Limit theorems and localization of three state quantum walks on a line defined by generalized Grover coins
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In this article, we undertake a detailed study of the limiting behaviour of a three-state discrete-time quantum walk on one dimensional lattice with generalized Grover coins. Two limit theorems are proved and consequently we show that the quantum walk exhibits localization at its initial position, for a wide range of coin parameters. Finally, we discuss the effect of the coin parameters on the peak velocities of probability distributions of the underlying quantum walks.

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum walks, the quantum analogue of classical random walks\textsuperscript{1} is an universal model for quantum computation\textsuperscript{2,3}. Besides being a useful primitive to design quantum algorithms\textsuperscript{4,7}, quantum walks also provide a useful framework to model transport in quantum systems\textsuperscript{8,10}. Just as in the classical scenario, quantum walks can be defined in both discrete and continuous-time\textsuperscript{11,13}. Often for several of the aforementioned applications, studying the long-time behaviour of both discrete-time and continuous-time quantum walks is extremely crucial. Quantum evolutions are unitary and hence quantum walks do not naturally converge to a limiting distribution, unlike classical random walks. However, for a quantum walk, one can define the limiting distribution as the long-time average probability distribution of finding the walker in each node of the graph. This long-time behaviour of quantum walks has been fundamental to demonstrate the speedup of several quantum algorithms\textsuperscript{4,14,15}, to prove the equivalence between the circuit and Hamiltonian models of quantum computing\textsuperscript{16,17} and also to understand the phenomenon of mixing of quantum walks\textsuperscript{1,18,20}. Consequently, it is important to develop a more comprehensive understanding of the limiting distributions of the various quantum walks and to highlight the features that distinguish them from their classical counterparts.

Localization has been widely studied in the context of discrete-time quantum walks and its precise definition varies across articles (For example see\textsuperscript{21,26} and the references therein). The probability of finding the walker at a fixed lattice point converges to zero after infinite long time in the case of Hadamard walk on a line with two inner states\textsuperscript{27}. In this paper our goal is to study the limiting distribution and the localization phenomena for the coined three state discrete-time quantum walk on a line. We considered two distinct families of parameterized coin operators: one that includes the widely used Grover coin as a special case, while the other does not. In particular, for this graph, we demonstrate, for the first time, localization on a general family of coins that does not include the Grover coin.

Inui et al. derive the first long-time limit theorem for the three state quantum on a line with a Grover coin (generally referred to as the Grover walk) and show that the probability of finding the particle at origin does not converge to zero after infinite time steps, demonstrating localization\textsuperscript{23}. While the three-state quantum walk on a line using the Grover coin exhibits localization at the origin\textsuperscript{22}, on a triangular lattice, localization is not reported\textsuperscript{28}. The probability of staying at the initial position also vanishes for some special set of escaping initial states in the case of a two dimensional Grover walk on the Cartesian lattice, leading to partial trapping\textsuperscript{21}. Furthermore, there exists quantum coins for such escaping states (corresponding to strong trapping)\textsuperscript{25}. In\textsuperscript{29}, the authors have classified explicitly the coins leading to the trapping effect for walk on the two-dimensional square lattice based on the existence or non-existence of an escaping state.

Attempts have been made to generalize the Grover walk. This is typically done by considering parametric coin operators that contains the Grover matrix for some specific values of the parameters. Discrete-time quantum walks with a generalized grover coin are generally referred to as generalized Grover walks (GGWs) in the literature. One of the endeavours of the community has been to understand the dynamics of GGWs in order to distinguish it from their classical counterparts\textsuperscript{30,32}. The
dependence of the underlying quantum walk dynamics on the values of the coin parameters has been explored in Ref. [33]. The limit distribution of a four state quantum walk with a parametric coin has been investigated in Ref. [39]. The authors of Ref. [43] provide analytical results for the asymptotic value of the return probability and discuss the localization of a two-state one-dimensional DTQWs as $t \to \infty$. In Ref. [39] Stefaňák et al. introduce two families of parametric coin operators: one by deforming the eigenvalues and the other by deforming the eigenvectors of the Grover coin. While both the walks preserve localization, for quantum walks using coins of the first kind, the features of the three-state Grover walk gradually diminish as the coin parameter increases [33].

In terms of GGWs, it is undoubtedly challenging to find the orthogonal coin matrices that preserve the property of Grover walks. Furthermore, it is interesting to ask whether it is indeed possible to study the long-time dynamics of discrete-time quantum walks using generalized coin operators that do not include the Grover coin. Can such quantum walks exhibit localization? In this article, we make novel inroads towards answering these questions. We consider two families of parametric orthogonal coin operators of dimension $3 \times 3$. Interestingly, one of these is a generalization of the Grover matrix, the other does not include in them the Grover coin operator. Mathematically, these coin operators are linear sum of permutation matrices and have been used recently to study properties of quantum walks dynamics such as periodicity of evolution and localization of four-state DTQWs on two dimensional lattices [31, 32]. In this article, we derive the long-time limit probability distribution and study the localization of three-state DTQWs with these families of parametrized coin operators. Using this limiting value of the probability measure we are able to justify localization phenomena at a vertex for a given initial state.

Furthermore, the spread of the quantum walk wavefunction on a line can be modelled using the theory of wavefront propagation [37, 39]. In this framework, Ref. [35] analyzed the peak velocities of the wavefunctions of two GGWs and explore the dependence of the coin parameter on the rate of propagation of the walk through the line. Furthermore, the localization of the wavefunction is dependent on the eigenvalues of the evolution operator that are independent of the wavenumber (constant). These correspond to the peak of the probability distribution which does not propagate, ensuring localization. For the Grover walk on a line, this was explored in Ref. [21]. For lattices with periodic boundary conditions, the existence of constant eigenvalues of the time-evolution operator and the existence of localization has been shown to be equivalent [10].

In this article, we also find the dependence of the peak velocities of the wavefunction as a function of the parameters corresponding to general families of coin operators we have considered. We revisit the localization phenomena from the aspect of zero travelling velocity of one of the peaks. Consequently, we obtain new families of $3 \times 3$ generalized Grover coin operators, preserving localization, which is different from the two classes mentioned in Ref. [35]. This answers a question posed by Stefaňák et al. in Ref. [39].

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section II we formally define the quantum walk we consider, namely three state DTQWs on a line with parametric coin operators. We present two limit theorems on probability distributions at infinite time steps and establish the localization property in Section III. In Section IV we determine the right and left travelling peak velocities of the probability distribution as a function of coin parameter and discuss the impact of the peak velocities on the localization phenomena of the walks. Finally, we conclude this article with some problems that can be considered in near future.

II. THREE STATE WALK ON A LINE AND GENERALIZED GROVER COINS

In this section we review three-state quantum walks on a line [22, 35] and we briefly discuss generalized Grover coins of dimension $3 \times 3$ that are recently introduced in literature [31].

Discrete time coined quantum walks (DTQWs) are defined on the Hilbert space $H = H_p \otimes H_c$, where $H_p$ is the position space and $H_c$ is the coin space. The Hilbert space $H_p$ is considered as separable and is isomorphic to $l^2(\mathbb{Z})$, the Hilbert space of all square summable functions on $\mathbb{Z}$. Hence the superposition of the canonical basis vectors subject to the unit norm condition form the position state vector $|m\rangle \in H_p$ for the position $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ [33]. Otherwise, in a more conventional way we can say that $H_p = \text{Span}\{|m\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ [33], [11].

At every step the intrinsic behaviour of the quantum particle allows it to move to the right or to the left or stay at its current position. Hence the dimension of $H_c$ is the number of internal degrees of freedom (called chirality) of the particle at each step is three. The vector of the standard basis assigned to each of these three displacements spans the space $H_c$, i.e. $H_c = \text{Span}\{|1\rangle, |2\rangle, |3\rangle\}$, where $|l\rangle$ with $l = 1, 2, 3$ is the canonical ordered basis of $\mathbb{C}^3$. Thus the total state space $H = \text{Span}\{|m\rangle \otimes |l\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}, l \in \{1, 2, 3\}\}$ and is isomorphic to $l^2(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^3$ [12].

One single step of a DTQW is described by the unitary operator $U = S(I \otimes C)$, where $I$ is the identity operator acting on $H_p$, $C$ is the coin operator acting on the space $H_c$, while $S$ is the conditional shift operator, allows the walker to transition into the next step, controlled by the state of the coin register. The operator $S$, acting at a particle in position $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ results in

$$S = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |m - 1\rangle \langle m| \otimes |1\rangle \langle 1| + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |m\rangle \langle m| \otimes |2\rangle \langle 2| + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |m + 1\rangle \langle m| \otimes |3\rangle \langle 3|.$$
The state vector $|\psi(t)\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ of the walker after $t$ time steps is given by

$$
|\psi(t)\rangle = U|\psi(t-1)\rangle = U^t|\psi(0)\rangle
$$

where the product state of initial position state and initial coin state is the initial state vector $|\psi(0)\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ and $|\psi(m, t)\rangle$ is the probability amplitude at time $t$ and at the position $m$, with coin state $|l\rangle$, $l \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Then at time $t$ and at $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ the probability amplitude vector for chirality state being left, center or right is

$$
|\psi(m, t)\rangle = |\psi_1(m, t), \psi_2(m, t), \psi_3(m, t)\rangle^T.
$$

Therefore we write

$$
|\psi(t)\rangle = [\ldots, |\psi(-1, t)\rangle, |\psi(0, t)\rangle, |\psi(1, t)\rangle, \ldots]^T.
$$

Now for a complex valued function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{Z})$, its discrete Fourier transform $\hat{f} : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined as

$$
\hat{f}(k) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} f(m) e^{i mk} \in L^2(\mathbb{I}),
$$

where $\mathbb{I} = [-\pi, \pi]$ and $L^2(\mathbb{I})$ is the Hilbert space consisting of all square integrable functions on $\mathbb{I}$. Thus the Fourier transform from $L^2(\mathbb{Z})$ to $L^2(\mathbb{I})$ extends to the Fourier transformation of $|\psi(m, t)\rangle$ as

$$
|\Psi(k, t)\rangle = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |\psi(m, t)\rangle e^{i mk},
$$

where $|\Psi(k, t)\rangle = [\Psi_1(k, t), \Psi_2(k, t), \Psi_3(k, t)]^T$, $\Psi_1(k, t) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_1(m, t) e^{i mk}, l = 1, 2, 3$ for $k \in \mathbb{I}$. The inverse Fourier transformation is given as

$$
|\psi(m, t)\rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i mk} |\Psi(k, t)\rangle \, dk.
$$

Now we obtain the time evolution of $|\psi(m, t)\rangle$ from Eq. (1) and Eq. (2) as

$$
|\psi(m, t+1)\rangle = [1] \langle 1 | C |\psi(m-1, t)\rangle + [2] \langle 2 | C |\psi(m, t)\rangle + [3] \langle 3 | C |\psi(m+1, t)\rangle,
$$

where $C$ is the coin operator. Then the following holds from (3):

$$
|\Psi(k, t+1)\rangle = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( [1] \langle 1 | C |\psi(m-1, t)\rangle + [2] \langle 2 | C |\psi(m, t)\rangle + [3] \langle 3 | C |\psi(m+1, t)\rangle \right) e^{i mk}
$$

$$
= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{ik} [1] \langle 1 | C |\psi(m-1, t)\rangle e^{i(m-1)k}
$$

$$
+ [2] \langle 2 | C |\psi(m, t)\rangle e^{i mk}
$$

$$
+ e^{-ik} [3] \langle 3 | C |\psi(m+1, t)\rangle e^{i(m+1)k}
$$

$$
= (e^{ik} [1] \langle 1 | C + [2] \langle 2 | C + e^{-ik} [3] \langle 3 | C |\Psi(k, t)\rangle).
$$

Let $\tilde{U}(k) = D(k)C$ for $D(k) = \text{diag}(e^{ik}, 1, e^{-ik})$. Then from above the time evolution in momentum space is given as

$$
|\Psi(k, t)\rangle = \tilde{U}(k) |\Psi(k, t-1)\rangle = \tilde{U}^t(k) |\Psi(k, 0)\rangle.
$$

Let the eigenvalues of the unitary operator $\tilde{U}(k)$ be of the form $e^{i\omega_j(k)}$ with the corresponding eigenvector $|\psi_j(k)\rangle$, for $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Then the vector of probability amplitudes can be determined by Eq. (3) and Eq. (4) as follows:

$$
|\psi(m, t)\rangle = \int_{k \in (-\pi, \pi)} e^{-i km} |\Psi(k, t)\rangle \, dk
$$

$$
= \sum_{j=1}^{3} \int_{k \in (-\pi, \pi)} e^{i(-km + \omega_j(k)t)} \langle \psi_j(k) |\Psi(k, 0)\rangle \, dk.
$$

From (1), the probability of finding the walker at time $t$ and at position $m$ is

$$
P(m, t) = \langle \psi(m, t) |\psi(m, t)\rangle
$$

$$
= |\psi_1(m, t)|^2 + |\psi_2(m, t)|^2 + |\psi_3(m, t)|^2,
$$

and it can be derived from Eq. (5). Whereas, the probability $P(0, t)$ of finding the walker at position $m = 0$ after $t$ time steps, which is also called the return probability of the walker to the initial position 0, can be evaluated as $P(0, t) = \langle \psi(0,t) |\psi(0,t)\rangle$. Note that if the walk starts at $m = 0$ and is localized there, then from Eq. (1), we get that

$$
|\psi(0)\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \psi_i(0, 0) |0\rangle \otimes |l\rangle,
$$

whereas the other probability amplitudes $\psi_i(m, 0) = 0$ for $m \neq 0$. Hence from Eq. (3) we have that $|\Psi(0, 0)\rangle = |\psi(0, 0)\rangle$. We assume that the initial state of the walker is at the origin. Thus, the initial quantum states are determined by $|\psi(0, 0)\rangle = [\alpha, \beta, \gamma]^T$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 + |\gamma|^2 = 1$.

We emphasize that the localization phenomena is extensively studied in literature for DTQWs on different topologies of the underlying system with a variety of coin operators, as mentioned in Section I. However, the mathematical definition of localization associated with the probability measure of finding the walker at a vertex differs across articles. For example, in [21], the positive value of the total time averaged probability is considered as the condition for localization; whereas in Ref. [44] positive value of $\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(m, t)$ is taken to be the criterion for localization. Indeed, it has been shown that the localization phenomena at the initial position depends on the initial state of the walker [23]. In this paper, we follow the localization criterion outlined in Ref. [10]. Thus we consider the localization of a DTQW at a location $m$. 


corresponding to an initial state if \( \lim_{t \to \infty} P(m, t) > 0 \).

It is easy to see that this definition of localization implies that the conditions of localization discussed in \[21, 23, 44\] also hold. In this article, a computable formula of this limiting value is obtained in terms of the coin parameters and a generic initial state. Therefore, the limiting value can be obtained with a given initial state and the coin parameters and consequently, the localization phenomena can be tested. For completeness, we also classify all the initial states for which the proposed walks exhibit localization.

\[ \mathcal{X} = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} x & y & 1-x-y \\ 1-x-y & x & y \\ y & 1-x-y & x \end{bmatrix} : x^2 + y^2 - x - y + xy = 0, \frac{1}{3} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}, \]

\[ \mathcal{Y} = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} x & y & -1-x-y \\ -1-x-y & x & y \\ y & -1-x-y & x \end{bmatrix} : x^2 + y^2 + x + y + xy = 0, -1 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{3} \end{cases}. \]

It is easy to check that the Grover coin is an element of \( \mathcal{X} \) by setting \( x = -1/3 \) and \( y = 2/3 \); whereas the negative of the Grover coin is a member of \( \mathcal{Y} \) setting \( x = 1/3 \) and \( y = -2/3 \). It is also shown in \[31\] that \( \mathcal{X} \) and \( \mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Y} \) both form groups under matrix multiplication. Another salient feature of these parametric orthogonal matrices is that these are permutations matrices, that is, any row of any matrix is a permutation of any other row. Besides, matrices in \( \mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Y} \) can be expressed as linear sum of permutation matrices. Consequently, these coins can conveniently be implemented by parametrized quantum circuits \[45\].

In the next two sections we determine the limit laws of the probability distribution for infinite time steps. Besides, we discuss the localization phenomena of the walks from two different aspects: existence of the non-zero return probability \( \lim_{t \to \infty} P(m, t) \) and the zero velocity of probability distribution peak of the particle to stay at the position \( m = 0 \) on the line.

### III. LIMIT THEOREMS FOR WALKS WITH GENERALIZED GROVER COINS

The asymptotic probability distribution and the localization of three state walks on one and two dimensional lattices with parametric coin operators have been studied \[44, 46\]. In this section, we consider the three-state walks on \( \mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Y} \). We present the limit value of the probability that a walker can be found at a vertex on \( \mathbb{Z} \) for long time. Besides, we show the localization phenomena of these walks.

#### A. Generalized Grover coins

In this work we consider \( 3 \times 3 \) parametric coin operators to describe the three-state DTQWs on the line. In particular, we choose \( C \in \mathcal{X} \) and \( C \in \mathcal{Y} \), where

\[ U_X(k) = \begin{bmatrix} xe^{ik} & ye^{ik} & (1-x-y)e^{ik} \\ 1-x-y & x & y \\ ye^{-ik} & (1-x-y)e^{-ik} & xe^{-ik} \end{bmatrix}, \]

where \( x^2 + y^2 + xy - x - y = 0 \).

First we derive the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of \( U_X(k) \) and \( U_Y(k) \) via two theorems. They are defined as follows:

**Theorem III.1.** Consider \( U_X(k) \) as defined in Eq. 7. Then the set of eigenpairs \( (\lambda_j(k), v_j(k)) \) of \( U_X(k) \) are

\[ \lambda_j = e^{i\omega_j(k)}, \]

\[ |v_j(k)\rangle = \frac{1}{||f_j(\omega(k))||} \left[ y + \lambda_j(1-x-y) \over (1-x-y) \right] \]

\[ + \lambda_j ye^{-ik} 1, \left( 1-x-y + \lambda_j y \right) \right] \right|^T, \]

where \( \omega_1(k) = 0, \omega_2(k) = -\omega_3(k) = \omega(k) \) with \( \cos \omega(k) = x \cos k - \frac{(1-x)}{2} \) and

\[ ||f_j(\omega(k))||^2 = \frac{1 + x - 2x \cos \omega(k)}{1 + x - 2x \cos \left( \omega(k) - k \right)} + 1 \]

\[ + \frac{1 + x - 2x \cos \omega(k)}{1 + x - 2x \cos \left( \omega(k) + k \right)}, \]

for \( j = \{1, 2, 3\} \).

**Proof.** Note that the characteristic polynomial of \( U_X(k) \) is \( \kappa_X(\lambda) = \lambda^3 - (2 \cos k + 1)x\lambda^2 + (2 \cos k + 1)x\lambda - 1. \)
Thus the eigenvalues and the corresponding eigenvectors can be obtained by simple algebraic computations. 

Let us take $|\Psi(k,0)\rangle = [\alpha, \beta, \gamma]^T \in \mathbb{C}^3$, where $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 + |\gamma|^2 = 1$. From Eq. (1), we have

$$|\psi(m,t)\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i(-km+w_j(k)t)} \langle v_j(k)|\Psi(k,0)\rangle |v_j(k)\rangle \, dk$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^3 [\psi_j(m,t,1,\alpha,\beta,\gamma), \psi_j(m,t,2,\alpha,\beta,\gamma),$$

$$\psi_j(m,t,3,\alpha,\beta,\gamma)]^T,$$

(8)

where

$$\psi_j(m,t,l,\alpha,\beta,\gamma) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i(-km+w_j(k)t)} \langle v_j(k)|\Psi(k,0)\rangle \langle l|v_j(k)\rangle,$$

and $\{|l| |l = 1,2,3\}$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}^3$.

Clearly $\psi_l(m,t) = \sum_{j=1}^3 \psi_j(m,t,l,\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$, for $l = 1,2,3$ and hence

$$P(m,t) = \sum_{l \in \{1,3,2\}} |\psi_l(m,t)|^2 = \sum_{l=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 |\psi_j(m,t,l,\alpha,\beta,\gamma)|^2,$$

Let us denote the probability of finding a particle at node $m$ after time steps $t$ with chirality $l$ by $P(m,t,l)$, then $P(m,t,l) = |\psi_l(m,t)|^2$, where $l = 1,2,3$.

Now we state the long-time limit theorem of the DTQWs with coin operators from $X$ at vertex position $m$ on the line as follows. In order to derive these theorems, we make use of the well-known Riemman-Lebesgue Lemma [47], which is stated as follows:

**Theorem III.2. (Riemman-Lebesgue Lemma)**

Let $f$ be an integrable real valued function on $[0,2\pi]$. Then $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) \cos(nx) \, dx = 0$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) \sin(nx) \, dx = 0$.

We prove the following theorem which finds the asymptotic value of the probability measure for finding the particle as $t \to \infty$ for discrete-time quantum walks using coin operators $C \in X$.

**Theorem III.3.** Let $C \in X$ be not a permutation matrix i.e. $x \neq 0,1$ and $\Psi(k,0) = [\alpha, \beta, \gamma]^T$ be the initial state of the walker. Then for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} P(m,t) \sim \frac{1}{3(1-x)(x+3)} \left\{ |A\nu|^{m+1} + B\nu^{-|m+1|} |^2 + |\alpha(1-x-y)\nu|^{m+1} + \alpha\nu|^{m+1} + \beta(1+x)\nu|^{m+1}$$

$$- \beta x \nu^{-|m+1|} - \beta x \nu^{-(m+1)} + \gamma(1-x-y)\nu|^{m+1} + \gamma \nu|^{m+1} \right\}$$

where

$$\nu = \frac{-(x-3) + \sqrt{3(1-x)(x+3)}}{2x},$$

$$A = \alpha(1-x) + \beta(1-x-y),$$

$$B = \gamma(1-x) + \beta y.$$

If $C \in X$ are permutation matrices and $|\Psi(k,0)\rangle = [\alpha, \beta, \gamma]^T$ is the initial state of the walker, then for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} P(m,t) \sim \begin{cases} 
|\beta|^2 & \text{if } m = 0, C = I \\
\frac{1}{3}(|\alpha|^2 + 2|\beta + \gamma|^2) & \text{if } m = 0, C = P_{123} \\
\frac{1}{3}|\beta + \gamma|^2 & \text{if } m = -1, C = P_{123} \\
\frac{2}{3}|\alpha|^2 & \text{if } m = 1, C = P_{123} \\
\frac{1}{3}(|\gamma|^2 + 2|\alpha + \beta|^2) & \text{if } m = 0, C = P_{132} \\
\frac{2}{3}|\gamma|^2 & \text{if } m = -1, C = P_{132} \\
\frac{1}{3}|\alpha + \beta|^2 & \text{if } m = 1, C = P_{132} \\
0, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}$$

**Proof.** The asymptotic nature of the amplitude vector can be derived by Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma for infinite time steps (see Appendix A [47] for the detail) so that
\[|\psi(m, t)\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i(-km+w_j(t))} \langle v_j(k)|\Psi(k, 0)\rangle |v_j(k)\rangle \, dk \]
\[\sim \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-ikm} \langle v_1(k)|\Psi(k, 0)\rangle |v_1(k)\rangle \, dk \quad (\text{for } t \to \infty).\]

Thus,
\[|\psi(m, t)\rangle \sim \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| f_1(\omega(k)) \right|^2 \left[ \frac{1-x}{(1-x-y)+ye^{-ik}}, 1, \frac{1-x}{y+(1-x-y)e^{ik}} \right]^T \left[ \frac{1-x}{(1-x-y)+ye^{ik}+\beta+}, \alpha \frac{1-x}{(1-x-y)+ye^{ik}+\beta+}, \gamma \frac{1-x}{y+(1-x-y)e^{ik}} \right] e^{-ikm} \, dk \quad (\text{for } t \to \infty).\]

By Theorem III.1, we have
\[1 \left| f_1(\omega(k)) \right|^2 = \frac{1}{3-x-2x \cos k}.\]

Thus the statement of the theorem follows after computing the norm of \(|\psi(m, t)\rangle\). Now let us take \(C\) to be the permutation coin. This can be obtained from \(\mathcal{X}\) by substituting either \(x = 0\) or \(x = 1\).

First let \(x = 1\), then \(C\) is the identity matrix. Clearly the eigenvalues of \(\tilde{U}(k)\) are \(e^{ik}, e^{-ik}\). Thus,
\[|\psi(m, t)\rangle \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{3(1-x)(x+3)}} \left[ (\alpha(1-x)\nu^{m|}+\beta(1-x-y)\nu^{m|}+\beta y \nu^{m-1}|)+\gamma(1-x)\nu^{m-1}|), \alpha(1-x-y)\nu^{m|}+\alpha x \nu^{m-1}|+\beta(1-x)\nu^{m-1}|+\beta y \nu^{m-1}|), \gamma(1-x-y)\nu^{m|}+\gamma y \nu^{m|}+\gamma(1-x)\nu^{m-1}|+\gamma(1-x-y)\nu^{m-1}|+\gamma(1-x)\nu^{m-1}|.\]

of \(\tilde{U}(k)\) are \(\omega, \omega^2\) and 1 where \(\omega = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\). Hence we get
\[|\psi(m, t)\rangle \sim \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left( \alpha + \beta + \gamma e^{ik}, \alpha + \beta + \gamma e^{ik}, (\alpha + \beta)e^{-ik} + \gamma \right)^T e^{-ikm} \, dk,\]

setting \(t \to \infty\). This completes the proof. \(\square\)

In order to corroborate the theoretical findings of Theorem III.3 we plot Figure II. We compare the limiting probability of the walker to be at some vertex \(m\) of the line, i.e. \(\lim_{t \to \infty} P(m, t)\), obtained in the aforementioned theorems with the actual probability of the walker to be at \(m\) after a large but finite number of walk steps. For Figure II we consider a QTGW starting from origin and using a coin operator from the family \(C \in \mathcal{X}\). In all the figures we observe that the probability of finding the particle is maximum around the origin.

Using the computable expression of \(\lim_{t \to \infty} P(m, t)\) as derived in Theorem III.3 the localization condition for
the DTQWs according to the definition given in [14] is
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 + \nu^2 & 2A^2 + 2B^2 + |T_1|^2 + |T_2|^2 + |T_3|^2 \\
1 - \nu^2 & \text{Re}(AB) + \text{Re}(T_1T_2) + \text{Re}(T_1T_3)
\end{bmatrix}
+ \frac{4\nu}{1 - \nu^2} \left[ 2A + \nu(1 - 3x)^2 + |A\nu + B|^2 \right]
\]
where note that \(-5 + 2\sqrt{6} \leq \nu < 1\) when \(x \neq 0, 1\) so that \(1 - \nu^2 \neq 0\). This follows from the derivation in Appendix B.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\lim_{t \to \infty} P(0,t) = \frac{1}{3(1 - x)(x + 3)} \\
\end{array}
\]
Since \(2 + \nu(1 - 3x) \neq 0\) for \(x \neq 0, 1\), we get \(\lim_{t \to \infty} P(0,t) > 0\). Correspondingly, the walks with coins from \(\mathcal{X}, x \neq 0, 1\) show localization at \(m = 0\). Figure 2 supports this fact.

In fact we establish the following corollary from Theorem III.3 regarding the initial states that lead zero value to the limiting probabilities.

**Corollary III.4.** Let \(C \in \mathcal{X}\) and \(x \neq 0, 1\). Then \(\lim_{t \to \infty} P(0,t) = 0\) if and only if \(|\Psi(k,0)| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}[(1-x-y), -(1-x), y]^T\), with initial coin state \((1-x-y, -(1-x), y)^T\), for which \(\lim_{t \to \infty} P(0,t)\) of the walks with coins from \(\mathcal{X}, x \neq 0, 1\) vanishes.

Indeed, it can be checked that \(|\Psi(X)|\) is orthogonal to the eigenvector \(|v_1(k)|\) given in Theorem III.1 corresponds to the eigenvalue 1.

Now we redefine the set of matrices in \(\mathcal{X}\) can be represented by a single parameter \(\theta\). To this end, for \(-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi\), setting

\[
(x, y) = \left(\frac{1 + 2\cos \theta}{3}, \frac{1 - \cos \theta}{3} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sin \theta\right)
\]
yields one-parameter representation for the matrices in \(\mathcal{X}\) in terms of the parameter \(\theta\) [21]. We call this matrix class as \(\mathcal{X}_\theta\), where

\[
\mathcal{X}_\theta = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{2 \cos \theta + 1}{3} & \frac{(1 - \cos \theta)}{3} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sin \theta & \frac{(1 - \cos \theta)}{3} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sin \theta \\
\frac{(1 - \cos \theta)}{3} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sin \theta & \frac{2 \cos \theta + 1}{3} & \frac{(1 - \cos \theta)}{3} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sin \theta \\
\frac{(1 - \cos \theta)}{3} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sin \theta & \frac{(1 - \cos \theta)}{3} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sin \theta & \frac{2 \cos \theta + 1}{3}
\end{bmatrix} : -\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi
\]

In Figure 2 we compare the long time limit probabilities with the probability at a large but finite time, at two different positions \(m = 0, 1\), across the range of coin parameters from \(\mathcal{X}\) and \(\mathcal{Y}\), respectively. In each case we set \(\alpha = \beta = \gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\). The diagrams (a), (c) in Figure 2 show the change in probability values along the ellipse \(x^2 + y^2 - x - y + xy = 0, -\frac{1}{3} \leq x, y \leq 1\); the diagrams (b), (d) are plotted recording the changes in parameter \(\theta\) for \([-\pi, \pi]\). We see that the probabilities take the relative minimum value around \((x, y) = (1, 0)\) or consequently around \(\theta = 0\). A relative maximum values for both the probability at \(t = 5000\) and limit measure appear for \((x, y) = (0, 1)\) and \(\theta = \frac{\pi}{3}\) in (c) and (d), respectively.
where \( x^2 + y^2 + xy + x + y = 0 \).

The following theorem provides computable expressions for eigenvalues and eigenvectors of \( \tilde{U}_Y(k) \).

**Theorem III.5.** Consider \( \tilde{U}_Y(k) \) in Eq. \((9)\). Then the set of eigenpairs \((\lambda_j(k), v_j(k))\) of \( \tilde{U}_Y(k) \) are

\[
\lambda_j = e^{i\omega_j(k)}, \quad |v_j(k)\rangle = \frac{1}{\|f_j(\omega(k))\|} \begin{bmatrix} -y + \lambda_j(1 + x + y) \\ (1 + x + y) - \lambda_j ye^{-ik} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},
\]

where \( \omega_1(k) = \pi, \omega_2(k) = -\omega_3(k) = \omega(k) \) with \( \cos \omega(k) = -x \cos k - \frac{1 + x}{2} \) and

\[
\|f_j(\omega(k))\|^2 = \frac{1 - x - 2x \cos \omega(k)}{1 - x - 2x \cos (\omega(k) - k)} + 1 + \frac{1 - x - 2x \cos \omega(k)}{1 - x - 2x \cos (\omega(k) + k)}
\]

for \( \{j = 1, 2, 3\} \).

**Proof.** Note that the characteristic polynomial of \( \tilde{U}_Y(k) \) is \( \kappa_Y(\lambda) = \lambda^3 - (2 \cos k + 1)x\lambda^2 - (2 \cos k + 1)x\lambda + 1 \). Hence the desired results follow after simple algebraic calculations.

\( \square \)

**B. Coins from \( Y \)**

In what follows, we provide a limit theorem for the proposed walks with coin operators from \( Y \). We perform a similar analysis as above. For \( C \in Y, k \in (-\pi, \pi] \), \( \tilde{U}_Y(k) = D(k)C \) is given by

\[
\tilde{U}_Y(k) = \begin{bmatrix} xe^{ik} & ye^{ik} & (1 - x - y)e^{ik} \\ -1 - x - y & x & y \\ ye^{-ik} & (1 - x - y)e^{-ik} & xe^{-ik} \end{bmatrix}
\]

\( (9) \)

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} P(m, t) \sim \frac{1}{3(1 + x)(3 - x)} \left[D\mu^{|m|} + E\mu^{-|m|+1}\right]^2 + |\alpha(1 + x + y)\mu^{|m|} - \alpha y\mu^{|m|+1} + \beta(1 - x)\mu^{|m|} + \beta x\mu^{-|m|+1}|^2 + |D\mu^{|m|+1} + E\mu^{|m|}|^2
\]

where

\[
\mu = \frac{-(x + y) + \sqrt{3(1 + x)(3 - x)}}{2x} \\
D = \alpha(1 + x) + \beta(1 + x + y) \\
E = \gamma(1 + x) - \beta y.
\]
\([\alpha, \beta, \gamma]^T\) is the initial state of the walker, then for \(m \in \mathbb{Z}\) we have the following:

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} P(m,t) \sim \begin{cases} 
|\beta|^2 & \text{if } m = 0, C = I \\
\frac{1}{2}(|\alpha|^2 + 2|\beta + \gamma|^2) & \text{if } m = 0, C = -P_{(123)} \\
\frac{1}{2}(|\beta + \gamma|^2) & \text{if } m = 1, C = P_{(123)} \\
\frac{1}{2}(|\alpha|^2) & \text{if } m = -1, C = P_{(123)} \\
0, & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

\(0 < \alpha, \beta, \gamma < \infty\).

**Proof.** The proof follows adapting a similar approach as in Theorem [III.3].

In Figure 3, we compare the numerical values of \(P(m,t)\) for \(t = 1000\) and the limiting value of the probability measure fixing the same initial state, when the initial position of the walker is origin, that is, \(m = 0\) for two different coins from \(\mathcal{Y}\). The figures support the localization phenomena.

\[\begin{array}{c}
\text{(a) } x = \frac{1}{3} \\
\text{(b) } x = \frac{\sqrt{7} - 1}{3}
\end{array}\]

**FIG. 3.** Figure (a) and Figure (b) compares \(P(m,t)\) for \(t = 10000\) (black points) and \(\lim_{t \to \infty} P(m,t)\), (blue bars) when the underlying coin operators are from \(\mathcal{Y}\) correspond to \(x = \frac{1}{3}\) and \(x = \frac{\sqrt{7} - 1}{3}\), respectively; with initial coin state \([\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}]^T\). We get \(P(0,10000) = 0.3120, \lim_{t \to \infty} P(0,t) = 0.3031\) for (a) and \(P(0,10000) = 0.3092, \lim_{t \to \infty} P(0,t) = 0.3123\) for (b).

The walks with coin operators from \(\mathcal{Y}\) localize according to [44] if

\[
\begin{align*}
&\left[1 + \frac{\mu^2}{1 - \mu^2} (2|D|^2 + 2|E|^2 + |S_1|^2 + |S_2|^2 + |S_3|^2) + \\
&4\mu \frac{\Re(DE) + \Re(S_1S_2) + \Re(S_1S_3)}{1 - \mu^2} \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{2\mu^2}{1 - \mu^2} \Re(S_2S_3) > 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(S_1 = \alpha(1 + x + y) + \beta(1 - x) - \gamma y, S_2 = -\alpha y + \beta x + \gamma (1 + x + y)\) and \(-9 + 2\sqrt{6} \leq \mu < 0, \mu^2 < 1\). See Appendix [3] for the derivation.

Also, from Theorem [III.5] we write,

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} P(0,t) = \frac{1}{3(1 + x)(3 - x)} \left[|D + E\mu|^2 + \frac{1}{3} (2 + \mu(1 + 3x))^2 + |D\mu + E|^2 \right].
\]

Since \(2 + \mu(1 + 3x) \neq 0\) for \(x \neq 0, -1\), we get \(\lim_{t \to \infty} P(0,t) > 0\) and we say the walks with coins from \(\mathcal{Y}\), \(x \neq 0, -1\) show localization at \(m = 0\). In account to this fact we have Figure 4. In fact we establish the following corollary from Theorem [III.6] regarding the initial states that lead zero value to the limiting probabilities.

**Corollary III.7.** Let \(C \in \mathcal{Y}\) and \(x \neq 0, -1\). Then \(\lim_{t \to \infty} P(0,t) = 0\) if and only if \(|\Psi(k,0)\rangle = \frac{1}{3}[-(1 + x + y), (1 + x), y]^T, N = \sqrt{(1 + x + y)^2 + (1 + x)^2 + y^2} > 0\).

**Proof.** We get \(\lim_{t \to \infty} P(0,t) = 0\) whenever \(D = E = 0\) and \(x \neq 0, -1\). Therefore the corresponding initial state is \(|\Psi(k,0)\rangle := |\Psi_{\mathcal{Y}}\rangle = \frac{1}{3}[-(1 + x + y), (1 + x), y]^T\), for which the limiting probability at \(m = 0\) of the walks with coins from \(\mathcal{Y}, x \neq 0, -1\) vanishes.

Indeed, it can be checked that \(|\Psi_{\mathcal{Y}}\rangle\) is orthogonal to the eigenvector \(|v_1(k)\rangle\) given in Theorem [III.5] corresponds to the eigenvalue \(-1\).

Now we redefine the set of matrices in \(\mathcal{Y}\) can be represented by a single parameter \(\theta\). To this end, for \(-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi\), for the set of matrices in \(\mathcal{Y}\), setting

\[
(x,y) = \left(\frac{2\cos\theta - 1}{3}, -\frac{1 + \cos\theta}{3} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sin\theta\right).
\]

yields one-parameter representation for the matrices in \(\mathcal{X}\) and \(\mathcal{Y}\) in terms of the parameter \(\theta\) [31]. We call this matrix class as \(\mathcal{Y}_\theta\), where

\[
\mathcal{Y}_\theta = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{2\cos\theta - 1}{3} & -\frac{(1 + \cos\theta)}{3} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sin\theta \\
-\frac{(1 + \cos\theta)}{3} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sin\theta & -\frac{2\cos\theta - 1}{3}
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
\sin\theta & -\frac{(1 + \cos\theta)}{3} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sin\theta \\
\frac{(1 + \cos\theta)}{3} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sin\theta & \sin\theta
\end{pmatrix} : -\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi \right\}.
\]

Note that if \(\theta \in \{\pi, -\pi, \frac{\pi}{3}, -\frac{\pi}{3}\}\), we obtain the negative of the permutation matrices in \(\mathcal{Y}_\theta\). In Figure 4, we
are around \( \theta \). The probabilities take the relative minimum and maximum values around \( \theta = 0 \) and \( \theta = -\frac{\pi}{2} \) respectively. In (c) and (d) the probability values are getting near to zero in the neighbours of \( (x, y) = (-1, 0) \) and \( \theta = \pm \pi \) respectively.

![Probability distributions for different values of \( m \) and \( \theta \).](image)

**FIG. 4.** Here the coin operators under consideration are chosen from \( \mathcal{Y}, x \neq -1, 0 \) and the initial coin state is \( \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \right) \) in all the cases. In (a) and (c) we compare the values for probability at \( t = 10000 \) (green points) and limit probability measure (red point) for the walker’s positions at \( m = 0 \) and \( m = 1 \), respectively, with different coin operators. Also we draw the probabilities \( P(m, 10000) \) (black points) and \( \lim_{m \to \infty} P(m, t) \) (red points) for different values of \( \theta \in [-\pi, \pi], \theta \neq \pi, -\pi, \frac{\pi}{2}, -\frac{\pi}{2} \).

**IV. PEAK VELOCITIES OF THE WALKS**

In section III we established that the discrete-time quantum walks with coin operators from the family \( \mathcal{X}_0 \) and \( \mathcal{Y}_0 \) localize. This was done by demonstrating that there exists a non-vanishing probability for the quantum walk to remain at a vertex even as the time steps of the walk approach infinity. In this section, we discuss the localization phenomena of the walks from a different perspective.

In Ref. [35], the authors establish a relationship between the choice of the coin parameters and the peak velocity with which the underlying quantum walk wavefunction spreads. The authors demonstrate this by considering a generalized Grover operator as coin, obtained by modifying its eigenvalues and eigenvectors. Peak velocities control the limit distribution and in turn influences localization.

Here at first, we determine the peak velocities of the probability distribution of the walker’s position when the coin operators are from \( \mathcal{X}_0 \) and \( \mathcal{Y}_0 \). This allows us to provide a new family of parametrized coin operators \( \mathcal{X}_0 \), outside those in Ref. [35], which preserves the localization of the Grover walk. We describe how the coin parameter \( \theta \) relates the spreading of the walks through the line.

Recall from Eq. (8), we have that

\[
|\psi(m, t)\rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i(-km + \omega_j(k)t)} \langle v_j(k)|\Psi(k, 0)\rangle |v_j(k)\rangle dk.
\]

Now to determine the behavior of \( |\psi(m, t)\rangle \) as \( t \to \infty \), we employ the idea given in Ref. [35] by using the method of stationary phase approximation (SPA) [38].

In general, the SPA states that for a propagating wavepacket, the contributions of the fast oscillating phases average out and only the amplitudes corresponding to the stationary phases contribute to significantly to the overall wavefunction of the wavepacket. The propagation of the wavefunction corresponding to a quantum walk on an infinite line with periodic boundary conditions can be modelled using the theory of SPA. Consider the phase \( \tilde{\omega}_j(k) = \omega_j(k) - mk/t \).

The group velocity of the propagating wavefunction corresponding to the quantum walk is simply \( \frac{d\tilde{\omega}_j(k)}{dk} \), where \( k \) and \( \omega_j(k) \) is the wave number and frequency respectively [38].

By solving the equation \( \frac{d^2}{dk^2} \tilde{\omega}_j(k) = 0 \), we find the stationary points corresponding to the maximum value of \( \frac{d}{dk} \tilde{\omega}_j(k) \). If \( \tilde{k}_0 \) is the solution of the second order derivative then by equating the first order equation with zero we get the position of the peak after time \( t \) as \( m = \frac{d}{dk} \omega_j(k)\big|_{k_0} t \), whereas the peaks in the probability distribution curve propagates with maximal group velocity \( \frac{d}{dk} \tilde{\omega}_j(k)\big|_{k_0} \).

Next we obtain peak velocities for the wavefunction corresponding to a discrete-time quantum walk on a line with generalized coin operators chosen from the set \( \mathcal{X} \) and \( \mathcal{Y} \).

**A. With coin operators \( C \in \mathcal{X}_0 \)**

First we consider the walk with coins from \( \mathcal{X} \). Now for \( x = \frac{1 + 2 \cos \theta}{4} \), by Theorem III.1, the eigenvalues of \( \hat{U}_X(k) \) are \( \lambda_j = e^{i\omega_j(k)}, j = 1, 2, 3 \) where \( \omega_1(k) = 0, \omega_2(k) = -\omega_3(k) = \omega(k) \) with \( \cos \omega(k) = -\frac{1}{3}(1 - \cos k) + \frac{\cos \theta}{3} (1 + 2 \cos k) \).

Since \( \omega_1(k) \) is independent of the wave-number \( k \), the localization effect of the quantum walks using \( \mathcal{X}_0 \) as the coin operator is preserved as that of the Grover walk [21].
Indeed, the existence of constant eigenvalues of the time evolution operator and the localization are equivalent for DTQWs on infinite lattice under cyclic boundary conditions [30]. We shall now determine the peak velocities using the coin operators from $X^\theta$ for $\theta = 0, \frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{4\pi}{3}$, which are permutation matrices. For $\theta = 0$, $X^\theta$ becomes the identity matrix. So that $\omega_{2,3}(k) = \pm k$, consequently the right and left going peak velocities are

$$v_R = \frac{d}{dk} \omega_3(k) = -1, \quad v_L = \frac{d}{dk} \omega_2(k) = 1,$$

whereas $\frac{d^2}{dk^2} \omega_{2,3}(k) = 0$.

Similarly, if $\theta = \frac{2\pi}{3}$ or $\theta = -\frac{2\pi}{3}$ we get permutation matrices $P_{(1,2)} \in X^\theta$ or $P_{(1,3)} \in X^\theta$ respectively. For both the cases the eigenvalues $\omega_{2,3}(k) = \pm \frac{2\pi}{3}$ and hence the corresponding peak velocities are 0. If $\theta$ equals to $-\pi$ or $\pi$, accordingly the coin operator is the Grover matrix, the right and left peak velocities are $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ and $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ respectively [35].

From now onward we consider coins from the family $X^\theta$ except the Grover and permutation matrices i.e. $(1 + 2 \cos \theta) \neq 0, 3, -1$. Now, to find the peak velocities we determine for which values of $k$ the second derivatives of $\omega_{2,3}(k)$ vanish. Thus,

$$\frac{d^2 \omega_2(k)}{dk^2} = \frac{\cos k (1 + 2 \cos \theta)}{\left(9 - (1 + \cos k + \cos \theta (1 + 2 \cos k))^2\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{\sin^2 k (1 + 2 \cos k)^2 (-1 + \cos k + \cos \theta (1 + 2 \cos k))}{\left(9 - (1 + \cos k + \cos \theta (1 + 2 \cos k))^2\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$

vanishes for

$$k_1^0 = \pi - \arccos \left(\frac{5 \cos \theta + 7 - 3 \sqrt{\cos^2 \theta + 6 \cos \theta + 5}}{2 (2 \cos \theta + 1)}\right)$$

and

$$k_2^0 = \pi - \arccos \left(\frac{5 \cos \theta + 7 + 3 \sqrt{\cos^2 \theta + 6 \cos \theta + 5}}{2 (2 \cos \theta + 1)}\right).$$

Then we determine the group velocities given by

$$\frac{d \omega_2(k)}{dk} = - \frac{d \omega_3(k)}{dk} = \frac{\frac{1}{3} \sin k (1 + 2 \cos \theta)}{\sqrt{1 - \left(-\frac{1}{3} (1 - \cos k) + \frac{1}{3} \cos \theta (1 + 2 \cos k)\right)^2}}$$

at the stationary points $k_1^0$ and $k_2^0$. Hence the velocities of the right and left going probability peaks $v_R$ and $v_L$ are given by

$$v_R^{(1)}(\theta) = \frac{d \omega_2(k)}{dk} \bigg|_{k=k_1^0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}},$$

$$v_L^{(1)}(\theta) = \frac{d \omega_2(k)}{dk} \bigg|_{k=k_2^0} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}},$$

where $(1 + 2 \cos \theta) \neq 0, 3, -1$. It is worthy to mention that $v_R^{(1)}(\theta) = v_L^{(1)}(\theta) = 0$.

Here we mention that $v_R(\theta)$ and $v_L(\theta)$ do not keep same sign for all the coin parametric values $\theta$ through the interval $[\pi, \pi]$, in contrast to the study in [35]. Henceforth, in order to get rid of the conflict with the names ‘velocity of the the right going peaks’ and ‘velocity of the the left going peaks’ which are generally used in case of positive and negative quantities; we emphasize that we represent $v_R(\theta)$ and $v_L(\theta)$, respectively, by the expressions $\frac{d \omega_2(k)}{dk} \bigg|_{k=k_0}$ and $\frac{d \omega_3(k)}{dk} \bigg|_{k=k_0}$ only, not in the sense of sign.

We plot the function $v_R^{(1)}(\theta)$ and $v_L^{(1)}(\theta)$ with $\theta$ in Figure [3] and Figure [6] respectively, whereas the underlying coin operator is $X^\theta, -\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi$. 

$\omega^{\frac{2\pi}{3}}$
The velocity \( v^{(1)}_L(\theta) \) for the one-parameter family of quantum walks defined by the coin class \( X_\theta \). The velocity of the left travelling probability peak takes the maximal value 1 at \( \theta = 0 \) and when \( |\theta| \) increases it decreases and becomes 0 at \( \theta = \pm 2\pi/3 \). \( v^{(1)}_L(\theta) \) takes negative value for \( |\theta| > 2\pi/3 \). The curve for peak velocity is symmetric about the vertical line through \( \theta = 0 \).

For the Grover walk, \( v^{(1)}_L(\pi) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \), \( \theta = \pm \frac{\pi}{2} \). Moreover, the peak velocity curve is symmetric about the vertical line through \( \theta = 0 \). There is a certain jump discontinuity in the peak velocity curve at \( \theta = \pm 2\pi/3 \). However the peak velocity \( v^{(2)}_L(\theta) \) is not feasible to carry out.

In Figure 7, we plot the probability distributions of the Grover walk after time steps \( t = 50 \) and \( t = 100 \) with initial state \( (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}) \). Clearly the probability distribution contains three dominant peaks whose positions are determined by the velocities \( v^{(1)}_L(\pi) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, v^{(1)}_S(\pi) = 0, v^{(1)}_R(\pi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \). In (a) the peak positions correspond to \(-27, 0, 27\) and in (b) the peak positions appear at \(-56, 56, 0\). As time increases we see that the probability values at the peaks diminishes gradually. The peak at the origin does not propagate with time, which emphasizes the localization of the Grover walk.

Figure 8 shows the probability distributions of the walks after time \( t = 50 \) at different position of the walker with some coins from \( X_\theta \).

The peaks on the left and the right side move with velocities \( v^{(1)}_{L,R}(\pi) \approx \pm 0.3568 \) and appear at positions \( T v^{(1)}_{L,R}(\pi) \approx \pm 17 \). Here the probability distribution curves spread much slower in compared with the Grover walk shown in Figure 7.
From Figure 5 we see $|v_L^{(1)}(\frac{\pi}{2})| < |v_L^{(1)}(\pi)|$. Accordingly in Figure 3 the right and left peaks travel slower than the Grover walk in Figure 7 through the line, whereas the initial state is $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$ and $t = 50$ for both the cases. Indeed, it is to be noted that unlike the Grover coin the coins $\mathcal{X}_0, \theta = \frac{\pi}{2}, -\frac{\pi}{2}$ are not symmetric, which cause the asymmetric probability distributions with respect to the position $m = 0$.

Now we see in Figure 9 the probability distribution of the walk with coin from $\mathcal{X}_0$ with time changes, at a certain position of the walker on the line.

![FIG. 9. The probability distribution of the walker with time at the origin $m = 0$, starting with the initial state $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$, while the coins are $\mathcal{X}_0$ for $\theta = \pi, \frac{\pi}{2}$. The red and green colored nodes correspond to $\theta = \pi$ and $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ respectively. Here the black dotted curves passing through the probability distributions are the averaged probabilities of the corresponding walks. It looks apparently that as time propagates, the averaged probabilities of the walks at $m = 0$ with the Grover coin $\mathcal{X}_0$ and with coin $\mathcal{X}_2$ converge to 0.2 and 0.13]

In Figure 9 we plot the probability of the walker to be at position $m = 0$, over time. Starting from $m = 0$, the quantum walk (with coins from either $\mathcal{X}$ or $\mathcal{Y}$) propagates to other vertices of the line with time. However, the probability to localize (i.e. to remain at $m = 0$) converges to a constant as $t$ increases.

B. With coin operators $C \in \mathcal{Y}_0$

Now we consider the walk with coins from $\mathcal{Y}_0$, while for $x = \frac{2\cos\theta - 1}{3}$, by Theorem [11.3] the eigenvalues of $\tilde{U}_Y(k)$ are $\lambda_j = e^{i\omega_j(k)}, j = 1, 2, 3$ where $\omega_1(k) = \pi, \omega_2(k) = -\omega_3(k) = \omega(k)$ with $\cos\omega(k) = -\frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos k - \cos^2(1 - 2 \cos k)$). Here also the eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = -1$ is independent of wave number $k$ and hence the walk shows localization. Similarly as the case $\mathcal{X}_0$ we determine the peak velocities using the coin operators from $\mathcal{Y}_0$. For $\theta = \pi, -\pi$, $\mathcal{Y}_0$ becomes $-I_3$, so that $\omega_{2,3}(k) = \pm k$ and $v_R = 1, v_L = -1$. Similarly, if $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ or $\theta = -\frac{\pi}{2}$ we get permutation matrices $P_{(132)} \in \mathcal{Y}_0$ or $-P_{(132)} \in \mathcal{Y}_0$ respectively. For both the cases peak velocities are 0. If $\theta = 0$ the coin operator is the negative times Grover matrix, whose right and left peak velocities are $-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}$ and $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}$ respectively.

Now we get $\frac{d^2\omega_2(k)}{dk^2}$ vanishes for

$$k_0^1 = \pi - \arccos \left( \frac{5\cos \theta - 7 + 3\cos^2 \theta - 6\cos \theta + 5}{2(2\cos \theta - 1)} \right)$$

and

$$k_0^2 = \pi - \arccos \left( \frac{5\cos \theta - 7 - 3\cos^2 \theta - 6\cos \theta + 5}{2(2\cos \theta - 1)} \right),$$

for $(2\cos \theta - 1) \neq 0, -3, 1$ i.e. $\theta \neq \frac{\pi}{2}, -\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi, -\pi, 0$. Then the group velocities

$$\frac{d\omega_2(k)}{dk} = -\frac{d\omega_3(k)}{dk} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dk} \left| \frac{\sqrt{1 - (\frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos k) + \frac{1}{2} \cos \theta(1 + 2 \cos k))^2}}{\omega(k)} \right|$$

at the stationary points $k_0^1$ and $k_0^2$ are as follows.

$$v_L^{(1)}(\theta) = \frac{d\omega_2(k)}{dk} \bigg|_{k=k_0^1}$$

$$= \begin{cases}
1 \frac{9\cos^2 \theta - 6\cos \theta + 5 + (15\cos \theta - 21)}{\sqrt{\cos^2 \theta - 6\cos \theta + 5 + (\cos \theta - 3)}} & \text{if } -\pi < \theta < \pi,

1 \frac{9\cos^2 \theta - 6\cos \theta + 5 + (\cos \theta - 3)}{\sqrt{\cos^2 \theta - 6\cos \theta + 5 + (\cos \theta - 3)}} & \text{if } -\pi < \theta < -\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{3} < \theta < \pi,

\end{cases}$$

$$v_R^{(1)}(\theta) = v_L^{(1)}(\theta),$$

$$v_L^{(2)}(\theta) = \frac{d\omega_2(k)}{dk} \bigg|_{k=k_0^2}$$

$$= \begin{cases}
1 \frac{9\cos^2 \theta - 6\cos \theta + 5 + (15\cos \theta - 21)}{\sqrt{\cos^2 \theta - 6\cos \theta + 5 + (\cos \theta - 3)}} & \text{if } -\pi < \theta < \pi,

1 \frac{9\cos^2 \theta - 6\cos \theta + 5 + (\cos \theta - 3)}{\sqrt{\cos^2 \theta - 6\cos \theta + 5 + (\cos \theta - 3)}} & \text{if } -\pi < \theta < -\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{3} < \theta < \pi,

\end{cases}$$

$$v_R^{(2)}(\theta) = -v_L^{(2)}(\theta),$$

whenever $(2\cos \theta - 1) \neq 0, -3, 1$.

We show the changes in the functional values of $v_L^{(1)}(\theta)$ and $v_L^{(2)}(\theta)$ with $\theta$ in Figure 10.
FIG. 10. $v_L^{(1)}(\theta)$ and $v_L^{(2)}(\theta)$ for the one-parameter family of quantum walks defined by the coin class $Y_\theta$. At $\theta = 0$ $v_L^{(1)}(0)$ attains the value $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$. $v_L^{(1)}(\theta)$ takes the maximal value 1 at $\theta = -\pi, \pi$ and is negative for $|\theta| < 2\pi/3$. In (a) velocity increases as $|\theta|$ increases and becomes 0 at $\theta = \pm \pi/3$. Whereas in (b) velocity decreases as $|\theta|$ increases and there is a discontinuity of the left peak velocity curve at $\theta = \pm \pi/3$, in contrast to (a).

Next in Figure 11 shows the probability distributions of the walk with negative times the Grover coin i.e. $\theta = 0$, at different position of the walker after three certain time steps.

FIG. 11. The probability distribution corresponding to negative of the Grover coin after time steps $t = 50, 100, 500$ with initial state $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}})$. Clearly the probability distribution contains three dominant peaks whose positions are determined by the velocities $v_L^{(1)}(0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, v_S^{(1)}(0) = 0, v_R^{(1)}(0) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$. In (a) the peak positions correspond to $-28, 0, 28$ and in (b) the peak positions appear at $-56, 0, 56$. In contrast to (a) and (b) for the probability distribution in (c) corresponds to large time step $t = 500$, the left and right peaks take small probability values. In all the figures the central peak at the origin does not propagate with time, which emphasizes the localization of the Grover walk.

Figure 12 shows the probability distributions of the walks after time $t = 50$ at different position of the walker with some coins from $Y_\theta$. 
\[ Y_{\theta, \theta} = \frac{\pi}{6}. \]

\[ Y_{\theta, \theta} = -\frac{\pi}{6}. \]

FIG. 12. The probability distribution of the walk using coins from \( X_{\theta} \) for \( \theta = \frac{\pi}{6} \) and \( \theta = -\frac{\pi}{6} \) after \( t = 50 \) time steps with the initial state \( \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \). The peaks on the left and the right side move with velocities \( v_{L,R}^{(1)}(\frac{\pi}{6}) = v_{L,R}^{(1)}(-\frac{\pi}{6}) \) and appear at positions \( T v_{L,R}^{(1)}(\frac{\pi}{6}) = T v_{L,R}^{(1)}(-\frac{\pi}{6}) \approx \pm 14 \). Clearly the probability distribution curves spread much slower in compared with the walk shown in Figure 11.

From Figure 10 we see \( |v_{L}^{(1)}(\frac{\pi}{6})| < |v_{L}^{(1)}(0)| \). Hence in Figure 12 the right and left peaks travel slower than the walk in Figure 11 through the line, whereas the initial state is \( \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \) and \( t = 50 \) for both the cases. In contrast to the walk with negative Grover coin the walks with coins \( X_{\theta}, \theta = \frac{\pi}{6}, -\frac{\pi}{6} \) have asymmetric probability distributions with respect to the position \( m = 0 \).

V. CONCLUSION

We have proved two limit theorems for three-state quantum walks on one dimensional lattices when the coin operators are considered as generalized Grover operators which are recently introduced in literature by characterizing orthogonal matrices of dimension 3 × 3 that can be written as linear sum of permutation matrices. Furthermore, we have analyzed the localization properties of the walks using the obtained formula of probability measure of finding the walker at any position with a given generic initial state. Indeed, we have shown that the walks show localization phenomena generically, and we also have classified the initial states for which the walks do not show localization phenomena when the initial position is assumed to be the origin. In support of these results we have analyzed peak velocities associated with the limiting distributions of the walks. All our analytical results have been thoroughly corroborated with numerical examples.

Our results can be used to determine how fast the quantum walks described here, converge to their time averaged limiting distribution. As mentioned earlier, this phenomena, known as mixing has been crucial to analyze the running times of several quantum algorithms. In fact, it has been demonstrated that discrete-time quantum walks on a one-dimensional lattice with a Hadamard coin, mixes quadratically faster than its classical counterpart. It would be interesting to investigate whether this speedup is retained for quantum walks with the parametrized coins introduced here. Other topologies, such as higher dimensional lattices can also be explored in this regard.
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Appendix A: Derivation related to limit theorems

Below we derive a compact expression for the quantum state \( |\psi(m, t)\rangle \), the probability amplitude vector as defined in eqn. (2) when the coin operator \( C \in \mathcal{X} \). Similar expression can be obtained for coins in \( Y \).
Then comparing both sides for the coefficients of α, β, γ we get $a_{ij}, i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Here we derive $a_{11}$ and the other $a_{ij}$ can be obtained similarly.

By Theorem III.1 $||f_2(\omega(k))||^2 = ||f_3(-\omega(k))||^2$ and hence

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i(-km+w_j(k)t)} \langle \psi_k, m, | \psi_k, m, \rangle dk
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{||f_2(\omega(k))||^2} \left[ \begin{array}{c}
 y + (1 - x - y)e^{i\omega(k)} \\
 y + (1 - x - y)e^{i\omega(k)} - 1
\end{array} \right] ^T \left[ \begin{array}{c}
 y + (1 - x - y)e^{i\omega(k)} + y + (1 - x - y)e^{i\omega(k)} \\
 y + (1 - x - y)e^{i\omega(k)} + y + (1 - x - y)e^{i\omega(k)}
\end{array} \right] dk
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{||f_3(-\omega(k))||^2} \left[ \begin{array}{c}
 y + (1 - x - y)e^{i\omega(k)} \\
 y + (1 - x - y)e^{i\omega(k)} + y + (1 - x - y)e^{i\omega(k)}
\end{array} \right] ^T \left[ \begin{array}{c}
 y + (1 - x - y)e^{i\omega(k)} + y + (1 - x - y)e^{i\omega(k)} \\
 y + (1 - x - y)e^{i\omega(k)} + y + (1 - x - y)e^{i\omega(k)}
\end{array} \right] dk
\]

\[
= [a_{11} + a_{12}\beta + a_{13}\gamma, a_{21}\alpha + a_{22}\beta + a_{23}\gamma, a_{31}\alpha + a_{32}\beta + a_{33}\gamma] ^T
\]

Then using Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma we say $a_{11} \sim 0$ as $t \to \infty$. Similarly it can be done for others $a_{ij}, i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

**Appendix B: Derivation related to localization condition using the definition given in** [44]

Recall that the localization condition for a DTQW as proposed in [44] is $\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \lim_{t \to \infty} P(m, t)$ to be positive. Then for coins in $\mathcal{X}$, the parameter $\nu$ as mentioned in Theorem III.3 is such that $-5 + 2\sqrt{6} \leq \nu < 1$ for $x \neq 0, 1$, so that $1 - \nu^2 \neq 0$. Suppose $x \neq 0, 1$ and $T_1 = \alpha(1 - x - y) + \beta(1 + x) + \gamma y, T_2 = ay - \beta x, T_3 = -\beta x + \gamma (1 - x - y)$. Then taking the approximation of $\lim_{t \to \infty} P(m, t)$ in Theorem III.3 taking sum over all the positions on the line we obtain
\[ \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \lim_{t \to \infty} P(m, t) = \frac{1}{3(1 - x)(x + 3)} \left[ 2 \left( |A|^2 + |B|^2 \right) \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \nu^2|m| + 2\Re(AB) \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \nu^{|m|+|m+1|} \right] + \right. \\
\left. \left\{ \left( |T_1|^2 + |T_2|^2 + |T_3|^2 \right) \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \nu^2|m| + \right. \\
\left. 2 \left( \Re(T_1 \overline{T_2}) + \Re(T_1 \overline{T_3}) \right) \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \nu^{|m|+|m+1|} \right\} \right] \\
= \frac{1}{3(1 - x)(x + 3)} \left[ \frac{1 + \nu^2}{1 - \nu^2} \left\{ 2|A|^2 + 2|B|^2 + |T_1|^2 + |T_2|^2 + |T_3|^2 + \\
2\nu^{-1} \Re(AB) + \Re(T_1 \overline{T_2}) + \Re(T_1 \overline{T_3}) \right\} - \\
2\nu^{-1} \Re(AB) + \Re(T_1 \overline{T_2}) + \Re(T_1 \overline{T_3}) - 2(1 - \nu^2) \Re(T_2 \overline{T_3}) \right] \\
= \frac{1}{3(1 - x)(x + 3)} \left[ \frac{1 + \nu^2}{1 - \nu^2} \left( 2|A|^2 + 2|B|^2 + |T_1|^2 + |T_2|^2 + |T_3|^2 + \\
\frac{4\nu}{1 - \nu^2} \left( \Re(AB) + \Re(T_1 \overline{T_2}) + \Re(T_1 \overline{T_3}) \right) + 2\nu^2 \frac{3 - \nu^2}{1 - \nu^2} \Re(T_2 \overline{T_3}) \right) . \right. \\
\]

Further, for coins in \( \mathcal{Y} \), in order to derive a criterion for localization and to analyze the dependency of it on the initial state, we obtain from Theorem [III.6] for \( x \neq 0, -1, \)

\[ \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \lim_{t \to \infty} P(m, t) = \frac{1}{3(1 + x)(3 - x)} \left[ \frac{1 + \mu^2}{1 - \mu^2} \left( 2|D|^2 + 2|E|^2 + |S_1|^2 + |S_2|^2 + |S_3|^2 \right) + \\
\frac{4\mu}{1 - \mu^2} \left( \Re(D \overline{E}) + \Re(S_1 \overline{S_2}) + \Re(S_1 \overline{S_3}) \right) + 2\mu^2 \frac{3 - \mu^2}{1 - \mu^2} \Re(S_2 \overline{S_3}) \right] . \]

where \( S_1 = \alpha(1 + x + y) + \beta(1 - x) - \gamma y, S_2 = -\alpha y + \beta x, S_3 = \beta x + \gamma(1 + x + y) \) and \( -5 + 2\sqrt{6} \leq \mu < 1, \mu^2 < 1. \)
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