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Abstract. We discuss various aspects of noncommutative geometry of smooth subalgebras of Bunce-Deddens-Toeplitz Algebras.

1. Introduction

In noncommutative geometry it is often necessary to consider dense ∗-subalgebras of C*-algebras, in particular, in connection with cyclic cohomology or with the study of unbounded derivations on C*-algebras [5]. Smooth subalgebras of noncommutative spaces are also naturally present in studying spectral triples. If C*-algebras are thought of as generalizations of topological spaces, then dense subalgebras may be regarded as specifying additional structures on the underlying space, like a smooth structure. In analogy with the algebras of smooth functions on a compact manifold, such a smooth subalgebra should be closed under holomorphic functional calculus of all elements and under smooth-functional calculus of self-adjoint elements. It should also be complete with respect to a locally convex algebra topology, see [1].

The purpose of this note is to study smooth subalgebras $A_S^\infty$ of Bunce-Deddens-Toeplitz C*-algebras $A_S$ associated to a supernatural number $S$, objects that capture their smooth structure. This work is a continuation of, and heavily relies on, our previous papers on the subject of smooth subalgebras, in particular [7], [8] which investigated smooth structures on Bunce-Deddens algebras, the algebras of compact operators, and the Toeplitz algebra.

Bunce-Deddens algebras $B_S$ [3], [4], are crossed-product C*-algebras obtained from odometers and Bunce-Deddens-Toeplitz algebras $A_S$ are their extensions by compact operators $K$:

$$0 \to K \to A_S \to B_S \to 0.$$  

Due to the topology of odometers [6], which are Cantor sets with a minimal action of a homeomorphism, the smooth subalgebras are naturally equipped with inductive limit Frechet (LF) topology.

Using a version of the Toeplitz map [9], we build smooth subalgebras $A_S^\infty$ from Toeplitz operators with smooth symbols and from smooth compact operators. Smooth compact operators, introduced in [11], were studied in details in [8]. Smooth Bunce-Deddens algebras $B_S^\infty$, the symbols of Toeplitz operators, were introduced in [8]. We explicitly construct appropriate LF structures on $A_S^\infty$ and prove that those algebras are closed under holomorphic calculus so that they have the same K-Theory as their corresponding C*-algebra closures, and we verify that they are closed under smooth functional calculus of self-adjoint elements.

We also focus on describing continuous derivations [14] on smooth subalgebras $A_S^\infty$. In particular, using results from [7], [8], we classify derivations on $A_S^\infty$ and show that, up to
inner derivations with compact range, they are lifts of derivations on $B^\infty_S$, the factor algebra of $A^\infty_S$ modulo the ideal $K^\infty$ of smooth compact operators. Since many derivations on $B^\infty_S$ are themselves inner, the factor space of continuous inner derivations on $A^\infty_S$ modulo inner derivations turns out to be one-dimensional. Additionally we shortly describe $K$-Theory and $K$-Homology of $A_S$.

The paper is organized as follows. Preliminary section 2 contains our notation and a short review of relevant results from [9] and [7]. In section 3 we review smooth compact operators and introduce and study smooth Bunce-Deddens-Toeplitz. Section 4 contains a detailed discussion of stability of $A^\infty_S$ under both the holomorphic functional calculus, and the smooth calculus of self-adjoint elements. In sections 5 we investigate the structure and classifications of derivations. Finally, section 6 contains remarks on $K$-Theory and $K$-Homology.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Supernatural Numbers. A supernatural number $S$ is defined as the formal product:

$$S = \prod_{p \text{-prime}} p^{\varepsilon_p}, \quad \varepsilon_p \in \{0, 1, \ldots, \infty\}.$$  

We will assume $\sum \varepsilon_p = \infty$ so that $S$ an infinite supernatural number. We define $S$-adic ring:

$$\mathbb{Z}/S\mathbb{Z} = \prod_{p \text{-prime}} \mathbb{Z}/p^{\varepsilon_p}\mathbb{Z}.$$  

Here if $S = p^\infty$ for a prime $p$, then $\mathbb{Z}/S\mathbb{Z}$ is equal to $\mathbb{Z}_p$, the ring of $p$-adic integers.

If the ring $\mathbb{Z}/S\mathbb{Z}$ is equipped with the Tychonoff topology it forms a compact, Abelian topological ring with unity, though only the group structure is relevant for this paper. In addition, if $S$ is an infinite supernatural number then $\mathbb{Z}/S\mathbb{Z}$ is a Cantor set.

The ring $\mathbb{Z}/S\mathbb{Z}$ contains a dense copy of $\mathbb{Z}$ by the following identification:

$$\mathbb{Z} \ni k \leftrightarrow \{k \text{ (mod } p^{\varepsilon_p})\} \in \prod_{p \text{-prime}} \mathbb{Z}/p^{\varepsilon_p}\mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.1)$$

2.2. Hilbert Spaces. We use two concrete Hilbert spaces for this paper: $H = \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $H_+ = \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$ Let $\{E_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{E^+_k : k \geq 0\}$ be the canonical bases for $H$ and $H_+$ respectively. We need the following shift operator $V : H \to H$ on $H$ and the unilateral shift operator $U : H_+ \to H_+$ on $H_+$:

$$VE_l = E_{l+1} \quad \text{and} \quad UE^+_k = E^+_{k+1}.$$  

Notice that $V$ is a unitary while $U$ is an isometry. We have:

$$[U^*, U] = P_0,$$

where $P_0$ is the orthogonal projection onto the one-dimensional subspace spanned by $E^+_0$.

For a continuous function $f \in C(\mathbb{Z}/S\mathbb{Z})$ we define two operators $m_f : H \to H$ and $M_f : H_+ \to H_+$ via formulas:

$$m_f E_l = f(l) E_l \quad \text{and} \quad M_f E^+_k = f(k) E^+_k.$$
In those formulas we considered integers \( k, l \) as elements of \( \mathbb{Z}/S\mathbb{Z} \) using identification (2.1).

Since \( \mathbb{Z} \) is a dense subgroup of \( \mathbb{Z}/S\mathbb{Z} \) we obtain immediately that

\[
\|m_f\| = \|M_f\| = \sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(l)| = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} |f(k)| = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}/S\mathbb{Z}} |f(x)| = \|f\|_{\infty}.
\]

The algebras of operators generated by the \( m_f \)'s or by the \( M_f \)'s are thus isomorphic to \( C(\mathbb{Z}/S\mathbb{Z}) \) and so they carry all the information about the space \( \mathbb{Z}/S\mathbb{Z} \), while operators \( U \) and \( V \) reflect the odometer dynamics \( \varphi \) on \( \mathbb{Z}/S\mathbb{Z} \) given by:

\[
\varphi(x) = x + 1. \tag{2.2}
\]

The relation between those operators is:

\[
V^{-1}m_f V = m_{f \circ \varphi}. \tag{2.3}
\]

Similarly we have:

\[
M_f U = U M_{f \circ \varphi}. \tag{2.4}
\]

There is also another, less obvious relation between \( U \) and the \( M_f \)'s, namely:

\[
M_f P_0 = P_0 M_f = f(0)P_0. \tag{2.5}
\]

2.3. Algebras. Following [9], we define the Bunce-Deddens and Bunce-Deddens-Toeplitz algebras, \( B_S \) and \( A_S \) respectively, to be the following C*-algebras: \( B_S \) is generated by the operators \( V \) and \( m_f \):

\[
B_S = C^*\{V, m_f : f \in C(\mathbb{Z}/S\mathbb{Z})\}
\]

while \( A_S \) is generated by the operators \( U \) and \( M_f \):

\[
A_S = C^*\{U, M_f : f \in C(\mathbb{Z}/S\mathbb{Z})\}.
\]

The algebra \( A_S \) contains the projection \( P_0 \) and in fact all compact operators \( K \) and the quotient \( A_S/K \) can be naturally identified with \( B_S \), see [7]. Let \( \tau \) be the natural homomorphism \( \tau : A_S \to B_S \).

The algebra \( B_S \) is isomorphic with the crossed product algebra:

\[
B_S \cong C(\mathbb{Z}/S\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes_{\varphi} \mathbb{Z}.
\]

and is simple [7]. Consequently it is isomorphic the universal C*-algebra with generators \( v \) and \( f \), where \( v \) is unitary, \( f \in C(\mathbb{Z}/S\mathbb{Z}) \), with relations (compare with (2.3)):

\[
v^{-1}fv = f \circ \varphi.
\]

Interestingly, algebras \( A_S \) can also be described in terms of generators and relations as follows.

**Proposition 2.1.** The universal C*-algebra \( A \) with generators \( u \) and \( f \), such that \( u \) is an isometry, \( f \in C(\mathbb{Z}/S\mathbb{Z}) \), with relations (compare with (2.3) and (2.5)):

\[
f u = u (f \circ \varphi) \quad \text{and} \quad f p_0 = f(0)p_0,
\]

where \( [u^*, u] = p_0 \), is isomorphic with \( A_S \).
Proof. We will show that any irreducible representation of $A$ either factors through $B_S$ or is isomorphic to the defining representation of $A_S$. Since $B_S \cong A_S/K$ is a factor algebra, the defining representation of $A_S$ dominates the factor representation and so, by universality, $A$ is isomorphic to $A_S$.

Consider an irreducible representation of $A$ and let $U$ represents $u$ and $M_f$ represent $f$. Notice that $P_0 := I - UU^*$ is the orthogonal projection onto the kernel of $U^*$. If that kernel is zero then $U$ is unitary and $U$, $M_f$ give a representation of $B_S$ by universality, since they satisfy the crossed-product relations.

If the kernel of $U^*$ is not zero, pick a unit vector $E_0^+$ such that $U^*E_0^+ = 0$. Since $U$ is an isometry, the set $\{E_k^+, k = 0, 1, \ldots\}$ is orthonormal, where $E_k^+ := U^kE_0^+$. Moreover, we have by using relations:

$$M_fE_0^+ = M_fP_0E_0^+ = f(0)E_0^+,$$

and similarly:

$$M_fE_k^+ = M_fU^kE_0^+ = U^kM_{fo^k}E_0^+ = f(k)U^kE_0^+ = f(k)E_k^+.$$

It follows that vectors $\{E_k^+\}$ span an invariant subspace and so, by irreducibility, $\{E_k^+\}$ is an orthonormal basis. Since $U$ is the unilateral shift in this basis, we reproduced the defining representation of $A_S$, finishing the proof.

2.4. Toeplitz Map. Next we discuss the key relation between the two algebras $A_S$ and $B_S$.

Let $P_{\geq 0} : H \rightarrow H_+$ be the following map from $H$ onto $H_+$ given by

$$P_{\geq 0}E_k = \begin{cases} E_k^+ & \text{if } k \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } k < 0. \end{cases}$$

We also need another map $s : H_+ \rightarrow H$ given by:

$$sE_k^+ = E_k.$$

Define the map $T : B(H) \rightarrow B(H_+)$, between the spaces of bounded operators on $H$ and $H_+$, in the following way: given $b \in B(H)$ we set

$$T(b) = P_{\geq 0}bs.$$

$T$ is known as a Toeplitz map. It has the following properties [10]:

1. $T(I_H) = I_{H_+}$.
2. $T(b^n) = T(b)U^n$ and $T(V^{-n}b) = (U^*)^nT(b)$ for $n \geq 0$ and all $b \in B(H)$.
3. $T(m_f) = T(b)M_f$ and $T(m_f) = M_fT(b)$ for all $f \in C(\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z})$ and all $b \in B(H)$.
4. $T(b^*) = T(b)^*$ for all $b \in B(H)$.

Consequently, it follows that $T$ is a $*$-preserving map from $B_S$ to $A_S$. If $\tau$ is the natural homomorphism from $A_S$ to $B_S$ then we have

$$\tau T(b) = b$$

for all $b \in B_S$. It follows that for any $a$ in $A_S$ there is a compact operator $c$ such that we have a decomposition:

$$a = T(b) + c,$$

where $b = \tau(a) \in B_S$. One can verify that if $b$ is an element in $B_S$ then $T(b)$ is compact if and only if $b = 0$. This implies the uniqueness of the above decomposition (2.6).
2.5. Fourier Series. There are natural one-parameter groups of automorphisms of $B_S$ and $A_S$ respectively. They are given by the formulas:

$$\rho^L_\theta(b) = e^{2\pi i \theta} b e^{-\frac{2\pi i \theta L}{L}}$$

for $b \in B_S$ and

$$\rho^K_\theta(a) = e^{2\pi i \theta K} a e^{-\frac{2\pi i \theta K}{K}}$$

for $a \in A_S$,

where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Here we are using the following diagonal label operators on $H$ and $H_+$ respectively:

$$\mathbb{L}E_l = lE_l$$

and

$$\mathbb{K}E^+_k = kE^+_k$$

We have the following relations:

$$\rho^L_\theta(V) = e^{2\pi i \theta} V$$

and

$$\rho^L_\theta(m_f) = m_f$$

Automorphisms $\rho^K_\theta$ satisfy analogous relations and the extra relation on $U^*$, namely

$$\rho^K_\theta(U^*) = e^{-2\pi i \theta} U^*$$

Define $E : B_S \to C^*\{m_f : f \in C(\mathbb{Z}/S\mathbb{Z})\} \cong C(\mathbb{Z}/S\mathbb{Z})$ via

$$E(b) = \int_0^1 \rho^L_\theta(b) d\theta$$

It’s easily checked that $E$ is an expectation on $B_S$. For a $b \in B_S$ we define the $n$-th Fourier coefficient $b_n$ by the following:

$$b_n = e(V^{-n}b) = \int_0^1 \rho^L_\theta(V^{-n}b) d\theta = \int_0^1 e^{-2\pi i n \theta} V^{-n} \rho^L_\theta(b) d\theta$$

From this definition, it’s clear that $b_n \in C^*\{M_f : f \in C(\mathbb{Z}/S\mathbb{Z})\}$ so we can write $b_n = m_{f_n}$ for some $f_n \in C(\mathbb{Z}/S\mathbb{Z})$. We define an expectation, $E$ on $A_S$, in a similar fashion:

$$E : A_S \to C^*\{M_f : f \in C(\mathbb{Z}/S\mathbb{Z})\} \cong C(\mathbb{Z}/S\mathbb{Z})$$

For an $a \in A_S$, its $n$-th Fourier coefficient $a_n$ is also defined similarly and also $a_n = M_{f_n}$ for some $f_n \in C(\mathbb{Z}/S\mathbb{Z})$. Additionally, notice that we have the following relation with the Toeplitz map:

$$(T(b))_n = T(b_n) \text{ for all } n$$

3. Smooth Subalgebras

3.1. Smooth Compact Operators. We begin by reviewing properties of smooth compact operators from [8]. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be the algebra of compact operators on $H_+$. The orthonormal basis $\{E^+_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ of $H_+$ determines a system of units $\{P_{ks}\}_{k,s \geq 0}$ in $\mathcal{K}$ that satisfy the following relations:

$$P^*_k P_s = P_{sk} \text{ and } P_{ks} P_{rt} = \delta_{sr} P_{kt},$$

where $\delta_{sr} = 1$ for $s = r$ and is equal to zero otherwise. The set of smooth compact operators with respect to $\{E^+_k\}$ is the set of operators of the form

$$c = \sum_{k,s \geq 0} c_{ks} P_{ks},$$

so that the coefficients $\{c_{ks}\}_{k,s \geq 0}$ are rapidly decaying (RD). We denote the set of smooth compact operators by $\mathcal{K}^\infty$. 

We now introduce norms on $\mathcal{K}^\infty$. They are constructed using the following useful derivation on $\mathcal{K}^\infty$:

$$d_{\mathcal{K}}(c) = [\mathcal{K}, c].$$

Clearly $d_{\mathcal{K}}$ is linear and satisfies the the Leibniz rule as $d_{\mathcal{K}}$ is a commutator. We define $\| \cdot \|_{M,N}$ norms on $\mathcal{K}^\infty$ by the following formulas:

$$\|c\|_{M,N} = \sum_{j=0}^{M} \binom{M}{j} \|d^j_{\mathcal{K}}(c)(I + \mathcal{K})^N\|,$$

with $\delta^0_{\mathcal{K}}(c) := c$. The following proposition from [8] summarizes the basic properties of $\| \cdot \|_{M,N}$ norms.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let $a$ and $b$ be bounded operators in $H$, then

1. $a \in \mathcal{K}^\infty$ if and only if $\|a\|_{M,N} < \infty$ for all nonnegative integers $M$ and $N$.
2. $\|a\|_{M+1,N} = \|a\|_{M,N} + \|d_{\mathcal{K}}(a)\|_{M,N}$.
3. $\|a\|_{M,N} \leq \|a\|_{M+1,N}$.
4. $\|ab\|_{M,N} \leq \|a\|_{M,0}\|b\|_{M,N} \leq \|a\|_{M,N}\|b\|_{M,N}$.
5. $\|d_{\mathcal{K}}(a)\|_{M,N} \leq \|a\|_{M+1,N}$.
6. $\|a^*\|_{M,N} \leq \|a\|_{M+N,N}$.
7. $\mathcal{K}^\infty$ is a complete topological vector space.

This proposition implies that $\mathcal{K}^\infty$ is a Fréchet *-algebra with respect to the norms, $\| \cdot \|_{M,N}$.

3.2. Smooth Bunce-Deddens Algebras. Next we review smooth Bunce-Deddens algebras $B_S^\infty$ from [7]. We need the following terminology. We say a family of locally constant functions on $\mathbb{Z}/S\mathbb{Z}$ is *Uniformly Locally Constant*, ULC, if there exists a divisor $l$ of $S$ such that for every $f$ in the family we have

$$f(x + l) = f(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}/S\mathbb{Z}$.

We define the space of smooth elements of the Bunce-Deddens algebra, $B_S^\infty$, to be the space of elements in $B_S$ whose Fourier coefficients are ULC and whose norms are RD. Using Fourier series those conditions can be written as:

$$B_S^\infty = \left\{ b = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V^n m_{f_n} : \{\|f_n\|\} \text{ is RD, there is an } l|S, \ V^l b V^{-l} = b \right\}.$$

It’s immediate that $B_S^\infty$ is indeed a nonempty subset of $B_S$ and it was proved in [7] that $B_S^\infty$ is a *-subalgebra of $B_S$.

Let $\delta_L : B_S^\infty \to B_S^\infty$ be given by

$$\delta_L(b) = [\mathbb{L}, b]$$

This derivation is very fundamental below. We have the following simple relations:

$$\delta_L(v^n) = n V^n \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_L(m_f) = 0.$$
This derivative is in particular used to define the following norms on $B_S^\infty$ that capture the RD property of the Fourier coefficients of elements of $B_S^\infty$. They are defined by:

$$\|b\|_P = \sum_{j=0}^{P} \left( \frac{P}{j} \right) \|\delta_L^j(b)\|.$$ 

The following proposition from [7] states the basic properties the $P$-norms.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let $b_1$ and $b_2$ be in $B_S^\infty$, then

1. $\|b_1\|_{P+1} = \|b_1\|_P + \|\delta_L(b_1)\|_P$ with $\|b_1\|_0 := \|b_1\|$.
2. $\|b_1 b_2\|_P \leq \|b_1\|_P \|b_2\|_P$.
3. $\|\delta_L(b_1)\|_P \leq \|b_1\|_{P+1}$.

It follows that we have the following useful way to describe elements in $B_S^\infty$:

$$B_S^\infty = \{ b \in B_S : \|b\|_M < \infty, \text{ for every } M, \text{ there is an } l|S, V^l b V^{-l} = b \}.$$ 

3.3. **Smooth Bunce-Deddens-Toeplitz Algebras.** Finally, following similar considerations for the Toeplitz algebra in [8], we define the smooth Bunce-Deddens-Toeplitz algebra $A_S^\infty$ by

$$A_S^\infty = \{ a = T(b) + c : b \in B_S^\infty, c \in K^\infty \} \subseteq A_S.$$ 

Much like with the short exact sequence for $A_S$ and $B_S$, these smooth subalgebras have the following related short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow K^\infty \rightarrow A_S^\infty \rightarrow B_S^\infty \rightarrow 0.$$ 

Thus, we can view the topology on $A_S^\infty$, as a vector space, in the usual way:

$$A_S^\infty \cong B_S^\infty \oplus K^\infty.$$ 

This gives $A_S$ its LF topology. The Toeplitz map $T : B_S \rightarrow A_S$ can naturally be restricted to $B_S^\infty$ and considered as a map $T : B_S^\infty \rightarrow A_S^\infty$. In addition, the homomorphism $\tau$ can be restricted to $A_S^\infty$ and we have a homomorphism $\tau : A_S^\infty \rightarrow B_S^\infty$.

It is easy to verify on generators that we have

$$d_K(T(b)) = T(\delta_L(b)).$$

As a consequence of continuity of $T$ this formula is true for all $b \in B_S^\infty$.

It remains to verify that $A_S^\infty$ is indeed a subalgebra of $A_S$. This follows from the following two propositions.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let $b$ be in $B_S^\infty$ and $c$ be in $K^\infty$. Then $T(b)c$ and $cT(b)$ are in $K^\infty$.

**Proof.** Because $T(b^*) = T(b)^*$, we only need to prove $T(b)c$ is in $K^\infty$. Proceeding as in [8] we prove by induction on $M$ that we have the following estimate:

$$\|T(b)c\|_{M,N} \leq \|b\|_{M} \|c\|_{M,N}.$$ 

(3.1)

The $M = 0$ case is immediate from the definition of the norms. The inductive step is:

$$\|T(b)c\|_{M+1,N} = \|T(b)c\|_{M,N} + \|d_K(T(b))c + T(b)d_K(c)\|_{M,N} \leq (\|b\|_{M} + \|\delta_L(b)\|_{M}) (\|c\|_{M,N} + \|d_K(c)\|_{M,N}) = \|b\|_{M+1} \|c\|_{M+1,N}.$$
Notice also that, again proceeding as in [8], we can obtain the following inequality:

\[ \|cT(b)\|_{M,N} \leq \|b\|_{M+N}\|c\|_{M,N}. \] (3.2)

**Proposition 3.4.** Let \( b_1 \) and \( b_2 \) be smooth Bunce-Deddens elements, then the following expression is a smooth compact element:

\[ T(b_1)T(b_2) - T(b_1b_2). \]

**Proof.** We follow [8]. Let \( b_1 \) and \( b_2 \) be in \( B^\infty_S \) with the following decompositions:

\[ b_1 = b_1^+ + b_1^- = \sum_{n \geq 0} V^n m_{f_n} + \sum_{n < 0} m_{f_n} V^n \]

and

\[ b_2 = b_2^+ + b_2^- = \sum_{n \geq 0} V^n m_{g_n} + \sum_{n < 0} m_{g_n} V^n \]

where \( \{\|f_n\|\} \) and \( \{\|g_n\|\} \) are RD sequences and \( \{f_n\} \) and \( \{g_n\} \) are ULC. Since \( T \) is linear we only need to study the following differences:

\[ T(b_1^+T(b_2^+)) - T(b_1^+b_2^+), \quad T(b_1^-T(b_2^-)) - T(b_1^-b_2^-) \]

\[ T(b_1^-T(b_2^+)) - T(b_1^-b_2^+), \quad T(b_1^+T(b_2^-)) - T(b_1^+b_2^-). \]

First consider the following:

\[ T(b_1^+T(b_2^+)) - T(b_1^+b_2^+) = \sum_{m,n \geq 0} U^m M_{f_n} U^m M_{g_m} - \sum_{m,n \geq 0} T(V^n m_{f_n} V^m m_{g_m}) \]

\[ = \sum_{m,n \geq 0} U^{n+m} M_{f_n \oplus \varphi^m} M_{g_m} - \sum_{m,n \geq 0} T(V^{n+m} m_{f_n \oplus \varphi^m} m_{g_m}) \]

\[ = \sum_{m,n \geq 0} U^{n+m} M_{f_n \oplus \varphi^m} M_{g_m} - \sum_{m,n \geq 0} T(V^{n+m}) M_{f_n \oplus \varphi^m} M_{g_m}. \]

Since \( T(V^{n+m}) = U^{n+m} \), so the above is zero. A similar argument can be made for \( T(b_1^-T(b_2^-)) - T(b_1^-b_2^-) \). For the next difference we have

\[ T(b_1^-T(b_2^+)) - T(b_1^-b_2^+) = \sum_{m \geq 0, n < 0} M_{f_n}(U^*)^{-n} U^m M_{g_m} - \sum_{m \geq 0, n < 0} M_{f_n} T(V^n V^m) M_{g_m}. \]

However, since \( T(V^{n+m}) = (U^*)^{-n} U^m \) since \( n < 0 \), this difference is also zero. Finally, for the last difference, we have

\[ C := T(b_1^+T(b_2^-)) - T(b_1^+b_2^-) = T(b_1^+) \sum_{m < 0} M_{g_m} (U^*)^{-m} - \sum_{m < 0} T(b_1^+ m_{g_m} V^m) \]

\[ = \sum_{m < 0} (T(b_1^+ m_{g_m})(U^*)^{-m} - T(b_1^+ m_{g_m} V^m)) \]

\[ = - \sum_{m < 0} T(b_1^+ m_{g_m} V^m) P_{< -m} \]

where we used the following formula for \( m < 0 \):

\[ U^{-m}(U^*)^{-m} - I = -P_{< -m}. \]
Clearly, $C$ is compact but we still need to prove it’s smooth compact. To this end, we prove the $M,N$-norms of $C$ are finite. A straightforward calculation gives:

$$d^j_{\mathcal{K}}(C) = -\sum_{m<0} d^j_{\mathcal{K}}(T(b_1^* m_m V^m) P_{-m}) = -\sum_{m<0} T(d^j_{\mathcal{K}}(b_1^* V^m)) P_{-m}$$

Next we estimate norms of $C$ using $\|P_{-m}\|_{0,N} = |m|^N$ to obtain:

$$\|d^j_{\mathcal{K}}(C)\|_{0,N} \leq \sum_{m<0} \sum_{l=0}^{j} \left( \frac{j}{l} \right) |m|^{j-l+N} \|d^j_{\mathcal{K}}(b_1^*)\| \|g_m\|$$

$$\leq \sum_{m<0} (1 + |m|)^{N+j} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{j} \left( \frac{j}{l} \right) \|d^j_{\mathcal{K}}(b_1^*)\| \right) \|g_m\|$$

$$= \sum_{m<0} \|b_1^*\|_j (1 + |m|)^{N+j} \|g_m\| \leq \text{const}\|b_1^*\|_j \|b_2\|_{N+j+2}.$$ 

Consequently, since $b_1$ and $b_2$ are in $B^\infty_S$ we get $\|C\|_{M,N} < \infty$. This shows $T(b_1)T(b_2) - T(b_1b_2)$ is smooth compact. A more careful analysis following [8] yields the following estimate:

$$\|T(b_1)T(b_2) - T(b_1b_2)\|_{M,N} \leq \text{const}\|b_1\|_j \|b_2\|_{N+j+2}.$$  

(3.3)  

□

4. Stability of Smooth Bunce-Deddens-Toeplitz Algebra

The purpose of this section is to establish stability of $A^\infty_S$ under both the holomorphic functional calculus, and the smooth calculus of self-adjoint elements. It is well known that showing the former automatically implies that the $K$-Theories of $A^\infty_S$ and $A_S$ coincide [2].

Proposition 4.1. The smooth Bunce-Deddens-Toeplitz algebra $A^\infty_S$ is closed under the holomorphic functional calculus.

Proof. Since $A^\infty_S$ is a complete locally convex topological vector space, it is enough to check that if $a \in A^\infty_S$ and invertible in $A_S$, then $a^{-1} \in A^\infty_S$. Consequently, the Cauchy integral representation finishes the proof. To this end, let $a \in A^\infty_S$ and thus $a = T(b) + c$ with $b \in B^\infty_S$ and $c \in \mathcal{K}^\infty$ and suppose $a$ is invertible in $A_S$. Since $\tau$ is a homomorphism, $\tau(a) = b$ is invertible in $B^\infty_S$. It is proved in [7] that if $b \in B^\infty_S$ and invertible, then $b^{-1} \in B^\infty_S$. Since $\mathcal{K}$ is an ideal of $A_S$ and $\tau T$ is the identity map, it follows that

$$a^{-1} = T(b^{-1}) + c'$$

for some $c' \in \mathcal{K}$. The proof will be complete if we can show that $c' \in \mathcal{K}^\infty$. Notice that

$$c' = a^{-1} - T(b^{-1}) = a^{-1}(I - aT(b^{-1}) = a^{-1}(I - T(b)b^{-1} + cT(b^{-1})).$$

From Propositions 3.3 and 3.4, we have that both $I - T(b)b^{-1}$ and $cT(b^{-1})$ are in $\mathcal{K}^\infty$. Consequently, there is a $\tilde{c} \in \mathcal{K}^\infty$ such that $c' = a^{-1} \tilde{c}$. It follows from the properties of norms on $\mathcal{K}^\infty$ that

$$\|c'\|_{0,N} \leq \|a^{-1}\|\|\tilde{c}\|_{0,N} < \infty. \quad (4.1)$$

Computing $\delta_{\mathcal{K}}$ on $c$ we have

$$\delta_{\mathcal{K}}(c') = \delta_{\mathcal{K}}(a^{-1})\tilde{c} = -a^{-1}\delta_{\mathcal{K}}(a)a^{-1}\tilde{c} + a^{-1}\delta_{\mathcal{K}}(\tilde{c}).$$
Similarly to the proof of Proposition 3.3, we have, inductively, for any \( j \) that
\[
\delta_L^j(b) = \sum_i a_i b_i \text{ finite sum,}
\]
with \( a_i \) bounded and \( b_i \) are smooth compact. Using this and the estimate in equation (4.1), we see that \( \|c'\|_{M,N} \) is finite for all \( M \) and \( N \). Thus \( c' \in K^\infty \), completing the proof. \( \square \)

To prove closure under the calculus of self-adjoint elements, the approach used in [7] works in this setting as well. Hence, we need results regarding the growth of exponentials of elements of \( B_S^\infty \) and \( K^\infty \). For \( K^\infty \), the exact result needed was proved in [7]. We state it here for convenience.

**Proposition 4.2.** Suppose that \( c \in K^\infty \) is a self-adjoint smooth compact operator. Then we have an estimate:
\[
\|e^{ic}\|_{M,0} \leq \prod_{j=1}^M (1 + \|c\|_j)^{2^M_{-j}}.
\]

The second result needed is a minor adaptation of Proposition 3.4 in [7].

**Proposition 4.3.** If \( b \in B_S^\infty \) is self-adjoint, then we have an estimate:
\[
\|e^{ib}\|_M \leq \prod_{j=1}^M (1 + \|b\|_j)^{2^M_{-j}}.
\]

**Proof.** For \( M = 0 \), notice that \( \|e^{ib}\|_0 = 1 \). We continue by induction, utilizing part (1) of Proposition 3.2:
\[
\|e^{ib}\|_{M+1} = \|e^{ib}\|_M + \|\delta_L(e^{ib})\|_M.
\]

Using that
\[
\delta_L(e^{ib}) = i \int_0^1 e^{i(1-t)b} \delta_L(b) e^{itb} \, dt,
\]
we have the following estimate for the inductive step:
\[
\|e^{ib}\|_{M+1} \leq \|e^{ib}\|_M + i \int_0^1 \|e^{i(1-t)b}\|_M \|\delta_L(b)\|_M \|e^{ib}\|_M \, dt \leq
\]
\[
\prod_{j=1}^M (1 + \|b\|_j)^{2^M_{-j}} + \left[ \prod_{j=1}^M (1 + \|b\|_j)^{2^M_{-j}} \right]^2 \|\delta_L(b)\|_M.
\]

Since \( \|\delta_L(b)\|_M \leq \|b\|_{M+1} \), we have:
\[
\|e^{ib}\|_{M+1} \leq \prod_{j=1}^M (1 + \|b\|_j)^{2^M_{-j}} (1 + \prod_{j=1}^M (1 + \|b\|_j)^{2^M_{-j}} \|b\|_{M+1}) \leq
\]
\[
\prod_{j=1}^M (1 + \|b\|_j)^{2^M_{-j}} \prod_{j=1}^M (1 + \|b\|_j)^{2^M_{-j}} (1 + \|b\|_{M+1}) = \prod_{j=1}^{M+1} (1 + \|b\|_j)^{2^{M+1}_{-j}}.
\]

This establishes the inductive step and finishes the proof. \( \square \)
Theorem 4.4. The smooth Bunce-Deddens-Toeplitz algebra $A_S^\infty$ is closed under the smooth functional calculus of self-adjoint elements.

Proof. We need to prove that, given a self-adjoint element $a$ of $A_S^\infty$ and a smooth function $f(x)$ defined on an open neighborhood of the spectrum $\sigma(a)$ of $a$ we have $f(a)$ is in $A_S^\infty$. It is without loss of generality to assume that $f(x)$ is smooth on $\mathbb{R}$ and is $L$-periodic: $f(x + L) = f(x)$ for some $L$. Then $f(x)$ admits a Fourier series representation with rapid decay coefficients $\{f_n\}$, and hence

$$f(a) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_n e^{2\pi i na/L}$$

for a self-adjoint $a = T(b) + c \in A_S^\infty$. Thus, it remains to establish at most polynomial growth in $n$ of norms $\|e^{2\pi i na/L}\|_{M,N}$.

Notice that $\tau(e^{2\pi i n/L})$ in $B_S^\infty$ is $e^{2\pi i nb/L}$, which indeed grows at most polynomially in $n$, by Proposition 4.3. Thus, we only need to show that the $\| \cdot \|_{M,N}$ of the difference

$$e^{2\pi i (T(b)+c)/L} - T(e^{2\pi i nb/L}) \in \mathcal{K}^\infty$$

are at most polynomially growing in $n$.

To analyze the above, we use a version of the Duhamel’s formula:

$$e^{i(T(b)+c)} - T(e^{ib}) = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} (e^{it(T(b)+c)} T(e^{i(1-t)b})) \, dt =$$

$$= \int_0^1 e^{it(T(b)+c)} c T(e^{i(1-t)b}) \, dt + \int_0^1 e^{it(T(b)+c)} [T(b) T(e^{i(1-t)b}) - T(be^{i(1-t)b})] \, dt .$$

Employing Proposition 3.1 we can estimate the norms as follows:

$$\|e^{i(T(b)+c)} - T(e^{ib})\|_{M,N} \leq \int_0^1 \|e^{it(T(b)+c)}\|_{M,0} \|c T(e^{i(1-t)b})\|_{M,N} \, dt +$$

$$+ \int_0^1 \|e^{it(T(b)+c)}\|_{M,0} \|T(b) T(e^{i(1-t)b}) - T(be^{i(1-t)b})\|_{M,N} \, dt .$$

All terms above can now be estimated using (3.2), as well as Propositions 4.2 and 4.3. We obtain the following bounds:

$$\|e^{i(T(b)+c)} - T(e^{ib})\|_{M,N} \leq \prod_{j=1}^{M} (1 + \|b\|_j + \|c\|_{j,0})^{2M-j} \|c\|_{M,N} \prod_{j=1}^{M+N} (1 + \|b\|_j)^{2M+N-j} +$$

$$+ \text{const} \prod_{j=1}^{M} (1 + \|b\|_j + \|c\|_{j,0})^{2M-j} \|b\|_M \prod_{j=1}^{M+N+2} (1 + \|b\|_j)^{2M+N+2-j} .$$

Clearly those estimates establish the desired at most polynomial growth, finishing the proof. □

5. Classification of Derivations

We begin with recalling the basic concepts from [9]. Let $A$ be a complete locally compact topological algebra and let $d : A \to A$ be continuous derivation on $A$. Suppose that there is a continuous one-parameter family of automorphisms $\rho_\theta : A \to A$ of $A$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. 
Given \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \), a continuous derivation \( d : A \to A \) is said to be a \( n \)-covariant derivation if the relation
\[
\rho^{-1}_\theta d \rho_\theta(a) = e^{-2\pi i n \theta} d(a)
\]
holds for all \( \theta \). When \( n = 0 \) we say the derivation is invariant. In this definition \( A \) could be any of the following algebras: \( A^\infty_S \), \( B^\infty_S \), or \( K^\infty \) and with the appropriate one-parameter family of automorphisms \( \rho^n_\theta \) or \( \rho^1_\theta \). With this definition, we point out that \( \delta_L : B^\infty_S \to B^\infty_S \) is an invariant continuous derivation as is \( d_k : A^\infty_S \to A^\infty_S \) and \( d_k : K^\infty \to K^\infty \).

If \( d \) is a continuous derivation on \( A \), the \( n \)-th Fourier component of \( d \) is defined as:
\[
d_n(a) = \int_0^1 e^{2\pi i n \theta} \rho^{-1}_\theta d \rho_\theta(a) d\theta.
\]

We have the following simple observation [9].

**Proposition 5.1.** With the above notation the \( n \)-th Fourier component \( d_n : A^\infty_S \to A^\infty_S \) is a continuous \( n \)-covariant derivation.

To classify continuous derivations on \( A^\infty_S \) we follow the strategy from [9]. We use the classification of derivations on \( B^\infty_S \) from [7] and show how to lift derivations from \( B_S \) to \( A_S \). We handle the remaining derivations, those with range in \( K^\infty \), by using the Fourier decomposition components. This is the heart of the argument and will be described next.

Let \( A_S \subseteq A^\infty_S \) be the subspace of \( A^\infty_S \) consisting of elements \( a = T(b) + c \) such that \( b \) has only finitely many non-zero Fourier components and \( c \) has only finitely many non-zero matrix coefficients (in the standard basis). It was observed in [9] that \( A_S \) is a dense subalgebra of \( A_S \).

In turn, we note that it is also a dense subalgebra of \( A^\infty_S \).

**Theorem 5.2.** If \( d : A^\infty_S \to K^\infty \) is a continuous derivation, then there is \( c \in K^\infty \) such that \( d(a) = [c, a] \) for every \( a \in A^\infty_S \). In particular, \( d \) is an inner derivation.

**Proof.** Let \( d : A^\infty_S \to K^\infty \) be a continuous derivation. Let \( d_n \) be the \( n \)-th Fourier component of \( d \). From Proposition 5.1, \( d_n \) are \( n \)-covariant derivations and \( d_n : A^\infty_S \to K^\infty \). We only consider the case \( n \geq 0 \) as \( n < 0 \) can be treated similarly. All \( n \)-covariant derivations \( d_n : A_S \to A_S \) were classified in [9]. Thus, we know there exists a sequence, \( \{ \beta_n(k) \} \), possibly unbounded in \( k \), such that
\[
d_n(a) = [U^n \beta_n(k), a]
\]
for any \( a \in A_S \). We are requiring here the range of \( d \) to belong to \( K^\infty \), which places restrictions on \( \{ \beta_n(k) \} \).

Let \( \chi \) be a character on \( \mathbb{Z}/SZ \) and since \( d_n(a) \in K^\infty \) for any \( a \in A^\infty_S \) we have
\[
\begin{align*}
d_n(U) &= U^{n+1} (\beta_n(k + 1) - \beta_n(k)) := U^{n+1} \alpha_n(k) \in K^\infty \quad \text{for } n \geq 0, \\
d_n(M_\chi) &= U^n \beta_n(k)(1 - \chi(n)) \in K^\infty \quad \text{for } n \geq 0.
\end{align*}
\]
Since for each \( n > 0 \) we can choose \( \chi \) such that \( \chi(n) \neq 1 \), and thus we have \( \{ \alpha_n(k) \} \) and \( \{ \beta_n(k) \} \) are RD in \( k \) for every \( n > 0 \).

For \( n = 0 \), the above equation only implies that \( \{ \alpha_0(k) \} \) is RD in \( k \). We have the following difference equation:
\[
\alpha_n(k) = \beta_n(k + 1) - \beta_n(k).
\]
This equation has a solution of the form

\[ \beta_n(k) = -\sum_{r=k}^{\infty} \alpha_n(r). \] (5.2)

It follows, since \( \{\alpha_0(k)\} \) is RD in \( k \), so is \( \{\beta_0(k)\} \). Thus \( \{\beta_n(k)\} \) is RD for any \( n \) and the formula (5.1) extends by continuity to any \( a \in A_S^{\infty} \).

We want to establish that \( \{\beta_n(k)\} \) is RD in both \( n \) and \( k \). Since \( d_n(U) \in K^\infty \) we have that \( \|d_n(U)\|_{M,N} \) are finite for all \( M \) and \( N \). So, for any \( N \) and \( j \) there exists a constant \( C_{j,N} \) such that

\[ \|d_{\infty}^j(d_n(U))(I + \mathbb{K})^N\| \leq C_{j,N} \]

On the other hand, consider the following calculation for \( n \geq 0 \):

\[ d_{\infty}^j(d_n(U)) = d_{\infty}^j(U^{n+1}\alpha_n(\mathbb{K})) = (n + 1)^j U^{n+1}\alpha_n(\mathbb{K}) \]

since \( \alpha_n(\mathbb{K}) \) is diagonal. Therefore, we have that

\[ (n + 1)^j \|\alpha_n(\mathbb{K})(I + \mathbb{K})^N\| \leq C_{j,N}. \]

However,

\[ (n + 1)^j \|\alpha_n(\mathbb{K})(I + \mathbb{K})^N\| = (n + 1)^j \sup_k \{(1 + k)^N|\alpha_n(k)|\}. \]

It follows that

\[ (1 + n)^j (1 + k)^N|\alpha_n(k)| \leq C_{j,N} \]

and thus \( \{\alpha_n(k)\} \) is RD in both \( n \) and \( k \). Consequently, by (5.2), \( \{\beta_n(k)\} \) is RD in both \( n \) and \( k \). Therefore

\[ d(a) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} d_n(a) = \sum_{n \geq 0} [U^n \beta_n(\mathbb{K}), a] + \sum_{n < 0} [\beta_n(\mathbb{K})(U^*)^{-n}, a] \]

\[ = \left[ \sum_{n \geq 0} U^n \beta_n(\mathbb{K}) + \sum_{n < 0} \beta_n(\mathbb{K})(U^*)^{-n}, a \right] = [c, a] \]

where all the sums converge and \( c \in K^\infty \). Thus \( d \) is inner, completing the proof. \( \Box \)

To analyze general derivations \( d : A_S^{\infty} \to A_S^{\infty} \), we first notice the following.

**Proposition 5.3.** Let \( d : A_S^{\infty} \to A_S^{\infty} \) be a continuous derivation, then \( d(\mathbb{K}^{\infty}) \subseteq \mathbb{K}^{\infty} \).

**Proof.** Since \( K^{\infty} \) is generated by the system of units \( \{P_{ks}\} \) and since \( d \) is continuous we only need to verify that \( d(P_{ks}) \) is in \( K^{\infty} \). Since \( P_{ks} = P_{kr}P_{rs} \), by the Leibniz rule we have that

\[ d(P_{ks}) = P_{kr}d(P_{rs}) + d(P_{kr})P_{rs}. \]

Since the right-hand side is clearly in \( K^{\infty} \), the claim follows. \( \Box \)

It follows from this proposition that any continuous derivation \( d : A_S^{\infty} \to A_S^{\infty} \) defines a continuous derivation on \( B_S^{\infty} \), which is isomorphic to the factor algebra \( A_S^{\infty}/\mathbb{K}^{\infty} \). We use this observation in the proof of the following main result of this section.

**Theorem 5.4.** Let \( d : A_S^{\infty} \to A_S^{\infty} \) be any continuous derivation. Then there exist: a constant \( \gamma, b \in B_S^{\infty} \) and \( c \in K^{\infty} \) such that:

\[ d = \gamma d_K + [T(b) + c, \cdot]. \]
Proof. Let \( d : A^\infty_S \to A^\infty_S \) be a continuous derivation and define a derivation \( \delta : B^\infty_S \to B^\infty_S \) by
\[
\delta(a + K^\infty) = d(a) + K^\infty.
\]
In other words, \( \delta \) is the class of \( d \) in the factor algebra \( A^\infty_S/K^\infty \cong B^\infty_S \). The continuity of \( d \) implies the continuity of \( \delta \). But all continuous derivations \( \delta : B^\infty_S \to B^\infty_S \) were classified in [7]. Therefore, by that paper, there exists a constant \( \gamma \) such that
\[
\delta = \gamma \delta_L + \tilde{\delta}
\]
where \( \tilde{\delta} \) is inner. Thus there exists a \( b \in B^\infty_S \) such that \( \tilde{\delta} = [b, \cdot] \).

Next notice that \( [T(b), \cdot] \) is an inner derivation on \( A^\infty_S \) whose class in \( B^\infty_S \) is precisely \( [b, \cdot] \).

Define a derivation \( \tilde{d} : A^\infty_S \to A^\infty_S \) by
\[
\tilde{d} = d - cd_L - [T(b), \cdot].
\]
Since the class of \( d_L \) in is \( \delta_L \), we have that \( \tilde{d} : A^\infty_S \to K^\infty \) and hence by Theorem [5,2] \( \tilde{d} = [c, \cdot] \) for some \( c \in K^\infty \). This concludes the proof.


Since \( K^\infty, A^\infty_S, B^\infty_S \) are closed under the holomorphic functional calculus, each inclusion induces an isomorphism in K-Theory. Using this fact, along with the 6-term exact sequence [12] induced by the short exact sequence of smooth subalgebras, we compute the K-Theory of \( A^\infty_S \). We then make use of the Universal Coefficient Theorem [13] to compute the K-Homology of \( A_S \).

6.1. K Theory. Recall the short exact sequence
\[
0 \longrightarrow K^\infty \longrightarrow A^\infty_S \longrightarrow B^\infty_S \longrightarrow 0
\]
of smooth subalgebras. This induces the following 6-term exact sequence in K-Theory:
\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
K_0(K^\infty) & \longrightarrow & K_0(A^\infty_S) & \longrightarrow & K_0(B^\infty_S) \\
\text{ind} & & & \downarrow & \\
K_1(B^\infty_S) & \longleftarrow & K_1(A^\infty_S) & \longleftarrow & K_1(K^\infty) \\
& & \text{exp} & & \\
& & & & \\
\end{array}
\]

For details regarding the K-Theory of \( B^\infty_S \), see [7]. Since the generating unitary \( V \) in \( B^\infty_S \) lifts to the partial isometry \( U \), it follows that
\[
\text{ind}([V]_1) = [I - U^*U]_0 - [I - UU^*]_0 = -[P_0],
\]
which generates \( K_0(K^\infty) \). Hence, the index map is an isomorphism. By exactness, it follows that \( K_1(\tau) \) is the trivial map. Since \( K_1(K^\infty) = 0 \), by exactness \( K_1(\tau) \) is also injective, and hence \( K_1(A^\infty_S) = 0 \). Since exp is trivial, by exactness \( K_0(\tau) \) is surjective. But again, since ind is an isomorphism, it follows that the map \( K_0(K^\infty) \to K_0(A^\infty_S) \) is trivial. Hence, \( K_0(\tau) \) is injective as well. Using the computation done in [7], it follows that we have:
\[
K_0(A^\infty_S) \cong G_S \quad \text{where} \quad G_S = \{k/l \in \mathbb{Q} : k \in \mathbb{Z}, l|S\}.
\]

Let us summarize the results in the following proposition.
Proposition 6.1. The $K$-Theory of $A_S$ is given by
\[ K_0(A_S) \cong G_S \quad \text{and} \quad K_1(A_S) \cong 0. \]

6.2. $K$-Homology. The Universal Coefficient Theorem of Rosenberg and Schochet [13] states that we have two exact sequences:

\[ 0 \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_Z^1(K_1(A_S), \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow K^0(A_S) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(K_0(A_S), \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 0, \]

and

\[ 0 \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_Z^1(K_0(A_S), \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow K^1(A_S) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(K_1(A_S), \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 0, \]

where in the above, we have used the identification $KK^{i}(A_S, \mathbb{C}) = K^i(A_S)$. From the first sequence, it is clear that $\text{Ext}_Z^1(K_1(A_S), \mathbb{Z}) \cong 0$.

In [7] it was shown that $\text{Hom}(K_0(A_S), \mathbb{Z}) \cong 0$. Hence, we have $K^0(A_S) = 0$. From the second sequence, it is immediate that

\[ K^1(A_S) \cong \text{Ext}_Z^1(K_0(A_S), \mathbb{Z}) \cong K^1(B_S), \]

where the last isomorphism is derived in [7]. This group was computed in [7] to be isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/SZ)/\mathbb{Z}$. This reference also contains an explicit description of the precise subgroup being modded out. In fact, this subgroup turns out to be the natural dense copy of $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/SZ$. We summarize the above computations in the following proposition.

Proposition 6.2. The $K$-Homology of $A_S$ is given by
\[ K^0(A_S) \cong 0 \quad \text{and} \quad K^1(A_S) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/SZ)/\mathbb{Z}. \]
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