Resonant dynamics of strongly interacting SU($n$) fermionic atoms in a synthetic flux ladder
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We theoretically study the dynamics of $n$-level spin-orbit coupled alkaline-earth fermionic atoms with SU($n$) symmetric interactions. We consider three dimensional lattices with tunneling along one dimension, and the internal levels treated as a synthetic dimension, realizing an $n$-leg flux ladder. Laser driving is used to couple the internal levels and to induce an effective magnetic flux through the ladder. We focus on the dense and strongly interacting regime, where in the absence of flux the system behaves as a Mott insulator with suppressed motional dynamics. At integer and fractional ratios of the laser Rabi frequency to the onsite interactions, the system exhibits resonant features in the dynamics. These resonances occur when interactions help overcome kinetic constraints upon the tunneling of atoms, thus enabling motion. Different resonances allow for the emergence of complex chiral current patterns. The resonances resemble the ones appearing in the longitudinal Hall resistance when the magnetic field is varied. We characterize the dynamics by studying the system’s long-time relaxation behavior as a function of flux, number of internal levels $n$, and interaction strength. We observe a series of non-trivial pre-thermal plateaus caused by the emergence of resonant processes at successive orders in perturbation theory. We discuss protocols to observe the predicted phenomena under current experimental conditions.

I. INTRODUCTION

Understanding the dynamics of interacting quantum particles on a lattice has been a paradigmatic goal of physics research for many decades. The most popular description for fermionic particles hopping on a lattice with onsite interactions is the canonical Fermi-Hubbard model, which is believed to contain the core requisite ingredients for high-$T_c$ superconductivity [1]. Extensions with more than two internal levels exhibiting a global SU($n$) symmetry in the interaction term have also been used to describe a broad class of models relevant to solid-state [2] and high energy physics [3]. Unfortunately, many details of the quantum many-body behavior featured by these systems remain elusive.

Arrays of alkaline earth atoms (AEAs) featuring a large number of long-lived nuclear spin levels in both ground and excited electronic state manifolds, together with SU($n$)-symmetric interactions, are emerging as natural quantum simulators of the SU($n$) Hubbard model [4, 5]. Due to the long coherence times in these systems, it is also possible to emulate the presence of a strong external magnetic field via additional laser drives without suffering from heating effects [6–10]. These versatile quantum simulation capabilities make AEAs an ideal platform for the exploration of new facets of quantum magnetism and topology relevant across multiple disciplines.

Despite such great opportunities, the exploration of many-body physics with AEAs still remains at an early stage. Alkaline-earth-atom experiments have targeted the non-interacting regime [11–18] or the weakly interacting regime [14, 19–21], for both of which a Fermi-liquid description can be used. There has been some progress in the strongly interacting limit achieved in deep optical lattices, including the observation of few-body SU($n$) orbital physics in isolated lattice sites [22–24], of equilibrium Mott insulating phases [25–28], and of antiferromagnetic correlations [29, 30]. However, the rich interacting quantum magnetic behaviors predicted for SU($n$) systems have yet to be seen. One major roadblock for experiments thus far is that preparing equilibrium ground state phases has challenging temperature requirements. At the same time, most theory work has focused specifically on ground state properties. These include momentum distributions [31], chiral currents [32, 33], topological phases [34], Laughlin states [35] and Hall insulators [36, 37], density-dependent magnetism [38], charge pumping [39], and connections to baryon physics [40]. Overall, experimentally amenable settings where non-trivial quantum SU($n$) magnetic behaviors emerge at currently accessible conditions are scarce.

In this work we explore quantum quench behaviours of SU($n$) interacting fermions that can readily be realized with current generation optical lattice experiments. Our studies focus on fermionic AEAs with $n$ internal levels trapped in a 3D optical lattice and subject to an effective magnetic flux induced by a laser drive. We consider the case where hopping happens only along one spatial direction, so that the overall system including the laser-coupled internal levels (that act as a synthetic dimension) can be visualized as an $n$-leg flux ladder. We focus on the out-of-equilibrium dynamics in the strongly
interacting limit with one atom per site, where in
the absence of an external drive the system is a
Mott insulator. Generically, strong onsite repul-
sion is expected to suppress particle motion because of
the high energetic cost of forming a doublon. We
nonetheless observe the emergence of motion due to
multi-body tunneling resonances at fractional or in-
teger values of the ratio between the laser Rabi fre-
quency and the onsite interactions. We first show
the emergence of these resonances for SU(2) atoms,
and extend these arguments to SU(n), finding a sig-
nificantly larger number of resonances as n increases.
At such resonances particle transport is restored in
the presence of a non-zero flux, which gives rise to
non-trivial chiral currents along the longitudinal lat-
tice tunneling direction. Although in our case the
resonances are caused by interaction-enabled tunnel-
ning, they resemble the resonances observed at frac-
tional fillings as a function of magnetic field in the
fractional quantum Hall effect.

In addition to chiral currents along the real di-
rection, the resonances induce transport along the
synthetic dimension which manifests as dressed spin
population dynamics. To further characterize the
quantum dynamics we also study the long-time be-
havior of the system at these resonances. We ob-
serve the formation of several pre-thermal plateaus,
which we can understand in terms of a hierarchy
of higher order resonant tunneling processes. Ex-
periments have explored such resonant effects by
studying tilted bosonic systems [41, 42], tilted Hub-
bard models exhibiting non-ergodic behavior [43],
and staggered tilted Hubbard models used for gauge
field simulation [44]. The behavior that we find is
in striking contrast to more standard thermalization
predictions. All of these requirements are within reach
and extend these arguments to SU(n) symmetric fermions.

Section II will define the model we study for the
simplest case of n = 2 internal states. Quench dy-
namics of chiral currents in the system will be com-
pared for the non-interacting and strongly interact-
ating limits. A dressed state picture will be used to
characterize resonant points and their allowed tun-
neling processes. Long-time average values of ob-
servables will be compared to conventional thermal-
ization predictions. Section III will extend the model
to general n and show equivalent quantum quench
dynamics of currents and dressed state populations.
Section IV will discuss experimentally realistic pro-
tocols for implementation, state preparation, and
measurement.

II. SU(2) SYSTEM

A. Fermi-Hubbard model

The system we study is a driven three-dimensional
optical lattice with tunneling confined to one dimen-
sion via strong transverse lattice depths. This ar-
rangement creates an array of independent one di-
imensional (1D) chains with L sites each. We assume
the 1D chains are populated with N fermionic atoms
each, prepared in the lowest Bloch band, as depicted
in Fig. 1(a). The atoms have internal degrees of free-
dom, which for the moment we restrict to two levels
m ∈ {e, g}. These can be a pair of electronic clock
states or two hyperfine levels.

The Hamiltonian that describes the dynamics of
the atoms consists of three main parts,
\[ \hat{H} = \hat{H}_J + \hat{H}_U + \hat{H}_\Omega. \] (1)

The term \( \hat{H}_J \) describes nearest-neighbour tunneling,
\[ \hat{H}_J = -J \sum_{j,m \in \{e,g\}} \left( \hat{c}^\dagger_{j,m} \hat{c}_{j+1,m} + h.c. \right), \] (2)
where \( J > 0 \) is the tunneling rate and \( \hat{c}_{j,m} \) annihi-
lates an atom of spin \( m \) on site \( j \). The term \( \hat{H}_U \) is
an on-site Hubbard interaction,
\[ \hat{H}_U = U \sum_j \hat{n}_{j,e} \hat{n}_{j,g}, \] (3)
where we assume \( U > 0 \) is a repulsive interaction
parameter set by the scattering length of the collid-
ing atoms and \( \hat{n}_{j,m} = \hat{c}^\dagger_{j,m} \hat{c}_{j,m} \). The last term \( \hat{H}_\Omega \) is
a laser drive that couples the spin states,

\[
\hat{H}_\Omega = \frac{\Omega}{2} \sum_j (e^{ij\phi} \hat{c}^\dagger_{j,e} \hat{c}_{j,g} + h.c.),
\]

where \( \Omega \) is the Rabi frequency (assumed real without loss of generality) and \( e^{ij\phi} \) is a Peierls phase imprinted by the laser. Here \( \phi = k_L a \) is a differential phase experienced by atoms in adjacent lattice sites, with \( a \) the lattice spacing and \( k_L \) the magnitude of the drive laser wavevector projected along the lattice direction. This differential phase can be interpreted as a spin-orbit coupling term, since an atom excited from \( g \to e \) by absorbing a photon from the laser also acquires an additional momentum \( \hbar k_L \). This system is equivalent to a synthetic 2-leg ladder where the sites \( j \) along the lattice direction run along the individual legs, and the synthetic spin direction \( m \) indexes the legs, see Fig. 1(b). The phase \( \phi \) amounts to a constant flux piercing each plaquette of this ladder, emulating a transverse magnetic field.

The Hamiltonian above is written in a “lab” frame motivated by experimental implementations. To facilitate better theoretical understanding of the system, we apply a number of basis transformations to simplify the physics. We first make a gauge transformation,

\[
\hat{c}_{j,g} = e^{-i\phi/2} \hat{c}'_{j,g}, \quad \hat{c}_{j,e} = e^{i\phi/2} \hat{c}'_{j,e},
\]

which changes the tunneling to now contain the flux,

\[
\hat{H}_J = -J \sum_j (e^{i\phi/2} \hat{c}'^\dagger_{j,e} \hat{c}'_{j+1,e} + e^{-i\phi/2} \hat{c}'_{j,g} \hat{c}'^\dagger_{j+1,g} + h.c.),
\]

while the drive is now homogeneous across every lattice site,

\[
\hat{H}_\Omega = \frac{\Omega}{2} \sum_j (\hat{c}^\dagger_{j,e} \hat{c}_{j,g} + h.c.).
\]

The interactions remain unchanged. This transformation is analogous to a change of the vector potential (represented here by the spin-orbit coupling phase \( e^{ij\phi} \) from a Landau gauge along the synthetic direction to a Landau gauge along the lattice direction. Figure 1(c) shows the system in this “gauged” frame. A spiral product state in the lab frame with winding angle of \( \phi \) per lattice site simplifies to a coherent spin state in the gauged frame and vice versa. Next, we make a second on-site basis rotation,

\[
\hat{a}_{j,\uparrow} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\hat{c}'_{j,e} + \hat{c}'_{j,g}), \quad \hat{a}_{j,\downarrow} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\hat{c}'_{j,e} - \hat{c}'_{j,g}).
\]

The new operators \( \hat{a}_{j,\uparrow}, \hat{a}_{j,\downarrow} \) annihilate atoms in dressed spin states \( \nu \in \{\uparrow, \downarrow\} \) that correspond to single-particle eigenstates of the drive with energy \( +\Omega/2, -\Omega/2 \) respectively. Under this transformation the Hubbard interactions still maintain the same form \( \hat{H}_U = U \sum_j \hat{n}_{j,\uparrow} \hat{n}_{j,\downarrow} \) with \( \hat{n}_{j,\nu} = \hat{a}_{j,\nu}^\dagger \hat{a}_{j,\nu} \), while the drive becomes diagonal,

\[
\hat{H}_\Omega = \frac{\Omega}{2} \sum_j (\hat{n}_{j,\uparrow} - \hat{n}_{j,\downarrow}).
\]

Since a non-zero flux \( \phi \) breaks the SU(2) symmetry of \( \hat{H}_J \), the tunneling no longer conserves spin and instead both spin-preserving and spin-flipping tun-
neling terms emerge:

\[
\hat{H}_j = \sum_j \left[ J_{\parallel} \left( a_{j,\uparrow}^\dagger a_{j+1,\uparrow} + a_{j,\downarrow}^\dagger a_{j+1,\downarrow} \right) + J_{\perp} \left( a_{j,\uparrow}^\dagger a_{j+1,\downarrow} + a_{j,\downarrow}^\dagger a_{j+1,\uparrow} \right) + \text{h.c.} \right],
\]

where \( J_{\parallel} = -J \cos(\phi/2) \) and \( J_{\perp} = -iJ \sin(\phi/2) \). Fig. 1(d) shows the system in this last “diagonal” frame. For \( \phi = 0 \) we only have spin-conserving tunneling \( J_{\parallel} = -J, J_{\perp} = 0 \). Maximum \( \phi = \pi \) yields only spin-flip tunneling \( J_{\perp} = -iJ, J_{\parallel} = 0 \).

Before proceeding further, we clarify that our goal is to study quench dynamics of this system using exact numerical integration of the Schrödinger equation, for which the accessible system sizes \( L \) are limited due to the rapid Hilbert space growth. To minimize finite size effects we will use periodic boundary conditions unless otherwise specified. For periodic boundaries, if the flux \( \phi \) is an integer multiple of \( 2\pi/L \), then the laser drive will only induce transitions between well-defined quasimomentum states. If the flux does not satisfy this requirement (i.e. it is incommensurate), the drive will induce transitions into many different quasimomentum states, complicating the dynamics [45]. However, in this work we will be studying the long-time averaged dynamics of collective observables for which an incommensurate \( \phi \) will only yield small \( \sim 1/L \) corrections. We thus ignore the issue of commensurability when making numerical comparisons for varying \( \phi \).

### B. Chirality

The system described by \( \hat{H} \) in the lab or gauged frames is a minimal version of a 2D strip pierced by a magnetic field. In the non-interacting case \( \hat{U} = 0 \) such a system is described by the Harper–Hofstadter model [6–9, 46, 47], which features chiral currents at its boundaries. While a synthetic dimension of only \( n = 2 \) states is a limiting case of the full two-dimensional Harper–Hofstadter model, one can still gain insights into the dynamical behavior from studying this limit [36]; we will consider systems with \( n > 2 \) in Section III.

The magnetic field in the \( L \times 2 \) strip will induce a shearing effect where \( e \)-atom population flows in one direction while \( g \)-atom population flows in the other. A simple example of this shearing is shown in Fig. 2(a) for the case of a single atom prepared in an initial superposition state \( |\psi_0\rangle_{\text{1atom}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \hat{c}_{j=0,e}^\dagger \hat{c}_{j=0,g}^\dagger \right) |0\rangle \) with a flux of \( \phi = \pi/2 \) and a drive strength of \( \Omega/J = 3 \). In this case the chiral dynamics manifests as the \( e \)-population \( \langle \hat{n}_{j,e} \rangle \) shifting towards lattice sites with increasing \( j > 0 \) while the \( g \)-population \( \langle \hat{n}_{j,g} \rangle \) has an equal and opposite drift towards sites with \( j < 0 \).

The rate of flow of spin population can be quantified via current observables,

\[
\dot{I}_{j,c} = -iJ \left( \hat{c}_{j,c}^\dagger \hat{c}_{j+1,c} - \text{h.c.} \right), \quad \dot{I}_{j,g} = -iJ \left( \hat{c}_{j,g}^\dagger \hat{c}_{j+1,g} - \text{h.c.} \right),
\]

where \( \dot{I}_{j,c} (\dot{I}_{j,g}) \) corresponds to the rate of transfer of \( e (g) \) atom population from site \( j \) to site \( j+1 \) per unit time (assuming \( \hbar = 1 \)). To quantify the shearing for generic initial conditions we define a bulk chiral current,

\[
\dot{I}_c = \frac{1}{L} \sum_j \left( \dot{I}_{j,c} - \dot{I}_{j,g} \right) = -2J \sum_k \sin(ka) (\hat{n}_{k,c} - \hat{n}_{k,g}),
\]

where \( \hat{n}_{k,m} = \hat{c}_{k,m}^\dagger \hat{c}_{k,m} \) and \( \hat{c}_{k,m} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_j e^{ijkx} \hat{c}_{j,m} \).

On the second line we have shown that this current can also be obtained from the atoms’ quasi-momentum distribution, which is experimentally accessible via e.g. time-of-flight measurements. While such currents have been experimentally observed in optical lattices [11, 13, 48–51], the role that interactions play in the many-atom limit is still waiting for further exploration, especially in the context of dynamical evolution.

To understand the interplay between the Hubbard interactions and the single-particle physics, we study the quench dynamics of the chiral current for a unit filled system with one particle per site \( N/L = 1 \). The initial state for the quench we choose is:

\[
|\psi_0\rangle = \frac{1}{2L/2} \prod_j \left( \hat{c}_{j,c}^\dagger + \hat{c}_{j,g}^\dagger \right) |0\rangle = \prod_j \hat{a}_{j,\uparrow}^\dagger |0\rangle .
\]

This state is maximally delocalized along the synthetic ladder. The state can be visualized using a collection of Bloch spheres, each one representing a spin-1/2 particle at a lattice site \( j \). In the lab frame [Fig. 1(b)], the initial state is a spiral state with winding angle \( \phi \) on the Bloch sphere equator. In the gauged frame [Fig. 1(c)] the state instead looks like a uniform collective state along the +\( x \) Bloch sphere direction, while in the diagonal frame [Fig. 1(d)] it is collective along +\( z \). This state is the highest energy eigenstate of the drive \( \hat{H}_0 |\psi_0\rangle = \frac{\Omega}{2} |\psi_0\rangle \) for \( \Omega > 0 \), and corresponds to all atoms in the dressed spin state \( \uparrow \). Such an initial state can be prepared with an appropriate pulse sequence or adiabatic ramp as discussed in Section IV. Note that for \( \phi = 0 \), the
system exhibits no dynamics independent of Hamiltonian parameters $J,U,\Omega$ due to Pauli-blocking.

We focus on the long-time average of the current $\langle I_c \rangle_\infty$, where

$$\langle \hat{O} \rangle_\infty = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt \langle \hat{O}(t) \rangle$$

(14)

for any operator $\hat{O}$. This average can provide insight on the thermodynamic behaviour of the system without suffering from the difficulties that conventional ground-state preparation would incur in an experimental setting due to disorder. A long-time average also helps to mitigate noisy oscillations in the observable caused by finite size effects.

Fig. 2(b) shows the average chiral current as a function of drive strength $\Omega/J$ for the non-interacting case $U = 0$ using a fixed flux $\phi = \pi/2$. The chirality vanishes at $\Omega = 0$ (for which the ladder legs decouple and $\hat{I}_c$ commutes with the non-interacting Hamiltonian) and at $\Omega/J \to \pm \infty$ (for which the initial state is an eigenstate of the Hamiltonian), and is strongest at intermediate values of $\Omega/J \approx \pm 2$. For $L \to \infty$ we can write the average current analytically as,

$$\langle \hat{I}_c \rangle_\infty \frac{\Omega}{LJ} = \Omega/J \frac{\phi}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\Omega/J)^2 + 16 \sin^2(\phi/2)}}.$$  

(15)

The overall direction of this chiral current has odd parity symmetry under $\phi \to -\phi$ or $\Omega \to -\Omega$, while the strength of the current vanishes at $\phi = 0$ (no magnetic flux) or $\phi = \pi$ (left-right reflection symmetry is restored) and tends to be strongest at around $\phi \approx \pi/2$.

We now turn to the strongly interacting regime. In Fig. 2(c) we plot numerically computed average current for a fixed $U/J = 30$. In the $U \gg J$ limit a Fermi-Hubbard model without driving or spin-orbit coupling at unit filling is in the Mott insulator regime, which would predict suppressed particle current and only spin transport because of the high energetic cost of forming a doublon. In the presence of the external synthetic gauge that breaks SU(2) symmetry this behavior is modified.

Interestingly, we still observe significant currents with a non-trivial dependence on $\Omega$, $U$ and $\phi$ even in the strong drive limit $\Omega \sim U \gg J$. We obtain the strongest response around $\Omega \approx U$ with a profile similar to the non-interacting case, but shifted to $\Omega = U$ instead of $\Omega = 0$. A small quench of drive strength from e.g. $\Omega = U - \epsilon$ to $U + \epsilon$ for $\epsilon \sim J$ can cause a macroscopic reversal of the current without breaking any obvious reflection symmetry in the Hamiltonian. Furthermore, there are several other points where the current undergoes non-trivial behavior or changes direction altogether. These special points are located at fractional values of $\Omega = U/2, U/3, \ldots$ and correspond to multi-body resonances. We show the average current as a function of both $\Omega/U$ and $\phi$ for fixed $U/J$ in Fig. 2(d); the resonant features persist across the parameter range, growing stronger or weaker depending on the flux and resonance in question.

A finite flux therefore enables the generation of particle transport and chiral currents in the limit where interactions would normally inhibit motion. Such behavior is best understood in the diagonal frame, which we will explore next.

C. Resonant dynamics of dressed states

From a physical perspective, the strength of particle flow along the legs of the synthetic ladder quantifies the longitudinal conductivity along the strip. In addition, the system can have motion along the synthetic dimension. Since the initial state is the dressed spin state $\uparrow$, which is a delocalized superposition of atoms along the synthetic dimension in the lab frame, a change in the $\uparrow$ dressed state popu-
tion during the dynamics is a measure of the transverse conductivity of the system which we proceed to study using the diagonal frame.

For generic flux the dressed spin atoms can undergo both spin-conserving tunneling with rate $J_q$, and spin-flipping tunneling with rate $J_L$. However, the actual motion is subject to kinetic constraints enforced by energy conservation. We consider the regime of $\Omega, U \gg J$ and $\Omega \sim U$ where the interactions and drive set the dominant energy scale. The resulting constraints are summarized in Fig. 3(a). In general, fermions are Pauli blocked from tunneling into occupied states. Furthermore, spin-conserving tunneling set by $J_q$ is only allowed if no doublon is created or destroyed, as otherwise it would incur a Hubbard interaction penalty $\pm U$. Spin-flipping tunneling $J_L$ of a lone atom into an empty site incurs an energy penalty $\pm \Omega$ from the drive and is also inhibited. However, depending on the ratio of $\Omega/U$ there can be a spin-flipping process that is enabled via resonance.

The simplest example is $\Omega = U$. If an $\uparrow$ atom tunnels into $\downarrow$ on a site that already has an $\uparrow$ atom of its own, forming a doublon, the total energy cost is $-\Omega + U = 0$ as shown in Fig. 3(a). This interaction-enabled tunneling process may occur freely, and two adjacent $\uparrow$ atoms can propagate via a leap-frog like process \cite{52}. An analogous process of two neighbouring $\downarrow$ atoms forming a doublon cannot occur since the associated cost is $+\Omega + U \neq 0$. This resonance causes the qualitative shift of the current profiles from $\Omega = 0$ to $\Omega = U$ [Figs. 2(b) and (c)]. At the resonance the dynamics are similar to those of free fermions, up to additional tunneling constraints since pairs of adjacent $\downarrow$ atoms cannot leap-frog move.

When one is instead close to the resonance but not exactly at it, there are high-order chiral tunneling processes in perturbation theory proportional to powers of $1/(U - \Omega)$ that change sign as the resonance is passed (for odd powers). This is the cause of the current direction reversal across the resonance.

Other resonances manifest through higher-order tunneling processes. For $\Omega = U/2$, there is a second order process where two adjacent $\uparrow$ atoms tunnel and flip to $\downarrow$, forming one doublon using a third $\uparrow$ atom, as in Fig. 3(a). The total cost of this process is $-2\Omega + U = 0$. The overall rate can be estimated using degenerate perturbation theory as $J_{\uparrow\uparrow} = 4J_L^2/J_\perp$, where the denominator is the energy of the intermediate state of the process and the $\times 2$ comes from the number of ways in which the two steps can be taken. Similarly at $\Omega = U/3$ we have a three-step resonance with approximate rate $J_{\downarrow\downarrow} \times J_{\uparrow\uparrow} = \frac{27J_L^3}{U}$ (with a factor of $\times 6$ since a three-step process can be ordered in 6 possible ways). In general we can predict resonances of the form $\Omega = \frac{q}{p}U$ for integer $p$, $q$, each corresponding to a $(p + q)$-atom process that moves $q$ atoms down from $\uparrow$ to $\downarrow$ and forms $p$ doublons. Resonances with $p > 1$ correspond to correlated processes where multiple doublons are formed in different, possibly distant parts of the lattice. Such resonances are less prominent, but can still manifest as non-monotonic features in the average dynamics if the system is evolved to sufficiently long timescales. Although they have a different physical origin, in many ways these resonances resemble the ones observed in the longitudinal resistance in the fractional quantum Hall effect, at fractional filling fractions as the magnetic field is changed.

We characterize these resonances by measuring the population of the initially filled dressed state $\langle \hat{n}_\uparrow \rangle = \sum \langle \hat{n}_{j} \rangle \langle \uparrow \rangle$. When far from any resonances, for
strong $\Omega \gg J$ the system will remain in the initial state due to energy conservation and no dynamics will occur. At a resonance, interaction-enabled tunneling will cause a reduction of the population over a timescale set by the corresponding rate, which is $J_{\perp}$ for the $\Omega = U$ resonance, $4J_{\perp}^2/U$ for the $\Omega = U/2$ resonance, $27J_{\perp}^3/U^2$ for $\Omega = U/3$, etc.

Fig 3(b) shows characteristic time evolution profiles of this population. In the long time limit of the relevant rate we see saturation to an average value $\langle \hat{n}_i \rangle_{\infty}$. We numerically compute this average for different $\Omega/U$, $\phi$ at fixed $U/J$ in Figs. 3(c-d). Increasing $\phi$ makes the resonances stronger and permits the resolution of higher-order ones because the spin-flip tunneling $J_{\perp}$ grows with $\phi$. There is also a resonance at $\Omega = 0$ that corresponds to conventional antiferromagnetic superexchange interactions; while there is no drive in that regime, the initial state is a spiral state in the lab frame with both $e$ and $g$ atom population which still exhibits dynamics.

All of these features are not exclusive to our specific quench; we have just chosen an initial state for which they are particularly prominent. For example, one could consider a different initial state such as $\prod_i c_{j_i,e}^\dagger |0\rangle = \prod_j \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \hat{a}_{j,\downarrow}^\dagger + \hat{a}_{j,\uparrow}^\dagger \right) |0\rangle$, which corresponds to all atoms in the lab frame spin state $e$ sitting in one leg of the ladder. Such a state is a superposition of Fock states with different $\uparrow$, $\downarrow$ configurations in the diagonal frame, some of which will have energetically allowed interaction-enabled tunneling processes and undergo dynamics.

\section{D. Relaxation}

The behavior of the long-time average dressed state population is determined by the system’s ability to equilibrate via tunneling. Away from resonance the system exhibits no dynamics because the initial state is disparate in energy from the rest of the spectrum and all tunneling is off-resonant, at least up to timescales that grow exponentially long with system size [53]. At resonance the interaction-enabled tunneling processes allow the system to relax by exploring the Hilbert space that is energetically accessible to it. We plot the average population close to various resonances in Fig. 4(a) for different flux values $\phi = \pi/2$ and $\phi = \pi$ and study their width. The results for the higher-order resonances appear irregular due to finite-size and finite-time effects, but are expected to become smooth in the limit of $L \to \infty$.

For $\phi = \pi$, the system can only have spin-flip tunneling $J_{\perp}$ while $J_{\parallel} = 0$. Each resonance has one allowed tunneling process under this constraint. For $\Omega = U/q$ with $q \in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots \}$ we flip $q$ atoms from $\uparrow$ to $\downarrow$ and form one doublon, as discussed in the previous section. This process is depicted for each resonance in Fig. 4(b) for clarity. The many-body dynamics of the system amount to the coherent superposition of this process occurring in parallel across the lattice. Thus, the widths of the resonances are set by the rate of the process, which is $J_{\perp}$ for $\Omega = U$, $4J_{\perp}^2/U$ for $\Omega = U/2$, $27J_{\perp}^3/U^2$ for $\Omega = U/3$. These widths are indicated by the blue dashed lines in Fig. 4(a) and show good agreement with the $\phi = \pi$ data.

The above discussion is valid at short times because the initial state is unit-filled and any spin-conserving tunneling is Pauli-blocked; the interaction-enabled spin-flip tunneling process is the only one that can occur at time $t = 0$. However, once an interaction-enabled tunneling process does occur, it leaves behind a hole. If other atoms can “move over” into this hole by a process that does not just undo the initial move, the resonant features will be broadened. For the $\Omega = U$ resonance, atoms can freely move into a hole using either spin-conserving tunneling $J_{\parallel}$ directly or interaction-enabled spin-flip tunneling $J_{\perp}$ via the leap-frog process shown in Fig. 4(c). The resonance width is then determined by both $J_{\parallel}$ and $J_{\perp}$, and is comparable for both studied flux values. Higher-order resonances require a finite $J_{\parallel}$ for atoms to move into an adjacent hole. The matrix element $J_{\parallel}$ is non-vanishing at $\phi = \pi/2$, and further broadens the associated resonances for that flux by an effective bandwidth $\sim J_{\parallel}$ in Fig. 4(a). This broadening is beneficial for the resolution of higher-order resonances in an experimental context, as otherwise they would become prohibitively narrow.

We note that the kinetic constraints upon the motion may further inhibit the ability of the system to explore even the resonantly accessible Hilbert space [54], especially for the higher order resonances. This can lead to frustration or Hilbert space fragmentation [55]. A full characterization of the relaxation behavior at the resonances requires the encoding of the specific constraints into conventional thermal predictions, which is an interesting pursuit but beyond the scope of the current work.

\section{III. SU(\textit{n}) SYSTEM}

\subsection{A. Fermi-Hubbard model}

We now move to the situation where the laser drive couples a larger number of $n > 2$ internal levels. The Hamiltonian maintains the same form as Eq. 1, only now involving $n$ spin flavors labeled by $m \in \{-S, -S + 1, \ldots, S\}$ with $S = (n - 1)/2$ the
size of the effective on-site spin. Such a system emulates a synthetic 2D strip of size $L \times n$. If all the internal levels experience the same lattice potential, the tunneling Hamiltonian takes the form,

$$\hat{H}_{\text{t}} = -J \sum_{j,m} \left( \hat{c}_{j,m}^\dagger \hat{c}_{j+1,m} + h.c. \right).$$

(16)

For the case of SU($n$) symmetric interactions, collisions between any pair of internal states are characterized by the same scattering length [4, 5, 56] and the on-site Hubbard repulsion can be written as,

$$\hat{H}_{\text{U}} = \frac{U}{2} \sum_{j,m,m'} \hat{n}_{j,m} \left( \hat{n}_{j,m'} - \frac{1}{n} \right).$$

(17)

When visualizing the internal levels as a synthetic ladder, the interactions become all-to-all along the synthetic direction and on-site along the lattice direction. The laser drive coupling the levels along the synthetic direction can be written in general as,

$$\hat{H}_{\text{\Omega}} = \sum_{j,m,m'} \Omega_{m,m'} e^{ij(m-m')\phi} \hat{c}_{j,m}^\dagger \hat{c}_{j,m'}. \quad \text{(18)}$$

The coefficient $\Omega_{m,m'}$ gives the strength of the coupling matrix element between spin states $m$ and $m'$. The phase $e^{ij(m-m')\phi}$ ensures that every plaquette of the synthetic lattice is pierced by a constant flux $\phi$ (see Section IV and Ref. [57] for details on experimental implementation). Fig. 5(a) shows this system in the lab frame. Note that experimentally realistic implementations will generally be constrained to couple spin states with $|m-m'| \leq 2$, because every change of $m$ by one requires an additional photon and laser coupling schemes tend to be two-photon at most. We keep generic laser couplings for now, and will provide specific realistic examples further on.

As in the $n = 2$ case, we make a gauge transformation to put the flux into the tunneling terms instead of the drive,

$$\hat{c}_{j,m} \rightarrow e^{ijm\phi} \hat{c}_{j,m}, \quad \text{(19)}$$

In this gauge the tunneling becomes,

$$\hat{H}_j = -J \sum_{j,m} \left( e^{im\phi} \hat{c}_{j,m}^\dagger \hat{c}_{j+1,m} + h.c. \right), \quad \text{(20)}$$

while the drive becomes,

$$\hat{H}_\Omega = \sum_{j,m,m'} \left( \Omega_{m,m'} e^{ijm\phi} \hat{c}_{j,m}^\dagger \hat{c}_{j,m'} + h.c. \right). \quad \text{(21)}$$

The interactions remain unchanged. The resulting system in the gauged frame is depicted in Fig. 5(b).

For a fixed $n$ we can again make a single-particle basis transformation to diagonalize the drive. If we treat the spin states as first-quantized states of angular momentum projection $|m\rangle$, this transformation can be written as,

$$\hat{W}^\dagger \left( \sum_{m,m'} \Omega_{m,m'} |m\rangle \langle m'| \right) \hat{W} = \sum_\nu E_\nu |\nu\rangle \langle \nu|,$$

(22)

where $\hat{W} = \sum_{m,m'} W_{m,m'} |m\rangle \langle m'|$ is the corresponding unitary and $\nu \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ indexes over the drive eigenstates with eigenenergies $E_\nu$. As a simple example to connect with the previous section, for the $n = 2$ case we have:

$$n = 2 : \sum_{m,m'} \Omega_{m,m'} |m\rangle \langle m'| = \Omega \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} \right),$$

(23)

$$\hat{W} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{array} \right),$$

in the basis of $\{|+\frac{1}{2}\rangle, |-\frac{1}{2}\rangle\}$ (equivalent to $\{e, g\}$).

Using this transformation, we define new dressed spin operators as

$$\hat{a}_{j,\nu} = \sum_m W_{\nu-S-1,m} \hat{c}_{j,m}^\dagger,$$

(24)
The resulting drive in the diagonal frame becomes,

$$\hat{H}_\Omega = \sum_{j,\nu} E_\nu \hat{n}_{j,\nu}. \quad (25)$$

The interactions maintain SU($n$) symmetry and keep the form $\hat{H}_U = \frac{U}{2} \sum_{j,\nu,\nu'} \hat{n}_{j,\nu} \hat{n}_{j,\nu'} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{n}_{j,\nu}$. The tunneling now contains generalized spin-flip terms,

$$\hat{H}_J = \sum_{j,\nu,\nu'} \left( J_{\nu,\nu'} \hat{a}_{j,\nu} \hat{a}_{j+1,\nu'} + h.c. \right),$$

$$J_{\nu,\nu'} = -J \sum_m e^{i m \phi} W_{\nu,0-S-1,m} W_{\nu',S-1,m}, \quad (26)$$

with $J_{\nu,\nu'}$ the matrix element for an atom to tunnel one lattice site over and flip from dressed spin $\nu$ to $\nu'$. When $\phi = 0$, we only have spin-conserving tunneling $J_{\nu,\nu'} = -J \delta_{\nu,\nu'}$. For generic $\phi$, the strength of the various tunneling amplitudes will depend on both $\phi$ and the structure of the laser couplings $\Omega_{m,m'}$. Fig. 5(c) shows the system in the diagonal frame. This spin-flipping $\phi$-dependent tunneling has been described in the literature as a “flavor-orbital” coupling [40]. In our framework, having a generic $\phi \neq 0, \pi$ greatly enhances the connectivity of the system because any dressed spin can turn into any other dressed spin, thus enabling a much larger set of multi-body resonances.

While we wrote arbitrary coefficients $\Omega_{m,m'}$, realistic schemes will be more constrained. We will explore a scheme that gives clear insight on how the $n > 2$ systems behave in the strongly interacting limit. Specifically, we will consider couplings of the form $\Omega_{m,m+1} = \Omega_{m+1,m} = \frac{\Omega}{2} \sqrt{(S-m)(S+m+1)}$ and zero otherwise, with $\Omega$ an overall drive strength. This configuration yields a drive that looks like a spin-$S$ operator $\hat{S}^x$ in the gauged frame, if one treats the internal states as Zeeman levels of a spin-$S$ particle. The unitary transformation $W$ can be written as $W = e^{i \frac{\pi}{2} \hat{S}_y}$, which diagonalizes the drive by rotating it into $W^\dagger \hat{S}^y W = \hat{S}^x$. The resulting drive eigenenergies will be equally spaced by $\Omega$, with $E_\nu = \Omega (\nu - S - 1)$ if we label $\nu = 1 \ldots n$. In Fig. 5(d) we show the couplings and corresponding dressed state energies for an $n = 4$ system. The tunneling rates of the dressed states in the diagonal frame are shown in Fig. 5(e).

After studying the behavior of such a configuration, we will discuss how to experimentally imple-
ment it, as well as other more generic cases. Alternative distributions of the coupling, such as uniform couplings with periodic boundary conditions, have also been theoretically [58] and experimentally [18, 45] studied.

B. Chirality

As in the $n = 2$ case we study the quench dynamics of spin currents for a unit filled system $N/L = 1$ using exact numerical time-evolution. We initialize a state of all atoms in the highest-energy drive eigenstate,

$$|\psi_0\rangle = \prod_j a_{j,\nu=n}^\dagger |0\rangle.$$  

(27)

This initial state is delocalized along the synthetic ladder in the gauged frame and exhibits enhanced resonant features in the dynamics. We define the longitudinal current along each leg $m$ of the ladder,

$$\hat{I}_m = -i J \sum_j (c_{j,m}^\dagger \hat{c}_{j+1,m} - h.c.).$$  

(28)

We again look at the long-time averages $\langle \hat{I}_m \rangle_{\infty}$. The currents are scaled by the wavefunction amplitudes $|A_m| = |\langle m | \nu = n \rangle|$ of the initial state in each leg [see example in Fig. 5(d)] for visual clarity. We consider even $n$ since this allows for more natural implementation using fermionic atoms. Since the initial state is symmetric about $m \rightarrow -m$ and the system preserves this symmetry, we have $\langle \hat{I}_m \rangle = -\langle \hat{I}_{-m} \rangle$ and can thus focus on $m > 0$.

Fig. 6(a) shows the average currents in each leg for a range of $n$. As $n$ increases we observe a larger number of macroscopic spin flow reversals, corresponding to an increasing number of multi-body resonances. The flow becomes concentrated at the edges of the ladder, although the resonant features persist in all legs. We also notice that the system can settle into different chiral current patterns depending on the sign of the current in each leg. Fig. 6(b) shows snapshots of the average current in two such regimes for $n = 4$. The flow can either separate into staggered $L \times 2$ blocks when the $m = 1/2, 3/2$ legs have opposite sign of current, or generate a bulk flow if the sign is the same for both. Higher values of $n$ can generate even more complex patterns.

We can gain more intuition by considering the generalization of the shearing current studied in Section II B. We define the overall average chiral current as (still assuming $n$ even),

$$\langle \hat{I}_c \rangle = \sum_{m>0} \langle \hat{I}_m \rangle - \sum_{m<0} \langle \hat{I}_m \rangle,$$  

(29)

which is shown in Fig. 6(c). We observe that as $n$ increases, the overall profile of the current develops a universal shape aside from the emergence of additional resonances at smaller $\Omega/U$. For instance, near $\Omega/U = 1$ and $\phi \ll 1$ the current profiles look very similar for all $n$ up to overall prefactors, suggesting a unifying principle that emerges near that resonance for small flux.

To study this possibility further we look at the currents in each leg close to $\Omega = U$. Recall that for $n = 2$, the overall profile of the average chiral current was close to the non-interacting case, only shifted from $\Omega = 0$ to $\Omega = U$. We anticipate that similar behavior will occur for generic $n$. In Fig. 7(a) we compare the average currents near the $\Omega = U$ resonance to a non-interacting result shifted away from the resonance by the same amount, i.e. we compare a non-interacting system with $\Omega/J = 3$ to a strongly interacting one with $(\Omega - U)/J = 3$. We see that for legs deeper inside the bulk of the strip (smaller $|m|$, the average current of the strongly interacting system is well-captured by the equivalent non-interacting system as $n$ increases, especially for smaller flux $\phi$. For this particular resonance at $\Omega = U$, there are sufficiently many interaction-enabled tunneling processes that the dynamics resembles free fermions (at least for the observable in question), as we will further explain in Sec. III C.

General closed form analytic solutions for the non-interacting system such as the one in Eq. (15) are not as easy to obtain for $n > 2$ as they require the diagonalization of an $n \times n$ matrix. Still, numerical solutions for large $L$ can be straightforwardly computed, which offer insights on the scaling behavior of the system in the $L \rightarrow \infty$ and/or $n \rightarrow \infty$ limits. We can also make analytic predictions in certain limiting regimes. As an example, Fig. 7(b) shows the overall average chiral currents $\langle \hat{I}_c \rangle_{\infty}$ of the non-interacting and interacting systems near $\Omega = U$ for the same parameters as above. The two profiles match well as $\phi \rightarrow 0$. The non-interacting problem can be analytically solved in the limit of $\phi \ll 1/\Omega$, yielding:

$$\frac{\langle \hat{I}_c \rangle_{\infty}}{L} |_{\phi = 0} = \frac{n \times n!}{2^{n-1}[(n/2)!]^2} \frac{J}{\Omega} + \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{J}{\Omega}\right)^2\right).$$  

(30)

This Taylor expansion is valid for a non-negligible drive coupling $\Omega$ compared to the lattice tunneling rate $J$. From this expression we obtain a prediction for the interacting system by simply replacing $\Omega$ with $\Omega - U$:

$$\frac{\langle \hat{I}_c \rangle_{\infty}}{L} |_{\phi \gg J} = \frac{n \times n!}{2^{n-1}[(n/2)!]^2} \frac{J}{\Omega - U} + \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{J}{\Omega - U}\right)^2\right).$$  

(31)
Figure 6. (a) Average spin current in each leg $m$ for different synthetic dimension sizes $n$ across the parameter range of $\Omega/U$ and $\phi$. We scale the current along leg $m$ by the initial wavefunction probability amplitude $|A_m| = |\langle\psi_0|m \rangle|$ in that leg. Prominent resonant crossovers are highlighted with dashed lines. Only $m > 0$ legs are shown; the other legs are equal and opposite, $\langle \hat{I}_m \rangle = -\langle \hat{I}_{-m} \rangle$. The system size is $L = 9, 5, 4, 4$ for $n = 2, 4, 6, 8$ respectively. Interactions are fixed at $U/J = 30$. The system is evolved to time $tJ = 500$ before taking the average. (b) Snapshots of current profiles for the $n = 4$ system in two particular regions of parameter space indicated by the green and purple markers in panel (a). The size of the arrows is proportional to the scaled average current along that link; current across the periodic link is not shown. (c) Overall average chiral current obtained by summing over all legs (with no scaling factors) for the same parameters as (a).

The resulting slope with respect to $\phi$ can be interpreted as the linear response of the system to magnetic fields, as $\phi$ takes the role of a magnetic flux. The small flux limit can be viewed as the continuum limit where the size of the lattice spacing becomes infinitely small. This is a rare case of a strongly interacting system with non-trivial long-time dynamics that can be described via a simple analytic expression.

If we consider a different resonance such as $\Omega = U/2$, a comparison to the non-interacting system no longer suffices because more complex constraints upon the tunneling dynamics emerge. We can gain a better understanding of such a resonance by again turning to the diagonal frame and examining the dressed state population dynamics.

C. Many-body resonant dynamics

Like $n = 2$, the initial state used in our study corresponds to all atoms in the highest-energy dressed state $\nu = n$. When near resonances the population of this state will be depleted by interaction-enabled tunneling transferring atoms into other dressed states. To quantify this depletion we numerically compute the long-time average of the population $\langle \hat{n}_{\nu=n} \rangle = \sum_j \langle \hat{n}_{j,\nu=n} \rangle$, still in the strongly interacting regime $U/J \gg 1$, across the parameter range of $\Omega/U$ and $\phi$.

Figs. 8(a-b) plot the resulting population for an $n = 4$ system and fixed $U/J = 30$. There is a variety of multi-body resonances that occur at rational
points $\Omega = \frac{2}{q}U$ for $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p \leq q$. For larger $n$ the number and strength of resonances that can be observed on the timescales used in our numerics increases. Processes that were higher-order for $n = 2$ can now become direct. For example, the $\Omega = U/2$ resonance is no longer perturbative as an atom can go from $\nu = 4$ to $\nu = 2$ via the $|J_{4,2}\rangle$ tunneling matrix element directly, losing energy $2\Omega$ and compensating it by the interaction energy $U$. There are also resonances for which multiple types of first-order processes occur at once. The $\Omega = U$ resonance can still form a doublon with a single tunneling event, but now can also turn a doublon into a triplon, or a triplon into a quadruplon as shown in Fig. 8(c). This yields an interesting behavior where the occupancy of a lattice site does not inhibit the flow of population through it unless all $n$ levels have already been filled, almost analogous to a non-interacting system. It is for this reason that we find good qualitative agreement with the non-interacting case for the currents in Fig. 6(d) near this resonance.

Resonances that exclusively create higher-order objects can also be seen. For example the $\Omega = \frac{3}{5}U$ resonance corresponds to a second-order process that starts with three $\nu = 4$ atoms on three adjacent sites, and moves the outer two into the middle site’s $\nu = 1, 2$ states with a total cost of $-3\Omega - 2\Omega + 3U = 0$, forming a triplon (interaction cost $3U$) as depicted in Fig. 8(d). We demonstrate this property in Fig. 8(e), which compares the fraction of lattice sites with exclusively two and exclusively three atoms; the latter is much higher at the this resonance. This is why we only see fractions with numerator 3 and not 2 in Fig. 8(a); all such fractions correspond to triplon resonances. While there are resonances of the form $\Omega = \frac{q}{n}U$, these amount to the formation of doublons in different parts of the lattice and are harder to resolve in the numerics. All such features can be intuitively understood by writing the system in the diagonal frame and determining which spin-flip tunneling processes conserve energy.

The width of the resonances can be understood

Figure 7. (a) Average scaled current in different legs $m$ close to the $\Omega = U$ resonance for $n = 2, 4, 6, 8$, fixing $U/J = 30$ and $\Omega/J = 33$. The dots show the numerically computed data for the strongly interacting system, sizes $L = 9, 5, 4, 4$ as before, evolved to time $tJ = 500$. The dashed line is the corresponding average for a non-interacting system $U = 0$ with the same initial condition and effective drive strength $\Omega/J = 3$, the same distance from the $\Omega = U$ resonance assuming that it is shifted from $\Omega = U$ to $\Omega = 0$. (b) Average chiral current for the same resonance in the $\phi \ll 1$ limit. The solid lines are a linear approximation with a slope given by Eq. (30).

Figure 8. (a) Long-time average population of the initially populated dressed state $|n_\nu = 4\rangle_\infty$ for an $n = 4$ system as a function of $\Omega/U$. The interactions are fixed at $U/J = 30$, the system size at $L = 5$ and the flux at $\phi = 2.0$ [we use this flux rather than a simpler value like $\pi/2$ to avoid accidental cancellations of dressed tunneling matrix elements in Eq. (26)]. Resonances at $\Omega = \frac{1}{2}U$ are labelled by their $\frac{p}{q}$ values. (b) Long-time average population for the same system across the parameter space of $\Omega/U$ and $\phi$. The purple line corresponds to panel (a). (c) Allowed spin-flip tunneling processes at the $\Omega = U$ resonance. Doublons, triplons and quadruplons can all be formed. (d) Two-step resonant process at the $\Omega = 3U/5$ resonance, forming a triplon. (e) Time-evolution of fraction of lattice sites with exclusively two atoms (Doublon) and three atoms (Triplon) at the $\Omega = 3U/5$ resonance, for parameters $L = 6$, $U/J = 30$, $\Omega/J = 18$.
from the same arguments as before. All direct resonances will have their widths set by the tunneling matrix elements (for \( n = 4 \) these are \( \Omega = U, U/2, U/3 \) with rates \( J_{4,3}, J_{4,2}, J_{4,1} \)). Higher-order resonances have effective rates that can be estimated with perturbation theory. For example, the \( \Omega = U/4 \) resonance for \( n = 4 \) requires a two-step process that involves two atoms going down a total of four levels and forming one doublon. This can be done by one atom going from \( \nu = 4 \to 1 \) and another from \( 4 \to 3 \), or both going from \( 4 \to 2 \). The corresponding processes would have rates of \( \frac{J_{4,4}}{3U+U^2} J_{4,3} \times 4 \) and \( \frac{J_{4,2}}{2U+U^2} J_{4,2} \times 2 \) respectively (each having combinatorial factors for the ordering of the steps). The overall rate can be estimated by summing these together. These bare widths are then broadened by an effective bandwidth proportional to the spin-conserving tunneling rates \( J_{\nu,\nu} \) of the dressed states involved in the resonance.

Another interesting insight is that, as discussed for \( n = 2 \), the kinetic constraints can create a lack of connectivity within the resonantly accessible Hilbert space. Depending on the initial state the dynamics can be stuck in some region for many moves, which causes a lack of conventional relaxation. Given a truly infinite amount of time, off-resonant processes should allow the system to relax into a conventional thermal state described by a canonical ensemble provided that the system size is sufficiently large. However, at finite time the reduced connectivity causes the population to instead relax via a series of pre-thermal plateaus. A given pre-thermal plateau appears at a time scale set by a specific order of resonance, and higher-order resonances lead to plateaus at later times.

To demonstrate this behavior, we study the time-evolution of the population at a resonance \( \Omega = U/2 \) for \( n = 4 \). At short times, the first-order resonance determines the dynamics with interaction-enabled tunneling between \( \nu = 4, 2 \) (analogous to the \( \Omega = U \) resonance forming leap-frogging doublons for \( n = 2 \)), which depletes the \( \nu = 4 \) state population and causes saturation on a timescale \( t|J_{4,2}| \gtrsim 1 \) [Fig. 9(a)]. At longer times, there is also a second-order resonance that brings two atoms down from \( \nu = 4 \to 3 \) (analogous to the \( \Omega = U/2 \) resonance for \( n = 2 \)), which causes a further depletion in the average population on a timescale of \( \frac{4|J_{4,3}|^2}{U} \gtrsim 1 \) [Fig. 9(a)].

In Fig. 9(b) we plot the population’s time evolution across a wide temporal range. The corresponding pre-thermal plateaus for the two processes described above are observed to be established on the predicted timescales. We also plot a conventional thermal prediction obtained with a canonical ensemble thermal average, computed via

\[
\langle \hat{n}_4 \rangle_\infty \approx \text{tr}(\rho_{th} \hat{n}_4) \quad \text{where} \quad \rho_{th} = e^{-\beta \hat{H}} / \text{tr}(e^{-\beta \hat{H}})
\]

\( \beta \) is an effective inverse temperature numerically determined by matching to the energy of the initial state, \( \langle \psi_0 | \hat{H} | \psi_0 \rangle = \text{tr}(\rho_{th} \hat{H}) \). Neither of the first two plateaus are close to this thermal prediction. For a sufficiently large system we expect to saturate to the thermal value in the true infinite time limit, although for the small numerically-accessible system sizes used such saturation may never occur because the kinetic energy is insufficient.

We note that much of the above discussion does not require a drive with equally spaced eigenergies \( E_\nu \). Each distinct energy difference \( \Delta E_{\nu,\nu'} = E_\nu - E_{\nu'} \) will have an associated tunneling process (and hence first-order resonance) provided that the flux \( \phi \) does not cause the associated matrix element \( J_{\nu,\nu'} \) to vanish. Higher-order processes can be constructed by composing multiple energetically-allowed moves. Having unequally spaced energies can even help with avoiding different processes acting simultaneously, such as the situation depicted in Fig. 8(c), if one wishes to isolate a specific type of correlated motion.

Figure 9. (a) Dominant resonant processes for an \( n = 4 \) system at the \( \Omega = U/2 \) resonance for our initial state with all atoms in the highest-energy dressed state \( \nu = 4 \). The typical rates for each process are shown. Other processes with similar rates can also occur, although they require additional moves to become allowed starting from this initial state. (b) Time-evolution of the dressed state population. System size is \( L = 6 \), parameters are \( U/J = 30, \Omega/J = 15, \phi = 2.0 \). The system first saturates to an average determined by the first-order resonant process (dotted red line added to guide the eye), then at later times to a different average that incorporates the second-order process (dotted purple line). The associated timescales \( t|J_{4,3}| = 1 \) and \( t|J_{4,3}|^2 / U = 1 \) are shown by the dashed red and purple lines respectively. The orange line is a conventional thermal prediction \( \langle \hat{n}_4 \rangle_\infty \approx \text{tr}(\rho_{th} \hat{n}_4) \), with the inverse temperature \( \beta \) numerically obtained by matching the energy of the initial state.
IV. EXPERIMENTAL IMPLEMENTATION

A. Drive implementation

In this section we will describe how the models we study can be implemented in optical lattice experiments using ultracold alkaline earth atoms. Such systems feature long-lived ground and metastable excited states with zero electronic angular momentum, rendering them robust to perturbations such as stray magnetic fields. Experiments with alkaline earth atoms loaded in 3D optical lattices have also shown coherences times longer than 10s [59], which enables the resolution of long-time average behavior.

For the simplest $n = 2$ case, the spin states $m \in \{c, g\}$ can be represented by long-lived clock states in a magic wavelength lattice. The laser drive can be realized with a direct optical transition [12–14]. The flux arises from the projection of the clock laser wavevector $\vec{k}_c$ onto the lattice, $\phi = a|\vec{k}_c| \cos(\theta)$ with $a$ the lattice spacing and $\theta$ the angle of the laser to the tunneling direction. We consider lattice depths of $\sim 10E_r$ along the tunneling direction and $\sim 100E_r$ along transverse directions (with $E_r$ the recoil energy), leading to interactions on the order of $U \sim 1$ kHz and tunneling on the order of $J \sim 10$–100 Hz for typical candidate AEs such as $^{87}\text{Sr}$. The laser drive Rabi frequency would need to be comparable to the interactions, $\Omega \sim 1$ kHz.

For generic $n \geq 2$, the spin states $m \in \{-S, \ldots, S\}$ can also be realized as the levels of a hyperfine manifold, for which the drive can be implemented using Raman lasers [11, 15–18]. The lattice depth, tunneling and interaction strengths should be on the same scales as listed above; for the drive, the two-photon Rabi frequency of the Raman couplings would need to be on the order of $\sim 1$ kHz to match the interactions. The specific form of the couplings depends on the beam configuration. We will first discuss a simple scheme realizing “nearest-neighbour” couplings $\Omega_{m,m+1}$ along the synthetic dimension. Afterwards, we will show a more complex scheme generating the $S^x$ drive with equally-spaced eigenenergies that we studied in preceding sections.

We show a sample configuration of Raman beams in Fig. 10(a). Our proposed drive schemes will use some/all of these beams. There is a linear polarized beam (labelled $\Omega^0$) orthogonal to the lattice direction, and a pair of right-circular ($\Omega^+$) and left-circular ($\Omega^-$) polarized beams pointed in equal and opposite directions at an angle $\theta$ to the lattice direction, along the quantization axis of the system. The beams couple a ground electronic hyperfine manifold of $n$ states with total angular momentum $S = (n - 1)/2$ and projection $m \in \{-S, \ldots, S\}$, which will be used as the synthetic dimension, to an excited electronic hyperfine manifold with total angular momentum $S'$. Each beam has wavevector magnitude $|\vec{k}_L|$ and a detuning $\Delta$. The on-site single-particle Hamiltonian describing this laser configuration is,

$$\hat{H}_\text{Raman} = \hat{H}_\Delta + \hat{H}_\Omega^0 + \hat{H}_\Omega^+ + \hat{H}_\Omega^-,$$

where $\hat{H}_\Delta$ is the detuning,

$$\hat{H}_\Delta = \Delta \sum_m |S', m\rangle \langle S', m|,$$

while the other terms are the laser couplings,

$$\hat{H}_\Omega^0 = \sum_m (\Omega^0_m |S, m\rangle \langle S', m| + \text{h.c.}) ,$$

$$\hat{H}_\Omega^+ = \sum_m (\Omega^+_m |S, m\rangle \langle S', m + 1| + \text{h.c.}) ,$$

$$\hat{H}_\Omega^- = \sum_m (\Omega^-_m |S, m\rangle \langle S', m - 1| + \text{h.c.}) .$$

The single-photon coupling matrix elements are

$$\Omega^0_m = \Omega^0 \langle S, m; 1, 0|S', m\rangle ,$$

$$\Omega^\pm_m = \Omega^\pm \langle S, m; 1, \pm 1|S', m \pm 1\rangle e^{\pm ij\phi} ,$$

where $\Omega^0, \Omega^\pm$ are overall strengths set by the laser power and $\langle S, m; 1, 0|S', m\rangle , \langle S, m; 1, \pm 1|S', m \pm 1\rangle$ are Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. The right- and left-circular polarized lasers also have spin-orbit coupling phases $e^{+ij\phi}$ and $e^{-ij\phi}$ respectively. The flux is $\phi = a|\vec{k}_L| \cos \theta$. In principle each beam can have an independent angle to the lattice direction (and hence spin-orbit coupling phase); we choose the configuration in Fig. 10(a) because it is simpler while still allowing implementation of the desired schemes.

We show how to generate simple nearest-neighbour couplings along the synthetic dimension in Fig. 10(b). This scheme uses the linear and right-circular polarized beams $\hat{H}_\Omega^0, \hat{H}_\Omega^+$. For large detuning $|\Delta| \gg |\Omega^0_m|, |\Omega^+_m|$ we adiabatically eliminate the excited manifold $S'$ and obtain an effective two-photon coupling between the ground hyperfine states given by $\Omega_{m,m+1} = \Omega^0_m + \Omega^+_m/\Delta$ (in the gauged frame). Each state also experiences Stark shifts given by $\Omega_{m,m} = (|\Omega^0_m|^2 + |\Omega^+_m|^2)/\Delta$. While these couplings are not the same as the ones we studied in the previous sections, similar resonant physics can still be obtained because the main factor determining the resonances is the eigenspectrum $E_\nu$ of the drive. The overall energy scale of $E_\nu$ can be defined as $\Omega = \Omega^0 + \Omega^+$. The splitting between the drive eigenstates can be tuned by controlling the ratio of the overall laser intensities $\Omega^+/\Omega^0$. 

Fig. 10(b) shows the spectrum for a sample system with \( n = 4 \) levels in both the ground and excited manifolds (\( S = S' = 3/2 \)). By tuning the intensity ratio, one can change the dressed state energies and thus the permitted resonances.

We can also realize the \( \hat{S}^x \) drive which has equally-spaced eigenenergies and couplings \( \Omega_{m,m+1} = \frac{\Omega}{2} \sqrt{(S-m)(S+m+1)} \), with a stroboscopic protocol as discussed in Ref. [57]. We depict this scheme in Fig. 10(c). The scheme employs two beam configurations which are alternated for equal time intervals \( dt \) much shorter than the timescales of the lattice dynamics. Specifically, we use \( \hat{H}_{\Omega(1)} \) and \( \hat{H}_{\Omega(2)} \) (still with a large detuning throughout), given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{H}_{\Omega(1)} &= \hat{H}_{\text{Raman}} \quad \text{with} \quad \Omega^0 = 0, \quad \Omega^+ = -\Omega^-, \\
\hat{H}_{\Omega(2)} &= \hat{H}_{\text{Raman}} \quad \text{with} \quad \Omega^+ = \Omega^- = -\sqrt{2} \Omega^0.
\end{align*}
\]

These effectively implement (after adiabatically eliminating the excited manifold) the following drive couplings:

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{H}_{\Omega(1)} &\approx +\Omega (\hat{S}^x)^2, \\
\hat{H}_{\Omega(2)} &\approx -\Omega (\hat{S}^x)^2 + \Omega \hat{S}^x, \\
\Omega &= \frac{8(\Omega^+)^2}{15\Delta}.
\end{align*}
\]

Here \( \Omega \) is the effective two-photon Rabi frequency for this implementation, and the flux is still set by \( \phi = a|\hat{\mathcal{E}}_\perp| \cos \theta \). Stroboscopically alternating these two Hamiltonians yields an effective drive \( \Omega \hat{S}^x \) as desired.

**B. State preparation and measurement**

Preparing the initial state \( |\psi_0\rangle \) is possible with either a single-particle adiabatic ramp, or a pulse sequence. The ramp protocol is shown for the simplest \( n = 2 \) case in Fig. 11(a). The system is initialized with all atoms in the lab frame spin state \( g \). Then, the drive laser is turned on, with an initially large negative detuning \( \delta < 0 \) corresponding to a Hamiltonian term \( \hat{H}_J = \frac{\delta}{2} \sum_j (\hat{n}_{j,c} - \hat{n}_{j,g}) \), which is subsequently ramped to \( \delta = 0 \). This adiabatically transfers every atom to the dressed state \( \uparrow \), realizing the required spiral state (in the lab frame). To prevent tunneling dynamics during state preparation, one can start with a very deep lattice such that \( J \) is negligible on the timescale of the ramp, and reduce the depth after preparation is done.

For \( n > 2 \), state preparation is still possible with a single-particle adiabatic ramp. To do so all of the \( n \) hyperfine levels must be split in energy, which is not possible with a single laser detuning as was shown for \( n = 2 \), but can be realized with for example a magnetic field ramp,

\[
\hat{H}_B(t) = B(t) \sum_{j,m} m \hat{n}_{j,m}.
\]

The scheme starts with a spin polarized state with
all atoms in a single hyperfine level \( m = +S \) [the highest-energy state provided \( B(0) > 0 \)] and a large field \( |B(0)| \gg |\Omega| \). The field is then ramped down to \( B = 0 \), yielding an eigenstate of the drive with the highest energy \( \nu = n \). To test this numerically, we use the sample coupling scheme in Fig. 10(b). We fix the amplitudes \( \Omega^+ \), \( \Omega^- \) and numerically simulate an adiabatic ramp of \( H + H_B(t) \) for a candidate ramp profile in Fig. 11(b), showing that one can initialize most atoms in a single drive eigenstate as required.

As an alternative to an adiabatic ramp, for an \( \hat{S}^x \) drive [realized using a direct laser coupling for \( n = 2 \) or the stroboscopic scheme in Fig. 10(c) for \( n > 2 \)] one can create an initial state \( |\psi_0\rangle \) with a single laser pulse followed by a phase skip. This preparation is shown in Fig. 11(c) in the lab frame. One initializes all atoms in a single \( m = -S \) state, without any detuning or field splitting. The laser drive is then turned on for a time \( t\Omega = \frac{\pi}{2} \), realizing a \( \pi/2 \) pulse that rotates each spin onto the equator of the spin-\( S \) Bloch sphere. The phase of the laser drive is finally skipped ahead by \( \pi/2 \), which aligns its axis of rotation with the spin. This realizes the desired state \( |\psi_0\rangle \) with each atom in the highest-energy drive eigenstate.

For either preparation scheme, measurements of the initially populated dressed state can be performed by reversing the state preparation and measuring the population of the initially loaded hyperfine state using standard spectroscopy protocols. Measuring the currents is possible using spin-resolved time-of-flight measurements [11], exploiting that the current of a given leg \( m \) is given by \( \sum_k \sin(ka)\hat{n}_{k,m} \).

C. Imperfections

Here we provide a discussion of possible experimental imperfections, considering non-unit-filling, external trapping potentials, imperfect alignment of the lasers, and unequal tunneling rates.

One potential source of error is an imperfect filling fraction \( N/L < 1 \) at finite temperatures. However, the presence of holes in the initial state is not a major concern for the dynamical signals we study. Holes will allow for more tunneling (thus broadening the resonances), but will not inhibit the interaction-enabled processes completely. We verify this in Fig. 12(a-b), which plots the average chiral current and dressed state population for \( n = 2 \) in the presence of one or more holes in the initial
state (assuming the atoms that are present still get prepared in the highest-energy dressed state). The higher-order resonant features become weaker and broader while the Ω = 0 resonance (corresponding to the non-interacting resonance) becomes stronger; however, all of the features discussed previously can still be resolved.

Another physical mechanism which affects the resonances is harmonic trapping due to finite laser beam waist, which can be captured by a simple quadratic spin-independent potential:

\[
\hat{H}_{\text{trap}} = \omega_{\text{trap}} \sum_j (j - j_0)^2 \sum_m \hat{n}_{j,m},
\]

with \(\omega_{\text{trap}}\) the trap energy and \(j_0 = (L + 1)/2\) the center of the lattice. A harmonic trap will also cause broadening since the energy cost for resonant processes will become site dependent, but should not destroy the predicted resonances provided that the site-to-site trap energy differences near the center of the lattice are weak compared to the tunneling rates. This is confirmed in Fig. 12(c-d) which show the chiral current and dressed state population for different trap energies.

Yet another potential error source is imperfect control over the laser alignment angles. However, such misalignment will only cause small discrepancies in the flux \(\phi\), which should not significantly change the long-time dynamical behavior so long as the dressed state tunneling rates \(J_{\nu,\nu'}\) relevant to a desired resonance do not completely vanish if \(\phi\) is perturbed.

Finally, we have assumed equal tunneling rates \(J\) from all of the bare spin states \(m\). Any deviation from this regime due to e.g. non-magic lattices does not significantly alter our results even if the shifts are non-negligible. The dressed state tunneling rates \(J_{\nu,\nu'}\) are already sums over the independent tunneling matrix elements of the bare spin states in Eq. (26). An \(m\)-dependent tunneling rate would enter into the sum and modify the dressed state matrix elements \(J_{\nu,\nu'}\), but will not qualitatively alter the physics that we describe provided that the relevant \(J_{\nu,\nu'}\) do not vanish.

**V. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK**

We investigated the quench dynamics of driven and strongly interacting SU(\(n\)) fermions with one atom per site in synthetic flux ladders. We showed the emergence of rich interaction-induced multibody resonances at specific values of the Rabi drive, which induce particle flow and generate chiral currents with non-trivial patterns. Ladders involving larger number of internal levels exhibit more prolific spectra of resonances that manifest on shorter timescales (set by the tunneling rate) because of the presence of more types of energetically favourable processes. The resonances exhibit kinetically constrained quantum dynamics determined by the allowed types of tunneling, which can be intuitively understood in the diagonal frame. One advantage in this setup is that the atoms are highly mobile while still being subject to non-trivial density-dependent effects, which can allow the natural generation of exotic correlated states through dynamical evolution without needing to reach ultra-cold temperatures to prepare ground states or to engineer complicated many-body Hamiltonians or initial conditions.

An interesting future direction is to compare our theory results to systems that emulate more conventional 2D materials. Such systems would have a synthetic dimension coupling that is homogeneous rather than \(m\)-dependent (i.e. treating the synthetic dimension as a proper lattice dimension on its own right). Furthermore, unlike the all-to-all interactions in the synthetic direction due to SU(\(n\)) symmetry in our system, the interactions in real materials will be short-ranged in both directions. Theoretical comparisons to such systems are relevant to studies of the fractional quantum Hall effect. It is likely...
that the fractional resonances we see will still survive with shorter range interactions because we work in a basis of dressed states determined by diagonalizing the couplings along the synthetic dimension. These dressed states are delocalized along that dimension, which will cause even short-range interactions to effectively become long-range when the interaction Hamiltonian is written in the dressed basis.

The ability to isolate special types of resonant processes with this system also opens pathways to several other general applications in quantum simulation. For example the ability to exclusively form triplons without creating doublons enables the clean study of higher-order collisional interactions. By bringing the system to the corresponding resonance one can measure the effect of coherent three-body interactions [22] (which would shift the position of the resonance), or the associated incoherent loss rates (which would lead to faster atom number decay at the resonance). Such correlated processes are also integral to the simulation of dynamical gauge theories. One can understand the restriction of only forming triplons as an effective symmetry constraint on the permitted site occupancy. As a further extension, if the drive spectrum $E_\nu$ exhibits degeneracies one can have resonantly coupled subspaces with more than one state per site. This can lead to effective non-Abelian extensions of density-dependent tunneling models, where the mobile particles themselves have effective spin degrees of freedom within their dynamically constrained Hilbert space. Generating such a system is possible with a drive that resembles a $(\hat{S}^z)^2$ operator for example, which can be realized using the beam configurations discussed in the previous section following Ref. [57]. However, we delegate the study of such systems to future work.

Another avenue for future work is the study of these systems in higher spatial dimensions. We considered 1D chains (hence 2D ladders when including the synthetic dimension) for numerical simplicity. However, it is straightforward to extend these types of schemes to 2D or even 3D lattice configurations using 3D optical lattice experiments, simply by reducing lattice depth along more than one dimension. Conventional Raman coupling schemes translate in a straightforward manner; depending on the angle of the beams, each lattice direction will have its own associated flux $\phi_x$, $\phi_y$, etc.. All of the methodology that we have used will remain applicable, with separate spin-flip tunneling matrix elements along each dimension in the diagonal frame. Moreover, one can even tune the fluxes to allow certain types of resonant processes along one dimension but not another by tuning the associated tunneling matrix elements by the corresponding flux. Finally, all of the physics we have considered has been focused on measuring collective observables of the system (in the appropriate frame). State-of-the-art tools like optical tweezers and quantum gas microscopes for AEs allow for the preparation and site-resolved measurement of quench dynamics for non-uniform initial states [60–63]. This would allow to probe for example the collisional properties of multi-atom objects undergoing different types of interaction-enabled and/or direct (dressed spin-conserving) motion in a spatially resolved fashion.
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