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Abstract. For \( n \)-tuple \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \) of pairwise independent numbers we consider permutations
\[
\sigma(t): \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, n\} \rightarrow \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \ldots, \sigma_n\}
\]
\[
\psi_{\alpha_{\sigma_1}}(t) > \psi_{\alpha_{\sigma_2}}(t) > \psi_{\alpha_{\sigma_3}}(t) > \cdots > \psi_{\alpha_{\sigma_n}}(t)
\]
of irrationality measure functions \( \psi_{\alpha}(t) = \min_{1 \leq q \leq t} ||q\xi|| \). Let \( \ell{\mathcal (\alpha)} \) be the number of infinitely occurring different permutations \( \{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{\ell{\mathcal (\alpha)}}\} \). We prove that the size of \( n \)-tuple \( \alpha \) with \( \ell{\mathcal (\alpha)} = k \) is
\[
n \leq \frac{k(k+1)}{2}.
\]
This result is optimal.

1. Introduction

For irrational number \( \xi \in \mathbb{R} \) we consider irrationality measure function
\[
\psi_{\xi}(t) = \min_{1 \leq q \leq t, \ q \in \mathbb{Z}} ||q\xi||,
\]
where \( ||.|| \) denotes distance to the nearest integer.

Let
\[
q_0 \leq q_1 < q_2 < \cdots < q_n < q_{n+1} < \cdots
\]
be the sequence of denominators of convergents to \( \xi \). It is a well known fact (see [5]) that
\[
\psi_{\xi}(t) = ||q_n\xi|| \text{ for } q_n \leq t < q_{n+1}.
\]
In 2010 Kan and Moschevitin [2] proved

**Theorem A.** For any two different irrational numbers \( \alpha, \beta \) such that \( \alpha \pm \beta \not\in \mathbb{Z} \) the difference function
\[
\psi_{\alpha}(t) - \psi_{\beta}(t)
\]
changes its sign infinitely many times as \( t \to +\infty \).

This result was generalised by several authors (see [1], [4], [6], [7]).

We call two irrational numbers \( \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \) independent if
\[
\psi_{\alpha}(t) \neq \psi_{\beta}(t)
\]
for all \( t \) large enough.

Let \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \) be an \( n \)-tuple of pairwise independent numbers. By definition (1) we have
\[
\psi_{\alpha_i}(t) \neq \psi_{\alpha_j}(t)
\]
for all \( t \) large enough and for all \( i \neq j \). So for large enough \( t \) we have well defined permutation
\[
\sigma(t): \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, n\} \rightarrow \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \ldots, \sigma_n\},
\]
\[
\psi_{\alpha_{\sigma_1}}(t) > \psi_{\alpha_{\sigma_2}}(t) > \psi_{\alpha_{\sigma_3}}(t) > \cdots > \psi_{\alpha_{\sigma_n}}(t).
\]
We define \( k \)-index by
\[
\tau(\alpha) = \max\{ k : \exists \text{ different permutations } \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k : \forall j \forall t_0 > 0 \exists t > t_0 \sigma(t) = \sigma_j \}. \tag{2}
\]
In 2021 Manturov and Moshchevitin [3] proved the following statements.

**Theorem B.** For \( n \)-tuple \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \) of pairwise independent numbers one has
\[
\tau(\alpha) \geq \sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}.
\]

**Theorem C.** For \( k \geq 3 \) and \( n = \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \) there exists \( n \)-tuple \( \alpha \) of pairwise independent numbers with
\[
\tau(\alpha) = k.
\]

In this article we give an improvement of Theorem B. Now we formulate our main result.

**Theorem 1.** The size of \( n \)-tuple \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \) of pairwise independent numbers with \( \tau(\alpha) = k \) is
\[
n \leq \frac{k(k + 1)}{2}.
\]

The bound from Theorem 1 is optimal because of Theorem C.

2. Auxilary Lemmas

We represent irrational numbers \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) as a continued fractions
\[
\alpha = [a_0; a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{\nu}, \ldots],
\beta = [b_0; b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{\nu}, \ldots],
\]
where \( a_0, b_0 \in \mathbb{Z}; \ a_\nu, b_\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \ \nu = 1, 2, 3, \ldots. \)

We define
\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_\nu &= [a_\nu; a_{\nu+1}, a_{\nu+2}, \ldots], & \beta_\mu &= [b_\mu; b_{\mu+1}, b_{\mu+2}, \ldots], \\
\alpha_\nu^* &= [0; a_\nu, a_{\nu-1}, a_{\nu-2}, \ldots, a_1], & \beta_\mu^* &= [0; b_\mu, b_{\mu-1}, b_{\mu-2}, \ldots, b_1], \\
p_\nu &= [a_0; a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_\nu], & s_\mu &= [b_0; b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_\mu], \\
q_\nu &= |q_\nu \alpha - p_\nu|, & r_\mu &= |r_\mu \beta - s_\mu|,
\end{align*}
\]

So,
\[
\psi_{\alpha}(t) = \xi_\nu, \quad q_\nu \leq t < q_{\nu+1},
\]
\[
\psi_{\beta}(t) = \eta_\mu, \quad r_\mu \leq t < r_{\mu+1},
\]
and
\[
\alpha_\nu^* = \frac{q_{\nu-1}}{q_\nu}, \quad \beta_\mu^* = \frac{r_{\mu-1}}{r_\mu}.
\]

The next two simple lemmas describe obvious property of continued fractions (see [4], [3]).

**Lemma 1.** If there are infinitely many pairs \( (q_\nu, q_{\nu+1}) = (r_\mu, r_{\mu+1}) \), then \( \alpha \pm \beta \in \mathbb{Z} \).

**Lemma 2.** If \( \alpha_\nu^* = \beta_\mu^* \), then \( q_{\nu-1} = r_{\mu-1}, \ q_\nu = r_\mu \).

From Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 we immediately obtain the following result.

**Lemma 3.** For two irrational numbers \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) satisfying \( \alpha \pm \beta \notin \mathbb{Z} \) there are only finitely many \( \alpha_\nu^* = \beta_\mu^* \).
The following lemma was proven in [3]. Here we formulate it without a proof.

**Lemma 4.** Let $d \geq 1$. Assume that the inequalities
\begin{align}
\xi_\nu &\leq \eta_\mu, \quad (3) \\
\xi_{\nu+1} &\leq \eta_{\mu+d-1}, \quad (4) \\
q_{\nu+1} &\leq r_{\mu+1}, \quad (5) \\
q_{\nu+2} &\leq r_{\mu+d} \quad (6)
\end{align}
hold. Then in (3), (4), (5) we have equalities, and
\begin{align}
d &= 2, \\
\alpha^*_{\mu+2} &= \beta^*_{\mu+2}. \quad (8)
\end{align}

Let $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. For $n$-tuple $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ of pairwise independent irrational numbers let
\[\tau(t) = |\{j \in \{1 \ldots n\} : \psi_{\alpha_j} \text{ is discontinuous at } t\}|\]
be the number of discontinuous functions at $t$. Set
\[\psi_{\alpha_j}(T-1) = \lim_{t \to T^-} \psi_{\alpha_j}(t)\]
and
\[\sigma(T-1) = \sigma\{\psi_{\alpha_1}(T-1), \ldots, \psi_{\alpha_n}(T-1)\}.\]

**Remark 1.** Consider two independent irrational numbers $\alpha, \beta$. From Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 we see that if
\[q_\nu = r_\mu \text{ and } \psi_\alpha(q_\nu - 1) < \psi_\beta(r_\mu - 1),\]
then
\[\psi_\alpha(q_\nu - 1) > \psi_\beta(q_{\nu-1} - 1)\]
for all $\nu, \mu$ large enough.

Since there is only finite number of permutations, there exists $T_0$ such that
\[\forall t_0 > T_0 \exists t > t_0 : \sigma(t) = \sigma(t_0). \quad (9)\]

Our next auxiliary result is the following
Lemma 5. For all $t$ large enough one has

$$\tau(t) \leq 1(\alpha).$$

Proof. We consider arbitrary $k \geq 2$ satisfying the condition

$$\forall t_0 > 0 \exists t > t_0 : \tau(t) = k.$$ 

Set $T > T_0$ with $\tau(T) = k$. Let \{\psi_{\alpha_i}\}_{i=1}^k be the functions that are discontinuous at $T$. We deal with a finite collection of functions \{\psi_{\alpha_i}\}_{i=1}^n, so the set

$$\mathcal{S} = \{t \geq T : \{\psi_{\alpha_j}\}_{j=1}^k are discontinuous at t\}$$

is infinite. Without loss of generality assume that \{\psi_{\alpha_j}\}_{j=1}^k are the functions that are discontinuous at $\mathcal{S}$. Let

$$q_j^i = T, \ 1 \leq j \leq k$$

be the denominators of convergence to $\alpha_j$. Since $\mathcal{S}$ is infinite, by Lemma 1 we may assume that

$$q_{i-1}^j \neq q_{i-1}^i \text{ for } i \neq j.$$ 

Also we can assume, by reordering \{\psi_{\alpha_j}\}_{j=1}^k if necessary, that

$$\psi_{\alpha_1}(T-1) > \psi_{\alpha_i}(T-1) \text{ for all } 2 \leq i \leq k, \tag{10}$$

and

$$T > q_{i-1}^2 > q_{i-1}^3 > \ldots > q_{i-1}^n. \tag{11}$$

Denote

$$\mathbf{\sigma}_1 = \mathbf{\sigma}(T-1), \ \mathbf{\sigma}_j = \mathbf{\sigma}(q_{i-1}^j - 1), \ 2 \leq j \leq k.$$ 

From Remark 1 we see that for any $2 \leq i \leq k$

$$\psi_{\alpha_1}(q_{i-1}^i - 1) < \psi_{\alpha_i}(q_{i-1}^i - 1). \tag{12}$$

and from (10)

$$\psi_{\alpha_1}(t) > \psi_{\alpha_i}(t) \text{ for } t \in [q_{i-1}^i, T]. \tag{13}$$
For $2 \leq j \leq k$ one has
\[ \sigma_j \neq \sigma_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i < j \]
because of (11), (12) and (13). So for $\tau(T) = k$ we have at least $k$ different permutations. From (2) and (9) one has
\[ k \leq \mathfrak{t}(\alpha), \]
which completes the proof of Lemma 5. \(\Box\)

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Let $T > T_0$. Without loss of generality we can assume that
\[ \sigma(T) = (1, 2, 3, \ldots, n) \]
and
\[ \psi_{\alpha_1}(T) > \psi_{\alpha_2}(T) > \cdots > \psi_{\alpha_n}(T). \]
Let $\sigma_1 = \sigma(T)$ and $T_1 = T$. Now we define inductively values $T_2, \ldots, T_k$ by the following relation
\[ T_j = \min\{t > T : \sigma(t) \neq \sigma_i, \ 1 \leq i < j\}, \ 2 \leq j \leq k, \] (14)
where
\[ \sigma_i = \sigma(T_i) \text{ for } 2 \leq i < j. \] (15)
Now $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k$ are all different permutations which occurs infinitely many times. Set
\[ I_j = \{m \in \{1, \ldots, n\} : \psi_{\alpha_m}(t) \text{ is discontinuous at } T_j \text{ and continuous at } T_i \text{ for } 2 \leq i < j\}, \] (16)
in particular
\[ I_2 = \{m \in \{1, \ldots, n\} : \psi_{\alpha_m}(t) \text{ is discontinuous at } T_2\}. \]
Let $k_j$ be the number of elements in the set $I_j$, $2 \leq j \leq k$. For a given $j$ consider the collection of functions $\{\psi_{\alpha_i}\}_{i \in I_j}$. Let
\[ \bar{\sigma}(t) = \sigma(t) \mid_{\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I_j}} \text{ and } \bar{\sigma}_s = \sigma_s \mid_{\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I_j}}, \ 1 \leq s \leq k, \]
be permutations restricted on the collection $\{\psi_{\alpha_i}\}_{i \in I_j}$. Now we will show that
\[ \bar{\sigma}_1 = \bar{\sigma}_2 = \cdots = \bar{\sigma}_{j-1}. \] (17)
Indeed, suppose that for some $2 \leq i < j$ we have
\[ \bar{\sigma}_i \neq \bar{\sigma}_1. \] (18)
Then there are $s, m \in I_j$ such that
\[ \psi_{\alpha_m}(T) > \psi_{\alpha_s}(T) \]
and
\[ \psi_{\alpha_m}(T_i) < \psi_{\alpha_s}(T_i). \]
So, $\psi_{\alpha_m}$ is discontinuous at some $t_0 \in (T, T_i]$ and we have
\[ \sigma(t_0) \neq \sigma(t) \text{ for } t \in [T, t_0). \] (19)
From (14), (15) and (19) we see that $t_0 \in \{T_i\}_{i=2}^j$ and $\psi_{\alpha_m}$ is discontinuous at $T_l$ for some $2 \leq l < j$, which contradicts definition (16). So, the assumption (18) is false and 17 is proved.
Consider $\mathfrak{t}$-index for the collection of $k_j$ numbers $\{\alpha_i\}$. We see that
\[ \mathfrak{t}(\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I_j}) \leq k - (j - 1) + 1 = k - j + 2 \]
because of (17). By Lemma 5 we have
\[ k_j \leq \mathfrak{f}(\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I_j}), \]
so
\[ k_j \leq k - j + 2. \tag{20} \]
Consider the minimal function \( \psi_{\alpha_n}(t) \) at the moment \( t = T \). According to Theorem A for each function \( \psi_{\alpha_j}(t), \, 1 \leq j < n \) there exists
\[ t_j = \min\{t > T : \psi_{\alpha_j}(t) < \psi_{\alpha_n}(t)\}. \]
Hence \( \psi_{\alpha_j}(t) \) is discontinuous at \( t_j \) and
\[ \sigma(t_j) \neq \sigma(t) \text{ for } t \in [T, t_j). \]
So,
\[ j \in \bigsqcup_{i=2}^{j} I_i \text{ for all } 1 \leq j < n \]
(here we have a disjoint union of the sets \( I_i \)). This gives
\[ n - 1 \leq \left| \bigsqcup_{i=2}^{j} I_i \right| = \sum_{i=2}^{k} k_i \]
and finally from (20) we have
\[ n \leq 1 + \sum_{i=2}^{k} k_i \leq \frac{k(k+1)}{2}. \]
The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.
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