$q$-Analogs of the Kac matrix and the adjacency matrix of the $n$-cube
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Abstract

We define $q$-analogs of the adjacency matrix of the $n$-cube and the closely related tridiagonal matrix of Mark Kac and study their spectral theory. We give a weighted count of the number of rooted spanning trees in the $q$-analog of the $n$-cube.
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1 Introduction

One aspect of algebraic combinatorics is the study of eigenvalues and eigenvectors of certain matrices associated with posets and graphs. Among the basic such examples are the adjacency matrix of the $n$-cube and the closely related tridiagonal matrix of Mark Kac. These matrices have an elegant spectral theory and arise in a variety of applications (see [CST, St]). This paper
defines $q$-analog of the adjacency matrix of the $n$-cube, studies their spectral theory, and gives an application to weighted counting of rooted spanning trees in the $q$-analog of the $n$-cube.

Let $q$ be a prime power and define $(n)_q = 1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{n-1}$, for a nonnegative integer $n$. Let $B_q(n)$ denote the set of all subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_q^n$, the $n$-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-vector space of all column vectors with $n$ components. The set of $k$-dimensional subspaces in $B_q(n)$ is denoted $B_q(n,k)$ and its cardinality is the $q$-binomial coefficient $\binom{n}{k}_q$. The Galois number

$$G_q(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}_q$$

is the total number of subspaces in $B_q(n)$.

Recall the definition of the adjacency matrix $M(n)$ of the $n$-cube: let $B(n)$ denote the set of all subsets of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. The rows and columns of $M(n)$ are indexed by elements of $B(n)$, with the entry in row $S$, column $T$ equal to 1 if $|(S \setminus T) \cup (T \setminus S)| = 1$ and equal to 0 otherwise. Define a $B_q(n) \times B_q(n)$ complex matrix $M_q(n)$ with entries given by

$$M_q(n)(X,Y) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } Y \subseteq X, \dim(Y) = \dim(X) - 1, \\
q^k & \text{if } X \subseteq Y, \dim(Y) = k + 1, \dim(X) = k, \\
0 & \text{otherwise.} 
\end{cases}$$ (1)

We call $M_q(n)$ the $q$-analog of the adjacency matrix of the $n$-cube. Note that $M(n)$ is symmetric, has entries in $\{0, 1\}$, and has all row sums equal to $n$. The significance of the definition above for the $q$-analog comes from the fact that though $M_q(n)$ lacks the first two properties it does have all row sums equal. Indeed, let $X \in B_q(n,k)$. Then the number of subspaces covering $X$ is $(n-k)_q$ and the number of subspaces covered by $X$ is $k_q$ and so the sum of the entries of row $X$ of $M_q(n)$ is $q^k(n-k)_q + (k)_q = (n)_q$.

A scaling (i.e., a diagonal similarity) of $M_q(n)$ is symmetric. Let $D_q(n)$ be the $B_q(n) \times B_q(n)$ diagonal matrix with diagonal entry in row $X$, column $X$ given by $\sqrt{q^\binom{k}{2}}$, where $k = \dim(X)$. Then for $X \in B_q(n,k), Y \in B_q(n,r)$ the entry in row $X$, column $Y$ of $D_q(n)M_q(n)D_q(n)^{-1}$ is given by

$$\sqrt{q^\binom{k}{2}} M_q(X,Y) \sqrt{q^{-\binom{r}{2}}}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 
\sqrt{q^\binom{k}{2}} q^k \sqrt{q^{-(k+1)/2}} = q^k & \text{if } X \subseteq Y \text{ and } r = k + 1, \\
\sqrt{q^\binom{k}{2}} \sqrt{q^{-(k+1)/2}} = q^r & \text{if } Y \subseteq X \text{ and } r = k - 1, \\
0 & \text{otherwise,} 
\end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 
\sqrt{q^{\min(\dim(X),\dim(Y))}} & \text{if } X \subseteq Y \text{ or } Y \subseteq X, \text{ and } |\dim(X) - \dim(Y)| = 1, \\
0 & \text{otherwise,} 
\end{cases}$$

yielding a symmetric matrix. It follows that $M_q(n)$ is diagonalizable and that its eigenvalues are real. In fact they are integral and the eigenvalue-multiplicity pairs of $M_q(n)$ are a $q$-analog
of those for \( M(n) \); the eigenvalues of \( M(n) \) are \( n - 2k = (n - k) - (k) \) with multiplicity \( \binom{n}{k} \), \( k = 0, 1, \ldots, n \).

**Theorem 1.1.** The eigenvalues of the matrix \( M_q(n) \) are

\[
(n - k)_q - (k)_q \text{ with multiplicity } \binom{n}{k}_q, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, n.
\]

The spectral theory of \( M_q(n) \) goes hand in hand with that of a \( q \)-analog of the Kac matrix. Recall that the Kac matrix is a \((n + 1) \times (n + 1)\) tridiagonal matrix \( K(n) \) with diagonal \((0, 0, \ldots, 0)\), subdiagonal \((1, 2, \ldots, n)\) and superdiagonal \((n, n - 1, \ldots, 1)\):

\[
K(n) = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & n & & & \\
1 & 0 & n - 1 & & \\
& 2 & 0 & n - 2 & \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
& & & n - 1 & 0 & 1 \\
& & & & n & 0
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

The eigenvalues of \( K(n) \) are \( n - 2k, k = 0, 1, \ldots, n \) (see [A, CST, EK, K, TT] for different proofs of this result. The references [A, TT] have an interesting discussion of the history of this result).

We define the \( q \)-analog of the Kac matrix to be the \((n + 1) \times (n + 1)\) tridiagonal matrix \( K_q(n) \) with diagonal \((0, 0, \ldots, 0)\), subdiagonal \(((1)_q, (2)_q, \ldots, (n)_q)\) and superdiagonal \(((n)_q, q(n - 1)_q, \ldots, q^{n-1}(1)_q)\):

\[
K_q(n) = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & (n)_q & & & \\
(1)_q & 0 & (n - 1)_q & & \\
(2)_q & 0 & (n - 2)_q & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & (n - 1)_q & 0 & (n - 1)_q \\
& & & (n)_q & 0
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

More formally, let us index the rows and columns of \( K_q(n) \) by the set \( \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, n\} \). If \( c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_n \) denote column vectors in \( \mathbb{F}_{q+1}^n \) with \( c_i \) having a 1 in the component indexed by \( i \) and 0’s elsewhere (and we set \( c_{-1} = c_{n+1} = 0 \) ) then, for \( 0 \leq k \leq n \), column \( k \) of \( K_q(n) \) is

\[
(k + 1)_q c_{k+1} + q^{k-1}(n - k + 1)_q c_{k-1}.
\]

**Theorem 1.2.** The eigenvalues of \( K_q(n) \) are

\[
(n - k)_q - (k)_q, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, n.
\]
Under inclusion, $B(n)$ and $B_q(n)$ are graded posets of rank $n$. The proper framework for studying the matrices $M_q(n)$ and $K_q(n)$ are the up (and down) operators on the poset $B_q(n)$. Given background results on the up operator, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are equivalent. We present two approaches to these results. The paper [TT] presents a row and column operations proof for the factorization of the characteristic polynomial of the Kac matrix. In Section 2 we extend this proof to prove Theorem 1.2 and then derive Theorem 1.1 as a consequence.

Another natural problem is to write down eigenvectors of $M_q(n)$ and $K_q(n)$. For $X \in B_q(n)$ with $\dim(X) = k$ define

$$\pi(X) = \frac{q^{(k)}_2}{P_q(n)},$$

where $P_q(n) = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1}(1 + q^k)$. We have

$$\sum_{X \in B_q(n)} \pi(X) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n} q^{(k)}_2 \binom{n}{k}_q}{P_q(n)} = 1$$

where the second equality follows by the $q$-binomial theorem (so $\pi$ is a probability vector on $B_q(n)$).

Define an inner product on the (complex) vector space of column vectors with components indexed by $B_q(n)$ as follows: given vectors $u, v$ define

$$\langle u, v \rangle_\pi = \sum_{X \in B_q(n)} u(X)v(X)\pi(X). \quad (3)$$

Since $P_q(n)$ is independent of $k$, the argument showing that $D_q(n)M_q(n)D_q(n)^{-1}$ is symmetric shows that $M_q(n)$ is self-adjoint with respect to the inner product (3).

Recall that a classical result exhibits an explicit orthogonal eigenbasis of $M(n)$ (under the standard inner product), see [CST, S1]. Up to scalars, this basis is canonical in the sense that no choices are involved in writing it down. In Section 4 we extend this method to $M_q(n)$. The main idea is to use a linear algebraic interpretation of the Goldman-Rota recurrence for the Galois numbers (see [GR, KC, Ku]) that was given in [S3] (and summarized in Section 3).

**Theorem 1.3.** There is an inductive procedure to write down a canonical eigenbasis of $M_q(n)$, orthogonal with respect to the inner product (3).

In the course of writing down the eigenvectors we also give an alternative proof of Theorem 1.1 and then Theorem 1.2 follows easily by restricting to the invariant subspace of radial vectors.

The eigenvectors of $K(n)$ are explicitly written down in [CST, EK, K, TT]. There are two methods for doing this. The first is to write down a certain eigenbasis for $M(n)$ and then observe that the sum of the eigenvectors in this basis, for a fixed eigenvalue, is radial and is
thus an eigenvector of $K(n)$ with the same eigenvalue (see [EK] and the erratum [EK1]). The second method, due to Kac [K, TT], works directly with $K(n)$ and uses generating functions (another beautiful direct method is given in [EK]). Both these methods have analogs for $K_q(n)$ but we have been unable to carry it to completion and write down an explicit expression for the eigenvectors of $K_q(n)$.

We originally arrived at the matrix $M_q(n)$ through a reversible Markov chain with state space $B_q(n)$, transition matrix $\frac{1}{(n)_q}M_q(n)$, and stationary distribution $\pi$ (see [GS]). Since the spectral theory of $M_q(n)$, as a $q$-analog of the spectral theory of $M(n)$, is of independent interest we are presenting it separately in this paper. Another application concerns weighted enumeration of rooted spanning trees in the $q$-analog of the n-cube.

Let us first recall the remarkable product formula (see Example 9.12 in [St]) for the number of rooted spanning trees in the n-cube. Given a multigraph $G$ without loops and a vertex $v$ in $G$, let $\tau(G, v)$ denote the number of rooted spanning trees in $G$ with root $v$ and let $\tau(G)$ denote the total number of rooted spanning trees in $G$. Let $C(n)$ denote the n-cube (i.e., the Hasse diagram of the poset $B(n)$ treated as a graph). The Laplacian eigenvalues of $C(n)$ are well known to be $2k$, $k = 0, 1, \ldots, n$ with multiplicity $\binom{n}{k}$. It thus follows from the Matrix-Tree theorem that

$$\tau(C(n)) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} (2k)^\binom{n}{k}. \quad (4)$$

The $q$-analog $C_q(n)$ of $C(n)$ is defined to be the Hasse diagram of $B_q(n)$ treated as a graph. The eigenvalues of the Laplacian of $C_q(n)$ are not known (note that $C_q(n)$ is not regular) but it was shown in [S2] that the product of the nonzero eigenvalues of the Laplacian is more tractable and led to a product formula for the number of spanning trees of $C_q(n)$, although the individual terms in this product are not explicitly given but only as a positive combinatorial sum. Here we obtain an explicit $q$-analog of (4) by a weighted count of the rooted spanning trees of $C_q(n)$.

Let $F \in F_q(n)$, the set of all rooted spanning trees of $C_q(n)$. Orient every edge of $F$ by pointing it towards the root. Let $e = (X, Y)$ be an oriented edge of $F$. We say $e$ is spin up if $\dim(Y) = \dim(X) + 1$ and is spin down if $\dim(Y) = \dim(X) - 1$. The weight of $F$ is defined by

$$w(F) = \sum_{(X,Y)} \dim(X),$$

where the sum is over all spin up oriented edges of $F$. In Section 5 we prove the following result (the proof can be read at this point, assuming Theorem 1.1).

**Theorem 1.4.** We have

$$\sum_{F \in F_q(n)} q^{w(F)} = \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 + q^{n-k}) (k)_q \binom{n}{k}_q.$$
2 Eigenvalues of $K_q(n)$

We first recall the framework of up and down operators on the poset of subspaces. For a finite set $S$, we denote the complex vector space with $S$ as basis by $\mathbb{C}[S]$.

The set of all subspaces $B_q(n)$ of $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ can be given the structure of a graded poset under inclusion with rank function, denoted $r$, given by dimension. Then we have (vector space direct sum)

$$\mathbb{C}[B_q(n)] = \mathbb{C}[B_q(n, 0)] \oplus \mathbb{C}[B_q(n, 1)] \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}[B_q(n, n)].$$

An element $v \in \mathbb{C}[B_q(n)]$ is homogeneous if $v \in \mathbb{C}[B_q(n, i)]$ for some $i$, and if $v \neq 0$, we extend the notion of rank to nonzero homogeneous elements by writing $r(v) = i$. For $0 \leq k \leq n$, the $k$th up operator $U_{n,k} : \mathbb{C}[B_q(n)] \to \mathbb{C}[B_q(n)]$ is defined, for $X \in B_q(n)$, by $U_{n,k}(X) = 0$ if $\dim(X) \neq k$ and $U_{n,k}(X) = \sum_Y Y$, where the sum is over all $Y \in B_q(n)$ covering $X$, if $\dim(X) = k$. Similarly we define the $k$th down operator $D_{n,k} : \mathbb{C}[B_q(n)] \to \mathbb{C}[B_q(n)]$ (we have $U_{n,n} = D_{n,0} = 0$). Set $U_n = U_{n,0} + U_{n,1} + \cdots + U_{n,n}$ and $D_n = D_{n,0} + D_{n,1} + \cdots + D_{n,n}$, called, respectively, the up and down operators on $\mathbb{C}[B_q(n)]$. For a finite vector space $X$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$, we denote by $B_q(X)$ the set of all subspaces of $X$ and we denote by $U_X$ (respectively, $D_X$) the up operator (respectively, down operator) on $\mathbb{C}[B_q(X)]$.

If we think of the elements of $\mathbb{C}[B_q(n)]$ as column vectors with components indexed by the standard basis elements $B_q(n)$ then $M_q(n)$ is the matrix of the operator

$$A_q(n) = U_n + \sum_{k=0}^{n} q^{k-1} D_{n,k}$$

with respect to the basis $B_q(n)$.

For $0 \leq k \leq n$, define $s_k \in \mathbb{C}[B_q(n, k)]$ by

$$s_k = \sum_{X \in B_q(n, k)} X,$$

and define $R_q(n)$ to be the subspace of $\mathbb{C}[B_q(n)]$ spanned by $s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_n$. Elements of $R_q(n)$ are called radial vectors. Clearly, $R_q(n)$ is closed under $A_q(n)$ and $\dim(R_q(n)) = n + 1$. We have

$$A_q(n)(s_k) = (k + 1)q s_{k+1} + q^{k-1}(n - k + 1)q s_{k-1}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n.$$

It follows from \[\square\] that the matrix of $A_q(n) : R_q(n) \to R_q(n)$ with respect to the basis $\{s_0, \ldots, s_n\}$ is $K_q(n)$.

Since the superdiagonal of $K_q(n)$ has nonzero elements the eigenspaces of $K_q(n)$ are one dimensional and since $R_q(n)$ is an invariant subspace of $A_q(n)$ we see that Theorem 1.2 follows from Theorem 1.1.
In this section we prove Theorem 1.2 directly and then derive Theorem 1.1 as a consequence using a basic result on the up and down operators on the poset of subspaces. Let us recall this result.

A symmetric chain in $\mathbb{C}[B_q(n)]$ is a sequence

$$s = (v_k, \ldots, v_{n-k}), \quad k \leq n/2,$$

of nonzero homogeneous elements of $\mathbb{C}[B_q(n)]$ such that:

- $r(v_i) = i$ for $i = k, \ldots, n-k$.
- $U_n(v_i)$ is a nonzero scalar multiple of $v_{i+1}$, for $i = k, \ldots, n-k-1$ and $U_n(v_{n-k}) = 0$.
- $D_n(v_{i+1})$ is a nonzero scalar multiple of $v_i$ for $i = k, \ldots, n-k-1$ and $D_n(v_k) = 0$.

Note that the elements of the sequence $s$ are linearly independent, being nonzero and of different ranks. We say that $s$ starts at rank $k$ and ends at rank $n-k$. Note that the subspace spanned by the elements of $s$ is closed under $U_n, D_n$ and also $A_q(n)$.

The following result was proved (in an equivalent form) by Terwilliger [T] (see Item 5 of Theorem 3.3 on top of page 208). For a proof using Proctor’s sl$(2,\mathbb{C})$ technique [P] see Theorem 2.1 in [S2] (where also the result is stated differently but in an equivalent form to that given below).

**Theorem 2.1.** There exists a basis $T_q(n)$ of $\mathbb{C}[B_q(n)]$ such that

1. $T_q(n)$ is a disjoint union of symmetric chains in $\mathbb{C}[B_q(n)]$.

2. Let $0 \leq k \leq n$ and let $(v_k, \ldots, v_{n-k})$ be any symmetric chain in $T_q(n)$ starting at rank $k$ and ending at rank $n-k$. Then

$$U_n(v_u) = q^k(u+1-k)_q v_{u+1}, \quad k \leq u < n-k,$$

$$D_n(v_{u+1}) = (n-k-u)_q v_u, \quad k \leq u < n-k.$$

Let us first show how Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 2.1.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1** Observe the following.

(i) The number of symmetric chains in $T_q(n)$ starting at rank $k$ and ending at rank $n-k$, for $0 \leq k \leq n/2$, is $\binom{n}{k}_q - \binom{n}{k-1}_q$.

(ii) Let $s = (v_k, \ldots, v_{n-k})$ be a symmetric chain in $T_q(n)$ starting at rank $k$, where $0 \leq k \leq n/2$. Then the subspace spanned by $\{v_k, \ldots, v_{n-k}\}$ is closed under $A_q(n)$ and the matrix of $A_q(n)$ with respect to the basis $s$ is $q^k K_q(n-2k)$.

(iii) By Theorem 1.2 the eigenvalues of $q^k K_q(n-2k)$ are

$$q^k((n-2k-i)_q - (i)_q), \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-2k$$

$$= ((n-i)_q - (i)_q), \quad i = k, \ldots, n-k.$$
(iv) It now follows from items (i), (ii), (iii) above that the eigenvalues of $A_q(n)$ are

$$(n - j)_q - (j)_q, \ j = 0, \ldots, n,$$

with respective multiplicities

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\min\{j, n-j\}} \binom{n}{i}_q - \binom{n}{i-1}_q = \binom{n}{j}_q.$$ 

That completes the proof. $\square$

We now prove Theorem [1.2] by extending the method presented in [A][T][T].

Let $\mathcal{C}_{n,q}(x)$ denote the characteristic polynomial of the matrix $K_q(n)$, i.e., $\mathcal{C}_{n,q}(x) := \det (xI_{n+1} - K_q(n))$ (where $I_{n+1}$ is the identity matrix of size $(n+1) \times (n+1)$). We establish a recurrence formula for $\mathcal{C}_{n,q}(x)$.

**Proposition 2.2.** We have $\mathcal{C}_{0,q}(x) = x$, $\mathcal{C}_{1,q}(x) = (x - 1)(x + 1)$ and for $n \geq 2$,

$$\mathcal{C}_{n,q}(x) = q^{n-1} (x - (n)_q)(x + (n)_q) \mathcal{C}_{n-2,q}(x/q).$$

**Proof.** The formulas for $\mathcal{C}_{0,q}(x)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{1,q}(x)$ are easily verified.

Below, we denote row $i$ and column $i$ of a matrix by $R(i)$ and $C(i)$ respectively. The indexing of the rows and columns starts at 0. In all the matrices displayed below the entries above the superdiagonal are 0. The determinant of a matrix $N$ is denoted $|N|$. Let $n \geq 2$. Then $\mathcal{C}_{n,q}(x)$ is the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ tridiagonal determinant

$$
\begin{vmatrix}
  x & -(n)_q \\
  -(n)_q & x & -q(n-1)_q \\
  -q(n-1)_q & x & -q^2(n-2)_q \\
  & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
  & & -(n-1)_q & x & -q^{n-1}(1)_q \\
  & & & -(n)_q & x
\end{vmatrix}
$$

Replace $C(0)$ by the sum of all columns $C(0) + \cdots + C(n)$. Using the identity $(i)_q + q^i(n-i)_q = (n)_q$, $0 \leq i \leq n$, we see that $\mathcal{C}_{n,q}(x)$ equals

$$
\begin{vmatrix}
  x - (n)_q & -(n)_q \\
  x - (n)_q & x & -q(n-1)_q \\
  x - (n)_q & -2q(n-1)_q & x & -q^2(n-2)_q \\
  & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
  & & x - (n-1)_q & x & -q^{n-1}(1)_q \\
  & & & x - (n)_q & -(n)_q \\
  & & & & x - (n)_q
\end{vmatrix}
$$
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Now subtract $R(0)$ from $R(i)$, for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Only $C(0)$ and $C(1)$ will change, the other columns remaining as they are. The new $C(0)$ and $C(1)$ are, respectively (below, $T$ denotes transpose),

$$C(0) = ((x - (n)_q), 0, \ldots, 0)^T$$

$$C(1) = -(n)_q, x + (n)_q, (n)_q - (2)_q, (n)_q, \ldots, (n)_q)^T.$$

Therefore expanding along the new $C(0)$, $\mathcal{C}_{n,q}(x)$ is equal to $(x - (n)_q)\mathcal{M}_1$, where $\mathcal{M}_1$ is the $n \times n$ determinant (with the $\{1, \ldots, n - 1\} \times \{1, \ldots, n - 1\}$ submatrix tridiagonal)

$$\begin{vmatrix}
  x + (n)_q & -q(n-1)_q \\
  (n)_q - (2)_q & x & -q^2(n-2)_q \\
  (n)_q & x - (n)_q & -q^3(n-3)_q \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\
  (n)_q & x - (n)_q & -q^(n-1)(1)_q \\
  \end{vmatrix}$$

Sequentially, for $i = 0, \ldots, n - 1$, replace $C(i)$ by $C(i) + C(i + 1) + \cdots + C(n - 1)$. We now have that $\mathcal{M}_1$ equals

$$\begin{vmatrix}
  x + 1 & -q(n-1)_q \\
  x & x - q^2(n-2)_q & -q^2(n-2)_q \\
  x & x - (n)_q & x - q^3(n-3)_q \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\
  x & x - (n)_q & x - q^(n-1)(1)_q \\
  \end{vmatrix}$$

where all the entries below the diagonal and strictly to the right of column 0 are $x - (n)_q$.

Now replace $R(i)$ by $R(i) - R(i - 1)$, sequentially for $i = n - 1, n - 2, \ldots, 1$. We now have that $\mathcal{C}_{n,q}(x) = (x - (n)_q)\mathcal{M}_2$, where $\mathcal{M}_2$ is the tridiagonal determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix}
  x + 1 & -q(n-1)_q \\
  -(1)_q & x + q & -q^2(n-2)_q \\
  -(2)_q & x + q^2 & -q^3(n-3)_q \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\
  -(n-2)_q & x + q^{n-2} & -q^{n-1}(1)_q \\
  \end{vmatrix}$$

Now replacing $C(i)$ by $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{k-1} C(k)$, sequentially for $i = 0, \ldots, n - 1$, $\mathcal{M}_2$ becomes

$$\begin{vmatrix}
  x + (n)_q & -q(n-1)_q \\
  -(x + (n)_q) & x + q(n-1)_q & -q^2(n-2)_q \\
  x + (n)_q & -(x + (n)_q) & x + q^2(n-2)_q \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\
  -(1)^{n-1}(x + (n)_q) & -(1)^{n-2}(x + (n)_q) & \cdots & x + (n)_q & -(x + (n)_q) & x + q^{n-1} \\
  \end{vmatrix},$$
where the entries below the diagonal are given by \((-1)^{i-j}(x + (n)_q)\), for \(0 \leq j < i \leq n - 1\).

Now replace \(R(i)\) by \(R(i) + R(i-1)\), sequentially for \(i = n - 1, n - 2, \ldots, 1\). The determinant above takes the tridiagonal form

\[
\begin{vmatrix}
  x + (n)_q & -q(n-1)_q & 0 \\
  -q(n-1)_q & x & -q^2(n-2)_q \\
  0 & -q^2(n-2)_q & x \\
  \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \\
  -q^{n-3}(n-3)_q & \cdots & x & -(n-2)_q \\
  -q^{n-2}(n-2)_q & \cdots & -(n-2)_q & x
\end{vmatrix}.
\]

Expanding the determinant above, we can conclude that

\[
\mathcal{C}_{n,q}(x) = (x - (n)_q) \mathcal{M}_2 = (x - (n)_q)(x + (n)_q) \mathcal{M}_3,
\]

where \(\mathcal{M}_3\) is the following tridiagonal matrix of size \((n - 1) \times (n - 1)\)

\[
\mathcal{M}_3 =
\begin{vmatrix}
  x & -q^2(n-2)_q & 0 \\
  -q^2(n-2)_q & x & -q^3(n-3)_q \\
  0 & -q^3(n-3)_q & x \\
  \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \\
  -q^{n-3}(n-3)_q & \cdots & x & -(n-2)_q \\
  -q^{n-2}(n-2)_q & \cdots & -(n-2)_q & x
\end{vmatrix}.
\]

Finally, multiplying column \(i\) of \(\mathcal{M}_3\) by \(\frac{1}{q^{i-1}}\) and row \(i\) of \(\mathcal{M}_3\) by \(q^i\), for \(0 \leq i \leq n - 2\), we obtain

\[
\mathcal{M}_3 = q^{n-1} \mathcal{C}_{n-2,q} \left( \frac{x}{q} \right).
\]

The proposition follows. \(\square\)

**Proof of Theorem 1.2** We have, by induction and Proposition 2.2

\[
\mathcal{C}_{n,q}(x) = q^{n-1}(x - (n)_q)(x + (n)_q) \mathcal{C}_{n-2,q} \left( \frac{x}{q} \right)
\]

\[
= q^{n-1}(x - (n)_q)(x + (n)_q) \left\{ \prod_{k=0}^{n-2} \left( \frac{x}{q} - ((n - 2 - k)_q - (k)_q) \right) \right\}
\]

\[
= (x + (n)_q)(x + (n)_q) \left\{ \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (x - ((n - k)_q - (k)_q)) \right\}
\]

\[
= \prod_{k=0}^{n} (x - ((n - k)_q - (k)_q)). \quad \square
\]

We now turn to the canonically defined eigenvectors of \(\hat{K}_q(n)\). Kac [K, TT] forms a generating function out of the components of an eigenvector of \(\hat{K}(n)\) and writes down a functional equation whose solution is easy to write down (using the chain rule). This method has an analog for \(\hat{K}_q(n)\) if we replace derivative by \(q\)-derivative and leads to a \(q\)-analog of the functional
equation in the $K(n)$ case. However, we have been unable to write down a solution to the functional equation (due to the absence of a chain rule for $q$-derivative). Let us describe this.

It is convenient to work with the transposed matrix:

$$K_q^T(n) = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & (1)_q & 0 & (2)_q & 0 & (3)_q & \cdots & \cdots & q^{n-2}(2)_q & 0 & (n)_q \\
q(n-1)_q & 0 & (n)_q & q(n-1)_q & 0 & (n)_q & \cdots & \cdots & q^{n-2}(2)_q & 0 & (n)_q \\
q(n-1)_q & 0 & (n)_q & q(n-1)_q & 0 & (n)_q & \cdots & \cdots & q^{n-2}(2)_q & 0 & (n)_q \\
\end{bmatrix}.$$ 

It can be easily checked that $K_q^T(n)$ is similar to $K_q(n)$ via the scaling 

$$K_q(n) = S_q(n)K_q^T(n)S_q(n)^{-1},$$

where $S_q(n)$ is the diagonal matrix with diagonal entry in row $k$, column $k$ given by 

$$\frac{1}{q^{(k)}(n)_q}$$

for $0 \leq k \leq n$. So, if $v$ is an eigenvector of $K_q^T(n)$ then $S_q(n)v$ is an eigenvector of $K_q(n)$ with the same eigenvalue.

Suppose $f(x)$ is a function. Its $q$-derivative $D_qf(x)$ is defined by 

$$D_qf(x) = \frac{f(qx) - f(x)}{(q - 1)x}.$$ 

For example 

$$D_qx^n = \frac{(qx)^n - x^n}{(q - 1)x} = \frac{(n)_qx^{n-1}}{(q - 1)x}.$$ 

It is easy to see that $D_q$ is a linear operator and there are analogs of the product rule and quotient rule (for a detailed study of $q$-calculus see V. Kac and P. Cheung [KC]). However, there does not exist a chain rule for $q$-derivatives. We now apply this formalism to the eigenvectors of $K_q^T(n)$.

Let $\lambda$ be an eigenvalue of $K_q^T(n)$ with (column) eigenvector $v = (a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n)^T$ (where $a_0 = 1$). Set $a_{-1} = a_{n+1} = 0$ and let $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \cdots + a_nx^n$. Then $K_q^T(n)v = \lambda v$ gives 

$$q^{k-1}(n - k + 1)_qa_{k-1} + (k + 1)_qa_{k+1} = \lambda a_k, \ 0 \leq k \leq n,$$
which we rewrite as

\[(k + 1)q a_{k+1} = \lambda a_k - ((n)_q - (k - 1)_q) a_{k-1}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n.\]

Multiplying both sides of the equation above by \(x^k\) and summing over \(k\) we get

\[D_q f(x) = \lambda f(x) - (n)_q x f(x) + x^2 D_q f(x),\]

which gives the functional equation

\[\frac{D_q f(x)}{f(x)} = \frac{\lambda - (n)_q x}{1 - x^2} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}(\lambda - (n)_q)}{1 - x} + \frac{\frac{1}{2}(\lambda + (n)_q)}{1 + x}.\]

The procedure above for the trasposed Kac matrix \(K^T(n)\) yields the functional equation (where we denote the eigenvalue by \(\mu\), the corresponding eigenvector by \(b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_n\) and set \(g(x) = b_0 + b_1 x + \cdots + b_n x^n\))

\[\frac{g'(x)}{g(x)} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}(\mu - n)}{1 - x} + \frac{\frac{1}{2}(\mu + n)}{1 + x},\]

where \(g'(x)\) is the derivative. Using the chain rule and the initial condition \(b_0 = 1\) we can write down the solution

\[g(x) = (1 - x)^{\frac{1}{2}(n - \mu)} (1 + x)^{\frac{1}{2}(n + \mu)}.\]

Substituting \(\mu = n - 2k\) we see that \(b_j\) is the coefficient of \(x^j\) in \((1 - x)^k (1 + x)^{n-k}\).

We are thus led to the question of the solution \(f(x)\) to the functional equation \(7\).

### 3 A decomposition of \(\mathbb{C}[B_q(n)]\)

In this and the next section we give proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 by inductively writing down an eigenbasis for the operator \(A_q(n)\). This is based on a direct sum decomposition of the vector space \(\mathbb{C}[B_q(n)]\) that was worked out in the paper \([S2]\). This decomposition yields a linear algebraic interpretation of the Goldman-Rota recurrence for the Galois numbers and is of independent interest. In \([S2]\) it was used to inductively write down an explicit eigenbasis for the Bose-Mesner algebra of the Grassmann scheme. Here we recall the relevant definitions and results from \([S2]\). All the omitted proofs may be found in Section 2 of \([S2]\).

The Goldman-Rota identity \([GR, KC, Ku]\) is the recursion

\[G_q(n + 1) = 2G_q(n) + (q^n - 1)G_q(n - 1), \quad n \geq 1,\]

(8)
with $G_q(0) = 1$, $G_q(1) = 2$, or, in terms of the $q$-binomial coefficient,

$$\binom{n+1}{k} = \binom{n}{k} + \binom{n}{k-1} + (q^n - 1)\binom{n-1}{k-1}, \quad n, k \geq 1, \quad (9)$$

with $(0) = \delta(0, k)$ and $(n) = 1$. Note that (8) follows by summing (9) over $k$.

We shall now give an algebraic interpretation to (8). Denote the standard basis vectors of $F_q^n$ by the column vectors $e_1, \ldots, e_n$. We identify $F_q^k$, for $k < n$, with the subspace of $F_q^n$ consisting of all vectors with the last $n-k$ components zero. So $B_q(k)$ consists of all subspaces of $F_q^n$ contained in the subspace spanned by $e_1, \ldots, e_k$.

Define $A_q(n)$ to be the collection of all subspaces in $B_q(n)$ not contained in the hyperplane $F_q^{n-1}$, i.e.,

$$A_q(n) = B_q(n) - B_q(n-1) = \{X \in B_q(n) : X \not\subseteq F_q^{n-1}\}, \quad n \geq 1.$$ 

For $1 \leq k \leq n$, let $A_q(n, k)$ denote the set of all subspaces in $A_q(n)$ with dimension $k$. We consider $A_q(n)$ as an induced subposet of $B_q(n)$.

We have a direct sum decomposition

$$\mathbb{C}[B_q(n+1)] = \mathbb{C}[B_q(n)] \oplus \mathbb{C}[A_q(n+1)]. \quad (10)$$

We shall now give a further decomposition of $\mathbb{C}[A_q(n+1)]$.

Let $H(n+1, F_q)$ denote the subgroup of $GL(n+1, F_q)$ consisting of all matrices of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix}
  & & & a_1 \\
  & I \\
  & & \ddots \\
  & & & a_n \\
 0 \cdots 0 & & & 1
\end{bmatrix},$$

where $I$ is the $n \times n$ identity matrix.

The additive abelian group $F_q^n$ is isomorphic to $H(n+1, F_q)$ via $\phi : F_q^n \to H(n+1, F_q)$ given by

$$\phi \left( \begin{bmatrix}
  a_1 \\
  \vdots \\
  a_n
\end{bmatrix} \right) \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix}
  & & & a_1 \\
  & I \\
  & & \ddots \\
  & & & a_n \\
 0 \cdots 0 & & & 1
\end{bmatrix}.$$ 

There is a natural (left) action of $H(n+1, F_q)$ on $A_q(n+1)$ and $A_q(n+1, k)$.

Let $I_q(n)$ denote the set of all distinct irreducible characters (all of degree 1) of $H(n+1, F_q)$ and let $N_q(n)$ denote the set of all distinct nontrivial irreducible characters of $H(n+1, F_q)$. 
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For $\chi \in \mathcal{I}_q(n)$, let $W(\chi)$ (respectively, $W(\chi, k)$) denote the isotypical component of $\mathbb{C}[A_q(n + 1)]$ (respectively, $\mathbb{C}[A_q(n + 1, k)]$) corresponding to the irreducible representation of $H(n + 1, \mathbb{F}_q)$ with character $\chi$. When $\chi$ is the trivial character we denote $W(\chi)$ (respectively, $W(\chi, k)$) by $W(0)$ (respectively, $W(0, k)$). We have the following decompositions, (note that $W(\chi, n + 1)$, for $\chi \in \mathcal{N}_q(n)$, is the zero module).

\[
W(0) = W(0, 1) \oplus \cdots \oplus W(0, n + 1), \quad (11)
\]

\[
W(\chi) = W(\chi, 1) \oplus \cdots \oplus W(\chi, n), \quad \chi \in \mathcal{N}_q(n), \quad (12)
\]

\[
\mathbb{C}[A_q(n + 1)] = W(0) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{\chi \in \mathcal{N}_q(n)} W(\chi) \right). \quad (13)
\]

Now $GL(n + 1, \mathbb{F}_q)$ acts on $B_q(n + 1)$ and $U_{n + 1}$ is $GL(n + 1, \mathbb{F}_q)$-linear (and hence $H(n + 1, \mathbb{F}_q)$-linear). Also, $\mathbb{C}[A_q(n + 1)]$ is clearly closed under $U_{n + 1}$. Thus

\[
W(0), \ W(\chi), \chi \in \mathcal{N}_q(n) \text{ are } U_{n + 1}\text{-closed}. \quad (14)
\]

Define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on $A_q(n)$ by $X \sim Y$ iff $X \cap \mathbb{F}_q^{n - 1} = Y \cap \mathbb{F}_q^{n - 1}$. Denote the equivalence class of $X \in A_q(n)$ by $[X]$. For a subspace $X \in B_q(n - 1)$, define $\hat{X}$ to be the subspace in $A_q(n)$ spanned by $X$ and $e_n$.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $X, Y \in A_q(n)$ and $Z, T \in B_q(n - 1)$. Then

(i) $\dim (X \cap \mathbb{F}_q^{n - 1}) = \dim X - 1$ and $X \cap \mathbb{F}_q^{n - 1} \subseteq [X]$.

(ii) $Z \subseteq T$ iff $\hat{Z} \subseteq \hat{T}$.

(iii) $Y$ covers $X$ iff

(a) $Y \cap \mathbb{F}_q^{n - 1}$ covers $X \cap \mathbb{F}_q^{n - 1}$ and

(b) $Y = \text{span}((Y \cap \mathbb{F}_q^{n - 1}) \cup \{v\})$ for any $v \in X - \mathbb{F}_q^{n - 1}$.

(iv) The number of subspaces $Z' \in A_q(n)$ with $Z' \cap \mathbb{F}_q^{n - 1} = Z$ is $q^l$, where $l = n - \dim Z - 1$. Thus, $|[X]| = q^{n-k}$, where $k = \dim X$. \quad $\blacksquare$

For $X \in A_q(n + 1)$, let $G_X \subseteq H(n + 1, \mathbb{F}_q)$ denote the stabilizer of $X$.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let $X, Y \in A_q(n + 1)$. Then

(i) The orbit of $X$ under the action of $H(n + 1, \mathbb{F}_q)$ is $[X]$.

(ii) Suppose $Y$ covers $X$. Then the bipartite graph of the covering relations between $[Y]$ and $[X]$ is regular with degrees $q$ (on the $[Y]$ side) and $1$ (on the $[X]$ side).

(iii) Suppose $X \subseteq Y$. Then $G_X \subseteq G_Y$. \quad $\blacksquare$

Consider $\mathbb{C}[B_q(n + 1)]$. For $X \in B_q(n)$ define

\[
\theta_n(X) = \sum_Y Y.
\]
where the sum is over all $Y \in A_q(n+1)$ covering $X$. Equivalently, the sum is over all $Y \in A_q(n+1)$ with $Y \cap \mathbb{F}_q^n = X$, i.e., $Y \in [\hat{X}]$. It follows from Lemma 3.2(i) that

$$\theta_n : \mathbb{C}[B_q(n)] \to W(0)$$

is a linear isomorphism.

We have the decomposition

$$\mathbb{C}[B_q(n+1)] = (\mathbb{C}[B_q(n)] \oplus W(0)) \oplus \left( \oplus_{\chi \in \mathcal{N}_q(n)} W(\chi) \right),$$

where, by (14) and (15),

$$\mathbb{C}[B_q(n)] \oplus W(0)$$

is $U_{n+1}$-closed.

Let $\psi_k$ (respectively, $\psi$) denote the character of the permutation representation of $H(n+1, \mathbb{F}_q)$ on $\mathbb{C}[A_q(n+1, k)]$ (respectively, $\mathbb{C}[A_q(n+1)]$) corresponding to the left action. Clearly $\psi = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \psi_k$. Below $[\cdot, \cdot]$ denotes character inner product and the $q$-binomial coefficient $\binom{n}{k}_q$ is taken to be zero when $n$ or $k$ is $< 0$.

**Theorem 3.3.** (i) Let $\chi \in \mathcal{I}_q(n)$ be the trivial character. Then $[\chi, \psi_k] = \binom{n}{k-1}_q$, $1 \leq k \leq n+1$.

(ii) Let $\chi \in \mathcal{N}_q(n)$. Then $[\chi, \psi_k] = \binom{n-1}{k-1}_q$, $1 \leq k \leq n+1$. □

Using Theorem 3.3(ii) we see that

$$\dim(W(\chi)) = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \binom{n-1}{k-1}_q = G_q(n-1), \quad \chi \in \mathcal{N}_q(n)$$

(18)

Now, by taking dimensions on both sides of (16) and using (15), (18) we get the Goldman-Rota identity (8). More generally, by restricting to dimension $k$ on both sides of (16), we get the identity (9).

For $\chi \in \mathcal{I}_q(n)$, define the following element of the group algebra of $H(n+1, \mathbb{F}_q)$:

$$p(\chi) = \sum_g \bar{\chi}(g) g,$$

where the sum is over all $g \in H(n+1, \mathbb{F}_q)$. For $1 \leq k \leq n+1$, the map

$$p(\chi) : \mathbb{C}[A_q(n+1, k)] \to \mathbb{C}[A_q(n+1, k)],$$

given by $v \mapsto \sum_{g \in H(n+1, \mathbb{F}_q)} \overline{\chi(g)} g v$, is a nonzero multiple of the $H(n+1, \mathbb{F}_q)$-linear projection onto $W(\chi, k)$. Similarly for $p(\chi) : \mathbb{C}[A_q(n+1)] \to \mathbb{C}[A_q(n+1)]$.

For future reference we record the following observation:

$$p(\chi)(\hat{Y})$$

and $p(\chi)(\hat{Z})$ have disjoint supports, for $Y \neq Z \in B_q(n)$.
Lemma 3.4. Let $X \in A_q(n+1)$ and $\chi \in \mathcal{I}_q(n)$. Then $p(\chi)(X) \neq 0$ iff $\chi : G_X \to \mathbb{C}^*$ is the trivial character of $G_X$. □

Theorem 3.5. (i) Let $\chi \in \mathcal{I}_q(n)$, $X, Y \in A_q(n+1)$ with $X = hY$ for some $h \in H(n+1,F_q)$. Then

$$p(\chi)(X) = \chi(h^{-1})p(\chi)(Y).$$

(ii) Let $\chi \in \mathcal{I}_q(n)$. Then $\{p(\chi)(\tilde{X}) : X \in B_q(n, k-1) \text{ with } p(\chi)(\tilde{X}) \neq 0\}$ is a basis of $W_\chi(k)$, $1 \leq k \leq n+1$.

(iii) Let $\chi \in \mathcal{I}_q(n)$ and let $X, Y \in B_q(n)$ with $X$ covering $Y$.

$$p(\chi)(\tilde{X}) \neq 0 \text{ implies } p(\chi)(\tilde{Y}) \neq 0.$$

Let $\chi \in \mathcal{N}_q(n)$. By Theorem 3.3(ii) we have $\dim(W(\chi, n)) = 1$. It thus follows by Theorem 3.5(ii) and (20) above that there is a unique element $X(\chi) \in B_q(n, n-1)$ such that $p(\chi)(\tilde{X}(\chi)) \neq 0$. Moreover,

Lemma 3.6. Let $Y \in B_q(n, n-1)$. Then

$$|\{\chi \in \mathcal{N}_q(n) \mid X(\chi) = Y\}| = q - 1. \quad \square$$

4 Eigenvectors of $A_q(n)$

In this section we inductively write down an eigenbasis of $A_q(n)$ (in the process giving an alternate proof of Theorem 1.1). It will be readily seen that this procedure is an extension of the standard method of writing down an eigenbasis of $M(n)$ (see Chapter 2 in [St]).

Consider the decomposition

$$\mathbb{C}[B_q(n+1)] = (\mathbb{C}[B_q(n)] \oplus W(0)) \oplus (\oplus_{\chi \in \mathcal{N}_q(n)} W(\chi)). \quad (21)$$

We claim that

$$\mathbb{C}[B_q(n)] \oplus W(0) \text{ and } W(\chi), \chi \in \mathcal{N}_q(n) \text{ are } D_{n+1}\text{-closed.} \quad (22)$$

This can be seen as follows. Consider the standard inner product on $\mathbb{C}[B_q(n+1)]$ (i.e., declare $B_q(n+1)$ to be an orthonormal basis), which is $GL(n+1,F_q)$-invariant (and hence $H(n+1,F_q)$-invariant). If follows that $W(0)$, $W(\chi), \chi \in \mathcal{N}_q(n)$ are orthogonal and hence the decomposition (21) is orthogonal. Since $D_{n+1}$ is the adjoint of $U_{n+1}$, the claim now follows from (14) and (17).

Thus $\mathbb{C}[B_q(n)] \oplus W(0)$ and $W(\chi), \chi \in \mathcal{N}_q(n)$ are closed under $A_q(n+1)$, which facilitates an inductive approach to the eigenvectors.
Let $X \in B_q(n, k)$ and consider $D_{n+1,k+1}(\theta_n(X))$. Then $\theta_n(X)$ is a sum of $q^{n-k}$ subspaces in $A_q(n + 1, k + 1)$ (from Lemma 3.1(iv)) and we can write

$$D_{n+1,k+1}(\theta_n(X)) = q^{n-k}X + v,$$

where $v \in \mathbb{C}[A_q(n+1, k)]$. A little reflection shows that $v \in W(0)$. Setting $v = D'_{n+1,k+1}(\theta_n(X))$ gives a linear map

$$D'_{n+1,k+1} : W(0) \to W(0), \quad 0 \leq k \leq n.$$

Define $A'_q(n) : W(0) \to W(0)$ by

$$A'_q(n) = U_{n+1} + q^{n-k}D'_{n+1,k+1}.$$

We have the following relations (the first of which follows from (23)):

$$A_q(n + 1)(\theta_n(v)) = q^n v + A'_q(n)(\theta_n(v)), \quad v \in \mathbb{C}[B_q(n)],$$

(24)

$$A_q(n + 1)(v) = A_q(n)(v) + \theta_n(v), \quad v \in \mathbb{C}[B_q(n)].$$

(25)

We now write down the matrix of $A'_q(n)$ with respect to the basis $\{\theta_n(X) \mid X \in B_q(n)\}$ of $W(0)$.

It follows from Lemma 3.1(iii) and Lemma 3.2(ii) that

$$U_{n+1}(\theta_n(X)) = \sum_Y q \theta_n(Y), \quad X \in B_q(n),$$

where the sum is over all $Y \in B_q(n)$ covering $X$. Similarly, it follows that

$$q^k D'_{n+1,k+1}(\theta_n(Y)) = \sum_X q \left\{q^{k-1}\theta_n(X)\right\}, \quad Y \in B_q(n, k),$$

where the sum is over all $X \in B_q(n)$ covered by $Y$.

Thus we see that

Matrix of $A'_q(n)$ with respect to the basis $\{\theta_n(X) \mid X \in B_q(n)\}$ is $qM_q(n)$. (26)

Let $(V_1, f_1)$ be a pair consisting of a finite dimensional vector space $V_1$ (over $\mathbb{C}$) and a linear operator $f_1$ on $V$. Let $(V_2, f_2)$ be another such pair. By an isomorphism of pairs $(V_1, f_1)$ and $(V_2, f_2)$ we mean a linear isomorphism $\tau : V_1 \to V_2$ such that $\tau(f_1(v)) = f_2(\tau(v))$, $v \in V_1$.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let $\chi \in \mathcal{N}_q(n)$ and $X = X(\chi)$. Define

$$\lambda(\chi) : \mathbb{C}[B_q(X)] \to W(\chi)$$
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by $Y \mapsto q^{-\dim(Y)}p(\chi)(\hat{Y})$, $Y \in B_q(X)$.

Then

(i) $\lambda(\chi)$ is an isomorphism of pairs $(C[B_q(X)], qU_X)$ and $(W(\chi), U_{n+1})$.

(ii) $\lambda(\chi)$ is an isomorphism of pairs $(C[B_q(X)], D_X)$ and $(W(\chi), D_{n+1})$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.5(iii) it follows that $\lambda(\chi)(Y) \neq 0$ for all $Y \in B_q(X)$. By \ref{18} the dimensions of $C[B_q(X)]$ and $W(\chi)$ are the same. Thus, it follows from \ref{20} that $\lambda(\chi)$ is a vector space isomorphism.

(i) Let $Y \in B_q(X)$ with $\dim(Y) = k$.

We have (below the sum is over all $Z$ covering $Y$ in $B_q(X)$)

$$\lambda(\chi)(qU_X(Y)) = q\lambda(\chi) \left( \sum_Z Z \right) = q^{-k} \sum_Z p(\chi)(\hat{Z}).$$

Before calculating $U_{n+1}\lambda(\chi)(Y)$ we make the following observation. By Lemma 3.1(ii) every element covering $\hat{Y}$ is of the form $\hat{Z}$, for some $Z$ covering $Y$ in $B_q(n)$. Suppose $Z \in B_q(n) - B_q(X)$. Since $\dim(W(\chi)) = G_q(n - 1)$, it follows by parts (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 3.5 and \ref{20} that $p(\chi)(\hat{Z}) = 0$.

We now calculate $U_{n+1}\lambda(\chi)(Y)$. In the second step below we have used the fact that $U_{n+1}$ is $H(n + 1, \mathbb{F}_q)$-linear and in the third step, using the observation in the paragraph above, we may restrict the sum to all $Z$ covering $Y$ in $B_q(X)$.

We have

$$U_{n+1}(\lambda(\chi)(Y)) = U_{n+1} \left( q^{-k}p(\chi)(\hat{Y}) \right) = q^{-k}p(\chi)(U_{n+1}(\hat{Y})) = q^{-k} \sum_Z p(\chi)(\hat{Z}).$$

(ii) Let $Y \in B_q(X)$ with $\dim(Y) = k$.

We have (below the sum is over all $Z$ covered by $Y$ in $B_q(X)$)

$$\lambda(\chi)(D_X(Y)) = \lambda(\chi) \left( \sum_Z Z \right) = q^{-k+1} \sum_Z p(\chi)(\hat{Z}).$$
Before calculating $D_{n+1}\lambda(\chi)(Y)$ we make two observations:

(a) Let $Y$ cover $Z$, $Z \in B_q(X)$. Then, by Lemma 3.2(ii), there are $q$ subspaces in $[\hat{Z}]$ which are covered by $\hat{Y}$. Let $Z_1 \in [\hat{Z}]$ with $\hat{Y}$ covering $Z_1$. Then, there exists $g \in H(n+1, \mathbb{F}_q)$ with $g\hat{Z} = Z_1$. It follows by Lemma 3.1(iii) that $g\hat{Y} = \hat{Y}$. Thus, from Lemma 3.4 we have $\chi(g) = 1$.

(b) Let $\hat{Y}$ cover $Z$, where $Z \in B_q(n)$. Then $Z = Y$ and $p(\chi)(Z) = 0$, since $\chi$ is nontrivial and every element of $H(n+1, \mathbb{F}_q)$ fixes $Z$.

Now we compute (using Lemma 3.2(ii), Theorem 3.5(i), and (a), (b) above)

$$D_{n+1}(\lambda(\chi)(Y)) = q^{-k} \left\{ D_{n+1} \left( p(\chi)(\hat{Y}) \right) \right\}$$

$$= q^{-k} p(\chi)(D_{n+1}(\hat{Y}))$$

$$= q^{-k+1} \sum Z p(\chi)(\hat{Z}).$$

where the sum is over all $Z \in B_q(X)$ covered by $Y$. □

Before proceeding further we introduce some notation. Let $X \in B_q(n, n-1)$. The pairs $(\mathbb{C}[B_q(X)], U_X)$ and $(\mathbb{C}[B_q(n-1)], U_{n-1})$ are clearly isomorphic with many possible isomorphisms. We now define a canonical isomorphism, based on the concept of a matrix in Schubert normal form.

A $n \times k$ matrix $M$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is in Schubert normal form (or, column reduced echelon form) provided

(i) Every column is nonzero.

(ii) The last nonzero entry in every column is a 1. Let the last nonzero entry in column $j$ occur in row $r_j$.

(iii) We have $r_1 < r_2 < \cdots < r_k$ and the submatrix of $M$ formed by the rows $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_k$ is the $k \times k$ identity matrix. We call $\{r_1, \ldots, r_k\}$ the pivotal indices of $M$.

It is well known that every $k$ dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ is the column space of a unique $n \times k$ matrix in Schubert normal form. Given $X \in B_q(n, k)$, define $P(X) \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ to be the pivotal indices of the $n \times k$ matrix in Schubert normal form with column space $X$. It is easy to see that $P(X)$ can also be defined as follows

$$P(X) = \{ j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} : X \cap \mathbb{F}_q^j \in A_q(j) \}.$$
of pairs \((\mathbb{C}[B_q(n-1)], U_{n-1})\) and \((\mathbb{C}[B_q(X)], U_X)\) (and also of pairs \((\mathbb{C}[B_q(n-1)], D_{n-1})\) and \((\mathbb{C}[B_q(X)], D_X)\) given by \(\mu(X)(Y) = \tau(X)(Y)\), \(Y \in B_q(n-1)\). It now follows from Theorem 4.1 that

**Theorem 4.2.** Let \(\chi \in \mathcal{N}_q(n)\) and \(X = X(\chi)\). Then the composition \(\lambda(\chi)\mu(X)\) is an isomorphism of pairs \((\mathbb{C}[B_q(n-1)], q\mathcal{A}_q(n-1))\) and \((W(\chi), \mathcal{A}_q(n+1))\). □

We shall now prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.1 by inductively writing down eigenvectors of \(A_q\). We shall need an indexing set for the eigenvectors. Given the fact that the multiplicities are the \(q\)-binomial coefficients it might appear that the set of subspaces \(B_q(n)\) may be used as an indexing set. We do not know of any natural way to index the eigenvectors of \(A_q\) by \(B_q(n)\) (unlike the \(q = 1\) case, where the eigenvectors of \(M(n)\) may be naturally indexed by \(B(n)\)). A more useful indexing set, defined below, for the eigenvectors of \(A_q(n)\) is suggested by the decomposition (21).

For \(n \geq 0\), inductively define a set \(\mathcal{E}_q(n)\) consisting of sequences as follows (here \(\emptyset\) denotes the empty sequence):

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{E}_q(0) & = \{()\}, \\
\mathcal{E}_q(1) & = \{(0), (1)\}, \\
\mathcal{E}_q(n) & = \{\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_t\} \mid (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{t-1}) \in \mathcal{E}_q(n-1), \ alpha_t \in \{0, 1\}\} \\
& \quad \cup \{(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_t) \mid (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{t-1}) \in \mathcal{E}_q(n-2), \ alpha_t \in \mathcal{N}_q(n-1)\}, \ n \geq 2.
\end{align*}
\]

Given \(\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_q(n)\), let \(N(\alpha)\) denote the number of nonzero entries in the sequence \(\alpha\) (note that a nonzero entry is either 1 or an element of \(\mathcal{N}_q\)). Set

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{E}_q(n,k) & = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_q(n) \mid N(\alpha) = k\}, \\
e_q(n,k) & = |\mathcal{E}_q(n,k)|.
\end{align*}
\]

It is easy to see that

\[
e_q(n+1,k) = e_q(n,k) + e_q(n,k-1) + (q^n - 1)e_q(n-1,k-1), \ n, k \geq 1,
\]

with \(e_q(0,0) = \delta(0,0)\) and \(e_q(n,0) = 1\), the same recurrence (with the same initial conditions) as (9). Thus \(e_q(n,k) = \binom{n}{k}_q\) and \(|\mathcal{E}_q(n)| = G_q(n)\).

We now prove Theorem 1.1 in the following form, which gives extra information in the form of eigenvectors.

**Theorem 4.3.** For each \(\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_q(n)\) we define a vector \(v_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}[B_q(n)]\) such that

(i) \(A_q(n)(v_\alpha) = (n-k)_q - (k)_q v_\alpha\), where \(k = N(\alpha)\).

(ii) \(\{v_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{E}_q(n)\}\) is a basis of \(\mathbb{C}[B_q(n)]\).

**Proof.** The proof is by induction on \(n\), the cases \(n = 0, 1\) being clear by taking (\(\{0\}\) denotes the zero subspace)

\[
\begin{align*}
v_0 &= \{0\}, \quad v_0(0) = \{0\} + \mathbb{F}_q, \quad v_{(1)} = \{0\} - \mathbb{F}_q.
\end{align*}
\]
Let \( n \geq 1 \) and consider \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_t) \in E_q(n + 1) \). Set \( \beta = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{t-1}) \) and \( k = N(\beta) \). We have three cases:

(a) \( \alpha_t = 0 \): We have \( v_\beta \in C[B_q(n)] \). Define
\[
v_\alpha = q^k v_\beta + \theta_n(v_\beta) \in C[B_q(n)] \oplus W(0).\n\]

(b) \( \alpha_t = 1 \): We have \( v_\beta \in C[B_q(n)] \). Define
\[
v_\alpha = q^{n-k} v_\beta - \theta_n(v_\beta) \in C[B_q(n)] \oplus W(0).\n\]

(c) \( \alpha_t = \chi, \ \chi \in N_q(n) \): We have \( v_\beta \in C[B_q(n-1)] \). Let \( X = X(\chi) \) and define
\[
v_\alpha = \lambda(\chi) \mu(X)(v_\beta) \in W(\chi).\n\]

Let us now check assertions (i) and (ii) in the statement of the theorem, beginning with (i). We have three cases.

(a) \( \alpha_t = 0 \): By the induction hypothesis and (26) we have
\[
A_q(n)(v_\beta) = ((n - k)_q - (k)_q)(v_\beta), \quad A_q(n)(\theta_n(v_\beta)) = q((n - k)_q - (k)_q)(\theta_n(v_\beta)).
\]

We have, by (24) and (25),
\[
A_q(n + 1)(v_\alpha) = A_q(n + 1)(q^k v_\beta + \theta_n(v_\beta))
= q^k A_q(n + 1)(v_\beta) + A_q(n + 1)(\theta_n(v_\beta))
= q^k (A_q(n)(v_\beta) + \theta_n(v_\beta)) + q^n v_\beta + A_q(n)(\theta_n(v_\beta))
= (q^{n-k} + (n - k)_q - (k)_q)q^k v_\beta + (q^k + q((n - k)_q - (k)_q))\theta_n(v_\beta)
= ((n + 1 - k)_q - (k)_q)(q^k v_\beta + \theta_n(v_\beta)).
\]

(b) \( \alpha_t = 1 \): By the induction hypothesis and (26) we have
\[
A_q(n)(v_\beta) = ((n - k)_q - (k)_q)(v_\beta), \quad A_q(n)(\theta_n(v_\beta)) = q((n - k)_q - (k)_q)(\theta_n(v_\beta)).
\]

We have, by (24) and (25),
\[
A_q(n + 1)(v_\alpha) = A_q(n + 1)(q^{n-k} v_\beta - \theta_n(v_\beta))
= q^{n-k} A_q(n + 1)(v_\beta) - A_q(n + 1)(\theta_n(v_\beta))
= q^{n-k} (A_q(n)(v_\beta) + \theta_n(v_\beta)) - q^n v_\beta - A_q(n)(\theta_n(v_\beta))
= (-q^k + (n - k)_q - (k)_q)q^{n-k} v_\beta - (-q^{n-k} + q((n - k)_q - (k)_q))\theta_n(v_\beta)
= ((n + 1 - k + 1)_q - (k + 1)_q)(q^{n-k} v_\beta - \theta_n(v_\beta)).
\]

(c) \( \alpha_t = \chi, \ \chi \in N_q(n) \): Set \( X = X(\chi) \). It follows from Theorem 4.2 that
\[
A_q(n + 1)(v_\alpha) = q((n - 1 - k)_q - (k)_q)v_\alpha
= ((n + 1 - k + 1)_q - (k + 1)_q)v_\alpha.
\]
Assertion (ii) follows from the induction hypothesis using the decomposition (21), the isomorphism (15) and observing that the determinant of the $2 \times 2$ matrix
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
q^k & 1 \\
q^{n-k} & -1
\end{bmatrix}
\]
is nonzero. □

We denote the basis given in part (ii) of Theorem 4.3 by $B_q(n)$. Note that (upto scalars) this basis is canonical in the sense that we have not made any choices anywhere.

**Theorem 4.4.** The basis $B_q(n)$ of $\mathbb{C}[B_q(n)]$ is orthogonal with respect to the inner product (3).

**Proof.** The proof is by induction on $n$, the cases $n = 0, 1$ being clear.

Let $n \geq 1$. We consider two cases:

(i) Let $\beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{t-1}) \in E_q(n)$. Set $k = N(\beta)$ and
\[
\alpha = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{t-1}, 0), \quad \alpha' = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{t-1}, 1).
\]

Given a vectors $u, v \in \mathbb{C}[B_q(n)]$, we shall write $\langle u, v \rangle_n$ for the inner product (3) calculated in $\mathbb{C}[B_q(n)]$ and $\langle u, v \rangle_{n+1}$ for the inner product calculated in $\mathbb{C}[B_q(n+1)]$. We have, for $X \in B_q(n, k)$,
\[
\langle X, X \rangle_n = q^{\frac{k}{2}} P_q(n), \quad \langle X, X \rangle_{n+1} = q^{\frac{k+1}{2}} P_q(n+1) = \frac{1}{1+q^n} \langle X, X \rangle_n,
\]
\[
\langle \theta_n(X), \theta_n(X) \rangle_{n+1} = q^{\frac{k+1}{2}-k} P_q(n+1) = \frac{q^n}{1+q^n} \langle X, X \rangle_n.
\]

It follows that
\[
\langle v, v \rangle_{n+1} = \frac{1}{1+q^n} \langle v, v \rangle_n, \quad \langle \theta_n(v), \theta_n(v) \rangle_{n+1} = \frac{q^n}{1+q^n} \langle v, v \rangle_n, \quad v \in \mathbb{C}[B_q(n)]. \quad (28)
\]

Note that the scalar factors on the right hand side are uniform across all vectors and do not depend on $k$. Thus, since $\mathbb{C}[B_q(n)]$ and $W(0)$ are orthogonal in $\mathbb{C}[B_q(n+1)]$, it follows by the induction hypothesis that $\{v_\beta, \theta_n(v_\beta) \mid \beta \in E_q(n)\}$ is an orthogonal basis of $\mathbb{C}[B_q(n)] \oplus W(0)$.

We have
\[
v_\alpha = q^k v_\beta + \theta_n(v_\beta), \quad v_{\alpha'} = q^{n-k} v_\beta - \theta_n(v_\beta). \quad (29)
\]

Since $v_\beta$ is orthogonal to $\theta_n(v_\beta)$ we have, using (28),
\[
\langle v_\alpha, v_{\alpha'} \rangle_{n+1} = q^n \langle v_\beta, v_\beta \rangle_{n+1} - \langle \theta_n(v_\beta), \theta_n(v_\beta) \rangle_{n+1} = \frac{q^n}{1+q^n} \langle v_\beta, v_\beta \rangle_n - \frac{q^n}{1+q^n} \langle v_\beta, v_\beta \rangle_n = 0.
\]

From the isomorphism $\theta_n$ we now see that
\[
\{v_\alpha, v_{\alpha'} \mid \beta \in E_n(q)\}
\]
Theorem 4.5. Let \( \beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{t-1}) \in \mathcal{E}_q(n-1) \) and let \( \chi \in \mathcal{N}_q(n) \). Set \( \alpha = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{t-1}, \chi) \in \mathcal{E}_q(n+1) \) and \( X = X(\chi) \), where \( X \in B_q(n, n-1) \). We have \( v_\alpha = \lambda(\chi)\mu(X)(v_\beta) \).

Let \( Y \in B_q(X) \) with \( \dim(Y) = k \). We have

\[
\langle Y, Y \rangle_{n-1} = \frac{q^{k^2}}{P_q(n-1)}.
\]

Now observe the following: \( p(\chi)(\hat{Y}) \) is a linear combination of the elements of the orbit \([\hat{Y}]\), whose cardinality is \( q^{n-k} \). The number of elements \( g \in H(n+1, \mathbb{F}_q) \) with \( g \cdot \hat{Y} = \hat{Y} \) is \( q^k \) and by Lemma 3.4 each such \( g \) satisfies \( \chi(g) = 1 \). So, for \( Z \in [\hat{Y}] \), if \( g_1 \cdot \hat{Y} = g_2 \cdot \hat{Y} = Z \) then \( \chi(g_1) = \chi(g_2) \). Thus we have

\[
\langle \lambda(\chi)(Y), \lambda(\chi)(Y) \rangle_{n+1} = q^{-2k} q^{k^2} q^{n-k} = \frac{q^n}{(1+q^n)(1+q^n)} \langle Y, Y \rangle_{n-1}.
\]

It follows that

\[
\langle \lambda(\chi)\mu(X)(v), \lambda(\chi)\mu(X)(v) \rangle_{n+1} = \frac{q^n}{(1+q^n)(1+q^n)} \langle v, v \rangle_{n-1}, \quad v \in \mathbb{C}[B_q(n-1)]. \tag{30}
\]

From the isomorphism \( \lambda(\chi)\mu(X) \) we now see that

\[
\{ v_\alpha \mid \beta \in \mathcal{E}_q(n-1) \}
\]

is an orthogonal basis of \( W(\chi) \).

That completes the proof. \( \square \)

The following result collects information about the length of the vectors \( v_\alpha, \alpha \in \mathcal{E}_q(n) \), under the inner product (3) and the absolute values of their standard coordinates \( v_\alpha(Y), Y \in B_q(n) \) (i.e., \( v_\alpha = \sum Y v_\alpha(Y)Y \)). Given \( X \in A_q(n+1) \) we denote \( X \cap \mathbb{F}_q^n \) by \( X^* \). For \( \alpha \in \mathcal{E}_q(n) \), we denote by \( \overline{\alpha} \in \mathcal{E}_q(n) \) the sequence obtained by interchanging the 0’s and 1’s in \( \alpha \).

Theorem 4.5. (a) Let \( \beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{t-1}) \in \mathcal{E}_q(n) \) with \( N(\beta) = k \). We have

(i) If \( \alpha = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{t-1}, 0) \) then, for \( Y \in B_q(n+1) \),

\[
v_\alpha(Y) = \begin{cases} q^k v_\beta(Y) & \text{if } Y \in B_q(n), \\ v_\beta(Y^r) & \text{if } Y \in A_q(n+1). \end{cases}
\]

\[
\langle v_\alpha, v_\alpha \rangle_{n+1} = \frac{q^n + q^{2k}}{1+q^n} \langle v_\beta, v_\beta \rangle_n.
\]

(ii) If \( \alpha = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{t-1}, 1) \) then, for \( Y \in B_q(n+1) \),

\[
v_\alpha(Y) = \begin{cases} q^{n-k} v_\beta(X) & \text{if } Y \in B_q(n), \\ -v_\beta(Y^r) & \text{if } Y \in A_q(n+1). \end{cases}
\]

\[
\langle v_\alpha, v_\alpha \rangle_{n+1} = \frac{q^n + q^{2(n-k)}}{1+q^n} \langle v_\beta, v_\beta \rangle_n.
\]
(b) Let $\beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{t-1}) \in \mathcal{E}_q(n-1)$ and let $\chi \in \mathcal{N}_q(n)$. We have

If $\alpha = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{t-1}, \chi) \in \mathcal{E}_q(n+1)$ and $X = X(\chi)$, where $X \in B_q(n, n-1)$ then, for $Y \in B_q(n+1)$,

$$|v_\alpha(Y)| = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } Y \in B_q(n), \\ |v_\beta(Y^r)| & \text{if } Y \in A_q(n+1) \text{ and } Y^r \subseteq X, \\ 0 & \text{if } Y \in A_q(n+1) \text{ and } Y^r \not\subseteq X. \end{cases}$$

$$\langle v_\alpha, v_\alpha \rangle_{n+1} = \frac{q^n}{(1+q^{n-1})(1+q^n)} \langle v_\beta, v_\beta \rangle_{n-1}.$$

(c) For $Y \in B_q(n)$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_q(n)$ we have

$$|v_\alpha(Y)| = |v_{\pi}(Y)|, \quad \langle v_\alpha, v_\alpha \rangle_{n+1} = \langle v_{\pi}, v_{\pi} \rangle_{n+1}.$$

**Proof.** Parts (a)(i) and (a)(ii) follow from (28) and (29).

Now consider part (b). The formula for $\langle v_\alpha, v_\alpha \rangle$ follows from (30) and the formula for $|v_\alpha(Y)|$ follows from the observation in the proof of case (ii) in Theorem 4.4.

Part (c) follows easily by induction from parts (a) and (b) on observing that $N(\alpha) = n - N(\alpha)$. □

We now single out a special set of $2^n$ eigenvectors of $M_q(n)$. These can be seen as the $q$-analog of the classical eigenvectors of $M(n)$, written down in [CST, S]. Define

$$\mathcal{I}_q(n) = \{ (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathcal{E}_q(n) : \alpha_i \in \{0, 1\} \text{ for all } i \}.$$ 

Given $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathcal{I}_q(n)$ and $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, define

$$d(\alpha, i) = |\{ j < i : \alpha_j \neq \alpha_i \}|.$$ 

Note that, if $N(\alpha) = k$ then $\sum_{i=1}^n d(\alpha, i) = k(n-k)$. Set $S(\alpha) = \{ i : \alpha_i = 1 \}$.

Given $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathcal{I}_q(n)$ and $X \in B_q(n)$ set $d(\alpha, X) = \sum_i d(\alpha, i)$, where the sum is over all $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \setminus P(X)$.

**Theorem 4.6.** For $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_q(n)$ we have

$$v_\alpha = \sum_{X \in B_q(n)} (-1)^{|S(\alpha) \cap P(X)|} q^{d(\alpha, X)} X.$$

In particular, $v_\alpha(\{0\}) = q^{k(n-k)}$ and $v_\alpha(\mathbb{F}_q^n) = (-1)^k$, where $k = N(\alpha)$.

**Remark** Note that, when $q = 1$, these are precisely the classical eigenvectors of $M(n)$.
Proof. By induction on \( n \), the cases \( n = 0, 1 \) being clear. Let \( n \geq 1 \) and consider \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n+1}) \in \mathcal{I}_q(n+1) \). Set \( \beta = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \) and consider \( X \in B_q(n+1) \). We have the following cases:

(i) \( n + 1 \notin P(X) \): We have \( X = X \cap \mathbb{F}_q^n \). From the inductive hypothesis and from cases (a), (b) in the proof of Theorem 4.3 we have

\[
v_\alpha(X) = q^{d(\alpha, n+1)} v_\beta(X) = (-1)^{|S(\beta) \cap P(X)|} q^{d(\alpha, n+1)} q^{d(\beta, X)} = (-1)^{|S(\alpha) \cap P(X)|} q^{d(\alpha, X)}.
\]

(ii) \( n + 1 \in P(X) \) and \( \alpha_{n+1} = 1 \): We have

\[
v_\alpha(X) = -v_\beta(X^r) = (-1)^{|S(\beta) \cap P(X^r)|} q^{d(\beta, X^r)} = (-1)^{|S(\alpha) \cap P(X)|} q^{d(\alpha, X)}.
\]

(iii) \( n + 1 \in P(X) \) and \( \alpha_{n+1} = 0 \): Similar to case (ii).

\[\Box\]

Theorem 4.7. The space of radial vectors \( R_q(n) \) is contained in the subspace spanned by \{\( v_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{I}_q(n) \}\).

Proof. By induction on \( n \), the cases \( n = 0, 1 \) being clear. Let \( n \geq 1 \). By induction hypothesis and the isomorphism (15) we see that

\[
R_q(n) \subseteq \text{Span} (\{v_\beta : \beta \in \mathcal{I}_q(n)\}),
\]

\[
R_q(n+1) \subseteq \text{Span} (\{v_\beta : \beta \in \mathcal{I}_q(n)\}) \oplus \text{Span} (\{\theta_n(v_\beta) : \beta \in \mathcal{I}_q(n)\}),
\]

and the right hand side of the second of these containments is equal to \( \text{Span} (\{v_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{I}_q(n+1)\}) \). \( \Box \)

We are thus led to the following problem. For \( 0 \leq k \leq n \), there is a unique radial vector (up to scalars) that is an eigenvector of \( A_q(n) \) with eigenvalue \((n-k)_q - (k)_q\). Express this vector as a linear combination of the vectors \{\( v_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{I}_q(n), N(\alpha) = k \}\}. The \( n \)-cube case has a well known solution: the radial eigenvector is the sum of the vectors in the (classical) eigenbasis with the same eigenvalue.

\section{5 Weighted count of rooted spanning trees in \( C_q(n) \)}

We now give the proof of the weighted count of rooted spanning trees in \( C_q(n) \). We use the definitions of Chapter 10 of [Sh].

Proof of Theorem 4.4 Form the directed loopless multigraph \( D \) with \( B_q(n) \) as the vertex set and the following directed edges: for every edge \((X, Y)\) in \( C_q(n) \) (where we assume without
loss of generality that \( \dim(Y) = \dim(X) + 1 \) add \( q^{\dim(X)} \) directed edges from \( X \) to \( Y \) in \( D \) and one directed edge from \( Y \) to \( X \) in \( D \).

Now observe the following:

(i) The outdegree of a vertex \( X \) in \( D \) is \( q^{\dim(X)}(n - \dim(X))_q + (\dim(X))_q = (n)_q \). Thus the matrix \( L(D) \) (the directed analog of the Laplacian) is given by

\[
L(D) = (n)_q I - M_q(n).
\]

(ii) There is an obvious root preserving onto map from the rooted oriented spanning subtrees of \( D \) to the rooted spanning trees in \( \mathcal{F}_q(n) \), where the inverse image of \( F \in \mathcal{F}_q(n) \) has cardinality \( q^{w(F)} \).

(iii) By Theorem 1.1 the eigenvalues of \( L(D) \) are

\[
(n)_q - ((n - k)_q - (k)_q) = (1 + q^{n-k})(k)_q, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, n
\]

with multiplicity \( \binom{n}{k}_q \).

It follows from Theorem 10.4 in [St] (this is Tutte’s directed analog of the Matrix-Tree theorem) and item (ii) above that the weighted count of rooted spanning trees in \( \mathcal{F}_q(n) \) is the product of the nonzero eigenvalues of \( L(D) \) and this agrees with the statement of the Theorem by item (iii) above. \( \Box \)
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