Leveraging Emotion-specific Features to Improve Transformer Performance for Emotion Classification
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Abstract

This paper describes team PVG's AI Club’s approach to the Emotion Classification shared task held at WASSA 2022. This Track 2 subtask focuses on building models which can predict a multi-class emotion label based on essays from news articles where a person, group or another entity is affected. Baseline transformer models have been demonstrating good results on sequence classification tasks, and we aim to improve this performance with the help of ensembling techniques, and by leveraging two variations of emotion-specific representations. We observe better results than our baseline models and achieve an accuracy of 0.619 and a macro F1 score of 0.520 on the emotion classification task.

1 Introduction

Rapid growth in the availability of human-annotated text documents has led to an increase in methodologies for tasks such as classification, clustering and knowledge extraction. A multitude of sources have enabled public access to structured and semi-structured data comprising of news stories, written repositories, blog content, among countless other roots of information. (Bostan and Klinger, 2018) showed that the task of emotion classification has emerged from being purely research oriented to being of vital importance in fields like dialog systems, intelligent agents, and analysis and diagnosis of mental disorders.

Humans themselves sometimes find it tough to comprehend the various layers of subtlety in emotions, and hence there has been only a limited amount of prior research revolving around emotion classification. It has been noted that larger deep learning models can also find it quite challenging to fully grasp the nuances and underlying context of human emotion.

2 Dataset

The dataset provided by the organizers consists of 1860 essays in the training set, 270 in the dev set and 525 in the test set. Each of these essays has been annotated for empathy and empathy scores, distress and distress scores, emotion, personality feature and interpersonal reactivity features. Since

*Equal Contribution
this paper describes an approach only to the Emotion classification task, we shall only describe the data for said subtask. Each essay has been assigned an emotion class similar to classes in (Ekman, 1992). Table 1 provides a description on the training, validation and testing subset, and Figure 1 shows the distribution of the training data among the various emotion classes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Set</th>
<th>Essays</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td>1860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validation</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testing</td>
<td>525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>2655</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Total datapoints for every set

3 Methodology

3.1 RoBERTa

We make use of the pretrained RoBERTa base model (Liu et al., 2019) for this task. RoBERTa provides contextualized essay-level representations which can capture context sensitive information better than static representations. For each essay $E$ in our corpus, we obtain a 768 dimensional representation $R$, encoded using the CLS token in the final hidden layer of the RoBERTa base model. We further process this representation $R$ with Linear and Dropout layers before concatenating it with our emotion-specific representations.

$$R = RoBERTa(E) \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

3.2 Emotion-Enriched Word Embeddings (EWE)

(Labutov and Lipson, 2013; Bansal et al., 2014), argue that the effectiveness of word embeddings is highly task dependent. To obtain word embeddings specific for emotion classification, we used the emotion-enriched embeddings from (Agrawal et al., 2018). The weight matrix was made by mapping the vocabulary from our dataset to the 300 dimensional corresponding vector in the pre-trained embedding file. Each essay was mapped to the embedding matrix into a final representation shape of $(100,300)$. This representation was passed through 2 Conv1d and 2 Maxpool layers to obtain a 16 dimensional feature vector $C \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$.

3.3 NRC Representation

The NRC emotion intensity lexicon (Mohammad, 2018) is a collection of close to 10,000 words associated with a distinct real valued intensity score assigned for eight basic emotions. Incorporating this lexicon in classification tasks has been proven to boost performance (Kulkarni et al., 2021). Of the 8 basic emotions in the lexicon, 6 emotions-anger, joy, sadness, disgust, fear and surprise coincide with the given dataset and hence lexical features for only these features were considered. For every essay in the dataset, we calculate the value for one emotion by summing the individual scores for
Table 2: Resulting metrics on baseline models as compared to our methodology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Macro-F1 Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Training</td>
<td>Validation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanilla RoBERTa</td>
<td>0.601</td>
<td>0.540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RoBERTa + EWE</td>
<td>0.684</td>
<td>0.608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RoBERTA + NRC + EWE</td>
<td>0.693</td>
<td>0.619</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2 Training Setup

We used the pretrained ‘roberta-base’ model from the Huggingface Transformers \(^1\) library. All other modules used in our methodology were built using PyTorch. As observed by (Kulkarni et al., 2021), we also found that the Hyperbolic Tangent(Tanh) activation function worked better than ReLU, and hence we used the Tanh activation for all layers in our model. The model was trained using an AdamW optimizer (Loshchilov and Hutter, 2019) with a learning rate of 0.001 and beta values set to \(\beta_1 = 0.9, \beta_2 = 0.99\) and the loss used was cross entropy loss. Additionally, early stopping was used if the validation loss does not decrease after 10 successive epochs. The batch size was set to 64 for both Baseline models as well as the proposed model. A single Nvidia P100-16GB GPU provided by Google Colab was used to train all models.

4.3 Baselines

Our goal in this work is to examine if concatenating emotional-specific features to pre-existing transformer models leads to an increase in the emotion classification performance of these models. Hence, we compare our proposed methodology to the vanilla RoBERTa model, as well as RoBERTa + EWE for the emotion classification subtask.

5 Results and Discussion

The results for the emotion prediction task on the validation set are given in Table 2. There was no use of validation data during the training process, and the provided validation data was used as unseen testing data to benchmark the models. The official metric for Track 2 of the shared task was the macro F1 score. To ensure fair comparison, the validation set results have been averaged over 3 runs for each model. The proposed model shows a 7% increase in macro F1 scores and 8% increase in ac-

---

1\(https://huggingface.co/transformers/\)
curacy over the vanilla RoBERTa model. The proposed model also shows the effectiveness of adding the NRC representations described in section 3.3 as it performs slightly better than the RoBERTa + Emotion Enriched word embeddings model. We attribute this increase in performance to the task-specific representations of essays used in our system. During the training process, it was observed that the performance of all models was highly susceptible to how they were initialized, and we received a large range of results across different seeds. As a result, a true assessment of our method can only be made in comparison to baseline models with the same seed, as we have done in this study.

6 Conclusion

The goal of this study was to examine and enhance the performance of transformer models using only the Empathetic Concern in News Stories dataset that was provided to us, with the prospective of testing our method on a bigger dataset in the future. We proposed a model ensemble which combined the transformer feature vector with the emotion-intensive word embeddings along with the word-specific features obtained from the NRC lexicon. We demonstrate results that outperform the baseline vanilla RoBERTa model, and attest that combining domain-specific features can indeed improve performance on a task as involute as emotion classification.
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