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Abstract

In this paper, we consider graded near-rings over a monoid $G$ as a generalizations of graded rings over groups. We introduce certain innovative graded prime ideals and study some of its basic properties over graded near-rings.

1 INTRODUCTION

Near-rings are generalizations of rings: addition is not necessarily abelian and only one distributive law holds. They arise in a natural way in the study of mappings on groups: the set $M(G)$ of all maps of a group $(G,+)$ into itself endowed with pointwise addition and composition of functions is a near-ring. For general background on the theory of near-rings we refer the reader to the monographs written by Pilz [5] and Meldrum [3]. In fact Pilz defines it as: A near-ring $(N,+,*$) is a set $N$ with two binary operations $+$ and $*$ that satisfy the following axioms:

1. $(N,+)$ is a group.
2. $(N,*$) is semi group. (semi group: a set together with an associative binary operation);
3. $*$ is right distributive over $+$ (i.e. $(a + b) * y = ay + by$).

The graded rings were introduced by Nastasescu and Van Oystaeyen [4]. Also graded near-rings were introduced and studied by Dumitru, Nastasescu and Toader [1] in which they defined it as:

Let $G$ be a multiplicatively monoid (an algebraic structure with a single associative binary operation) with identity, a near-ring $N$ is called a $G$-graded if there exists a family of additive normal subgroups $\{N_\sigma\}$ of $N$ satisfying that:

1. $N = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} N_\sigma$ ;
2. $N_\sigma N_\tau \subseteq N_{\sigma \tau}, \forall \sigma, \tau \in G$.

Graded prime ideals over graded rings have been introduced and defined as:

Let $R$ be a commutative $G$-graded ring where $G$ is an abelian group, a graded proper ideal $I$ of $R$ is called graded prime ideal if $a_g b_h \in I_{gh}$, then $a_g \in I_g$ or $b_h \in I_h$. (see [2]).

2 GRADED PRIME IDEALS

In this Section, we present the concept of graded prime ideals, study some of its properties in graded near rings and in special graded near-rings such as graded near-rings with zero ideal is graded prime and graded near-rings in which every graded ideal is graded prime. Also, determine the shape of graded prime ideal in the graded quotient near-ring and in the product of two $G$-graded near-rings.

Definition 2.1. Let $G$ be a multiplicatively monoid with identity element and $N$ be a $G$-graded near-ring. A proper graded ideal $P$ is called graded prime ideal if whenever $A_g B_h \subseteq P_{gh}$, then either $A_g \subseteq P_g$ or $B_h \subseteq P_h$, for any ideals $A$ and $B$ in $N$. 
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Example 2.2. Let $N$ be the near-ring $({\mathbb{Z}}_0[x], +, o)$ the set of all polynomial with integer coefficients and zero constant term, where $+$ is the usual addition and $o$ is the composition of functions. Let $G = (N^*, *)$ the set of natural number without zero and $*$ is usual multiplication. $N$ is $G$-graded near-ring where $({\mathbb{Z}}_0[x])_n = {\mathbb{Z}}^n$. (See [4]). Consider the ideal $P$, the set of all polynomials in $({\mathbb{Z}}_0[x])$ with even coefficient. Let $A$ and $B$ be two ideals in $({\mathbb{Z}}_0[x])$ such that $A_gB_h \subseteq P_{gh}$ where $g, h \in N^*$. Suppose that $A_g \nsubseteq P_g$ and $B_h \nsubseteq P_h$. Then there exists $a \in A_g$ and $b \in B_h$ such that neither $a$ nor $b$ has even coefficient. So $ab$ does not have even coefficient, contradiction. So $A_g \subseteq P_g$ or $B_h \subseteq P_h$ and hence $P$ is graded prime.

More general examples of graded prime ideals are the maximal ideal in near-ring with multiplicative identity and the intersection of totally ordered graded prime ideals by inclusion.

Proposition 2.3. Let $N$ be a graded near-ring with multiplicative identity. If $P$ is a proper graded ideal does not properly contained in any proper ideal, then $P$ is graded prime.

Proof. Let $A$ and $B$ be ideals such that $A_gB_h \subseteq P_{gh}$. Suppose that neither $A_g \subseteq P_g$ nor $B_h \subseteq P_h$, then there exists $a \in A_g, b \in B_h$ such that $a \nsubseteq P_g, b \nsubseteq P_h$. $P$ is subset of both $P + < a >$ and $P + < b >$. So, by assumption, $N = P + < a > = P + < b >$. Thus, $1 = p + an$ and $1 = q + bm$ where $n, m \in N$ and $p, q \in P$. But $P$ is an ideal, so $a(q + bm) - abm \in P$ and since $1 = (q + bm)$, then $(q + bm)a - abm \in P$. Thus, $q + bma - abm \in P$. Therefore, $bma \in P$ since $qa, abm \in P$. But, $1 = 1 * 1 = (p + an)(q + bm) = p(q + bm) + an(q + bm) = p(q + bm) + (q + bm)an$, and since $q + bm = 1$. Then, $1 = p(q + bm) + g(an + bman) \in P$. Hence, $N = P$, contradiction. So, $A_g \subseteq P_g$ or $B_h \subseteq P_h$. Hence, $P$ is graded prime ideal.

Proposition 2.4. Let $N$ be a $G$-graded near-ring. Let $A$ be a totally ordered set. Let $(P_a)_{a \in A}$ be a family of graded Prime ideals with $P_a \subseteq P_b$ for any $a, b \in A$ with $a \leq b$. Then $P = \bigcap_{a \in A} P_a$ is a graded prime ideal.

Proof. Let $I$ and $J$ be ideals of $N$ with $I_gJ_h \subseteq (\bigcap_{a \in A} P_a)_{gh}$. Then $\forall a \in A$, we have $I_gJ_h \subseteq (P_a)_{gh}$. If $\exists a \in A$ such that $I_g \nsubseteq (P_a)_g$, then $J_h \subseteq (P_a)_h$. Hence, $\forall b \geq a$, we have $J_h \subseteq (P_b)_h$. If $\exists c < a$ such that $J_h \nsubseteq (P_c)_h$, then $I_g \subseteq (P_c)_g$. So, $I_g \subseteq (P_a)_g$. A contradiction. Hence, $\forall a \in A$ we have $J_h \subseteq (P_a)$, therefore, $J_h \subseteq \bigcap_{a \in A} (P_a)_h$.

Proposition 2.4, states that if $(P_a)_{a \in A}$ is a family of graded prime ideals with $P_a \subseteq P_b$ for $a, b \in A$ with $a \leq b$, where $A$ is totally ordered set. Then $P = \bigcap_{a \in A} P_a$ is graded prime.

In general, it is not necessary that the intersection is graded prime if we drop the condition ($A$ is totally ordered set and $P_a \subseteq P_b$ for $a, b \in A$ with $a \leq b$). For example, consider the near-ring $({\mathbb{Z}}_0, +, *)$ with $(G = 0, 1, +)$ where $+$ defined as $0 + 0 = 0$, $0 + 1 = 1$, $1 + 0 = 1$ and $1 + 1 = 1$. Then $N$ is $G$-graded near-ring defined by $N_0 = {\mathbb{Z}}_6, N_1 = \{0\}$. Note that the intersection of $P_1 = \{0, 2, 4\}$ and $P_2 = \{0, 3\}$ is not graded prime ideal, although $P_1$ and $P_2$ are. However, the next two theorems give some properties of any intersection of graded prime ideals.

We denoted to the $I_gI_g \ldots I_g$ n-times by $(I_g)^n$. 
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**Theorem 2.5.** Let $N$ be a graded near-ring. Let $P$ be an intersection of graded prime ideals. For any ideal $J$ with $(J_g)^n \subseteq P_g^n$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $J_g \subseteq P_g$.

**Proof.** Let $N$ be a graded near-ring and let $P_{\alpha}'$ be a set of graded prime ideals in $N$. Let $P$ be the intersection of $P_{\alpha}'$. Let $I$ be an ideal such that $(I_g)^n \subseteq P_g^n$. Then $(I_g)^n$ is subset of each $(P_{\alpha})_g^n$. Since each $P_{\alpha}$ is graded prime, we have $I_g \subseteq$ subset of each $(P_{\alpha})_g$ or $I_g^{n-1}$ is subset of each $(P_{\alpha})_g^{n-1}$. If $I_g^{n-1}$ is subset of each $(P_{\alpha})_g^{n-1}$, then we have $I_g$ is subset of each $(P_{\alpha})_g$ or $I_g^{n-2}$ is subset of each $(P_{\alpha})_g^{n-2}$. Consequently, we guarantee that $I_g$ is subset of each $(P_{\alpha})_g$. Hence $I_g$ is subset of their intersection which means $I_g$ is subset of $P_g$. 

**Theorem 2.6.** Let $N$ be a graded near-ring. Let $P$ be an intersection of graded prime ideals. For any ideal $J$ with $J^n \subseteq P$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $J \subseteq P$.

**Proof.** Let $P$ be the intersection of graded prime ideals and let $J$ be an ideal with $J^n \subseteq P$. We have $(J_g)^n \subseteq [J^n \cap N_{g^n}] \subseteq P_{g^n}$. By Theorem 2.5, we have for each $g \in G$, $J_g \subseteq P_g$. Therefore, $J \subseteq P$. 

Note that, if $P$ is a prime ideal and $P$ is graded ideal, then it is graded prime ideal. (To see that, take two ideals $A$ and $B$ such that $A_gB_h \subseteq P_{gh}$, then $A_gB_h \subseteq P$. Let $C$ be the ideal generated by $A_g$, $D$ be the ideal generated by $B_h$, and $E$ be the ideal generated by $A_gB_h$. By the definition of the ideal generated by set, we have $CD \subseteq E \subseteq P$. Since $P$ is prime ideal, then $C \subseteq P$ or $D \subseteq P$. Therefore, $A_g \subseteq P_g$ or $B_h \subseteq P_h$).

Next Example shows that it is not necessary for graded prime ideal to be prime ideal.

**Example 2.7.** Let $N$ be $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ with usual addition and multiplication. $N$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded with $N_0 = \mathbb{Z}$ and $N_1 = \mathbb{Z}i$. Since $< 1 + i > < 1 - i > \subseteq 2N$ with neither $(1 + i)$ nor $(1 - i)$ belongs to $2N$, $P = 2N$ is not prime. However, $P$ is graded prime.

Next Theorem gives an equivalent conditions for a graded ideal to be graded prime ideal.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let $N$ be a near-ring and $P$ be an ideal of $N$. Then the following are equivalent:

1. For any two homogeneous elements $i$ and $j$ with $i \notin P_g$ and $j \notin P_h$ then $< i > g < j > h \notin P_{gh}$;

2. For all ideals $I, J$ with $P_g \subset I_g$ and $P_h \subset J_h$ then $I_gJ_h \notin P_{gh}$;

3. For all ideals $I, J$ with $I_g \notin P_g$ and $J_h \notin P_h$ then $I_gJ_h \notin P_{gh}$;

4. $P$ is graded prime ideal.

**Proof.** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) : If $P_g \subset I_g$ and $P_h \subset J_h$. Take $i \in I_g$ and $j \in J_h$ with $i \notin P_g$ and $j \notin P_h$. Then $< i > g < j > h \notin P_{gh}$. Hence $I_gJ_h \notin P_{gh}$. (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) : If $I_g \notin P_g$ and $J_h \notin P_h$. Take $i \in I_g$ and $j \in J_h$ with $i \notin P_g$ and $j \notin P_h$. Then $(< i > g + P_g)(< j > h + P_h) \notin P_{gh}$. Therefore, $\exists i_1 \in < i > g$, $j_1 \in < j > h$, $p_1 \in P_g$ and $p_2 \in P_h$ such that: $(i_1 + p_1)(j_1 + p_2) \notin P_{gh}$. So, $i_1(j_1 + p_2) - i_1j_1 + i_1j_1 + p_1(j_1 + p_2) \notin P_{gh}$. So, since $i_1(j_1 + P_2) = i_1j_1 \in P_{gh}$ and $i_1j_1 \notin P_g$ we get $I_gJ_h \notin P_{gh}$.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) : Follows directly from the definition of graded prime ideal.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (1) : If $< i > g < j > h \subseteq P_{gh}$, then $< i > g \subseteq P_g$ or $< j > h \subseteq P_h$. Therefore, $i \in P_g$ or $j \in P_h$. 

\[\square\]
Next proposition gives another equivalent condition that guarantees a graded ideal to be graded prime.

**Proposition 2.9.** Let $P$ be a graded prime ideal of a graded near-ring $N$. Then the following are equivalent:

1. For $a \in N_h$ and $b, c \in N_g$, with $a(< b >_g + < c >_g) \subseteq P_{hg}$, we have $a \in P_h$, or $b$ and $c \in P_g$.

2. For $x \in N$ but $x_g \notin P_g$, we have $(P_{hg} : < x >_g + < y >_g)h = P_h$ for any $y \in N_g$.

3. $P$ is graded prime.

**Proof.**

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Let $t \in N_h$ and $t \in (P_{hg} : < x >_g + < y >_g)$ for any $y$ and $x \in N_g$ but $x \notin P_g$. Therefore, $t(< x >_g + < y >_g) \subseteq P_{hg}$. Thus, by hypothesis, $t \in P_h$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3): Let $A$ and $B$ be graded ideals of $N$ such that $A_hB_g \subseteq P_{hg}$. Suppose that $A_h \nsubseteq P_h$ and $B_g \nsubseteq P_g$, then there exists $b \in B_g$ with $b \notin P_g$. Now we claim that $A_hB_g = 0$. Let $b_1 \in B_g$, then $A_h(< b >_g + < b_1 >_g) \subseteq P_{hg}$, and then $A_h \subseteq (P_{hg} : < b >_g + < b_1 >_h) = P_h$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $P$ is graded prime.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1): If $a(< b >_g + < c >_g) \subseteq P_{hg}$, then $< a >_h(< b >_g + < c >_g) \subseteq P_{hg}$. Hence, $< a >_h \subseteq P_h$ or $(< b >_g + < c >_g) \subseteq P_h$ since $P$ is graded prime. Therefore, $a \in P_h$ and $b, c \in P_g$, since $a \in N_h$ and $b, c \in N_g$.

Next, we use the properties of graded prime ideals to study some properties of some special graded near-rings such as graded quotient near-rings, graded near-rings with zero ideal is graded prime and the product of two graded near-rings.

**Theorem 2.10.** Let $G$ be a multiplicatively monoid with identity element and let $N$ and $M$ be two $G$-graded near-rings. Let $\Phi$ be a surjective homomorphism such that $\Phi(I_g) = (\Phi(I))_g$ for any ideal $I \in N$ and any $g \in G$. Then:

(i). The pre-image of graded prime ideal is graded prime.

(ii). The image of graded prime ideal which contains the kernel of $\Phi$ is graded prime.

**Proof.** (i) Suppose that $A_gB_h \subseteq (\Phi^{-1}(P))_{gh}$, where $A$ and $B$ are ideals of $N$, and $P$ is graded prime ideal of $M$. Then we have $(\Phi(A))_g(\Phi(B))_h \subseteq P_{gh}$. Since $P$ is graded prime ideal, $(\Phi(A))_g \subseteq P_g$ or $(\Phi(B))_h \subseteq P_h$. Then, $A_g \subseteq (\Phi^{-1}(P))_g$ or $B_h \subseteq (\Phi^{-1}(P))_h$. Hence, $\Phi^{-1}(P)$ is graded prime ideal.

(ii) Suppose that $A_gB_h \subseteq (\Phi(P))_{gh}$, where $A$ and $B$ are ideals of $M$ and $P$ is graded prime ideal of $N$. Then we have $(\Phi^{-1}(A))_g(\Phi^{-1}(B))_h \subseteq (P_{gh} + \text{Ker}\Phi) \subseteq P$. However, $(\Phi^{-1}(A))_g(\Phi^{-1}(B))_h \subseteq N_{gh}$ hence $(\Phi^{-1}(A))_g(\Phi^{-1}(B))_h \subseteq P_{gh}$. Since $P$ is graded prime ideal, $(\Phi^{-1}(A))_g \subseteq P_g$ or $(\Phi^{-1}(B))_h \subseteq P_h$. Then, $A_g \subseteq (\Phi(P))_g$ or $B_h \subseteq (\Phi(P))_h$. Hence, $\Phi^{-1}(P)$ is graded prime ideal.

**Lemma 2.11.** Let $N$ be a graded near-ring. If $h : N \rightarrow \bar{N} := N/I$ is a homomorphism with $h(J_g) = h(J)_g$, then $h^{-1}(J_g) = h^{-1}(J)_g$.

**Proof.** $h^{-1}(J)_g = h^{-1}(J) \cap N_g = h^{-1}(h(h^{-1}(J) \cap N_g)) = h^{-1}(h(h^{-1}(J)) \cap (N/I)_g) = h^{-1}(J \cap (N/I)_g) = h^{-1}(J_g)$. 
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Theorem 2.12. Let $N$ be a graded near-ring, $P$ be a graded ideal, and $Q$ be a graded ideal of $N$ with $Q \subseteq P$. Let $\pi : N \to \bar{N} := N/Q$ be the canonical epimorphism. Then $P$ is graded prime if and only if $\pi(P)$ is graded prime.

Proof. Let $P$ be a graded prime ideal of $N$. Let $J$ and $I$ be two ideals of $\bar{N}$ with $J_gI_h \subseteq (\pi(P))_{gh}$. Let $j_g := (\pi^{-1}(J))_g$ and $i_h := (\pi^{-1}(I))_h$. Then we have, by Lemma 2.11, $j_g := (\pi^{-1}(J))_g$ and $i_h := (\pi^{-1}(I))_h$. So, $j_gi_h = (\pi^{-1}(J)(\pi^{-1}(I)))_g = (\pi^{-1}(\pi^{-1}(J))_g)_h = (\pi^{-1}(\pi^{-1}(J)))_{gh} = (P + Q)_{gh}$. Therefore, $J_g = (\pi^{-1}(J))_g = \pi(j_g) \subseteq \pi(P) = (\pi(P))_g$. Thus, $\pi(P)$ is graded prime.

For the other direction, let $\pi(P)$ be prime ideal of $\bar{N}$. If $j_gi_h \subseteq P_{gh}$, then $(\pi(j))_g(\pi(i))_h = \pi(j_gi_h) \subseteq (\pi(P))_{gh} = (\pi(P))_{gh}$. Therefore, $(\pi(j))_g \subseteq (\pi(P))_g$ or $(\pi(i))_h \subseteq (\pi(P))_h$.

Proposition 2.13. Let $N$ be a graded near-ring and $A, B$ be ideals. $P$ is graded prime ideal if and only if $\bar{A}_g\bar{B}_h \neq 0$ if both $\bar{A}_g \bar{B}_h \neq 0$ in $N/P$.

Proof. Let $P$ be a graded prime ideal and $A, B$ be any ideals such that $\bar{A}_g \neq 0$ and $\bar{B}_h \neq 0$ in $N/P$ for some $g, h \in G$. Hence, neither $A_g \subseteq P_g$ nor $B_h \subseteq P_h$. To show that $\bar{A}_g\bar{B}_h \neq 0$. Suppose that $\bar{A}_g\bar{B}_h = 0$. Hence, $A_gB_h \subseteq P_{gh}$, contradicts Theorem 2.8.

Conversely, Let $A_gB_h \subseteq P_{gh}$, then $\bar{A}_g\bar{B}_h = 0$ in $N/P$. By assumption, $\bar{A}_g = 0$ or $\bar{B}_h = 0$ in $N/P$. Therefore, $A_g \subseteq P_g$ or $B_h \subseteq P_h$. Hence, $P$ is graded prime.

We know that in some near-rings, for instance integral near-rings, the zero ideal is prime ideal and we know that these near-rings are called prime near-rings. However, we can note that in some graded near-rings, the Zero ideal is graded prime. For example, $I = \{0\}$ is graded prime in the graded near-ring which defined in Example 2.2. In Next, we study few interesting properties of such near-rings.

If a graded near-ring $\bar{N}$ is simple, then there is no proper ideal unless $\{0\}$. Hence, by definition of graded prime ideal, $\{0\}$ is graded prime ideal or $N$ is a zero near-ring. More general results is discussed in the Proposition 2.15. Before that we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.14. Let $N$ be a graded near-ring and let $I$ be a graded ideal of $N$. Zero is graded prime ideal in the graded near-ring $N/I$ if and only if the ideal $I$ is a graded prime ideal of $N$.

Proof. Follows by taking $I = P$ in Theorem 2.12.

Proposition 2.15. Let $N$ be a graded near-ring and $I$ be a maximal ideal. If $I$ is graded ideal, then either $I$ is graded prime or $N^2 \subseteq I$.

Proof. Let $N$ be a graded near-ring and $I$ be a maximal ideal which can be graded. $N/I$ is simple near-ring. Hence, $\{0\}$ is graded prime in $N/I$ or $N/I$ is a zero near-ring, which implies that either $I$ is graded prime ideal by Lemma 2.14, or $N^2 \subseteq I$. 
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Corollary 2.16. Let \( N \) be a graded near-ring with unity and let \( I \) be a graded ideal. If \( I \) is a maximal ideal in \( N \), then \( I \) is a graded prime ideal.

Proof. Let \( I \) be a maximal ideal. If 1 is a unity of \( N \), then for any \( n \in N \), \( n \cdot 1 \in N^2 \). Hence, \( N^2 = N \not\subseteq I \). By previous theorem, \( I \) is graded prime. \( \Box \)

**Proposition 2.17.** Let \( G \) be a multiplicatively monoid with identity element and let \( N \) and \( M \) be two \( G \)-graded near rings. Let \( \Phi \) be a surjective homomorphism from \( N \) into \( M \), such that \( \Phi(I_g) = (\Phi(I))_g \) for any ideal \( I \in N \) and any \( g \in G \). If \( \{0\} \) is graded prime ideal in \( M \), then the kernel is graded prime in \( N \).

Proof. Follows by Theorem 2.10. \( \Box \)

Note that in the previous proposition, it is not necessary that \( \{0\} \) is graded prime ideal in \( N \) if \( \{0\} \) is graded prime in \( M \). For example, if \( (G = \{0,1\}, +) \) where + defined as \( 0 + 0 = 0 \), \( 0 + 1 = 1 \), \( 1 + 0 = 1 \) and \( 1 + 1 = 1 \), then \( M = \mathbb{Z}_2 \) and \( N = \mathbb{Z}_8 \) both are \( G \)-graded near rings, where, \( M_0 = \mathbb{Z}_2 \), \( M_1 = \{0\} \) and \( N_0 = \mathbb{Z}_8 \), \( N_1 = \{0\} \). \( \Phi : \mathbb{Z}_8 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \), \( \Phi(x) = x \) satisfies the mentioned conditions in the previous proposition. However, \( \{0\} \) is not graded prime in \( \mathbb{Z}_8 \) although it is graded prime ideal in \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \). We can also note that it is not necessary that \( \{0\} \) is graded prime ideal in \( M \) if \( \{0\} \) is graded prime in \( N \). For example, Let \( G \) be defined as Example 2.2, and let \( N = \mathbb{Z} \) and \( M = \mathbb{Z}_8 \) with \( N_0 = \mathbb{Z} \), \( N_1 = \{0\} \) and \( M_0 = \mathbb{Z}_8 \), \( M_1 = \{0\} \). Then we have \( \{0\} \) is graded prime ideal in \( \mathbb{Z} \), but it is not graded prime in \( \mathbb{Z}_8 \). Although, \( \Phi(x) = x \), is surjective homomorphism from \( \mathbb{Z} \) into \( \mathbb{Z}_8 \).

Let \( G \) be a multiplicatively monoid with identity. Let \((N_1,+_1,*_1)\) and \((N_2,+_2,*_2)\) both be \( G \)-graded near-rings. Define \( N = N_1 \times N_2 = \{(n_1,n_2) : n_1 \in N_1, n_2 \in N_2\} \). The Direct Product is the set \( N \) paired with the operations addition + and multiplication * defined as \((n'_1,n'_2) + (n''_1,n''_2) = (n'_1 + n''_1,n'_2 + n''_2)\) and \((n'_1,n'_2) * (n''_1,n''_2) = (n'_1 * n''_1,n'_2 * n''_2)\), for each \((n'_1,n'_2),(n''_1,n''_2) \in N\). \( N \) is graded near-ring by \( N_g = (N_1)_g \times (N_2)_g \). Since it is known that if \((N_1)_g\) and \((N_2)_g\) are normal subgroups of \( N_1 \) and \( N_2 \), respectively, then \( N_g = (N_1)_g \times (N_2)_g \) is normal subgroup of \( N \). Also for any \( n = (n_1,n_2) \in N \) is written uniquely as sum of finite elements of \( N_g = (N_1)_g \times (N_2)_g \), \( g \in G \) since \( n_1 \) and \( n_2 \) are written uniquely as sum of finite elements of \((N_1)_g\) and \((N_2)_g\), \( g \in G \) respectively. Furthermore, \( N_gN_h = \{(N_1)_g \times (N_2)_g\}((N_1)_h \times (N_2)_h) = ((N_1)_g(N_1)_h) \times ((N_2)_g(N_2)_h) \subseteq (N_1)_{gh} \times (N_2)_{gh} = N_{gh} \)

Note that if \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) are graded prime ideals in \( N_1 \) and \( N_2 \), respectively, then \( P_1 \times P_2 \) need not be graded prime ideal of \( N_1 \times N_2 \). For example, \( \{0\} \) is graded prime in \( N = \mathbb{Z}_2 \), where \( G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \) with \( N_0 = \{0\} \) and \( N_1 = \mathbb{Z}_2 \), while \( \{0\} \times \{0\} \) is not graded prime in \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \) since \( \{0\} \times \{0\} \subseteq \{0\} \times \{0\} \) although neither \( \{0\} \times \{0\} \subseteq \{0\} \times \{0\} \) nor \( \{0\} \times \{0\} \subseteq \{0\} \times \{0\} \).

**Theorem 2.18.** Let \( N \) and \( M \) be any two \( G \)-graded near-rings with identity and \( P \) be a graded proper ideal of \( N \). Then \( P \) is graded prime if and only if \( P \times M \) is a graded prime ideal of \( N \times M \)

Proof. Let \( P \) be a graded prime ideal of \( N \) and let \((A \times B)\) and \((C \times D)\) be ideals of \( N \times M \) such that \((A \times B)_g(C \times D)_h \subseteq (P \times M)_{gh} \). Then \((A_gC_h \times B_gD_h) \subseteq (P_{gh} \times M_{gh}) \).
So, \( A_g C_h \subseteq P_{gh} \). Which implies \( A_g \subseteq P_g \) or \( C_h \subseteq P_h \). Hence, \((A \times B)_g \subseteq (P \times M)_g\) or \((C \times D)_h \subseteq (P \times M)_h\). Hence \( P \times M \) is graded prime ideal.

Conversely, suppose that \((P \times M)\) is a graded prime ideal of \( N \times M \) and let \( I \) and \( J \) be ideals of \( N \) such that \( I_g J_h \subseteq P_{gh} \). Then \((I \times M)_g (J \times M)_h \subseteq (P \times M)_{gh}\). By assumption, we have \((I \times M)_g \subseteq (P \times M)_g\) or \((J \times M)_h \subseteq (P \times M)_h\). So, \(I_g \subseteq P_g\) or \(J_g \subseteq P_g\). Hence, \( P \) is graded prime ideal.

**Corollary 2.19.** Let \( N \) and \( M \) be two \( G \)-graded near-rings with identity. If every proper ideal of \( N \) and \( M \) is a product of graded prime ideals, then every proper ideal of \( N \times M \) is a product of graded prime ideals.

**Proof.** Let \( I \) be a proper ideals of \( N \) and \( J \) be a proper ideals of \( M \), Such that \( I = A_1 \ldots A_n \) and \( J = B_1 \ldots B_m \) where each \( A_i \) and \( B_j \) is graded prime. If the proper ideal is of the form \( I \times M \), then \( I \times M = A_1 \ldots A_n \times M \) can be written as \((A_1 \times N_2) \ldots (A_n \times M)\) which is by Theorem 2.18 a product of graded prime ideals. Similarly, If the proper ideal is of the form \( N \times J \), then it is a product of graded prime ideals. If the proper ideals is of the form \( I \times J \), then it can be written as \( A_1 \ldots A_n \times B_1 \ldots B_m = (A_1 \ldots A_n \times M) \times (N \times B_1 \ldots B_m) = (A_1 \times M) \ldots (A_n \times M) (N \times B_1 \ldots B_m) \). Which is a product of graded prime ideals.

**Theorem 2.20.** Let \( N \) and \( M \) be two \( G \)-graded near-rings with unity. Then a graded ideal \( P \) of \( N \times M \) with \((N \times M)_g = N_g \times M_g\), \( \forall g \in G \) is graded prime if and only if it has one of the following two forms:

1. \((I \times M)\), where \( I \) is a graded prime ideal of \( N \).
2. \((N \times J)\), where \( J \) is a graded prime ideal of \( M \).

**Proof.** Let \( P \) be a proper graded ideal of \( N \times M \). Then \( P \) has one of the following three forms: \((I \times M)\) where \( I \) is proper ideal of \( N \), \((N \times J)\), where \( J \) is proper ideal of \( M \), or \((I \times J)\), where \( I_g \neq N_g \) and \( J_h \neq M_h \) for some \( g \) and \( h \) belongs to \( G \).

If \( P \) is of the form \((I \times M)\) or of the form \((N \times J)\), then, by Theorem 2.18, \( P \) is graded prime ideal if and only if both \( I \) and \( J \) are graded prime. Let \( P = (I \times J) \) be a graded prime ideal with \( I_g \neq N_g \) and \( J_h \neq M_h \) for some \( g \) and \( h \) belongs to \( G \). Suppose \( a \in I_{gh} \). Then \( \langle a \rangle_{gh} \times \{0\} \subseteq P_{gh} \) This implies that either \( \langle a \rangle \times M \) \( g \subseteq P_g \) or \((N \times \{0\})_h \subseteq P_h \). If \( \langle a \rangle \times M \) \( g \subseteq P_g \), then \( M_g \) \( = J_g \) and if \((N \times \{0\})_h \subseteq P_h \), then \( N_h \) \( = I_h \). Contradiction. Hence \( I \times J \) can not be graded prime ideal if both \( I \) and \( J \) are proper ideals.

Note that, from previous theorem, we can not find a graded near-ring in which every proper graded ideal is graded prime if the \( G \)-graded near-ring \( N \) with unity and of the form \( N_1 \times N_2 \), where \( (N_1 \times N_2)_g = (N_1)_g \times (N_2)_g \) unless one of \( N_1 \) or \( N_2 \) is the Zero near-ring. More general, we can not find graded near-ring with every proper graded ideal is graded prime regardless it has unity or not. Since the ideal \( \{0\} \times \{0\} \) can not be graded prime ideal if both \( N_1 \) and \( N_2 \) are non trivial near-rings.

**Proposition 2.21.** Let \( N \) and \( M \) be two non trivial \( G \)-graded near-rings. Then \( \{0\} \times \{0\} \) can not be graded prime ideal of \( N \times M \) with \((N \times M)_g = N_g \times M_g\), \( \forall g \in G \).

**Proof.** Suppose that \( \{0\} \times \{0\} \) is graded prime ideal for some \( G \)-graded near-ring \( N \times M \) with \((N \times M)_g = N_g \times M_g\), \( \forall g \in G \). Take \( g \) and \( h \) belongs to \( G \) such that \( N_g \neq \{0\} \)
and $M_h \neq \{0\}$. Since $(\{0\} \times N)_g (M \times \{0\})_h \subseteq (\{0\} \times \{0\})_{gh}$ for any $g$ and $h$ belongs to $G$, then $(\{0\} \times N)_g \subseteq (\{0\} \times \{0\})_g$ or $(M \times \{0\})_h \subseteq (\{0\} \times \{0\})_h$. Therefore, $N_g = \{0\}$ or $M_h = \{0\}$. Contradiction and hence neither $(\{0\} \times N)_g \subseteq (\{0\} \times \{0\})_g$ nor $(M \times \{0\})_h \subseteq (\{0\} \times \{0\})_h$, which implies $\{0\} \times \{0\}$ can not be graded prime ideal.

**Corollary 2.22.** If every graded ideal is graded prime in some $G$-graded near-ring $N \times M$ with $(N \times M)_g = N_g \times M_g$, $\forall g \in G$, then every graded ideal is graded prime of one of $N$ and $M$ while the other is the trivial near-ring.

**Proof.** Suppose that every graded ideal is graded prime in some $N \times M$ with neither $N$ nor $M$ is Zero near-ring. Hence, $\{0\} \times \{0\}$ is graded prime which contradicts previous proposition.
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