Faraday Waves in a Low-Viscosity Fluid Covered with a Floating Elastic Sheet
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The standing surface waves in a rectangular vertically oscillating vessel filled with water (Faraday waves) in the presence of a floating elastic sheet are studied experimentally and theoretically. The threshold amplitude of the instability and the wavelength of the patterns are measured as a function of the frequency. A theoretical model based on Hamiltonian method is used to describe the system. Using the experimental attenuation coefficients, we see a very good agreement between the theory and the experiment for threshold amplitude. Also the dispersion relation obtained from the theory is consistent with experiments.

I. INTRODUCTION

When a vessel containing a liquid is subjected to vertical oscillation, nonlinear standing waves form on the liquid interface, which were first reported by Michael Faraday [1] and are called “Faraday Waves” after him. Many studies have been performed on Faraday waves, investigating their patterns [2–6], threshold amplitude for their formation, and their wavelength [3, 7, 8]. Other studies have investigated the effect of different parameters like the viscosity [9–11] and surface tension [12, 13] of the liquid, the filling depth, and the shape of the container [9, 14–18]. Several theories have been developed for the formation mechanism of the Faraday waves, namely the linear theory of an ideal fluid by Benjamin and Ureall [19], the linear theory of a viscous liquid by Kumar and Tuckerman [20], and the Lagrangian method of John Miles [21–25]. Experimental studies have been also performed on the subject [9, 23, 26–28].

In this paper, we have studied Faraday waves in a rectangular vessel filled with water, to which we added a floating thin elastic sheet. This eliminates the effect of surface tension and introduces elastic effects instead. Waves forming on floating elastic sheets have been studied in different researches, and have applications in stability analysis of ocean ice sheets or floating constructions [29–33]. We have studied the standing waves on a floating elastic sheet, which covers almost completely the water surface but is not pinned to the vessel. The presence of the sheet affects the dynamic of the system and changes the wavelength and threshold amplitude of the waves. We first propose a theoretical model to predict the wavelength and amplitude of the waves as a function of driving frequency. We then present the experimental results on the same problem and compare them with theory.

II. THEORY

A. Lagrangian

For modeling the system, we use the Lagrangian method used by John Miles [23, 24]. Considering a vorticity-free incompressible flow, the velocity field \( \vec{v} \) with respect to the oscillating liquid container can be written as the gradient of a scalar field \( \phi(\vec{r}, z; t) \), where \( x, y \) and \( z \) are the Cartesian coordinates and \( t \) is time. \( \phi \) is defined in the volume of the liquid, i.e.

\[
\vec{r} = (x, y) \in S, \quad -d < z < \eta, \tag{1}
\]

where \( S \) is the container cross section (a rectangle in our case), and \( \eta(\vec{r}; t) \) gives the vertical displacement \( z \) of the free surface points with respect to the plane of the level surface. \( \phi \) should satisfy

\[
\vec{v} = \nabla \phi, \tag{2}
\]

\[
\nabla^2 \phi = 0. \tag{3}
\]

For standing waves, we can separate the local and temporal variables of \( \eta \) and \( \phi \) as follows

\[
\eta(\vec{r}; t) = \sum_n \eta_n(t) \psi_n(\vec{r}), \tag{4}
\]

\[
\phi(\vec{r}, z; t) = \sum_n \phi_n(t) \chi_n(\vec{r}, z), \tag{5}
\]

where \( \eta_n \) and \( \phi_n \) are generalized coordinates, and \( \psi_n \) and \( \chi_n \) are orthogonal eigenfunctions in the linear approximation. [23].

The normal velocity on the bottom and walls of the container must be zero, thus we have the boundary condition

\[
\hat{n}.\nabla \phi = 0 \tag{6}
\]

on this area. The dynamic boundary condition on the free surface reads

\[
v_z = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \nabla \eta. \tag{7}
\]
In the linear approximation, the last term is negligible and we can write the equation to the leading term as
\[
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \approx \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \tau}, \quad (z = \eta(\hat{r}) \approx 0).
\] (8)

Considering the container to have a rectangular cross section with walls at \( x = 0, x = L_x; y = 0, y = L_y \) and \( z = -d \), one can write \( \phi \) as a Fourier series and obtain the form of functions \( \chi_n \) as
\[
\chi_n = A_n \cos(k_{nx}x) \cos(k_{ny}y) \times \cosh(k_{nz}z + d),
\]
\[
k_n = (k_{nx}, k_{ny}) = \left(\frac{n\pi}{L_x}, \frac{n\pi}{L_y}\right).
\] (9)

Substituting equation 9 in equation 8, we will have
\[
(\nabla^2 + k_n^2) \psi_n = 0,
\] (10)

Using the variational principle, one can write
\[
\phi_m = \sum_{j,l} \kappa^{-1}_{ml} d_{jl} \dot{\eta}_j,
\] (11)

where
\[
d_{mn} = S^{-1} \int dS(\chi_n)_{z=\eta} \psi_m,
\] (12)
\[
\kappa_{mn} = S^{-1} \int dS \int dz\nabla \chi_m \cdot \nabla \chi_n.
\] (13)

Then we can calculate the Lagrangian of the system as a function of generalized coordinates \( \eta_n \) by writing \( T_0 \), the kinetic energy and \( V_0 \), the potential energy as [21]
\[
T_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n} \rho S a_{mn} \dot{\eta}_m \dot{\eta}_n,
\] (14)
\[
V_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n} \rho S g \eta_n^2,
\] (15)

where \( \rho \) is the fluid density, \( g' \) is the sum of gravitational acceleration \( g \) and container acceleration \( \ddot{z}_0 \), and \( a \) is a symmetric matrix defined as
\[
a = dk^{-1}d',
\] (16)
The elements of \( a \) can be expanded as taylor series of \( \eta_n \).
\[
a_{mn} = \delta_{mn} a_m + \sum_{l} a_{lmn} \eta_l + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,l} a_{jlmn} \eta_j \eta_l + ..., \] (17)

where
\[
a_n = k^{-1} \coth k_n d \equiv \frac{g}{\omega_n^2},
\] (18)

and other series coefficients are related to correlation integrals \( C \) and \( D \)
\[
a_{lmn} = C_{lmn} - D_{lmn} a_m a_n,
\] (19)
\[
a_{jlmn} = -D_{jllmn}(a_m + a_n) + 2D_{jmi}D_{lni}a_{lmna}, \] (20)

which are defined as follow [23].
\[
C_{lmn} = S^{-1} \int dS \psi_\eta \psi_m \psi_n dS,
\] (21)
\[
C_{jlmn} = S^{-1} \int dS \psi_\eta \psi_m \psi_n dS,
\] (22)
\[
D_{lmn} = S^{-1} \int dS \psi_\eta \nabla \psi_m \nabla \psi_n dS,
\] (23)
\[
D_{jlmn} = S^{-1} \int dS \psi_\eta \nabla \psi_m \nabla \psi_n dS.
\] (24)

Based on these equation, the Lagrangian is calculated as
\[
L = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n} a_n (\dot{\eta}_n^2 - \omega_n^2 \eta_n^2 - \ddot{z}_0 \eta_n^2) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l,m,n} a_{lmn} \eta_l \eta_m \eta_n
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j,l,m,n} a_{jlmn} \eta_l \eta_m \eta_n.
\] (25)

Now, we should incorporate the terms related to the elastic floating sheet into the Lagrangian. The elastic potential of the sheet reads
\[
V_{el} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n} K[\nabla^2 \eta_n^2] dS
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n} \int \left[ K[\nabla^2 \psi_m][\nabla^2 \psi_n] \eta_m(t) \eta_n(t) \right] dt dS
\] (26)
\[
= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n} K k_n^4 \eta_n^2 dS,
\]

where \( K \) is the bending rigidity of the sheet.

The potential energy due to the vertical displacement of the sheet can be written as
\[
V_{g} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l,m,n} g' \nabla \psi_m \cdot \nabla \psi_j \eta_l(t) \eta_n(t) \dot{\psi}_j(t) \eta_j(t) \eta_l(t)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l,m,n} g' D_{lmn} \eta_l(t) \eta_n(t),
\] (27)

where \( g' \) is the mass per unit area of the sheet.

The Kinetic energy of the sheet is given by
\[
T_s = \frac{\sigma}{2} \int [1 + |\nabla \eta|^2]^{1/2} \sqrt{\omega_n^2} dS
\]
\[
= \frac{\sigma}{2} \sum_{m,n} \int \sqrt{\omega_n^2} \chi_m \chi_n dS + \frac{\sigma}{4} \sum_{j,l,m,n} \int \sqrt{\omega_n^2} \chi_m \chi_n \times
\]
\[
\nabla \psi_j \cdot \nabla \psi_i \eta_j(t) \eta_i(t) \phi_n(t) \dot{\phi}_n(t) dS
\]
\[
= \frac{\sigma}{2} \sum_{m,n} S \phi_m \phi_n \kappa_{mn} + \frac{\sigma}{4} \sum_{j,l,m,n} S M_{jlmn} \phi_m \phi_n \eta_j \eta_i,
\] (28)

where
\[
M_{jlmn} = k_n^2 k_j^2 \eta_l \eta_m C_{jlmn} + D_{mnj} \kappa_m \kappa_n.
\] (29)
The elements of $\kappa'$ are defined as
\[ \kappa'_{mn} = \frac{1}{5} \int \nabla \chi_m \cdot \nabla \chi_n dS, \tag{30} \]
and be expanded in power series of $\eta$ as follows
\[ \kappa'_{mn} = \delta_{mn} (k_m^2 + k_n^2) + \sum_l [D_{lmn}(\kappa_m + \kappa_n + \kappa) ] \]
\[ + C_{lnn}(k_m^2 k_n + k_n^2 k_m)] \eta l + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,l} (D_{jlmn}(k_m + \kappa_n T_m) \]
\[ + C_{jlnn} k_n (k_m^2 + \kappa_n k_m)] \eta j \eta n + \cdots, \tag{31} \]
\[ \kappa_m = \delta^{-1}_{nm} k_m \tanh k_m d = k_m T_m. \tag{32} \]
Using equation 11, $T_s$ can be written as
\[ T_s = \frac{\sigma}{2} \sum_{m,n} b_{mn} \eta_m \eta_n + \frac{\sigma}{2} \sum_{j,l,m,n} r_{jlmn} \eta_j \eta_n \eta_m. \tag{33} \]
The matrix $\mathbf{b}$ and the coefficient $r$ are defined as follows
\[ b_{mn} = \sum_{j,l} \kappa'_{mj} \kappa^{-1}_{jl} a_{ln}, \tag{34} \]
\[ r_{jlmn} = \kappa^{-1}_{mn} M_{jlmn} a_{mn}. \tag{35} \]
The elements of $\mathbf{b}$ can also be expanded as
\[ b_{mn} = (k_n^2 a_n^2 + 1) \delta_{mn} + \sum_{l} b_{lmn} \eta_l \]
\[ + \sum_{j,l} b_{jlmn} \eta_j \eta_l \tag{36} \]
\[ b_{lmn} = (k_n^2 a_n^2 + 1) (a_{lnm} \kappa_n - 1) \]
\[ + 2(D_{lnm} + C_{lnn} k_n^2). \tag{37} \]
\[ b_{jlmn} = \frac{1}{2} a_{jlnm} (\kappa_n + k_n^2 a_n) + D_{jlmn} a_n (k_m a_n + T_m) \]
\[ + C_{jlnn} k_n (k_m^2 a_n^2 + 1). \tag{38} \]
Since in equation 33 the last term has is $r_{jlmn} \times O(\eta^4)$, we keep only the leading term of $r_{jlmn}$, which is
\[ r_{jlmn} = 2(D_{jlmn} + C_{jlmn} k_n^2 a_n^2) \delta_{mn}. \tag{39} \]
Defining $\gamma \equiv \sigma / \rho$, the Lagrangian of the system with the elastic sheet divided by $\rho S$ is hence given by
\[ \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{\rho S} (T - V) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,l,m,n} \left( a_{mn} + \gamma b_{mn} + \frac{\gamma}{2} r_{jlmn} \eta_j \eta_l \right) \eta_j \eta_l \]
\[- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n} \left( g' \delta_{mn} + \frac{K k_n^4}{\rho} \right) \eta_m \eta_n \tag{40} \]
Substituting the expansions and keeping up to the second order, we have
\[ \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \frac{a_n}{2} \left[ 1 + \gamma k_n^2 a_n \right] \eta_n^2 - \omega_n^2 \eta_n^2 \]
\[- \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \left[ \left( \frac{z_0}{\rho} + \frac{K k_n^4}{\rho} \right) \eta_n^2 + \gamma \eta_n^2 \right], \tag{41} \]

### B. Threshold Amplitude

Miles showed that if the reservoir oscillates vertically with the angular velocity $2 \omega$ and amplitude $a_0$
\[ z_0 = a_0 \cos 2 \omega t, \tag{42} \]
for low amplitudes $\omega^2 |a_0| \ll g$ in the nonlinear regime, only the harmonic and sub-harmonic responses are excited, with slowly varying amplitudes \[25\]. Hence, each mode $\eta_n$ can be written as
\[ \eta_n = \delta_{in} \lambda \left[ p(\tau) \cos \omega t + q(\tau) \sin \omega t \right] \]
\[ + \frac{\lambda^2}{a_1} \left[ A_n(\tau) \cos 2 \omega t + B_n(\tau) \sin 2 \omega t + C_n(\tau) \right], \tag{43} \]
where $p, q, A_n, B_n$ and $C_n$ are the slowly varying amplitudes and
\[ \epsilon = \frac{a_0}{a_1}, \quad 0 < \epsilon \leq 1, \tag{44} \]
\[ \tau = \epsilon \omega t, \tag{45} \]
and $\lambda = O(\epsilon^{1/2} a_1)$ is a length scale. Putting this in the Lagrangian, we can write the action integral in one period and divide it to the period to find
\[ \langle \mathcal{L} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} g \lambda^2 \left[ \beta (p^2 + q^2) + (1 + \gamma (a_1 k_n^2 + a_n^2)) \right] \]
\[- (\dot{p} \dot{q} - \dot{q} \dot{p}) + (p^2 - q^2) \]
\[ + \frac{g \lambda^4}{2a_1^2} \left[ \frac{1}{16} a_1 (p^2 + q^2)^2 (a_{1111} + \gamma b_{1111} + \frac{\gamma}{4} r_{1111}) \right] \]
\[ + \sum_n \left\{ \frac{\Omega_n}{2} (A_n^2 + B_n^2) - C_n^2 (1 + k_n^4 l_n^2) \right\} \]
\[ + \left( a_{1111} + \gamma (b_{1111} + b_{111}) - \frac{1}{4} (a_{1111} + b_{111}) \right) \]
\[ \times (A_n (p^2 - q^2) + 2 B_n pq) + \frac{C_n}{2} (a_{1111} + b_{111}) (p^2 + q^2) \right]. \tag{46} \]

In the above equation, dot means the derivative with respect to $\tau$ and parameters $\beta, l_s$ and $\Omega_n$ are defined as follows.
\[ \beta = \frac{1}{2 \epsilon} \left[ \left( 1 + \gamma (a_1 k_n^2 + a_n^2) \right) \omega^2 - \omega_1^2 - k_n^4 l_n^4 \right], \tag{47} \]
\[ \Omega_n = \frac{a_n}{a_1} \left( 1 + \gamma (a_n k_n^2 + a_n^{-1}) \right) - k_n^4 l_n^4 - 1, \tag{48} \]
\[ l_s = \left( \frac{K}{\rho g} \right)^{1/2}, \tag{49} \]

Using the principle of stationary action, $\langle \mathcal{L} \rangle$ should not change with variation of amplitudes $p, q, A_n, B_n$ and $C_n$. 


This condition gives the amplitudes as
\[ A_n = -\frac{(p^2 - q^2)}{\Omega_n} \left( a_{11n} + \frac{2}{(b_{11n} + b_{1n1})} \right) \]
\[ B_n = \frac{2pq}{\Omega_n} \left( a_{11n} + \frac{2}{(b_{11n} + b_{1n1})} \right) \]
\[ C_n = \frac{(a_{n11} + \gamma b_{n11})(p^2 - q^2)}{4(1 + k_n^4 k_s^2)} \]

Substitution of the amplitudes in the average Lagrangian, we obtain
\[ \langle L \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} (\dot{p}^2 - \dot{q}^2) \left[ 1 + \gamma (a_1 k_1^2 + a_1^{-1}) + H(p, q) \right] + O(\epsilon^2), \]
where the length scale \( \ell \) and the Hamiltonian \( H \) are defined as follows
\[ \ell = 2 \left( \frac{\epsilon}{|f|} \right)^{1/2} k_1^{-1} \tanh k_1 d, \]
\[ H(p, q) = \frac{1}{2} (\beta + 1)p^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\beta - 1)q^2 + \frac{s}{4}(p^2 + q^2)^2 \]
and \( s \) is
\[ s = \text{sgn}(f), \]
\[ f = \frac{a_1 \tanh^4 k_1 d}{2} \left\{ a_{11111} + \gamma b_{11111} + \frac{\gamma}{4} r_{1111} \right\} + \frac{(a_{n11} + \gamma b_{n11})^2}{1 + k_n^4 k_s^2} \]
\[ + \left\{ \frac{4a_{11n} + 8\gamma(b_{11n} + b_{1n1}) - (a_{n11} + \gamma b_{n11})^2}{8a_n [a_1(1 + k_n^4 k_s^2) - 1 - \gamma(a_1 k_1^2 + a_1^{-1})]} \right\}. \]

In order to take into account the viscous dissipation in the system, we have to add a dissipation function \( D \) to the Hamiltonian
\[ D = \frac{1}{2} \alpha (p^2 + q^2), \quad \alpha = \frac{\delta}{\epsilon}, \]
in which \( \delta \) is the damping ratio of a free wave of frequency \( \omega \). \[ D \]
The evolution equations are thus obtained as
\[ \dot{p} + \alpha p + (\beta - 1 + p^2 + q^2)q = 0, \]
\[ \dot{q} + \alpha q - (\beta + 1 + p^2 + q^2)p = 0. \]
For \( \alpha > 0 \), the only fixed point of the above equations, is the point \( p = q = 0 \), which corresponds to the nonlinear response of the system as a sub-harmonic internal resonance \[ D \]. To determine whether the fixed point is stable or not, we apply a small disturbance \( \propto \exp \sigma \tau \) and find the stability condition from the characteristic equation
\[ \Delta = \left| -\frac{\sigma + \alpha + 2pq}{\beta - 1 + p^2 + 3q^2} \right| = 0. \]
For \( p = q = 0 \), the solution of the characteristic equation is
\[ \sigma = -\alpha + (1 - \beta^2)^{1/2}, \]
and \( \sigma = 0 \) gives the neutral stability condition
\[ \beta = \pm(1 - \alpha^2)^{1/2}. \]
This condition gives us the threshold amplitude \( a_0 \) for the Faraday waves. Substituting equation \[ D \] and \[ D \]
we find
\[ a_0 = (k \tanh kd)^{-1} \left\{ \delta^2 + \left[ \frac{(1 + 2\gamma k \coth 2kd)\omega^2 - \omega^2}{2\omega^2} - \frac{k^4 K}{2pq} \right]^2 \right\}^{1/2}. \]

where
\[ \omega' = \omega(1 - \delta). \]

This equation becomes equivalent to the threshold for Faraday waves without the sheet in the limit of \( \sigma \to 0 \) and \( K \to 0 \) \[ D \].

\[ a_0 = (k \tanh kd)^{-1} \left\{ \delta^2 + \left[ \frac{\omega^2 - \omega'^2}{2\omega^2} \right]^2 \right\}^{1/2}. \]

As we expect, the threshold is higher in the presence of the elastic sheet, due to the effects of the weight and the elasticity of the sheet.

C. Dispersion Relation

To find the dispersion relation of the waves, we first write the kinetic and potential energies of the system. Using equations 14, 15, 26, 27 and 28 we can write
\[ T = \frac{1}{2} \int \rho v^2 dV + \frac{1}{2} \int \sigma v^2 dA, \]
\[ V = \frac{1}{2} \int \left[ \rho g \eta^2 + K(\nabla \eta)^2 \right] dS, \]

where \( dV \) is the volume element inside the liquid and \( dA \) is the surface element on the sheet. Using equation 2, we
have

\[ T = \frac{\rho}{2} \int \left| \bar{\nabla} \phi \right|^2 dV + \frac{\sigma}{2} \int \left[ 1 + \left( \bar{\nabla} \eta \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \left| \bar{\nabla} \phi \right|_{\vec{k}=q} dS. \]  

(66)

Then, we use the Fourier transforms of the functions \( \eta(\vec{r}; t) \) and \( \phi(\vec{r}, z; t) \), which are the continuous forms of equations 4 and 5.

\[ \eta(\vec{r}; t) = \int \frac{d\vec{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \hat{\eta}(\vec{k}; t) e^{i\vec{k}.\vec{r}}, \]  

(67)

and using 9 we have

\[ \phi(\vec{r}, z; t) = \int \frac{d\vec{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \hat{\phi}(\vec{k}; t) \cosh(k(z + d)) \text{ sech} kd e^{i\vec{k}.\vec{r}}. \]  

(68)

Substituting the above transforms into the boundary condition 8, we obtain a relation between the Fourier amplitudes as

\[ \hat{\phi}(\vec{r}; t) = \frac{\hat{\eta}_t(\vec{r}; t)}{k \tanh kd}, \]  

(69)

where \( \hat{\eta}_t \) is the partial derivative of \( \hat{\eta} \) with respect to \( t \). Writing the kinetic energy \( T \) in terms of \( \hat{\eta} \) up to the second order in \( \eta \), we derive

\[ T = \frac{\rho}{2} \int \frac{d\vec{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{|\hat{\eta}_t(\vec{k}; t)|^2}{k \tanh kd} + \frac{\sigma}{2} \int \frac{d\vec{k}}{(2\pi)^2} |\hat{\eta}_t(\vec{k}; t)|^2 (1 + \coth^2 kd). \]  

(70)

Likewise, the potential energy \( V \) is calculated up to the second order as

\[ V = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d\vec{k}}{(2\pi)^2} (\rho g + Kk^4) |\hat{\eta}(\vec{k}; t)|^2 \]  

(71)

Now, we form the Lagrangian with the constraint that the total surface area of the sheet is constant, using a Lagrange multiplier \( \Lambda \).

\[ L = T - V - \Lambda \int dA, \]  

(72)

where

\[ \int dA \approx \int \left[ 1 + \frac{|\bar{\nabla} \eta|^2}{2} \right] dS = \int \frac{d\vec{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{k^2}{2} |\hat{\eta}(\vec{k}; t)|^2 \]  

(73)

Thus the Lagrangian can be written as a Fourier transform as follows

\[ L = \int \frac{d\vec{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \left\{ \left[ \frac{\rho}{2k \tanh kd} + \frac{\sigma(1 + \coth kd)}{2} \right] |\hat{\eta}_t(\vec{k}; t)|^2 - \frac{\rho g + Kk^4 + \Lambda k^2}{2} |\hat{\eta}(\vec{k}; t)|^2 \right\}. \]  

(74)

The Euler-Lagrange equation for each Fourier mode then is written as

\[ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \hat{\eta}(\vec{k}; t)} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\hat{\eta}}(\vec{k}; t)} = 0, \]  

(75)

which gives

\[ \dot{\hat{\eta}}_{tt}(\vec{k}, t) = \frac{l_2(k)}{l_1(k)} \hat{\eta}(\vec{k}, t). \]  

(76)

Considering \( \hat{\eta}(\vec{k}, t) \) to be a product of two functions of \( t \) and \( k \)

\[ \hat{\eta}(\vec{k}, t) = Q(t)R(k), \]  

(77)

and supposing \( Q(t) \) to be a periodic function

\[ Q(t) = e^{iat}, \]  

(78)

we obtain

\[ \omega^2 = -\frac{l_2(q)}{l_1(q)}. \]  

(79)

Thus the dispersion relation reads

\[ \omega = \sqrt{\frac{\rho g + \Lambda k^4 + K k^5}{\rho \tanh kd + 2\sigma k \left( 1 + e^{\coth kd} \right)^2}}. \]  

(80)

The above equation gives the relation for the non-dissipative case. In order to take into account the viscous dissipation, we should replace \( \omega \) with \( \omega' \).

We should also note that equation 79 [23] is written in the continuous form, although we have quantized values for \((k_x, k_y)\) as seen in equation 9 for a bounded rectangle reservoir.
III. EXPERIMENTAL DATA

We performed experiments to validate our theoretical predictions of threshold amplitude and wavelength. We used a rectangular vessel with dimensions of 33.5 cm $\times$ 14.1 cm and a depth of 2 cm. The vessel was filled with water and a floating rectangular silicone sheet with the same dimensions and the thickness of 0.25 mm was put on the water. The vessel was placed on top of a horizontal speaker. We made oscillations with different frequencies and amplitudes using a function generator and an amplifier. The oscillation amplitude was measured accurately with the moire technique. We used two similar gratings, one attached to the vessel and another one parallel to it outside the speaker, with a small angle between their grating lines (Fig. 1). By filming the moire patterns with a fast CCD camera and tracing them with a Matlab code, we were able to measure the vertical displacement of the vessel during the time with an accuracy of 50 microns, which gave us the oscillation amplitude.

To measure the threshold amplitude, we first fixed the oscillation frequency and then increased the amplitude gradually, until we observed the Faraday waves. We used a stroboscope to measure the frequency of the waves in each experiment. We changed the stroboscope frequency until we saw a fixed pattern on the surface. We observed that the frequency of the Faraday waves was always half the oscillation frequency. The experimental values of the threshold amplitude as a function of the driving frequency are shown in Fig. 2.

In order to compare the experimental results with the theory, we must know the experimental value for $K$. Knowing the Young modulus $E$ and the Poisson ratio $\nu$ of the sheet, we can calculate the bending rigidity of a sheet with the thickness $h$ using the formula

$$K = \frac{Eh^3}{12(1-\nu^2)} \quad (81)$$

For elastomers, the Poisson ratio is about 1/2, which results from the incompressibility of these materials [35]. To measure the Young modulus, we used a simple longitudinal stress-strain experiment, which gave us $E = (1.8 \pm 0.1) \times 10^6$ Pa.

Another parameter we need to evaluate is the damping ratio $\delta$, defined as $\delta = \Gamma/\omega$, $\Gamma$ being the damping rate of the waves [22]. Henderson and Miles [23] showed that all the theoretical works give an unrealistic value for the damping rate, thus it is better to use the experimental value in the theoretical threshold formula. We used an almost vertical laser beam reflected from the surface, and projected the reflection on a vertical wall using a mirror. As shown in Figure 2, when there is no Faraday

FIG. 1. Schematic.

FIG. 2. The threshold amplitude of the waves vs. the angular frequency. Black diamonds: experimental data, and blue circles: theoretical relation.

FIG. 3. The projection of the laser beam reflected from the surface for (a) an amplitude less than the threshold and no Faraday waves, and (b) an amplitude just above the threshold.
wave formed, a light spot is seen on the wall, while a Lissajous-like curve forms in the presence of the waves. This enabled us to determine the exact threshold. In order to determine the damping rate, we filmed the Lissajous curve with a high enough frame rate (300 or 600 fr/s) to locate the laser spot in different frames. After the Faraday waves were formed, we turned off the speaker and let the waves damp. During the damping, the Lissajous curve became smaller. We plotted the vertical position of the laser spot as a function of time. As shown in Figure 3, first we have a sinusoidal curve (a), and after turning off the oscillations, it starts to decay exponentially (b). Fitting a function $y = A \sin(\omega t + \phi) \exp(-\Gamma t)$ to the experimental values, we found the damping rate $\Gamma$ for each frequency and hence calculated $\delta$ in equation 62.

![FIG. 4. A typical plot of the $y$ coordinate of the laser spot on the wall as a function of time. (a) the sinusoidal variation with time when the speaker is on; (b) the sinusoidal function times an exponentially decaying factor. Points represent the experimental values, and the curve is the fit.](image)

For measuring the wave number $k$, we used the method of Douady and Fauve [36]. We used the stroboscope as the light source to get a sharp picture and took pictures of the waves from above. For low frequencies, the patterns were regular and the wave numbers could be found easily (Figure 5(a)). For high frequencies, the patterns became irregular and the wavenumber was measured using the Fourier analysis (Figure 5(b)). Having all the necessary parameters, we can calculate theoretical amplitudes for each frequency. The results are shown in Figure 2.

![FIG. 5. The Faraday wave patterns for two different frequencies: (a) 8 Hz and (b) 25 Hz. The scale bars are 2 cm long.](image)

In this range, the formation of capillary waves at the interface menisci between the water and the vessel, and between water and the sheet leads to wave formation in very small amplitudes [37].

In Figure 6 we have compared the theoretical dispersion relation with the experiments. The inverse of relation 80 has been plotted as the theoretical curve, and has been compared to the experimental data derived from the pattern pictures. We see an overall good agreement. The difference between the theory and experiments is mainly due to the fact that the theoretical dispersion relation is obtained for an unbounded system and the modes have been considered as a continuous spectrum, while in the experiments we have the quantization of the modes due to the boundary conditions on the vessel sides.

**IV. CONCLUSION**

In this paper, we studied the Faraday waves forming in a system containing a thin elastic sheet floating on wa-
water in a rectangular vessel. The threshold amplitude was calculated theoretically by adding elastic terms to the John Miles theory. The theory was compared with experimental data and a very good agreement was observed between them. The dispersion relation of the waves was obtained theoretically and compared to the experiments, showing a very good agreement.