, closer to the yrast levels at 2099.01 and 2424.19 MeV. Interpreting the second observed $I = 4$ and 6 levels in $^{74}$Ni [10], with a closed proton major shell and 4 holes in the neutron $1g_{9/2}$ subshell, as Escuderos-Zamick levels leads to the prediction of the $I = 10$ and 12 levels at 4287 and 5577 MeV.

In units of the coefficient $\beta$ in $\lambda_2^I - \lambda_2^{I'} = \beta I(I + 1) - I'(I' + 1)/2$, the displacements $\lambda_2^I - \lambda_2^I$ displayed in (21) (equal to linear combinations of the coefficients of $N(N - 1)$ in the representations of the actions of $V_2$ and $V_J$ on $\Phi_{40}^+$ mentioned at the beginning of the preceding paragraph) equal $75, -\frac{22}{5}, -\frac{49}{5}$ for $J = 4, 6, 8$. No simple expression in terms of $J$ seems to reproduce these numbers. For $I = 4$ and 6, Van Isacker and Heinze calculated the ratios $r_J = \nu_J^2/\nu_J$, where $\mu_J$ and $\nu_J$ are the eigenvalues of $V_J$ in the fermion-system and a two-fermion state with $I = J$ [3, 4]. From (9)–(11), one gets

$$\nu_J = c_J^2 = \frac{(2J)!}{J!}^{-1} \sum_{m=-j}^j (c_m^J)^2.$$  

(22)

My calculations confirm the values $r_4^J = \frac{68}{33}, \frac{1,131}{143}, \frac{114}{143}$ for $J = 2, 8$ reported in [3, 4], and further provide $r_{10}^J = \frac{23}{33}, \frac{98}{143}, \frac{233}{143}, \frac{2292}{143}$ and $r_{12}^J = 0, \frac{75}{433}, \frac{93}{433}, \frac{246}{433}$.

VI. ACTION OF $V$ ON $\Phi_{40}^+$

Like in [19], the action of $V_J$ on the states $\psi_i$ may be expressed by matrices $V^J$. They are
Some patterns, which I can only partly explain, leap to the eye. The entries in $V^0$ are easily understood. Thus $V_0(m_1m_2m_3m_4)$ vanishes unless two of the $m_i$'s form a pair $m_i, -m_i$ in which case the remaining two do the same. Further, $V_0(m_1,m_2,m_3,m_4) = (-)^{m_1-2}a_{m_2,a_{m_3,m_4}}$, so the states $n_\sigma \phi_0$ do not contribute to $V_0$. This expression follows from $V_0(m,-m) = 2 \sum (\widehat{\sigma} = \sigma^1 \sigma^2 \sigma^3 \sigma^4) \langle m | \sigma^1 \sigma^2 \sigma^3 \sigma^4 \rangle \langle m | \sigma^1 \sigma^2 \sigma^3 \sigma^4 \rangle$, and the observation that the coefficient of $|m_1, m_2, -m_3, -m_4\rangle$ in the expansion $L_0$ of $\phi_0$ is $(-1)^{m_1 - m_2 + 1}$. By using it in combination with the expansions $L_0$, it is, in fact, straightforward to reconstruct every entry in $V^0$, and in particular, the simple pattern in its upper left $5 \times 5$ submatrix. Notice to this end that the last eight terms in the expansion of $\phi_0$ do not contribute to $V_0$. 

For a general $J$, one notices in the upper right $5 \times 8$ submatrix of $V^2$ equal contributions to $V_{Jm \pm \phi_0}$ from any $n_\sigma \phi_0$. This is an immediate consequence of $n_\sigma \phi_0 = -n_\sigma \phi_0$ and the symmetry under half-turn rotations about an axis perpendicular to the quantization axis. The same pattern is seen in the parts of the sixth rows just below, which display contributions to $V_Jn_\sigma \phi_0$ from $n_\sigma \phi_0$ for $m \neq \pm j$, and again the reason is the symmetry under half-turn rotations about an axis perpendicular to the quantization axis. Such a rotation thus leads to both the replacement of $m$ by $-m$ and the omission of $n_\sigma \phi_0$ instead of $n_- \phi_0$ in the selection of the states $\psi_i$. 

\begin{equation}
V^0 = \left( \begin{array}{cc}
\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\end{array}
& \begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\end{array}
& \begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\end{array}
& \begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\end{array}
& \begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\end{array}
\end{array}
\right)
\end{equation}
But by \( \sum_m n m m \phi_1 = J_0 \phi_1 = 0 \), the state \( n_{-j} \phi_1 \) equals \( n_j \phi_1 \) plus a linear combination of states that are common to both the original and the new basis. Therefore in the original basis, the contribution of \( n_j \phi_1 \) to \( V_j n_{-m} \phi_1 \) equals its contribution to \( V_j n_m \phi_1 \). It follows further that when the lower right \( 9 \times 9 \) submatrix of \( V^J \) is written \((v_{mm'}^{IJ}|m,m'| > -j)\) with indices referring to the basic states \( n_m \phi_1 \), then for \( m, m' < j \), one should have

\[
v_{m'-m}^{1J} = v_{m' m}^{1J} - \frac{m'}{j} v_{jm'}^{1J}, \tag{24}\]

This is verified by inspection. Similar patterns occur when a state \( n_m \phi_1 \) other than \( n_{-j} \phi_1 \) is omitted in the selection of the states \( \psi_i \).

A spectacular pattern emerges in the lower left \( 9 \times 5 \) submatrices of every \( V^J \). For \( J > m \geq 1/2 \) the only contribution to \( V_j n_m \phi_0 \) from states \( n_{m'} \phi_1 \) is a term \( \gamma_J(n_m + n_{-m}) \phi_1 \), where \( \gamma_J \) is constant. Upon closer inspection taking into account again \( \sum_m n m m \phi_1 = 0 \), this hold for \( m = j \), as well. By \( n_m \phi_0 = n_{-m} \phi_0 \), one then has for every \( m > 0 \)

\[
\frac{1}{2} V_j n_m \phi_0 = \sum_{m' > 0} v_{m'm}^{0J} n_m \phi_0 + \gamma_J n_m \phi_1 \tag{25}\]

with \( \bar{n}_m = n_m + n_{-m} \), where \((v_{m'm}^{0J}|m,m'| > 0)\) denotes the upper right \( 5 \times 5 \) submatrix of \( V^J \) with indices referring to the basic states \( n_m \phi_0 \). (The states \( \bar{n}_m \phi_0 \) span the \( v \leq 2 \) subspace of \( \Phi_{40} \).) This is not dependent on the choice of \( \phi_1 \). Replacing \( \phi_1 \) by any linear combination \( \phi_1 + c \phi_0 \) gives an identical relation with the same \( \gamma_J \). In particular, \( \phi_1 \) could have \( v = 4 \). I failed to explain this pattern.

Taking it as given, one understands that the upper left \( 5 \times 5 \) submatrix of every \( V^J \) is symmetric. Thus in terms of the tensor operators \( T_{j,I_M} \), defined in the introduction, one has for even \( I \) that

\[
T_{I0} = \sum_{m>0} (-)^{I-m} (jmj - m|I0) \bar{n}_m. \tag{26}\]

Hence \((25)\) can be written

\[
\frac{1}{2} V_j T_{I0} \phi_0 = \sum_{\text{even } I'} w_{I'I}^{0J} T_{I'0} \phi_0 + \gamma_J T_{I0} \phi_1 \tag{27}\]

for even \( I \), with

\[
w_{I'I}^{0J} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,m'>0} (-)^{m-m'} (jmj - m|I0)(jm'j - m'|I'0) v_{mm'}^{0J}. \tag{28}\]

for even \( I \) and \( I' \). In \((27)\), the first and third terms carry angular momentum \( I \). So must then the second term, so the matrix \((w_{I'I}^{0J}|I,J')\) is diagonal, and by \((28)\), the matrix \((v_{mm'}^{0J}|m,m'|)\) is then symmetric.

VII. CONCLUSION

The existence of the Escuderos-Zamick states was shown to be equivalent to the invariance to any rotationally invariant two-body interaction of the span \( \Phi_4 \) of states generated from angular momentum zero states by one-body operators. This equivalence explains the occurrence of the Escuderos-Zamick states for exactly the angular momenta 4 and 6. The said property of the angular momentum zero state space was verified by exact calculation. This verification was facilitated by the observation that it is required only for the subspace \( \Phi_{40} \) of \( \Phi_4 \) characterized by magnetic quantum number \( M_I = 0 \) or its orthogonal complement \( \Phi_{41} \), within the \( M_I = 0 \) space. The actions of five basic rotationally invariant two-body interactions on 4 basic states in \( \Phi_{40} \) and 14 basic states in \( \Phi_{40} \) were displayed in matrix form, and remarkable, and only partly understood, regularities in these matrices disclosed. A better understanding of these regularities could possibly provide clues towards a more intuitive understanding of the invariance of \( \Phi_4 \). One result of my analysis was a rule that relates the Escuderos-Zamick energy levels to levels with \( I = 10 \) and 12. This rule was applied in a discussion of certain aspects of the spectra of \( ^{94}\text{Ru} \), \(^{96}\text{Pd} \), and \(^{74}\text{Ni} \).

Appendix A: Proof that \( J_{m_1-1,m_2} \) are integral

First notice that the algorithm for \( J_{m_1-1,m_2} \) described in Sec. IV ensures the proportionality \( 14 \) so that \( P_{j M}^J \) given by \( 14 \) is a tensor operator. Besides \( 13 \),

\[
[I_+, P_{j M}^J] = \sqrt{(J - M)(J + M + 1)} P_{j,M+1}^J, \tag{12}\]

and \( 43 \) give

\[
(J + m_1 + m_2) c_{m_1,m_2}^J c_{m_1-1,m_2}^J = c_{m_1-1,m_2}^J c_{m_1,m_2-1}^J. \tag{A1}\]

With

\[
d_{m_1,m_2}^J = (J - m_1 - m_2)! c_{m_1,m_2}^J, \tag{A2}\]

the recursion relations \( 13 \) and \( 14 \) take the forms

\[
d_{m_1,m_2}^J = (j - m_1) (j + m_1 + 1) d_{m_1+1,m_2}^J + (j - m_2) (j + m_2 + 1) d_{m_1,m_2+1}^J \tag{A3}\]

\[
(J + m_1 + m_2) (J - m_1 - m_2 + 1) d_{m_1,m_2}^J = d_{m_1-1,m_2}^J + d_{m_1,m_2-1}^J. \tag{A4}\]

(App again, terms with undefined values of \( J_{m_1',m_2'} \) are omitted.) Setting \( m_1 = j \) so that only the second term occurs on the right in \( A3 \), and using also \( d_{j,j-j} = 1 \), one gets for \( J - j \geq m_2 \geq -j \), by repeated application of \( A3 \), an expression for \( d_{m_1,m_2}^J \) as a product of two products of \( J - j - m_2 \) consecutive integers. Hence \( d_{jm_2}^J \) is divisible by \( (J - j - m_2)!^2 \), and, all the more, by \( (J - j - m_2)! \). It then follows by induction by means of \( A4 \) that \( (J - m_1 - m_2)! \) divides \( d_{m_1,m_2}^J \) for every
\[ m_1, m_2 \text{ with } m_1 + m_2 \geq 0. \text{ Then by } (A2), c_{m_1, m_2}^j \text{ is integral for } m_1 + m_2 \geq 0. \text{ For } m_1 + m_2 < 0 \text{ one can now apply the second equation in (14). In this case, } j + m_1 < j - m_2 \text{ and } j + m_2 < j - m_1, \text{ so the first factor on the right, and hence } c_{m_1, m_2}^j, \text{ are integral.} \]