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In this work, we explore the effects of a quantum quench on the entanglement entropy for a system of scalar field having $\phi^4$ interaction. We use the method of invariant operator representation for the computation of the ground state of coupled Hamiltonian having $\lambda\phi^4$ perturbation. We give the analytical expressions for the total and reduced density matrix of the system having non-Gaussian, quartic interaction terms. Using this reduced density matrix, we show the analytical calculation of two entanglement measures viz., Von Neumann entanglement entropy using replica trick and Renyi entanglement entropy. Further, we give a numerical estimate of these entanglement measures with respect to the dimensionless parameter ($t/\delta t$) and show its behaviour in the three regimes, i.e; late time behaviour, around the quench point and the early time behaviour. We comment on the variation of these entanglement measures for different orders of coupling strength. The variation of Renyi entropy of different orders has also been discussed.

I. Introduction

In recent years, the most important works in theoretical physics have been studied by blending the ideas of quantum many-body physics, quantum information theory and quantum field theory. The amalgamation of these branches of physics have been reviewed in [1–7]. These works mostly focus on studying the dynamical properties of quantum entanglement in time-dependent systems [8–17]. This dynamical entanglement can be characterised by computing von Neumann entanglement entropy and Renyi entanglement entropy. The traditional way of computing these entanglement measures involves constructing the reduced density matrix using the eigenstates of the time-dependent Hamiltonian.

One of the ways to compute the eigenstates of such time-dependent Hamiltonians is by constructing the Lewis-Resenfield invariant operator and this is often termed as invariant operator representation of the wavefunction [18]. Some works following this approach to compute the time-dependent eigenstates are [19–23]. Evolution of such time-dependent quantum states can be studied as solution to the Ermakov-Milne-Pinney equation [24–26]. The invariant operator method is generalised for perturbed theories by computing time-independent perturbative corrections [27], considering adiabatic evolution [28, 29] of the parameters.

For unperturbed Hamiltonians or free theories, the reduced density matrix once computed represents the Gaussian states. Entanglement can then be computed using these Gaussian states [30–32]. Computing von Neumann entropy for these Gaussian states employs the use of correlation functions defined using the framework of quantum field theory [33].

Entanglement in interacting theories has been studied using replica trick [34] in [35]. On the other hand, the perturbed entanglement entropy is computed using the path-integral approach in [36].

Most of the recent works in many-body physics have been about contemplating the behaviour of entanglement for a system having a time-dependent parameter in Hamiltonian. This time-dependent parameter can be varied quickly or slowly and hence the process is termed as a 'quantum quench'. Some of the most important works for studying entanglement-properties of extended systems undergoing a quantum quench are [37–46]. These quantum quenches can be thought of as protocols driving the system out-of-equilibrium [47–49]. These local equilibrium can then be analysed in quenched systems by using reduced density matrix. In recent years, effects of quantum quenches have even been studied experimentally using cold atom systems [50–59]. Studying the effect of quantum quench in the case of interacting...
theories or perturbed theories is of prime importance [60].
Motivated by the discussion given above, in this work we consider a toy model consisting of a system of two coupled fields with $\lambda \phi^4$ interaction term. The Hamiltonian for this system consists of a time-dependent quench profile. The eigenstates for this time-dependent Hamiltonian are computed using invariant operator representation. Further, the reduced density matrix (with quartic interaction terms) is constructed using invariant-operator representation of wavefunction. The dynamical von Neumann entropy and Renyi entropy are then derived using appropriate formulae for the obtained reduced density matrix. We comment on the behaviour of these entanglement measures by varying the relevant parameters.

The organisation of the paper is as follows:

- We start our discussion by providing an overview of the quench protocol and Hamiltonian of the system in section II.
- In section III, the expression for eigenstates of the time-dependent Hamiltonian is computed using invariant-operator representation of wavefunction. Further, the expression for first order time-independent correction to the ground state of the Hamiltonian is also approximated in this section.
- In section IV, we use the ground state wavefunction with perturbative correction to compute the expression for reduced density matrix, with quartic interaction terms. von Neumann entanglement entropy is then computed by performing the replica trick over this reduced density matrix. Further, we show the analytically computed expression for Renyi entanglement entropy.
- In section V, we numerically evaluate the respective entanglement measures and plot them with respect to the dimensionless parameter $(t/\delta t)$. We comment on the parametric variation of these entanglement measures for each of the chosen three regimes.
- Section VI summarises the conclusions we draw from the obtained results of this work with some interesting future prospects of our present work.

II. The Setup and the Quench protocol

In this section we begin by discretising a Hamiltonian for a scalar field theory with $\phi^4$ interaction term. Next we, focus on the system of two coupled scalar interacting fields. We show that, the Hamiltonian for this system refers to a system of two coupled anharmonic oscillators with $\lambda \phi^4$ perturbation. Next, we use normal mode basis to decouple the Hamiltonian so that we can compute the eigenstates for this system in a much simpler way, in upcoming section. Further, we mention the time-dependent quench profile chosen as the frequency of this Hamiltonian.

The Hamiltonian for a scalar field theory with a $\lambda \phi^4$ interaction is given by [61],

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^{d-1}x \left[ \pi(x)^2 + (\nabla \phi(x))^2 + m^2 \phi(x)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{12} \phi(x)^4 \right].$$

(1)

Here $d$ is the space-time dimensions. We assume that the coupling $\lambda << 1$, so that we can work in a perturbative framework. This theory can be discretized on a $d - 1$ dimensional lattice. It can be shown that, the discretized Hamiltonian becomes,

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \sum \delta^{d/2} \phi(\vec{n}), \quad \hat{P}(\vec{n}) = \pi(\vec{n})/\delta^{d/2},$$

$$M = \frac{1}{\delta}, \quad \omega = m,$$

$$\eta = \frac{1}{\delta}, \quad \lambda = \frac{\lambda}{24} \delta^{-d}. \quad (3)$$

After these substitutions we get,

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\vec{n}} \left\{ \frac{\hat{P}(\vec{n})^2}{2M} + \frac{1}{2} M \left[ \omega^2 \hat{X}(\vec{n})^2 + \eta^2 \sum_i \left( \hat{X}(\vec{n}) - \hat{X}(\vec{n} - \hat{x}_i) \right)^2 + 2 \lambda \hat{X}(\vec{n})^4 \right] \right\}.$$  

(4)

The above Hamiltonian, in Eq. (4) represents a family of infinite coupled anharmonic oscillators. In this work we focus on the system representing two coupled oscillators and compute the entanglement for this system. Setting $M = 1$, for simplicity, Eq. (4) can be specialised for case of two coupled oscillators representing two coupled fields with $\phi^4$ interaction as shown below,

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \left[ p_1^2 + p_2^2 + \omega^2 (x_1^2 + x_2^2) + \eta^2 (x_1 - x_2)^2 \right] + 2 \left\{ \lambda (x_1^4 + x_2^4) \right\}.$$  

(5)

Here $x_i$ and $p_i$, for $i = 1, 2$ denote the canonical coordinates of the respective oscillator following the standard
commutation relation $[x_i, p_j] = i\delta_{ij}$, while $\lambda$ denotes the coupling coefficient of $\phi^4$ interaction term. The eigenstates of the above Hamiltonian Eq. (5), can easily be computed using normal coordinates defined as,

$$
X_1 = (x_1 + x_2)/\sqrt{2} \\
X_2 = (x_1 - x_2)/\sqrt{2} \\
P_1 = (p_1 + p_2)/\sqrt{2} \\
P_2 = (p_1 - p_2)/\sqrt{2}.
$$

The unperturbed part of Hamiltonian when written using these normal coordinates decouples. One can then show that the total Hamiltonian of Eq. (5) in normal coordinates takes the following form:

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{2} H_i + H_p,$$

where,

$$H_i(T) = \frac{1}{2} \left( P_i^2 + \omega_i^2(T)X_i^2 \right),$$

denotes the unperturbed and decoupled Hamiltonian for each of the two oscillators. On the other hand the perturbed Hamiltonian is given by,

$$H_p = \lambda V = \lambda(x_1^4 + x_2^4) = \lambda(X_1^4 + X_2^4 + 6X_1^2X_2^2).$$

This enables us to use $\lambda\phi^4$ time-independent perturbation theory and compute the eigenstates of total Hamiltonian of Eq. (5).

We now consider the frequency $\omega$ in, Eq. (5) as a time-dependent quench profile. One of the most common quench profiles used in literature [62, 63] is given by:

$$\omega^2(t/\delta t) = \omega_0^2 \left[ \tanh^2 \left( \frac{t}{\delta t} \right) \right].$$

Here $\omega_0$ can be interpreted as a free parameter and $\delta t$ is the quench parameter or the quench rate. The quench profile chosen here is such that it admits an exact solution for the mode functions given in [63] and the quench profile attains a constant value at late and early times. The dynamical process due to this profile happens in the $[-\delta t, \delta t]$ time window. We will set $t/\delta t = T$ and $\omega_0 = 1$. The respective frequencies in normal mode basis take the following form,

$$\omega_1 = \omega(T) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_2 = \sqrt{\omega^2(T) + 4\eta^2}.$$  

where $\omega(T)$ is the quench profile Eq. (9).

Note that the unperturbed Hamiltonian of Eq. (7) is now time-dependent while the perturbed Hamiltonian of Eq. (8) acts as time-independent $\phi^4$ coupling applied on the two harmonic oscillators. In section III, we show the analytical computation of ground state, $\Psi_{0,0}$ of the total Hamiltonian of two coupled anharmonic oscillators having $\lambda\phi^4$ perturbation. This ground state is used to derive the analytical expressions of the respective entanglement measures in section IV.

III. constructing Wave function for a $\phi^4$ quench model

In this section our prime objective is to construct the wavefunction approximated to first order in coupling constant $\lambda$. In subsection IIIA we compute the eigenstates of decoupled and unperturbed Hamiltonian Eq. (7). These eigenstates are then used to construct the ground state of perturbed Hamiltonian Eq. (8), approximated to first perturbative order, in subsection IIIB. Finally we compute the total wavefunction as ground state of total Hamiltonian Eq. (5).

A. Eigenstates and Eigenvalues for unperturbed Hamiltonian

As, the unperturbed Hamiltonian Eq. (7) decouples in the normal mode basis, the eigenstates for the unperturbed Hamiltonian are just the product of the eigenstates of respective oscillators in the normal-mode basis:

$$\psi_{n_1,n_2}^{(0)}(X_1,X_2,T) = \psi_{n_1}(X_1,T)\psi_{n_2}(X_2,T).$$

Since the unperturbed Hamiltonian consists of a time-dependent frequency scale, we now use a prescription often termed as the invariant representation in the literature [64], to get the unperturbed eigenstates. We begin the invariant representation by listing the auxiliary equations. The solutions to these equations can then be used to compute the coupled wavefunction. The auxiliary equations can be written as:

$$
\dot{\sigma}_j - \sigma_j \gamma_j + \omega_j^2(T)\sigma_j = 0 \quad \sigma_j \dot{\gamma}_j + 2\dot{\sigma}_j \gamma_j = 0.
$$

Here, $j=1,2$ and $\sigma_j(T)$ and $\gamma_j(T)$ are time-dependent factors for each of the two coupled oscillators. Also, $\gamma_j = \partial_T \gamma_j$, $\sigma_j = \partial_T \sigma_j$ and $\dot{\gamma}_j = \partial_T \gamma_j$. The subscript $j$ denotes the oscillator for which the respective parameter is mentioned. The computation of explicit values of $\sigma(T)$ and $\gamma(T)$ is discussed in appendix A. Note that we have suppressed the time-dependence throughout this section.

Next, we define the creation ($a_j^\dagger$) and annihilation ($a_j$) operators given by,

$$
a_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\gamma_j}} \left[ \gamma_j \left( 1 - i \frac{\delta_j}{\sigma_j \gamma_j} \right) X_j + i P_j \right] \\
a_j^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\gamma_j}} \left[ \gamma_j \left( 1 + i \frac{\delta_j}{\sigma_j \gamma_j} \right) X_j - i P_j \right].
$$

Here, $j=1,2$. One can show that these operators satisfy the commutation relation $[a_j, a_j^\dagger] = \delta_{ij}$. The creation and annihilation operators can be used to define invariant operator for the respective decoupled Hamiltonian,

$$I_j = \Omega_j \left( a_j^\dagger a_j + \frac{1}{2} \right).$$
Here, j=1,2. On the other hand, $\Omega_j = \sigma_j^2 \gamma_j$, is an invariant quantity with respect to time. The construction of this invariant operator Eq. (14) has been briefly outlined in appendix B. The invariant operator has its own spectrum and eigenstates. The eigenstates of invariant operator can be used to formulate the wavefunctions for each decoupled Hamiltonian. The outline of the same is given in appendix B. Using equation (B4) for $n_1, n_2 = 0$, one can show that the ground state of unperturbed Hamiltonian is given by,

$$\psi_{0,0}^{(0)} = \sqrt{\frac{g_1 g_2}{\pi}} \exp \left[ -\frac{i}{2} \frac{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}{2} \right] \times \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \left( g_1^2 (1 - id) X_1^2 + g_2^2 (1 - if) X_2^2 \right) \right].$$

(15)

where, the coefficients $g_1$, $g_2$, $d$ and $f$ are given by,

$$g_1 = \sqrt{\gamma_1}, \quad g_2 = \sqrt{\gamma_2}, \quad d = \frac{\sigma_1}{\gamma_1 \sigma_1}, \quad f = \frac{\sigma_2}{\gamma_2 \sigma_2}.$$  

(16)

Next we emphasize that the eigenvalues of the unperturbed decoupled Hamiltonians in Eq. (7) will have a time dependent factor [27]. These eigenvalues for each of the decoupled Hamiltonians are given as:

$$\langle \psi_{n_j} | H_j | \psi_{n_j} \rangle = W_j(T) \left( n_j + \frac{1}{2} \right),$$

(17)

where, $j = 1, 2$. Here $W_j(T)$ is the time-dependent factor for each oscillator given by,

$$W_j(T) = \frac{\gamma_j}{2} \left( \frac{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \omega_j^2 + \sigma_j^2 \gamma_j}{\sigma_2 \gamma_2^2} \right).$$

(18)

where $j = 1, 2$. Using the above eigenvalues one can write the energy eigenvalue for the unperturbed state of two coupled oscillators, Eq. (B4) as:

$$\langle \psi_{n_1, n_2}^{(0)} | H | \psi_{n_1, n_2}^{(0)} \rangle = W_1(T) \left( n_1 + \frac{1}{2} \right) + W_2(T) \left( n_2 + \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

(19)

B. Ground state of two coupled oscillators with first order-$\phi^4$ perturbation

Using time-independent perturbation theory, one can show that the first order perturbative correction to the ground state of two-coupled oscillators is,

$$\psi_{0,0}^{(1)} = \sum_{(n_1, n_2) \neq (0,0)} \frac{\langle \psi_{n_1, n_2}^{(0)} | V | \psi_{0,0}^{(0)} \rangle \times \psi_{n_1, n_2}^{(0)}}{\langle \psi_{0,0}^{(0)} | H | \psi_{0,0}^{(0)} \rangle - \langle \psi_{n_1, n_2}^{(0)} | H | \psi_{n_1, n_2}^{(0)} \rangle}.$$ 

(20)

Using the form of perturbed Hamiltonian Eq. (8) and the time dependent eigenvalues Eq. (19), the above expression when evaluated becomes,

$$\psi_{0,0}^{(1)} = -\frac{3(g_1^2 + g_2^2)\psi_{0,0}^{(0)}}{4\sqrt{2}g_1 g_2} - \frac{3\psi_{0,0}^{(0)}}{8\sqrt{2}g_2} - \frac{3(g_1^2 + g_2^2)\psi_{2,0}^{(0)}}{4\sqrt{2}g_1 g_2}.

- \frac{3\psi_{2,2}^{(0)}}{2(2g_1 + 2h)g_1 g_2} - \frac{3\psi_{4,0}^{(0)}}{8\sqrt{2}g_2}.$$  

(21)

Here,

$$g = \left( \frac{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \omega_1^2 + \Omega_1 \gamma_1}{\Omega_1} \right)$$

$$h = \left( \frac{\sigma_2 + \sigma_2 \omega_2^2 + \Omega_2 \gamma_2}{\Omega_2} \right).$$

(22)

The explicit form of the first order correction can be computed using the expression of unperturbed eigenstates, Eq. (B4). The total wavefunction for ground state of total Hamiltonian Eq. (5), corrected to first order of time-independent $\lambda \phi^4$ perturbation, is given by: $\Psi_{0,0} = \psi_{0,0}^{(0)} + \lambda \psi_{0,0}^{(1)}$. Using Eq. (15) and Eq. (21) while approximating, the coupling constant $\lambda << 1$ we can express the final form of the wavefunction in normal mode basis as:

$$\Psi_{0,0}(X_1, X_2) = \left( \frac{g_1^2 g_2^2}{\pi^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{-\frac{i}{2} (\gamma_{1} + \gamma_{2})} \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} (1 - id) g_1^2 X_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} (1 - if) g_2^2 X_2^2 + \lambda \left( A_1 + A_2 X_1^2 + A_3 X_2^2 \right) + A_4 X_1^4 + A_5 X_2^4 + A_6 X_1^2 X_2^2 \right].$$

(23)

The coefficients $A_i$ for $i = 1$ to $i = 6$ are mentioned in a table given in appendix D. The above wavefunction Eq. (23) represents the ground state of total Hamiltonian in Eq. (5), of the system of two coupled oscillators with $\lambda \phi^4$ perturbation. We take note of the fact that all variables, aside from the coordinates, $X_1$, $X_2$ and coupling constant, $\lambda$ in the wavefunction, Eq. (23) are functions of timescale $T$. The wavefunction is then dependent on both $t$ and $\delta t$. This explicit time dependence can be evaluated by computing $\sigma_i$ and $\gamma_i$, shown in appendix A.

IV. Analytical calculation of Entanglement Measures

In the previous sections, II and III, we computed the ground state wavefunction for a system of two coupled bosonic oscillators with a $\phi^4$ first-order perturbative correction for Hamiltonian having a quenched frequency-profile. In this section our prime objective is to show the analytical steps to calculate entanglement measures, viz., von Neumann entanglement entropy and Renyi entropy.

In subsection IV A reduced density matrix for the system of two coupled oscillators is constructed using the wavefunction Eq. (23). To compute von Neumann entropy
using replica trick \cite{34,35} as well as Renyi entropy, the trace of reduced density matrix should be evaluated, this is shown in subsection IV B. Finally using the appropriate formulae we show the computation of the respective entanglement measures in IV C.

A. Density Matrix for Perturbed wavefunction

We begin by transforming the wavefunction $\Psi(X_1, X_2)$ given in Eq. (23) to $\Psi(x_1, x_2)$ i.e. we transform the normal coordinates back to space-time coordinates using Eq. (6). We mention four new symbols:

$$
P = \frac{1}{2}(1-id)g_1^2 \quad ; \quad P^* = \frac{1}{2}(1+id)g_1^2$$

$$Q = \frac{1}{2}(1-if)g_2^2 \quad ; \quad Q^* = \frac{1}{2}(1+if)g_1^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

The wavefunction in spacetime coordinates is then represented by:

$$\Psi(x_1, x_2) = \left(\frac{g_1 g_2}{\pi^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{-i(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{P}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 2x_1 x_2) - \frac{Q}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 2x_1 x_2) + \lambda \left[ A_1 + \frac{A_2}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 2x_1 x_2) + \frac{A_3}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 2x_1 x_2) + \frac{A_4}{4}(x_1^4 + x_2^4 + 4x_1^2 x_2^2 + 4x_1 x_2^3 + 6x_1^2 x_2^2) + \frac{A_5}{4}(x_1^4 + x_2^4 - 4x_1^3 x_2^2 - 4x_1 x_2^3 + 6x_1^2 x_2^2) + \frac{A_6}{4}(x_1^4 + x_2^4 - 2x_1^2 x_2^2) \right] \right\}. \hspace{1cm} (25)$$

The complex conjugate of the above given wavefunction is denoted by $\Psi^*(x_1', x_2')$. Using the conjugate of the wavefunction in Eq. (25), we can construct the total density matrix for the system of two oscillators by $\rho(x_1, x_2, x_1', x_2') = \Psi(x_1, x_2)\Psi^*(x_1', x_2')$. One can easily show that the density matrix is given as:

$$\rho(x_1, x_2, x_1', x_2') = \left(\frac{g_1 g_2}{\pi^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{-i(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{P}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 2x_1 x_2) - \frac{P^*}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 2x_1 x_2) - \frac{Q}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 2x_1 x_2) - \frac{Q^*}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 2x_1 x_2) + \lambda \left[ 2A_1 + \frac{A_2}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 2x_1 x_2 + 2x_1' x_2') + \frac{A_3}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 2x_1 x_2 - 2x_1' x_2') + \frac{A_4}{4}(x_1^4 + x_1^2 x_2^2 + 4x_1^2 x_2^2 + 4x_1 x_2^3 + 6x_1^2 x_2^2 + 6x_1 x_2^3 + 6x_1^2 x_2^2 + 6x_1^2 x_2^2 + 6x_1^2 x_2^2) + \frac{A_5}{4}(x_1^4 + x_1^2 x_2^2 + 4x_1^2 x_2^2 + 4x_1 x_2^3 + 6x_1^2 x_2^2 + 6x_1 x_2^3 + 6x_1^2 x_2^2 + 6x_1^2 x_2^2) + \frac{A_6}{4}(x_1^4 + x_1^2 x_2^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 2x_1^2 x_2^2 - 2x_1^2 x_2^2) \right] \right\}. \hspace{1cm} (26)$$

The reduced density matrix can be computed using total density matrix, shown in Eq. (26) by tracing over the coordinates of second oscillator i.e. by setting $x_2' = x_2$ and computing $\rho(x_1, x_1', x_2)$. The reduced density matrix can then be evaluated as:

$$\rho(x_1, x_1') = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho(x_1, x_1', x_2)dx_2. \hspace{1cm} (27)$$

We mention the final form of the reduced density matrix which can be used to calculate the entanglement measures as:
\[
\rho(x_1, x'_1) = N \left( \frac{g_1 g_2}{\pi} \right) \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{A}} \exp \left\{ \left(2\lambda A_1 + \lambda \alpha_0\right) + \frac{-(P + Q)}{2} + \frac{(Q - P)^2}{4A} + \lambda \alpha_1 \right\} x_1^2 \\
+ \left( \frac{-(P + Q^*)}{2} + \frac{(Q^* - P^*)^2}{4A} + \lambda \alpha_2 \right) x_1'^2 \\
+ \left( \frac{(Q - P)(Q^* - P^*)}{2A} + \lambda \alpha_3 \right) x_1 x_1' + \lambda \left[ \alpha_4 x_1^4 + \alpha_5 x_1'^4 + \alpha_6 x_1^3 x_1' + \alpha_7 x_1 x_1'^3 + \alpha_8 x_1^2 x_1'^2 \right].
\]

(Here \( N \) is the normalisation factor, while,

\[
A = \left( \frac{g_1^2 + g_2^2}{2} \right)
\]

\[
B = \left( (Q - P)x_1 + (Q^* - P^*)x_1' \right).
\]

The values of coefficients, \( \alpha_i \) for \( i = 0 \) to \( i = 8 \), are listed in appendix D)

### B. Computing the value of \( \text{tr}(\rho^n) \)

In this subsection we outline analytical steps to compute the expression for \( \text{tr}(\rho^n) \) where \( \rho \) is the reduced density matrix of Eq. (28). The reduced density matrix, given by equation (28) is clearly representing a non-Gaussian state. The calculation of entanglement entropy for such a non-Gaussian state is shown in [35]. We follow a similar method and modify the same derivation to compute entropy for the state representing Eq. (28). According to the definition of trace, considering that \( x_{n+1} = x_1 \), one can write:

\[
\text{tr}(\rho^n) = \int dx_1 dx_2...dx_n \rho(x_1, x_2) \rho(x_2, x_3) \rho(x_n, x_1),
\]

where, \( \rho(x_i, x_{i+1}) \), for \( i = 1 \) to \( n \), represents the reduced density matrix given in equation (28). The product of density matrices in Eq. (30), when evaluated gives:

\[
\text{tr}(\rho^n) = N^n \left( \frac{g_1 g_2}{\pi} \right)^n \left( \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{A}} \right)^n e^{n(2\lambda A_1 + \lambda \alpha_0)} \times
\]

\[
\int d^n x \exp \left\{ \left[ \frac{-(P + P^* + Q + Q^*)}{2} + \frac{(Q - P)^2 + (Q^* - P^*)^2}{4A} + \lambda (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \right] \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 \\
+ \left[ \frac{(Q - P)(Q^* - P^*)}{2A} + \lambda \alpha_3 \right] \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i x_{i+1} \\
+ \lambda \left[ (\alpha_4 + \alpha_5) \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^4 \\
+ (\alpha_6 x_{i-1}^3 + \alpha_7 x_{i+1}^3) \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i + \alpha_8 \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i+1}^2 \right] \right\}.
\]

We modify the above expression by using new coefficients \( \beta_1, \beta_2...\beta_7 \) tabulated in appendix D. Note that each \( \beta_i \) for \( i = 1 \) to \( 7 \) is evaluated by substituting \( P, Q, A \) and \( B \) defined in Eq. (24) and Eq. (29). One can then show that,

\[
\text{tr}(\rho^n) = N^n \left( \frac{g_1 g_2}{\pi} \right)^n \left( \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{A}} \right)^n e^{n(2\lambda A_1 + \lambda \alpha_0)} \int d^n x \exp \left\{ \left[ \beta_1 + \lambda \beta_2 \right] \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 + \left[ \beta_3 + \lambda \beta_4 \right] \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i x_{i+1} \right\} \times
\]

\[
\exp \left\{ \lambda [\beta_5 \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^4 + (\alpha_6 x_{i-1}^3 + \alpha_7 x_{i+1}^3) \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i + \beta_6 \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i+1}^2] \right\}.
\]

The integral in the above Eq. (32) can be solved using the steps shown in appendix C.
To evaluate normalisation factor \( N \) one needs to set \( tr(\rho(x_1, x_1')) = 1 \) for the reduced density matrix in Eq. (28). Using \( \mu \) and \( \xi \) defined in appendix C the normalisation factor is given by,

\[
N^n = \left( \frac{g_1 g_2}{\pi} \right)^{-n} \left( \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{A}} \right)^{-n} e^{-n(2\lambda A_1 + \lambda A_0)} \left( \frac{\beta}{\pi} \right)^n |1 - \mu|^n \left( 1 - \frac{3\lambda(\beta_5 + \beta_6 + \beta_7)}{4\beta^2(1 - \mu)^4} \right)^n .
\] (33)

Substituting (33) and Eq. (C8) in Eq. (C12) from appendix C, one can obtain the final value of trace of \( n^{th} \) order of the reduced density matrix of Eq. (28), as:

\[
tr(\rho^n) = \frac{|1 - \mu|^n}{|1 - \mu^n|} \left( 1 - \frac{3\lambda(\beta_5 + \beta_6 + \beta_7)}{4\xi^2(1 - \mu)^4} \right)^n \left\{ 1 + n\lambda(3\beta_5(M^{-1}_{11})^2 + \beta_6(M^{-1}_{11})^2 + 2(M^{-1}_{12})^2 + 3\beta_7(M^{-1}_{11}M^{-1}_{12})) \right\} .
\] (34)

### C. Entanglement Measures

In this subsection we compute the two entanglement measures, viz., von Neumann entanglement entropy and Renyi entropy using the respective formulae for the reduced density matrix of Eq. (28). The von Neumann entanglement entropy for a given density matrix \( \rho \) is computed as, \( S_{vN} = - tr(\rho \ln \rho) \). As we know the explicit \( n- \) dependence of \( tr(\rho^n) \) from Eq. (34), we instead use replica trick often given as [34],

\[
S_{vN} = - \lim_{n \to 1} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} tr(\rho^n) .
\] (35)

Substituting the respective values of matrix inverses of, Eq. (C13) in Eq. (34) one can show that the von Neumann entropy is given by,

\[
S_{vN} = - \frac{C_1 \ln C_1 + (1 - C_1) \ln(1 - C_1)}{(1 - C_1)} + \lambda \left[ - \frac{C_1 C_2 \ln C_1}{(1 - C_1)^2} - \frac{C_2 \ln C_1}{(1 - C_1)} + \frac{3C_1 \ln C_1}{\xi_2(C_1 + 1)(C_1 - 1)^5} \beta_5 + \frac{\ln C_1 (1 + C_1 + C_2^2)}{C_2(C_1 + 1)(C_1 - 1)^5} \beta_6 + \frac{3 \ln C_1 (1 + C_1)}{4C_2(C_1 - 1)^5} \beta_7 \right] .
\] (36)

Using Eq. (C2), the above expression for von Neumann entropy can be approximated to first order in coupling constant \( \lambda \) as,

\[
S_{vN} = - \frac{C_1 \ln C_1 + (1 - C_1) \ln(1 - C_1)}{(1 - C_1)} + \lambda \left[ - \frac{C_1 C_2 \ln C_1}{(1 - C_1)^2} - \frac{C_2 \ln C_1}{(1 - C_1)} + \frac{3C_1 \ln C_1}{\xi_2(C_1 + 1)(C_1 - 1)^5} \beta_5 + \frac{\ln C_1 (1 + C_1 + C_2^2)}{C_2(C_1 + 1)(C_1 - 1)^5} \beta_6 + \frac{3 \ln C_1 (1 + C_1)}{4C_2(C_1 - 1)^5} \beta_7 \right] .
\] (37)

The Renyi entropy of order \( n \) can be evaluated using,

\[
S_R = \frac{1}{1 - n} \ln[tr(\rho^n)] .
\] (38)

Substituting the respective values of matrix inverses, Eq. (C13) in Eq. (34) while using Eq. (C2) one can show that the Renyi entropy is given by,
As, \( \eta = \frac{1}{1-n} \left\{ n \ln(1-C_2 - \lambda C_3) - \ln(1-(C_2 + \lambda)^n) \right\} + n \lambda \left[ -\frac{3}{4C_3(1-C_1)^4} + \frac{3(C_1^n - 1)^2}{4C_3(1-C_1)^4(C_1^n-1)^2(1-C_1^n-1)^2} \lambda \right] \}
\end{equation}

Note that the coefficients \( C_i \) for \( i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \) in Eq. (37) and Eq. (39) arise due to the analytical steps shown in appendix C. These coefficients are tabulated in appendix D.

Using the values of von Neumann entropy, Eq. (37) and Renyi entropy, Eq. (39) one can verify, using first order approximation in coupling constant \( \lambda \),

\[ \lim_{n \to 1} S_R = S_{vN}. \]

Note that the final formulae of von Neumann as well as Renyi entropies depend on \( \beta_i \) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, 7 \). These coefficients \( \beta_i \), given in table of IVB depend on timescale \( t \) and \( \delta t \). Entanglement measures therefore depend on these timescales. We check this time-dependence by computing numerical values of both entanglement measures in section V.

\section{Numerical Results}

In this section we numerically evaluate von Neumann and Renyi entanglement entropy measures computed for the quench setup of two coupled scalar fields using Eq. (37) and Eq. (39). As mentioned before each factor in the derived formulae for entanglement measures explicitly depends on \( \sigma_i(t, \delta t) \) and \( \gamma_i(t, \delta t) \). The values of \( \sigma_i(t, \delta t) \) and \( \gamma_i(t, \delta t) \) are computed by solving auxiliary equations, shown in, Eq. (12) which is outlined in appendix A. Analytically solving the differential equation of Eq. (A1), is very complicated and hence we set some initial conditions to numerically evaluate the solution to this equation.

We begin by considering the coupling coefficient in the Hamiltonian of the coupled oscillators, Eq. (5) as \( \eta = 0.5 \). We further set the invariant quantities in Eq. (14) as \( \Omega_1 = \Omega_2 = 1 \). To obtain the constants \( A, B \) and \( C \) mentioned in Eq. (A4) we first compute \( \sigma_i(t, \delta t) \) and \( \gamma_i(t, \delta t) \) at \( t \to 0 \). Next we, set \( d(t \to 0) = f(t \to 0) = 0 \), defined in, Eq. (16) and \( \sigma_i(0, \delta t) = 1 \). Using these initial conditions we obtain values of \( A, B \) and \( C \) which can be inserted in Eq. (A4). \( \rho_i(t, \delta t) \) and \( \gamma_i(t, \delta t) \) are then used to get numerical values of von Neumann and Renyi entanglement entropies, for the aforementioned initial conditions.

Using the numerical values of \( S_{vN} \) and \( S_R \), we parameterize four different plots for a chosen timescale. We have varied the dimensionless parameter \( (t/\delta t) \) from 0.1 to 0.7 in steps of 0.05. The ratio is then plotted on x-axis of respective figures. We term the value of \( (t/\delta t) = 1 \) as the "Quench Point" represented by a red dotted line in all the respective figures. Using the values of \( (t/\delta t) \) we divide all the plots in three different regions. The first region shaded as red, is marked for values of \( (t/\delta t) < 0.8 \). This region shows the "early-time behavior" of the respective entanglement measures, when the quench rate \( \delta t \) is varied in a way so as to keep \( (t/\delta t) << 1 \). The next region, shaded as yellow is marked by two equal intervals to the right as well as left of quench point, precisely for values of \( (t/\delta t) \) between 0.8 and 1.2. This region represents the values of entanglement measures for \( (t/\delta t) \approx 1 \) and hence is termed as the region "around the quench point". The last region shaded as blue is marked for values of \( (t/\delta t) > 1.2 \). This region shows the "late-time behavior" of the respective entanglement measures, when the quench rate \( \delta t \) is varied in a way so as to keep \( (t/\delta t) >> 1 \).

In FIG. 1, we have plotted the von Neumann (V-N) entropy for two coupled scalar fields with \( \lambda \delta^4 \) interaction term with respect to the dimensionless parameter \( (t/\delta t) \) for different orders of \( \lambda \). We observe that the computed values of V-N entropy are negative for the chosen timescale for \( \lambda > 10^{-5} \). We begin by plotting the values of V-N entropy by decreasing the order of \( \lambda \), starting from \( \lambda = 10^{-5} \). We see that for \( \lambda = 10^{-5} \) initially the V-N entropy grows for very small values of \( (t/\delta t) < 0.6 \). It can therefore be inferred from the plot that V-N entropy (for \( \lambda = 10^{-5} \)) increases in most of the region covering the early-time behaviour, shaded as blue. Further, in the range \( 0.6 < (t/\delta t) < 2.2 \) the entropy decreases gradually. Thus, the whole region near to the Quench-Point, shaded as yellow, shows a decreasing value of V-N entropy. After \( (t/\delta t) > 2.2 \) the V-N entropy increases monotonically and shows a thermalising behaviour for large values of \( (t/\delta t) \). Most of the late-time behaviour therefore, shows thermalising behaviour of V-N entropy.

In case of \( \lambda = 10^{-6} \) and \( \lambda = 10^{-7} \) we observe from the plot in FIG. 1, that there is a decrease in von Neumann entropy upto \( (t/\delta t) < 2.6 \). Hence, the whole early-time behaviour region as well as the region around the Quench Point, shaded as red and yellow respectively,
FIG. 1. Variation of the Von Neumann entropy ($S_{VN}$) with respect to the dimensionless parameter ($t/\delta t$) for different orders of the coupling constant $\lambda$ for two coupled scalar fields with quartic perturbation.

show a decreasing trend in V-N entropy. This trend is in contrast to the same for $\lambda = 10^{-5}$. When we
FIG. 3. Variation of the Renyi entropy ($S_R$) for $\lambda = 10^{-4}$, with respect to the dimensionless parameter ($t/\delta t$) for different values of $n$ for two coupled scalar fields with quartic perturbation.

FIG. 4. Variation of the Renyi entropy ($S_R$) for $\lambda = 10^{-5}$, with respect to the dimensionless parameter ($t/\delta t$) for different values of $n$ for two coupled scalar fields with quartic perturbation.

move further towards larger values of the dimensionless parameter ($t/\delta t$) we again see a thermalising behaviour
for both the coupling constants. This region is shaded as blue and shows trend similar to that of \( \lambda = 10^{-5} \). Another observation which we can make from this graph is that as we decrease the order of the coupling constant \( \lambda \) the von Neumann entropy increases.

In FIG. 2. we have plotted the Renyi entropies for two coupled scalar fields with \( \lambda \phi^4 \) interaction term with respect to the dimensionless parameter \( (t/\delta t) \) for different orders of \( \lambda \). We observe that the computed values of Renyi entropy are negative for the chosen timescale for \( \lambda > 10^{-4} \). We begin by plotting the values of Renyi entropy by decreasing the order of coupling constant, starting from \( \lambda = 10^{-4} \). We see that for \( \lambda = 10^{-4} \), initially the Renyi entropy grows for very small value of \( (t/\delta t) < 0.8 \). Hence, the early-time behaviour of the system shows an increasing trend in values of Renyi entropy, shaded by red colour. Further, in the range \( 0.8 < (t/\delta t) < 2.2 \) the entropy decreases gradually. The region around the Quench Point, shaded as yellow, shows decreasing trend in Renyi entropy. After \( (t/\delta t) > 2.2 \) the Renyi entropy increases monotonically and shows a thermalising behaviour for large values of \( (t/\delta t) \). Most of the late-time behaviour of the system shows the thermalisation trend in Renyi entropy. This region is shaded by blue colour. This behaviour is similar to that of V-N entropy for \( \lambda = 10^{-5} \) shown in FIG. 1.

In case of \( \lambda = 10^{-5} \) and \( \lambda = 10^{-6} \) we observe from the graph that there is a decrease in Renyi entropy upto \( (t/\delta t) < 2.6 \). Hence, the early-time behaviour as well as behaviour of the system around the Quench Point results in decreasing values of Renyi entropy, shaded as red and yellow respectively in the FIG. 2. This trend is in contrast to that of \( \lambda = 10^{-4} \). When we move further towards larger values of the dimensionless parameter \( (t/\delta t) \) we again see a thermalising behaviour for both the coupling constants. The late-time behaviour of the system is, mostly characterised by thermalisation of Renyi entropy. This is shown by blue region in FIG. 2. This behaviour is similar to that of V-N entropy for \( \lambda = 10^{-6}, 10^{-7} \) in FIG. 1. Another observation which we can make from this graph is that as we decrease the order of the coupling constant \( \lambda \) the Renyi entropy increases.

Hence, as we are decreasing the order of \( \lambda \) the plots of von Neumann entropy and that of Renyi entropy show a similar behaviour with respect to each other, given that the order of \( \lambda \) in the case of von Neumann entropy is one lower than that in Renyi entropy.

In the FIG. 3. we have plotted the Renyi entropies for two coupled scalar fields with \( \lambda \phi^4 \) interaction term with respect to the dimensionless parameter \( (t/\delta t) \) for different orders of Renyi entropy i.e. for different values of \( n \), set at \( \lambda = 10^{-4} \). The early time behaviour shows an increasing trend in the value of Renyi entropy for the chosen values of \( n = 2, 3, 4 \). The entropy then decreases covering the region around the quench. Most of the late time behaviour of the system shows thermalising nature of Renyi entropy. It is clear that this scaling behaviour is retained for large value of \( n = 100 \).

FIG. 4. shows parametric variation for different orders of Renyi entropy i.e. for different values of \( n \), set at \( \lambda = 10^{-5} \). The early time behaviour as well as the behaviour of system near the quench point, shows a decreasing trend in values of Renyi entropy. Most of the late-time behaviour is characterised again by thermalisation of Renyi entropy for chosen values of \( n = 2, 3, 4 \). Again, this scaling behaviour is retained for large value of \( n = 100 \).

VI. Conclusion

The concluding remarks of this work are appended below point-wise:

- Starting with a discretised Hamiltonian for a scalar field theory with \( \lambda \phi^4 \) interaction, we have derived analytical expressions of von Neumann entanglement entropy and Renyi entropy, focusing on a system of two coupled fields with \( \phi^4 \) interaction, undergoing a quantum quench. This system is evidently, a system of two coupled anharmonic oscillators having \( \lambda \phi^4 \) perturbation term.

- First we have computed the expression for eigenstates of unperturbed Hamiltonian using invariant operator method. Using this expression we have approximated the first order \( \lambda \phi^4 \) correction for the total Hamiltonian of the system. Since the Hamiltonian is time-dependent due to the chosen quench profile as the frequency of the oscillators, it is quite evident that the total Hamiltonian can be quantised by using solutions to the Ermakov-Milne-Pinney equation. The ground state of the total Hamiltonian of the system, having \( \phi^4 \) interaction term, is then used to derive analytical expressions for the respective entanglement measure.

- Next we have mentioned the reduced density matrix for the ground state of the above described system of coupled fields. This reduced density matrix, clearly represents non-Gaussian state due to presence of quartic interaction terms. We deal with this non-Gaussian terms by constructing a quartic tensor and computing the trace of \( n^{th} \) order of reduced density matrix.

- Finally, we employ the use of replica trick for computing von Neumann entanglement entropy. Further, Renyi entropy was computed using the standard formula, depending on the reduced density matrix. The analytical expression for these entanglement measures is time-dependent as all the coefficients in the respective expression depend on solutions of Ermakov-Milne-Pinney equation.
Using the numerically evaluated values of von Neumann entropy and Renyi entropy we studied the variation of these entanglement measures with respect to the dimensionless parameter \((t/\delta t)\) specifying three regions: early-time behaviour, the behaviour around the quench point and the late-time behaviour.

From these numerical results, we find that both von Neumann entropy and Renyi entropy delicately depend on the order of coupling constant \(\lambda\). Evidently there exists a respective threshold order of \(\lambda\) beyond which if increased, we don’t get positive values of both von Neumann and Renyi entanglement entropies, for chosen values of \((t/\delta t)\). For the respective threshold order of \(\lambda\) we observe same scaling behavior in both von Neumann entropy and Renyi entropy. This scaling behavior can be characterised by a trend of increasing values of the entanglement measure for early times while in the region around the quench point the behavior shows a decreasing trend in these values.

As the order of \(\lambda\) is decreased below the respective threshold order we get another scaling behaviour of both von Neumann and Renyi entropies. This scaling behaviour can be characterised by a trend of decreasing values of the respective entanglement measure for both early-times and around the quench point regions. Both scaling behaviors show thermalising behaviour of the respective entanglement measures at very late times.

It is quite clear from the plots that as we decrease the order of \(\lambda\) the value of both entanglement measures increases.

Also, for a given order of coupling constant \(\lambda\) von Neumann entropy thermalises at higher values compared to that of Renyi entropy.

Next we find that for a particular order of \(\lambda\) we obtain same scaling behaviour for different orders of the Renyi entropy. However, the value of Renyi entropy decreases as we increase the order of Renyi entropy. The particular scaling behaviour is retained even for the case of very high order of Renyi entropy.

Future Prospects:

- In the present article, we have analyzed the effects of quantum quench on the entanglement entropy for a system consisting of two coupled scalar fields with \(\phi^4\) interaction but this study of entanglement entropy and quantum quench can be extended towards the generalisation for \(N\)-coupled scalar fields.

- One of the latest developments in research in the field of high energy physics is, the study of circuit complexity [65–71]. There are some works which are focussed on relating the complexity with quantum entanglement [63, 72–75]. The study of the same might turn out to be intriguing in the case of interacting quenched field theories.

- Hence, it would be interesting to explore the connection between quantum circuit complexity and entanglement and check its consistency with the CA and CV [76–79] proposal.
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A. Computing Explicit Numerical values of $\sigma_i(T)$ and $\gamma_i(T)$

Using auxiliary conditions given by equation (12) we briefly show the steps to compute $\sigma_1$ and $\gamma_1$. We begin by rearranging Eq. (12) for one of the oscillators,

$$\sigma_1 + \omega_1^2(T)\sigma_1 = \frac{\Omega_1}{\sigma_1^2}. \tag{A1}$$

The above second order differential equation is often termed as, Ermakov-Milne-Pinney equation [24–26]. This equation can be solved numerically to obtain $\sigma_1(T)$. Since $T = (t/\delta t)$, the solution will clearly be function of both $t$ and $\delta t$. We assume that the form of solution of the above equation gives us a linear combination,

$$\sigma_1(t, \delta t) = c_1 z_1(t, \delta t) + c_2 z_2(t, \delta t). \tag{A2}$$

Here, $c_1$ and $c_2$ are numerical constants, while $z_1$ and $z_2$ are treated as two complex-valued solutions of Eq. (A1). We will consider only $z_1$ as one of the solutions. Using the form of quench profile Eq. (9), the computed value of one of the solutions is,

$$z_1(t, \delta t) = \left[ e^{\frac{\Omega_1}{\delta t}} \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}(i\delta t)} \left[ e^{\frac{\Omega_1}{\delta t}} + 1 \right]^\frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{1 - 4\delta t^2 + 1} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \left( -2i\delta t + \sqrt{1 - 4\delta t^2 + 1} \right) \cdot 1 - i\delta t; -e^{\frac{\Omega_1}{\delta t}} \right]. \tag{A3}$$

Here, $2F_1$ represents the hypergeometric function. Since $z_1$ is complex valued we can write, $z_1 = y_1 + iy_2$ such that $y_1$ and $y_2$ are now two real-linearly independent equations. We give an outline of steps shown in [80] for numerical solution of Eq. (A1) using these linearly independent equations. This solution is guaranteed to be of the form,

$$\sigma_1(t, \delta t) = \sqrt{Ay_1^2(t, \delta t)t + 2By_1(t, \delta t)y_2(t, \delta t) + Cy_2^2(t, \delta t)}. \tag{A4}$$

The next step is to determine the constants in equation (A4). These are fixed by setting the condition $AC - B^2 = \Omega_i^2$. These steps give us the explicit value of $\sigma_1(t, \delta t)$. One can repeat these steps by inserting the respective parameters (of second oscillator) to find $\sigma_2(t, \delta t)$.

Since, $\Omega_i = \sigma_i^2 \gamma_i$, the explicit value of $\gamma_i$ is computed by using value of Eq. (A4),

$$\gamma_i(t, \delta t) = \int_0^t \frac{\Omega_i}{\sigma_j^2(t, \delta t)}dt \tag{A5}$$

Inserting the values of $\sigma_i(t, \delta t)$ and $\gamma_i(t, \delta t)$ it is clear that the wavefunction, in Eq. (23) becomes a function of both $t$ and $\delta t$. Note that we conceal this functional dependence in all the sections until the entropy is numerically evaluated in section V.

B. An outline of Invariant operator representation

In section III we defined $I_j$ as an operator in Eq. (14). We outline in this appendix a few important steps for constructing this operator and the way to find eigenstates of this operator. Note that the subscript $j = 1, 2$ represent the parameters described for the oscillators having spatial coordinates: $X_1$ and $X_2$ respectively.

The operator $I_j$ is constructed such that it satisfies [18],

$$\frac{\partial I_j}{\partial T} + \frac{1}{i}[I_j, H_j], \tag{B1}$$

where $H_j$ for $j = 1, 2$ represents the respective decoupled Hamiltonian for each oscillator (7). One can show that the operator given in Eq. (14) satisfies the above condition.

It is assumed that the invariant $I_j$ is one of a complete set of commuting observables for respective $H_j$. This guarantees that there is a complete set of eigenstates for each $I_j$ defined in Eq. (14). We refer to $u_{0_j}$ for $j = 1, 2$ as the ground state for the spectrum of the respective invariant operator. These ground states of the respective invariant operators can be determined using the condition, $a_j u_{0_j} = 0$ where $a_j$ is the respective annihilation operator Eq. (13). When evaluated, the expression for ground state of the invariant operator $I_j$ is given by,

$$u_{0_j} = \left( \frac{\tilde{\gamma}_j}{\pi} \right)^{1/4} \exp \left[ -\frac{\tilde{\gamma}_j}{2} (-i\alpha_j \sigma_j \gamma_j) X_j^2 \right]. \tag{B2}$$
Using the ground states and the respective creation operators $a_j^\dagger$ one can then show that, the $n^{th}$ eigenstate of the invariant-operator $I_j$ is given by,

$$u_{nj} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} (a_j^\dagger)^n u_j = \left( \frac{1}{2^{n_j} n_j!} \right)^{1/4} \exp \left[ \gamma_j \left( 1 - \frac{i \sigma_j}{\sigma_j \gamma_j} \right) X_j^2 \right] H_{nj} \left[ \sqrt{\gamma_j} X_j \right]. \quad (B3)$$

Here, $j = 1, 2$ and $H_{nj}$ represents the Hermite polynomial of order $n_j$. Using the eigenstates of invariant operator (B3), one can compute the wavefunctions of the decoupled Hamiltonians [64]. It can be shown that the computed wavefunctions take the form: $\psi_{n_j} = e^{i \alpha_{n_j} u_{nj}}$, as solutions to Schrodinger’s equations for respective $H_j$, where $\alpha_{n_j} = -(1/2 + n_j)$; for $j = 1, 2$. The eigenstates for unperturbed Hamiltonian for the coupled oscillator system can further be computed as $\psi_{n_1 n_2}^{(0)} = \psi_{n_1} \times \psi_{n_2}$.

Using equation (B3) one can then show that,

$$\psi_{n_1 n_2}^{(0)} = \frac{\gamma_1^2 \gamma_2^2}{2^{n_1+n_2} n_1! n_2! \pi} \exp \left[ -i \frac{(2n_1 + 1) \gamma_1 + (2n_2 + 1) \gamma_2}{2} \right] \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \gamma_1 \left( -\frac{i \sigma_1}{\gamma_1 \sigma_1} \right) X_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_2 \left( -\frac{i \sigma_2}{\gamma_2 \sigma_2} \right) X_2^2 \right] \times \frac{H_{n_1} \left[ \sqrt{\gamma_1} X_1 \right]}{\sqrt{\gamma_1} X_1} \frac{H_{n_2} \left[ \sqrt{\gamma_2} X_2 \right]}{\sqrt{\gamma_2} X_2}. \quad (B4)$$

This equation (B4) represents the eigenstates for the unperturbed Hamiltonian of two coupled oscillators having a quenched frequency profile. In III B we compute the first order time-independent correction to the ground state of above equation.

\section{C. Computing Integrals in $tr(\rho^n)$}

In the integral of equation (32) we have separated both Gaussian and non-Gaussian parts. In this appendix we give detailed outline of solving both Gaussian and non-Gaussian contributions and finally combine them to compute the integral in (32).

The Gaussian part of the integrand can be parameterised by considering a quadratic coefficient matrix similar to the case in [35]. Using the values of $\beta_i$ for $i = 1$ to $i = 7$ defined in section IV B, this coefficient matrix is defined as:

$$M_{ij} = -2(\beta_i + \lambda \beta_2) \delta_{ij} - (\beta_3 + \lambda \beta_4) (\delta_{i+1}^j + \delta_{j}^{i+1}). \quad (C1)$$

We further modify the above defined matrix by introducing two new symbols $\mu$ and $\xi$. We choose these variables so that they satisfy,

$$\xi(1 + \mu^2) = -(\beta_1 + \lambda \beta_2) \quad 2\xi \mu = \beta_3 + \lambda \beta_4 . \quad (C2)$$

We consider the following explicit solution of these equations, approximated to first order in $\lambda$:

$$\mu = C_1 + \lambda C_2$$
$$\xi = C_3 + \lambda C_4 . \quad (C3)$$

The values of newly defined coefficients $C_1, C_2...C_4$ are tabulated in appendix D. Using matrix $M_{ij}$ defined in Eq. (C1), one can recover the Gaussian part of Eq. (32) as shown below,

$$\exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} x^i M_{ij} x_j \right] = \exp \left[ (\beta_1 + \lambda \beta_2) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 + (\beta_3 + \lambda \beta_4) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_{i+1} \right]. \quad (C4)$$

Moving on to the non-Gaussian part in Eq. (32), we further define a quartic tensor as:

$$T_{ijkl} = \lambda \left[ \beta_5 \delta_{ij} \delta_{jk} \delta_{kl} + (\alpha_7 \delta_k^{i-1} + \alpha_6 \delta_k^{i+1}) \delta_{ij} \delta_{jk} + \beta_6 \delta_{ij} \delta_{j+1}^{k} \delta_{k}^{i+1} \delta_{kl} \right]. \quad (C5)$$

Using Eq. (C5), one can recover the remaining part of Eq. (32) as shown below,

$$\exp \left[ x^i x^j x^k x^l T_{ijkl} \right] = \exp \left\{ \lambda \left[ \beta_5 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^4 + (\alpha_6 x_i^3 + \alpha_7 x_i^2) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \beta_6 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \right] \right\}. \quad (C6)$$
Using the expression shown in Eq. (C4) and the non-Gaussian contribution from Eq. (C6), one can parametrise the integrand in Eq. (32), as shown below:

\[
tr(\rho^n) = N^n \left( \frac{g_1 g_2}{\pi} \right)^n \left( \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{A}} \right)^n e^{n(2\Lambda A_1 + \lambda \alpha_0)} \int d^n x \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} x^i M_{ij} x_j \right] \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p!} (x^i x^j x^k x^l T_{ijkl})^p.
\] 

(C7)

Further, we define a Gaussian partition function, \( Z_0 \), given by,

\[
Z_0 = \int d^n x \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} x^i M_{ij} x_j \right] = \sqrt{\frac{(2\pi)^n}{\det M}} = \left( \frac{\pi}{|1 - \mu^n|} \right)^n,
\]

(C8)

where \( \det M \) denotes the determinant of matrix \( M_{ij} \) of Eq. (C1). Using the above partition function the summed over tensor in Eq. (C7) can be transformed to a correlator as shown below retaining the form of perturbative expansion,

\[
tr(\rho^n) = N^n \left( \frac{g_1 g_2}{\pi} \right)^n \left( \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{A}} \right)^n e^{n(2\Lambda A_1 + \lambda \alpha_0)} Z_0 \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p!} \left( \langle x^{i_1} x^{j_1} x^{k_1} x^{l_1} \cdots x^{i_p} x^{j_p} x^{k_p} x^{l_p} \rangle \right) T_{i_1 j_1 k_1 l_1 \cdots T_{i_p j_p k_p l_p}}.
\]

(C9)

We simplify the above expression in Eq. (C9) using a generating functional \( J \), as shown below,

\[
Z(J) = \frac{1}{Z_0} \int d^n x \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} x^i M_{ij} x_j + J_i x_i \right] = \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} J_i M^{-1}_{ij} J_j \right].
\]

(C10)

As shown in [35], correlator of Eq. (C9) computed using the above Eq. (C10) then becomes,

\[
\langle x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_{2m}} \rangle = \frac{\delta^{2m}}{\delta J_{i_1} \cdots \delta J_{i_{2m}}} Z(J) \bigg|_{J=0} = \frac{1}{2^m m!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_2} (M^{-1})_{i_\sigma(1)j_\sigma(2)} \cdots (M^{-1})_{i_\sigma(2m-1)j_\sigma(2m)}.
\]

(C11)

Here \( G \) is the quotient group which can be defined to reduce the sum significantly. Note that for a \( 4m \) point correlator function the chosen quotient group gives rise to three different permutations. A more detailed discussion about finding the quotient group \( G \) can be found in [35].

Using the value of quartic tensor from Eq. (C4) and the correlator from Eq. (C11), one can simplify Eq. (C9) as,

\[
tr(\rho^n) = N^n Z_0 \left( \frac{g_1 g_2}{\pi} \right)^n \left( \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{A}} \right)^n e^{n(2\Lambda A_1 + \lambda \alpha_0)} \left\{ 1 + n\lambda \left[ (3\beta_5 + \beta_6)(M_{11}^{-1})^2 + 3\beta_7 M_{12}^{-1} M_{12}^{-1} + 2\beta_0 (M_{12}^{-1})^2 \right] \right\}.
\]

(C12)

One can check that the matrix inverses are [35],

\[
M_{11}^{-1} = \frac{(\mu^{2n} - 1)}{2(1 - \mu^n)^2 \xi (\mu^2 - 1)}; \quad M_{12}^{-1} = \frac{(\mu^n + \mu^2)}{2\mu \xi (\mu^2 - 1)(\mu^n - 1)}.
\]

(C13)

D. Tabulated Values of Coefficients

In this appendix, the values of various coefficients we have used in some steps to compute the analytical expression of entanglement measures, are tabulated in respective tables.

- We begin by listing the values of \( A_i \) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots 6 \) in equation Eq. (23) of section III B in the table given below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( A_i )</th>
<th>Coefficient of ( A_i )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| \( A_1 \) | \( \frac{3}{16} \left( \frac{8\sigma^2_1\sigma^2_2}{\sigma^2_1 \sigma^2_2 + \sigma^2_1 \sigma^2_1 \sigma^2_1 \sigma^2_1 + \sigma^2_2 \sigma^2_2} \right) + \frac{3\sigma^4_1 + 4\sigma^4_2}{\sigma^2_1 \sigma^2_1 \sigma^2_1 \sigma^2_1 + \sigma^2_2 \sigma^2_2} + \frac{3\sigma^4_1 + 4\sigma^4_2}{\sigma^2_1 \sigma^2_2 \sigma^2_2 \sigma^2_2} \)
| \( A_2 \) | \( \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{4\sigma^4_1}{\sigma^2_1 \sigma^2_2 \sigma^2_1 \sigma^2_1 + \sigma^2_2 \sigma^2_2} - \frac{\sigma^2_1 + 2\sigma^2_2}{\sigma^2_1 \sigma^2_1 \sigma^2_1 + \sigma^2_2 \sigma^2_2} \right) \) |
Next, we tabulate the values of coefficients $\alpha_i$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., 8$ in equation Eq. (28) of section IV.A.

$$\begin{align*}
&\alpha_0 = \frac{(A_2 + A_4)}{2A} + \frac{3(A_4 + A_5 + A_6)}{8A^2} \\
&\alpha_1 = \frac{(A_2 + A_4)}{2} + \frac{(4A_1 + 3A_1 - A_2)}{4A} + \frac{(A_2 - A_2)(Q - P)}{2A} + \frac{(A_2 + A_2)(Q - P)^2}{4A^2} + \frac{3(A_1 - A_1)(Q - P)}{4A^2} + \frac{3(A_4 + A_5 + A_6)}{8A^2}(Q - P)^2 \\
&\alpha_2 = \frac{(A_2 + A_2)}{2} + \frac{(4A_1 + 3A_1 - A_2)}{4A} + \frac{(A_2 - A_2)(Q - P)^*}{2A} + \frac{(A_2 + A_2)(Q - P)^*^2}{4A^2} + \frac{3(A_1 - A_1)(Q - P)^*}{4A^2} + \frac{3(A_4 + A_5 + A_6)}{8A^2}(Q - P)^*^2 \\
&\alpha_3 = \frac{(A_2 - A_2)}{2A} + \frac{(4A_1 + 3A_1 - A_2)}{4A} + \frac{(A_2 - A_2)(Q - P - P^*)}{2A} + \frac{(A_2 + A_2)(Q - P - P^*)^2}{4A^2} + \frac{3(A_1 - A_1)(Q - P - P^*)}{4A^2} + \frac{3(A_4 + A_5 + A_6)}{8A^2}(Q - P - P^*) \\
&\alpha_4 = \frac{(A_2 + A_4)}{4} + \frac{(A_2 - A_2)}{4A} + \frac{(4A_1 + 3A_1 - A_2)}{8A^2} + \frac{(A_2 - A_2)(Q - P)^*}{8A^2} + \frac{(A_2 + A_2)(Q - P)^*^2}{8A^2} + \frac{3(A_4 + A_5 + A_6)(Q - P)^*^4}{32A^4} \\
&\alpha_5 = \frac{(A_2 + A_4)}{4} + \frac{(A_2 - A_2)}{4A} + \frac{(4A_1 + 3A_1 - A_2)}{8A^2} + \frac{(A_2 - A_2)(Q - P)^*}{8A^2} + \frac{(A_2 + A_2)(Q - P)^*^2}{8A^2} + \frac{3(A_4 + A_5 + A_6)(Q - P)^*^4}{32A^4} \\
&\alpha_6 = \frac{(A_1 - A_1)(Q - P)}{2A} + \frac{(4A_1 + 3A_1 - A_2)(Q - P)(Q - P^*)}{4A^2} + \frac{(A_1 + A_1)(Q - P)^3(Q - P^*)^2}{8A^2} + \frac{(A_4 + A_5 + A_6)(Q - P)^3(Q - P^*)^2}{8A^2} \\
&\alpha_7 = \frac{(A_1 - A_1)(Q - P)}{2A} + \frac{(4A_1 + 3A_1 - A_2)(Q - P)(Q - P^*)}{4A^2} + \frac{(A_1 + A_1)(Q - P)^2(Q - P^*)^2}{8A^2} + \frac{(A_4 + A_5 + A_6)(Q - P)^2(Q - P^*)^2}{8A^2} \\
&\alpha_8 = \frac{(4A_1 + 3A_1 - A_2)(Q - P)^2(Q - P)^*^2}{8A^2} + \frac{(A_1 + A_1)(Q - P)^2(Q - P)^*^2}{8A^2} + \frac{(A_4 + A_5 + A_6)(Q - P)^2(Q - P)^*^2}{8A^2} \\
\end{align*}$$

The values of $\beta_i$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., 7$ in Eq. (32) of section IV.B are tabulated in the below given table.
- The last table, given below contains values of $C_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ in Eq. (C3) of section C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\beta_i$</th>
<th>Value of $C_i$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2}$</td>
<td>$-A + \frac{(g_2^2-g_1^2)^2-(dg_2^2-fg_2^2)^2}{8A}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_2$</td>
<td>$A_2 + A_3 + \frac{3A_4 + 3A_5 - 4A_6}{2A} + \left(\frac{A_4 - A_6}{2A}\right)(g_2^2 - g_1^2) + \frac{3A_4 + 3A_5 - 4A_6}{4A}((g_2^2 - g_1^2)^2 - (dg_2^2 - fg_2^2)^2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_3$</td>
<td>$\frac{(g_2^2 - g_1^2)^2 + (dg_2^2 - fg_2^2)^2}{8A}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_4$</td>
<td>$\left[\frac{A_4 - A_6}{2A} + \frac{3(A_4 - A_6)}{4A}\right][g_2^2 - g_1^2] + \left[\frac{A_2 + A_3}{8A} + \frac{3A_4 + 3A_5 - 4A_6}{16A}\right][(g_2^2 - g_1^2)^2 + (dg_2^2 - fg_2^2)^2]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_5$</td>
<td>$\frac{A_4 + 3A_5 - 4A_6}{2A} + \frac{(A_4 - A_6)(g_2^2 - g_1^2)}{4A} + \frac{3A_4 + 3A_5 - 4A_6}{16A}[(g_2^2 - g_1^2)^2 - (dg_2^2 - fg_2^2)^2] + \frac{A_2 + A_3 + A_4}{512A^4}[2(g_2^2 - g_1^2)^4 + 2(dg_2^2 - fg_2^2)^4 - 12(g_2^2 - g_1^2)^2(dg_2^2 - fg_2^2)^2]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_6$</td>
<td>$\left(\frac{3A_4 + 3A_5 - 4A_6}{16A}\right)[(g_2^2 - g_1^2)^2 - (dg_2^2 - fg_2^2)^2] + \left(\frac{3(A_4 - A_6)}{32A}\right)[(g_2^2 - g_1^2)^3 + (g_2^2 - g_1^2)(dg_2^2 - fg_2^2)^2] + \left(\frac{6(A_4 + 3A_5 - 4A_6)}{512A^4}\right)[(g_2^2 - g_1^2)^4 + (dg_2^2 - fg_2^2)^4 + 2(g_2^2 - g_1^2)^2(dg_2^2 - fg_2^2)^2]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_7$</td>
<td>$\frac{(A_4 - A_6)(g_2^2 - g_1^2)}{2A} + \frac{3A_4 + 3A_5 - 4A_6}{8A}[(g_2^2 - g_1^2)^2 + (dg_2^2 - fg_2^2)^2] + \left(\frac{4A_4 - 10A_5 + 6A_6}{24A^3}\right)[(g_2^2 - g_1^2)^3 + \left(\frac{4A_4 + 3A_5 - 4A_6}{64A^3}\right)[(g_2^2 - g_1^2)^4 - (dg_2^2 - fg_2^2)^4]$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


