A generalized Hopfield model to store and retrieve mismatched memory patterns
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We introduce a class of Hopfield models where the memories are represented by a mixture of Gaussian and bimodal variables and the neurons are Ising spins. We study the properties of this family of models as the relative weight of the two kinds of variables in the patterns varies. Spinodal and first order recovery transition lines in the phase diagram are squeezed towards zero storage capacity as the memory patterns contain a larger fraction of continuous variables. As the memory is purely Gaussian retrieval is lost for any non zero storage capacity. It is shown that this comes about because of the spherical symmetry of the free energy in the Gaussian case. Introducing two different memory pattern overlaps between spin configurations and each contribution to the pattern from the two kinds of variables one can observe that the Gaussian parts of the patterns act as a noise, making retrieval more difficult. The basins of attraction of the states, the accuracy of the retrieval and the storage capacity are studied by means of Monte Carlo numerical simulations.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Hopfield model [12] is a model of associative memory and it has been used as a prototype of artificial neural networks that, because of the increased computational power, have recently been widely exploited as the most powerful and flexible tools of machine learning [5,21]. It is, then, of great importance to understand the mechanisms underlying models of neural networks. One of such mechanisms, that requires a deeper understanding, is the ability of a neural network model to learn mismatched patterns, that is patterns made of variables of a different nature than those of the model. For examples, the classical Hopfield model is defined in terms of discrete variables (Ising spins), but the performance of the model when the memory to recover has a different kind of variables is not yet clear. This is the well known mismatching problem [4,6,20] that is relevant in realistic applications [16].

Technically speaking, the Hopfield model describes the activity of a network of \( N \) neurons when \( P \) memories are stored in the neural network. The neurons are typified by means of Ising spins, \( s_i \), taking value 1 if the neuron is active, \(-1\) if the neuron is passive. The spins interact through the interaction matrix elements \( J_{ij} \) that represent the synaptic efficacies between neurons \( i \) and \( j \). Their values are random, symmetric and quenched with respect to the dynamics of the neuronal activity but not independent. The Hamiltonian of such a system is given by:

\[
H = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j}^{N} J_{ij} s_i s_j , \tag{1}
\]

In order to describe an associative memory, the \( J_{ij} \) are built in terms of the \( P \) stored memory patterns \( \xi_i^{(\mu)} = \pm 1 \) following the Hebb’s learning rule [9,17,18]

\[
J_{ij} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mu=1}^{P} \xi_i^{(\mu)} \xi_j^{(\mu)} . \tag{2}
\]

The number of memorized patterns \( \xi_i^{(\mu)} \) is usually written as \( P = \alpha N \), where a storage capacity \( \alpha = 0 \) means that \( P \) does not scale with the size \( N \) of the neural network, whereas for \( \alpha > 0 \) the amount of memories that can be retrieved from the neural network grows linearly with the number of neurons. The patterns are memorized in the sense that, in the noiseless situation, the optimal neuronal configurations, i.e. the minima of Eq. (1), satisfy \( s_i = \xi_i^{(\mu)} \) and the pattern \( \xi_i^{(\mu)} \) is recovered.

Such a model has a phase diagram in the temperature \( T \) vs. \( \alpha \) plane, displaying a high temperature paramagnetic phase (PM), a low temperature, high capacity spin glass phase (SG) and a low temperature, low capacity memory recovery phase (MR). The PM-SG transition is a second order one, whereas the transition between SG and MR is a first order one, with a spinodal line signaling the appearance of the retrieval states, i.e., the states of the memorized patterns. In the MR phase the model is able to serve as an associative memory model.

This picture changes if we consider the memories as made of continuous, Gaussian distributed, variables

\[
p(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\xi^2/2} . \tag{3}
\]

In this case, first studied in Ref. [1], the model presents retrieval only when \( \alpha = 0 \), i.e., the number of memorized patterns stays of \( O(1) \). As the number of memories grows like \( N \), retrieval is lost (in the thermodynamic limit). This lack of retrieval might be explained by the spherical symmetry in the free energy that the continuous memory variables introduce. As soon as the number of patterns is allowed to be extensive, the system does not know how to distinguish the Gaussian memories.

In order to achieve a broader understanding of the situation
where patterns and variables are partially mismatched we introduce a new family of Hopfield models. We call this family the mixed Hopfield model (MHM), where each memory has some bimodal ($\pm 1$) variables and some Gaussian variables, and we study what happens to retrieval as the relative number of the different kinds of variables in a memorized pattern changes. Taking a continuous memory variables fraction $p \leq 1$, each pattern $\xi^{(v)}$ has $(1-p)N$ variable drawn from a bimodal distribution and $pN$ real continuous variables, drawn from distribution $\mathcal{N}$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II A we compute the free energy of the MHM using the replica method [14] and we apply the replica symmetric Ansatz to derive the saddle point equations for the three parameters of the theory: the Edward-Anderson parameter $q$, the overlap $m^{(v)}$ of a configuration with the retrieved patterns and the noise $r$ of the so-called high patterns.

Then, in Sec. II B we find the spinodal and the first order transition lines at $T > 0$ and $\alpha \geq 0$ and we observe that as we approach the purely Gaussian model ($p \rightarrow 1$), these lines get squeezed towards the vertical line $\alpha = 0$, proving that the region of the phase diagram in which retrieval is possible shrinks continuously as we add Gaussian variables to the memories. Instead, the critical point at $\alpha = 0$ does not move because the second order PM-SG transition line does not depend on $p$ and it is always $T_g = 1 + \sqrt{\frac{g}{p}}$.

In Sec. II C we consider a number of memories of $O(1)$ and we see a second order phase transition between the paramagnetic and the retrieval phase with critical temperature $T_g = 1$ (as in the original, purely discrete, model). We also prove that the overlap of a configuration with a retrieved pattern linearly decreases with the fraction $p$ of continuous $\xi$, but without ever losing retrieval.

In Sec. II D we study the model at $\alpha > 0$ near saturation. We work out the zero temperature limit of the saddle point equations and we find that the spinodal points $\alpha_c(p)$ decrease quadratically in $1-p$.

In Sec. II E we work out a replica symmetric theory of the mixed model with two apart order parameters for the Gaussian and bimodal variables, separately, in order to understand what is their distinct contributions to the total overlap. We see that, at zero temperature, the Gaussian and the bimodal overlaps both maintain their highest possible values until they rapidly drop to zero at the spinodal point. Furthermore, while the bimodal variables feel a local field consisting of a ferromagnetic-like signal and a spin-glass noise, the Gaussian variables only feel a spin-glass noise generated by both the non-retrieved patterns (as in the original model) and by the continuous contribution to the retrieved patterns.

Finally, in Sec. III we perform a numerical analysis using a Metropolis algorithm at zero temperature. We study the basin of attraction of the retrieval states and we calculate the precision with which such retrieval is achieved in the region of the phase diagram below the analytical spinodal point. At last, following the work of [22] and [23], we numerically compute $\alpha_c(p)$ and verify that it behaves as $(1-p)^2$ as predicted by the theory.

II. THE MIXED HOPFIELD MODEL AT EQUILIBRIUM

A. Free energy of the mixed Hopfield model

We want to study the fully connected mixed Hopfield model, i.e., a model of $N$ bimodal neurons storing $P$ patterns in memory. Each learnt pattern $\xi^{(v)}$ is made up of $pN$, $p < 1$, random, independent Gaussian variables and $(1-p)N$ random, independent bimodal variables. The Hamiltonian of such a system can be written as Eqs. (12).

Since we are interested in the properties of the model near saturation, we allow the number $P$ of patterns to diverge in the thermodynamic limit: their number is $P = \alpha N$ where $\alpha$ is a finite number. We also suppose the existence of a finite number $s$ of matched patterns $\xi^{(v)}$, with $v = 1, \ldots, s$, and we add the contribution of conjugate fields to these patterns in the Hamiltonian:

$$H_h = - \sum_{i=1}^{s} h^{(v)}_i \xi^{(v)}_i$$

In order to calculate the average free energy per spin, $f$, we use the replica method [20]:

$$f = \lim_{n \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\beta n N} \left( \frac{1}{\beta} \ln Z - 1 \right)$$

where $n$ is the number of replicas, $Z$ is the average over the random patterns and $Z$ is the partition function. The details of the computation are reported in Appendix A. Here, we give the result of the free energy per spin in the replica symmetric theory at inverse temperature $\beta$

$$\beta f = \frac{\beta \alpha}{2} + \frac{\beta}{2} s \sum_{v} (m^{(v)})^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \ln(1 - \beta + \beta q)$$

$$- \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{v} (m^{(v)})^2 - \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{v} (h^{(v)})^2$$

$$- \alpha \beta \sum_{v} \left( \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{\sqrt{\beta} + \beta \sum_{v} (m^{(v)})^2}} \right)$$

$$+ (1 - p) \ln Z - \sum_{v} \left( \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{\sqrt{\beta} + \beta \sum_{v} (m^{(v)})^2}} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{v} (h^{(v)})^2$$

$$+ \ln Z - \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{v} (h^{(v)})^2$$

$$+ \ln Z - \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{v} (h^{(v)})^2$$

$$+ \ln Z - \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{v} (h^{(v)})^2$$

$$+ \ln Z - \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{v} (h^{(v)})^2$$

$$+ \ln Z - \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{v} (h^{(v)})^2$$

The free energy is written in terms of the order parameters of the theory: the overlap $m^{(v)}$ of a configuration with one matched memory $v$, the overlap $q$ between two configurations and the spin glass noise $r$. That is a function of $q$ and it is caused by the presence of an infinite number of non-matched patterns. Considering, without loss of generality, one matched pattern $\xi^{(1)} = \xi$, with $m^{(1)} = m$, and sending the external field to zero, we can obtain the saddle point equations for this model.
\[
m = p m \beta \int Dz \sech^2 \left( z \beta \sqrt{\alpha r + m^2} \right) + (1 - p) \int Dz E_B[\xi \tanh(\beta z \sqrt{\alpha r + m^2})].
\]

(7)

\[
q = p \int Dz \tanh^2 \left( z \beta \sqrt{\alpha r + m^2} \right) + (1 - p) \int Dz E_B \left[ \tanh^2 \left( z \beta \sqrt{\alpha r + \beta \xi m} \right) \right]
\]

(8)

\[
r = \frac{q}{(1 - \beta(1 - q))^2}.
\]

(9)

We stress that if \( p = 1 \) (purely Gaussian patterns), assuming \( m \neq 0 \) in Eq. (7), yields \( 1 = \beta(1 - q) \) which causes Eq. (9) to be ill defined. A well defined theory is recovered only if we had considered \( \alpha = 0 \) in the beginning, that is, \( P \) finite, not scaling with \( N \). Indeed, in this case there is no need to introduce the variable \( r \) which, in fact, represents the random overlaps with the mismatched patterns, acting as an overall Gaussian noise. A description of the Gaussian Hopfield model at \( \alpha = 0 \) can be found in [11].

B. The mixed model near saturation at finite temperature

The phase diagram of the mixed model is very similar to the standard one, but with a few differences. Just like in the standard model [2] we have:

- the paramagnetic (PM) phase, in which \( m = q = 0 \). In this phase, the noise given by the temperature is too high for the neurons to have any collective behavior;
- the pure spin glass (SG) phase, with \( q \neq 0 \) and \( m = 0 \). Here the combined effect of temperature and high number of patterns does not allow the system to serve as an associative memory with retrieval;
- the metastable retrieval phase. Here a spin glass phase and a ferromagnetic, or “memory recovery” (MR), phase, \( m \neq 0 \) but \( q = 0 \), coexist, but the retrieval states are metastable;
- the pure retrieval phase. Undergoing a first order phase transition the MR phase becomes the stable phase.

1. Second order PM-SG transition

The transition between the paramagnetic and the spin glass phase is of second order and the transition temperature can, thus, be computed using Eqs. (8)-(9) with \( m = 0 \) and expanding in powers of \( r \) and \( q \):

\[
r \approx \frac{q}{(1 - \beta^2)^2},
\]

(10)

\[
q = \beta^2 \alpha r.
\]

(11)

The transition temperature

\[
T_g = 1 + \sqrt{\alpha}
\]

is the one below which a \( q \neq 0 \) solution continuously arises. This is the same as in the standard Hopfield model [2] since the saddle point equations with \( m = 0 \) do not depend on the fraction \( p \) of Gaussian variables in the memory pattern. This result has been found in [4] in the context of restricted Boltzmann machines [10][22].

2. Spinodal curves

Next we want to draw the spinodal line that signals the appearance of the retrieval state as a metastable state. In order to do this we proceed as in the previous section. To simplify the notation, we introduce the following definitions:

\[
I_k(m, q) \equiv \int Dz \tanh^k \left( \beta m + \beta \sqrt{\alpha r} \right)
\]

(12)

\[
J_k(m, q) \equiv \int Dz \tanh^k \left( \beta \sqrt{m^2 + \alpha r} \right)
\]

(13)

in such a way that Eqs. (7)-(8) can be rewritten as:

\[
m = (1 - p) I_1(m, q) + p m \beta (1 - J_2(m, q))
\]

(14)

\[
q = (1 - p) J_2(m, q) + p J_3(m, q)
\]

(15)

In the following we will omit the arguments of the integrals \( I_k \) and \( J_k \), because they will always be the same as in the previous equations. With the procedure reported in Appendix [3] we numerically compute the retrieval spinodal lines \( \alpha_c(p, T) \) plotted in Fig. [4].

In Fig. [2] we plot \( \alpha_c(p, T) / \alpha_c(0, T) \) as a function of \( (1 - p)^2 \). It is clear how, as temperature approaches zero, the curves tend to linearize getting closer to the bisector. This will be found as an exact result in Sec. [11][12], where we will consider the zero temperature limit.

From these curves we can draw the spinodal lines by means of a fit with linear and quadratic terms. The result of this procedure, outlined in Appendix [3] is depicted in Fig. [1]. It is clear that the more \( p \) grows, the greater is the portion of the phase diagram where retrieval is not allowed. Also, as \( p \) approaches 1, the spinodal lines get closer and closer to the vertical line \( \alpha = 0 \); this accounts for the fact that in the purely Gaussian case, retrieval states do not exist for any number of patterns scaling with the number of neurons of the network.

3. First order transition curves

As temperature decreases a first order phase transition occurs in which the metastable retrieval states become thermo-
FIG. 1. Spinodal lines of the mixed model for various values of $p$. From right to left, the values of $p$ are $p = 0$ (red), $p = 0.3$ (green), $p = 0.5$ (blue), $p = 0.7$ (purple).

FIG. 2. Behavior of the saturation points $\alpha_c(p)/\alpha_c(0)$ as a function of $(1 - p)^2$ for different values of the temperature: from bottom to top, $T = 0.955$ (black), $T = 0.832$ (orange), $T = 0.7$ (gray), $T = 0.414$ (red) and $T = 0$ (pink). The latter is a straight line.

dynamically dominant. In order to find the transition line on the phase diagram, we follow a method similar to the one used for the computation of the spinodal, that we report in Appendix B, where we equal the free energies in the two phases.

The results are shown in Figs. 3 and 4. In the latter we plot $\alpha_t(p, T)/\alpha_t(0, 0)$ as a function of $(1 - p)^2$ for various values of the temperature. Here we call $\alpha_t(p, T)$ the critical value of $\alpha$ for each value of $p$ and $T$.

The behavior is very similar to the case of the spinodals: $\alpha_t(p, 0)/\alpha_t(0, 0)$ tends to behave exactly as $(1 - p)^2$ as the temperature reaches zero. As we report in Appendix C, we can draw the transition lines that are showed in Fig. 3. It is worth noticing that, again, the lines in the phase diagram are squeezed in the limit $\alpha = 0$ as we approach the purely Gaussian model. The results achieved in this sections are in agreement with [4], where the phase diagram of restricted Boltzmann machines with generic priors in the replica symmetric theory has been found.

C. Mixed model at $\alpha = 0$ and the Mattis states

The case $\alpha = 0$ is the one in which there is only a finite number of memorized patterns $P$. Here we take into account the MR phase made of so-called Mattis states [19], i.e., the states having non vanishing overlap with only one of the patterns. At $\alpha = 0$ there is only one independent saddle point equation (because $q = m^2$):

$$m = p m \beta \int Dz \ sech^2 (z \beta m) + (1 - p) \tanh(\beta m).$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

As the transition from the paramagnetic phase to the retrieval phase at $\alpha = 0$ is a second order one, we can expand
Eq. (16) for small values of $m$ obtaining:

$$m = \beta m - \frac{1}{3} m^3 \beta (1 + 2p) + O(m^4).$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

For $\beta < 1$ there is only the paramagnetic solution, $m = 0$, while for $\beta > 1$ the Mattis states appear and the transition temperature $T = 1$ is independent on the value of $p$.

It is useful to recover the expressions at zero temperature, using the following limit:

$$\lim_{\beta \to \infty} \beta \left( 1 - \tanh^2 (\beta x) \right) = 2 \delta(x)$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

By calling $m_0 = \lim_{\beta \to \infty} m$, we can take the zero temperature limit of Eq. (16), obtaining

$$m_0 = \pm \left[ 1 - p \left( 1 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \right) \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

In particular, in the purely Gaussian pattern model \[1\], it is

$$|m_0| = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}.$$  \hspace{1cm}

As it could be expected, the overlap diminishes as $p$ grows. At zero temperature the decrease is linear. For small values of $T$ a self-consistency expression of $m$ can be computed as

$$m = 1 - 2e^{-2m\beta} + p \left[ 1 + 2e^{-2m\beta} - \sqrt{2} + 4m\beta e^{2m\beta}\text{Erfc} \left( \sqrt{2m\beta} \right) \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

where

$$\text{Erfc}(x) = 1 - \text{Erf}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_x^\infty dz e^{-z^2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

The solution $m(p, T)$ the above equations is displayed in Figs. 5 and 6. In Fig. 5 we look at the overlap $m$ as a function of $p$ for different values of the temperature. It is clear that the zero temperature limit is recovered (linear behavior) and that $m$ gets smaller as $p$ grows, but the behavior slowly loses its linearity as temperature approaches $T = 1$. The behavior of $m$ as a function of $T$ can be seen in Fig. 6, where it can be noticed that as $p$ increases, the values of $m$ at each temperature decrease, i.e., the overlap with the memory gets smaller as soon as we add more and more Gaussian spins to the pattern, but we can still have the retrieval phase for every value of $p$. We also see that the dependence on $p$ gets stronger at smaller temperatures, while it gets weaker as we approach the critical point.

D. The mixed model near saturation at $T = 0$

At this point it can be of interest to find what is the storage capacity of the network, i.e., how many patterns can be memorized in order to maintain retrieval possible. To this end we allow $\alpha > 0$ and study the general saddle point equations (7), (8) and (9).

Here, we take into account the zero temperature case. To this end we rewrite (7) and (8) for $T = 0$. In the case of (9) it is useful to define the local susceptibility $\chi \equiv \beta (1 - q)$. Calling $\lim_{\beta \to \infty} m = m_0$ and $\lim_{\beta \to \infty} \chi = \chi_0$, we take the zero temperature limit using formula (18):

$$\chi_0 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left[ 1 - \frac{\chi_0}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \right] \left[ \frac{p}{\sqrt{1 + \chi^2}} + (1 - p) e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

where

$$x \equiv \frac{m_0 (1 - \chi_0)}{\sqrt{\alpha}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)
We also obtain the zero temperature limit of Eq. (7):

\[ m_0 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{p x}{\sqrt{1 + x^2}} + (1 - p) \text{Erf}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \]  

(24)

The first thing we would like to know is how the storage capacity depends on the fraction of Gaussian variables in the memory patterns. From Eqs. (22) and (24) we have

\[ \frac{m_0(1 - \chi_0)}{1 - p} = \sqrt{\alpha} \frac{x}{1 - p} \text{Erf}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right) - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} x e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \]  

(25)

The above equation has always the \( x = 0 \) solution, that is \( m_0 = 0 \), absence of retrieval. As \( \sqrt{\alpha}/(1 - p) \leq 0.361356 \), though, also solutions with \( x \neq 0 \) arise. Therefore we have the expression for the storage capacity as a function of the fraction \( p \) of Gaussian variables:

\[ \alpha_c(p) = \alpha_c(0)(1 - p)^2, \]  

(26)

with \( \alpha_c(0) = 0.1379 \) [3]. This equation tells us that as \( p \to 1 \) we lose quadratically the storage capacity. On the contrary, at \( p = 0 \) the value of the original Hopfield model is found.

Eq. (26) corresponds to the zero temperature spinodal line of the retrieval phase in the phase diagram \((p, \alpha)\) as can be verified defining the functions

\[ w_1(m_0, \chi_0) \equiv m_0 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{p x}{\sqrt{1 + x^2}} - (1 - p) \text{Erf}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \]

\[ w_2(m_0, \chi_0) \equiv \chi_0 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{x}{m_0} \left[ \frac{p}{\sqrt{1 + x^2}} + (1 - p) e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \right] \]

and numerically solving the system of equations

\[ \begin{align*}
    w_1(m_0, \chi_0) &= 0 \\
    w_2(m_0, \chi_0) &= 0 \\
    \frac{\partial w_1}{\partial m_0} \frac{\partial w_2}{\partial \chi_0} - \frac{\partial w_1}{\partial \chi_0} \frac{\partial w_2}{\partial m_0} &= 0.
\end{align*} \]  

(27)

The result of the numerical solution is the bisecting line in Fig. [2]

E. Gaussian and bimodal contributions to the memory overlap

We may ask what is the contribution to the total overlap, \( m^{(v)} \), with the pattern \( v \), of the Gaussian and of the bimodal variables separately. In fact, one may wonder if one of the two kinds of variables that form the patterns dominates retrieval, if both contribute in the best possible way they can or if the presence of one helps the other for the sake of memory retrieval. This task can be achieved by working out the partition function using different order parameters for the Gaussian and the bimodal parts. The calculations can be found in Appendix [D] Here, we report the free energy in the replica symmetric theory

\[ f_{RS} = \frac{m}{2} \left[ \ln \left( 1 - \beta + \beta(pq^G + (1 - p)q^B) \right) - \frac{\beta(pq^G + (1 - p)q^B)}{1 - \beta + \beta(pq^G + (1 - p)q^B)} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \sum_v \left( m^{(v)}_G + (1 - p)m^{(v)}_B \right)^2 - \frac{pa\beta}{2} r^{G} q^{B} \]

\[ + \frac{\beta(pq^G + (1 - p)q^B)}{2} \alpha/\sqrt{\beta \sqrt{\pi}} \]

\[ + \frac{\beta(pq^G + (1 - p)q^B)}{2} \alpha/\sqrt{\beta \sqrt{\pi}} + 1 - \frac{p}{2} \int D\nu D\nu D\nu [\ln 2 \cosh(\beta \sqrt{\alpha} r^{G}) + \ln 2 \cosh(\beta \sqrt{\alpha} r^{B})] \]  

(28)

where we have defined the local field for the bimodal contribution to the memory patterns

\[ \tilde{h}_B \equiv \sqrt{\alpha} r^{B} + \sum_v \lambda^{(v)}_B s^{(v)}_B. \]  

(29)

Next we can compute the saddle point equations in order to understand the thermodynamic behavior of the order parameters of such a theory.

The stationary states of the theory will then be given by the following equations:

\[ q^G = \int D\nu D\nu \left[ \ln 2 \cosh(\beta \sqrt{\alpha} r^{G}) + \ln 2 \cosh(\beta \sqrt{\alpha} r^{B}) \right] \]  

(30)

\[ q^B = \int D\nu \tanh^2(\beta \sqrt{\alpha} r^{B}) \]  

(31)

\[ m^{(v)}_B = \int D\nu D\nu \left[ \ln 2 \cosh(\beta \sqrt{\alpha} r^{B}) \right] \]  

(32)

\[ \lambda^{(v)}_B = \sum_v \lambda^{(v)}_B s^{(v)}_B \tanh(\beta \sqrt{\alpha} r^{B}) \]  

(33)

\[ m^{(v)}_G = \frac{\chi G(1 - p)m^{(v)}_B}{1 - p\chi G} \]  

(34)

\[ r^{G} = \frac{pq^G + (1 - p)q^B}{[1 - \beta + \beta(pq^G + (1 - p)q^B)]^2} \]  

(35)

\[ r^{B} = \frac{pq^B + (1 - p)q^B}{[1 - \beta + \beta(pq^G + (1 - p)q^B)]^2} \]  

(36)
where we have defined the local Gaussian susceptibility
\[ \chi_G = \beta (1 - q^G). \]

Giving a first glance to these equations we can understand a few interesting features. For example, the local field \( \tilde{h}_B \) in Eqs. (30) and (32) felt by the bimodal variables, is composed of two parts: a "ferromagnetic" part
\[ \sum_{\nu=1}^{s} A_B^{(\nu)} \phi_B^{(\nu)} \]
and a spin glass part
\[ z \sqrt{\alpha} \tilde{g}, \]
generated by the random overlaps with the mismatched patterns. Both the bimodal and Gaussian variables contribute to both parts of this local field, as stated by equations (33) and (35). For what concerns the Gaussian contribution to the local field, from Eq. (31) it can be noticed that the ferromagnetic contribution is absent. The Gaussian variables only feel a Gaussian noise generated by both the unretrieved patterns and by the Gaussian contribution to the retrieved patterns. Such random normally distributed noise is always greater than the one we would have in the original Hopfield model, as can be seen in equation (30) whose second term in the right hand side of the equation is always non negative. At last, equation (34) shows that the retrieval phase cannot exist in the purely Gaussian Hopfield model because as \( p = 1 \) it is \( m_G = 0 \).

1. The model at \( T = 0 \)

For a first analysis of the saddle point equations, it can be useful to look at the zero temperature limit. We will focus our analysis on the case where just one pattern is matched (\( s = 1 \)). We define the local bimodal susceptibility
\[ \chi_B = \beta (1 - q^B), \]
the zero temperature limits of the local susceptibilities
\[ \chi_B^0 = \lim_{\beta \to \infty} \chi_B, \quad \chi_G^0 = \lim_{\beta \to \infty} \chi_G \]
and of the memory overlaps
\[ m_B^0 = \lim_{\beta \to \infty} m_B, \quad m_G^0 = \lim_{\beta \to \infty} m_G. \]
We also introduce the total mixed susceptibility
\[ \chi^0 = p \chi_G^0 + (1 - p) \chi_B^0 \]
and the mixed memory overlap
\[ m^0 = p m_G^0 + (1 - p) m_B^0. \]

With these definitions we are able to calculate the zero temperature limit of the saddle point equations:
\[ \chi_B^0 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi \alpha}} (1 - \chi_B^0) e^{-x^2} \]
(38)
where \( x \) is defined in Eq. (25) and
\[ \chi_G^0 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi \alpha}} (1 - \chi_B^0) \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{[m_B^0 (1 - \chi_B^0)]^2}{\alpha \chi_G^0}}}, \]
(39)
where we have made use of (33) and of the known limit (18). For the overlap with the bimodal pattern variables we get the following
\[ m_B^0 = \text{Erf} \left( \frac{m_B^0}{\sqrt{2 \alpha}} (1 - \chi_B^0) \right), \]
(40)
while for the Gaussian contribution to the overlap we have
\[ m_G^0 = \frac{\chi_B^0 (1 - p) m_B^0}{1 - p \chi_G^0}. \]
(41)

From the last equation we can see that Eq. (39) corresponds to the first term in the rhs of Eq. (22).

In Figs. 7 and 8 plots of both \( m_B^0 \) and \( m_G^0 \) are shown as functions of \( \rho \) and for different value of the storage variable \( \alpha \). It is worth noting that both the Gaussian and bimodal pattern overlaps maintain their highest possible values for every value of \( \rho \) although they drop rapidly approaching the spinodal point of the phase diagram.

### III. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS

In this section we want to describe a numerical analysis, at zero temperature, of the mixed model that has the aim to find out the basin of attraction of the retrieval states, i.e. the size of the region of network states around each memory within which all states are attracted by the dynamical process to a close neighborhood of a memory, and the accuracy with which such states are retrieved in the phase diagram where these retrieval states exist at least as metastable. Then we calculate, through such simulations, the spinodal points at zero temperature. Since the results we have derived so far rely on replica symmetry and infinite size approximations, our numerical analysis will be affected by the violations of these two assumptions. In fact, replica symmetry breaking occurs nearby \( \alpha_c \) at \( T = 0 \), while finite size effects appear in every computer simulation and cause the presence of spurious attractors at higher energy into which our zero temperature simulations can get stuck. Moreover, an artificial continuous Gaussian distribution for the memory variables will always be discrete yielding further finite size effects.

In order to consider all this features, we performed simulations using the so called Metropolis algorithm at \( T = 0 \). First we comment the numerical results obtained in the re-
region of the phase diagram that is known to be inside the theoretical MR spinodal line at $T = 0$, Eq. (26), i.e., for $\alpha < 0.1379(1 - p)^2$.

In order to find the basins of attraction of the retrieval states, the simulation is started with an initial configuration that has different values of the overlap with one of the stored patterns. Eventually, the final overlap with such pattern is measured and it is averaged over a large number of samples. An example of what can be obtained with this analysis is shown in Fig. 9 with a plot of the final average overlap with one of the memories as a function of the initial overlap with such pattern for three values of $\alpha$. The values used for $\alpha$ are $\alpha = 0.045$ (red), $\alpha = 0.06$ (green), $\alpha = 0.1$ (blue).

This behavior is common for every value of $p$, but, as shown in Fig. 12, for small values of $\alpha$, $m_{\text{min}}$, as a first approximation is independent of $p$, which is not the case when $\alpha$ is sufficiently high. This means that, as long as we are in the retrieval phase, at a sufficiently small $\alpha$ the system does not care if we are adding more Gaussian variables to the memories and the basin of attraction remains unchanged until we add too many for that fixed $\alpha$ and the basin of attraction starts
to get smaller.

In Fig. 11 the plateau, reached by the curves in Fig. 9, is plotted as a function of $\alpha$ and for different values of $p$. It is clear that the dependence on the memory loading variable becomes more apparent as $p$ grows, in agreement with what can be inferred by the plot in Fig. 12. Finally, the plateaus are linear functions of $p$ as can be seen in Fig. 14.

Next we describe an analysis to numerically estimate $\alpha_c(p)$ looking at the numerical behavior in the phase diagram region beyond the theoretical spinodal line, i.e., where the thermodynamic limit theory predicts a spin-glass. This capacity problem has already been a task of [2], but, there, the authors used a numerical approach finding $\alpha_c = 0.145 \pm 0.01$ and conjecturing that this result was due to replica symmetry breaking. The conjecture seemed to be warranted by the RSB calculation done in [7] where it was found $\alpha^{RSB} = 0.144$, but a new result, in [23], corrected the former study giving $\alpha^{RSB} = 0.138$, thus proving the conjecture wrong. Furthermore, studies on the capacity problem have been presented in [11] where $\alpha_c = 0.1455 \pm 0.001$, in [15] with the numerical result $\alpha_c = 0.143 \pm 0.001$ and in [25] with the analytical result $\alpha_c = 0.159$ found in the RSB scheme of De Dominicis et al. [8].

At last, a correction on the approach of Ref. [2] was made by [24] giving $\alpha_c = 0.141 \pm 0.0015$. This is the method that we will follow in the remaining analysis and it gives a storage capacity that is greater than the one found in the RS analysis. Besides, although closer to the value of Ref. [23], it is still significantly higher, thus it cannot all be a consequence of replica symmetry breaking. A possible explanation can be found in finite size effects that, as stated before, give rise to at-
The simulated dynamics can get trapped into these one-spin- flip stable metastable states from which they cannot escape at \( T = 0 \).

Following Ref. \[24\] we start our Monte Carlo simulations at zero temperature with a configuration as close as possible to one of the memories. We are interested to the final overlap with the same memory. For each value of \( \alpha, N \) and \( p \), we repeat 100 times the dynamics to obtain histograms of the final overlaps \( m \).

It has already been noticed in \[2\] and \[24\] that these distributions contain two peaks even above \( \alpha_c \): a high- \( m \) peak and a low- \( m \) peak. The low- \( m \) peak, sitting at about \( m \approx 0.35 \), is considered a remnant magnetization, similar to that encountered in spin glasses \[3,13\] and not predicted by the replica symmetric theory. It is a finite size effect due to the presence, nearby the initial configuration, of a high number of attractors made of a combination of more than one pattern.

Actually, since we are beyond the theoretical retrieval spinodal point, we would not expect to have the high- \( m \) peak, as well. It is there because the finite size \( \alpha_c \) will be higher than the theoretical one. An example of this distribution is given in Fig. \[15\]. From Fig. \[16\] we can see that a first order phase transition is occurring in the original Hopfield model \( p = 0 \) because the high- \( m \) peak lowers as \( N \) grows.

This first order transition also occurs in the mixed case \( p > 0 \) as showed in Fig. \[17\]. It may be useful to add that in the mixed case the remnant magnetization does not change much, while the high- \( m \) peak moves toward smaller values linearly in \( p \), as analytically predicted.

Assuming standard finite size scaling for first order transitions, we can write

\[
f_N = \exp(A_N - B_N N),
\]

where \( f_N \) is the frequency with which the high peak is selected. The coefficients \( A_N \) and \( B_N \) are expected to be of \( O(1) \) in the large \( N \) limit. We also assume that they are self-averaging quantities and their mean values close to the critical point can be written as follows

\[
\langle A_N \rangle = a_N
\]
\[
\langle B_N \rangle = b_N(\alpha - \alpha_c) + O((\alpha - \alpha_c)^2),
\]

as \( \alpha \to \alpha_c \) from the right, with \( a_N \) and \( b_N \) constants that approach \( a \) and \( b \) respectively as \( N \to \infty \).

Since \( f \) is an exponential in \( N \) it has large fluctuations and it cannot be self averaging. As a consequence if we want to average the quantity \( \log(f) \) over the disorder, we have to perform a quenched average. This is done by constructing more than one histogram, by calculating \( \log(f) \) for each one of them and, finally, by averaging it over the accumulated samples.

The data collected in the present study consists in histograms each constructed by 100 different runs for every \( N \) and \( \alpha \), then for \( N = 1000 \) the quenched average is performed over 200 histograms, for \( N = 2000 \) over 120 histograms and
FIG. 18. Checking that the linear hypothesis for the finite size scaling function is a correct assumption at \( p = 0.2 \). It has also been verified that the slope of these lines grows linearly with \( N \). These lines are calculated at \( N = 1000 \) (red), \( N = 2000 \) (blue), \( N = 3000 \) (cyan), \( N = 4000 \) (black), \( N = 5000 \) (grey).

FIG. 19. Checking that the linear hypothesis for the finite size scaling function is a correct assumption at \( p = 0.4 \). It has also been verified that the slope of these lines grows linearly with \( N \). These lines are calculated at \( N = 1000 \) (red), \( N = 2000 \) (blue), \( N = 3000 \) (cyan), \( N = 4000 \) (black), \( N = 5000 \) (grey).

Out each time, as examples see Figs. 18 and 19 for two values of \( p \). The analysis proceeds by extrapolating from the graphs, like in Figs. 20 and 21 the intercepts of the two straight lines for two values of \( \alpha \). This, together with Eq. (43), will be enough to calculate \( \alpha_c \). Here we give a few values obtained for some \( p \):

\[
\begin{align*}
  p &= 0 & \alpha_c &= 0.1404 \pm 0.0010 \\
  p &= 0.2 & \alpha_c &= 0.0922 \pm 0.0014 \\
  p &= 0.4 & \alpha_c &= 0.0534 \pm 0.0008 \\
  p &= 0.6 & \alpha_c &= 0.0246 \pm 0.0011 \\
  p &= 0.8 & \alpha_c &= 0.0058 \pm 0.0015
\end{align*}
\]  

(44)

that can be compared with those predicted by the analytical...
FIG. 22. Numerical results (red points) obtained for $\alpha_c$ as a function of $p$, it can be noticed that it follows a quadratic behavior just like in the analytical results (green curve).

replica symmetric theory and given by Eq. (26)

$$p = 0 \rightarrow \alpha_c = 0.1379$$
$$p = 0.2 \rightarrow \alpha_c = 0.0882$$
$$p = 0.4 \rightarrow \alpha_c = 0.0496$$
$$p = 0.6 \rightarrow \alpha_c = 0.0221$$
$$p = 0.8 \rightarrow \alpha_c = 0.0055$$

It is clear that the numerical results for $\alpha_c$ are larger with respect to the replica symmetric analysis and the capacity of the infinite size extrapolations of the simulated system is slightly greater than that of the infinite size theoretical model. Again, this is a consequence of both replica symmetry breaking and finite size effects that add attractors, not predicted by the theory, where our zero temperature simulations can get stuck.

Finally, in Fig. 22, we show that numerical data of $\alpha_c(p)$ follows a quadratic behavior as predicted analytically in Eq. (26).

IV. CONCLUSIONS

To summarize, we would like to point out a few features of the mixed Hopfield model that are responsible for the shrinking of the retrieval phase as more Gaussian variables are added.

First of all, we notice, by looking at Eq. (28), that the ferromagnetic term of the Gaussian variables can be rearranged in a way that it multiplies a factor $(1 - \frac{1}{\chi_G})$. Since, from Eq. (34), we find

$$\chi_G = \frac{m_G}{pm_G + (1 - p)m_B},$$

i.e. $\chi_G$ is the fraction of the Gaussian contribution to the overall overlap, when we only have Gaussian variables it is $\chi_G = 1$ and the ferromagnetic term disappears.

Besides, since the purely Gaussian model shows a perfect spherical symmetry of the free energy as a function of the pattern overlap $m^{(\nu)}$, and since this symmetry is enforced as we add more and more Gaussian variables, we can assume that the local susceptibility, $\chi_G$, gives a measure of the spherical symmetry of the model and that when it reaches unity, this symmetry becomes perfect, the free energy lacks the ferromagnetic term and, consequently, retrieval gets lost.

Another way we can look at the problem is via Eqs. (30) and (31). Here we notice that the local field acting on the bimodal variables is a Gaussian random variable with mean value different from zero and a variance proportional to $\alpha$. The latter becomes larger and larger as the local susceptibility approaches one. On the other hand, the local field acting on the Gaussian variables is a Gaussian random variable with a constant zero mean value and a variance proportional to $\alpha$. As a consequence, the local field of the purely Gaussian model is just composed of a diverging variance and retrieval will not be possible unless $\alpha = 0$.

In this paper we have made a link between the classical Hopfield model for neural networks of associative memory, first studied by [12] and [2], where both the neuron activity and the memory patterns are represented by Ising spins, and the purely Gaussian model, studied by [11], where the memories are made of Gaussian distributed variables. The former has the best properties concerning retrieval, while the latter totally lacks the phase in which such states are stable and it cannot serve as a model of associative memory if not for zero storage capacity $\alpha$. By considering a mixed model, where the memories contain both bimodal and Gaussian variables, we studied the thermodynamics and we did a numerical analysis of the attractors landscape, as the relative fractions of the two kinds of variables change.

We showed that, as we add more Gaussian variables, the capacity of the system decreases and retrieval is harder to achieve because the basin of attraction of the memory states is becoming smaller if we are at a sufficiently high memory loading. This is a consequence of the spherical symmetry brought in by the Gaussian parts of the memories, as discussed in Sec. IV. When all the variables of the patterns are Gaussian the only way we can have retrieval is when the number of the patterns is of $O(1)$ because, here, the local field has finite variance. In any case, when the memories are present in an extensive number, the Gaussian overlap of the condensed patterns works as a spin glass noise added to that of the non condensed ones. The result is that, as we have demonstrated both numerically and theoretically, the capacity drops quadratically as $1 - p$ at zero temperature, while at $T > 0$ the spinodal lines, as well as the first order transition lines, are a combination of $(1 - p)^2$ and $(1 - p)^3$ terms. Consequently, the lines get squeezed towards the vertical line $\alpha = 0$ when approaching the purely Gaussian model, but at the same time the critical point at $T_c = 1$ remains unchanged and this could bring us to the next challenge concerning the behavior of the system when the number of memories is of $O(N^\delta)$ with $\delta < 1$. This could be particularly interesting for developing the proper theoretical framework to experiments in [16].
Appendix A: Free energy of the MIHM

First, we calculate the replicated average partition function separating the contribution of the Gaussian $\xi_{i,G}$ and of the bimodal $\xi_{i,B}$ variables of the memories:

$$\mathbb{E}[Z^n] = e^{-\frac{\alpha N}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{s} \mathbb{E} [f_c(\xi_i, s') \cdot f_{NC}(\xi_i, s')] }$$  \hspace{1cm} (A1)

where we have defined

$$f_c(\xi_i, s') \equiv \beta \sum_{i=1}^{s} h^{(v)} \frac{BN}{2\pi} \exp \left( -\frac{\beta B N}{2} \sum_{p=1}^{a N} \sum_{p=1}^{N} m_{p,\rho}^{(\mu)} \right)$$

as the contribution of the matched and mismatched patterns respectively. If we perform the average over the disorder for the Gaussian elements of the mismatched patterns in (A3) we get for Gaussian and bimodal elements

$$\mathbb{E}_G \left[ \exp \left( \beta \sum_{p=1}^{a N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_{i,G}^{(\mu)} s_i \right) \right] = \exp \left\{ \frac{\beta^2}{2} \sum_{p,q} \sum_{i=1}^{N} m_{p,\rho}^{(\mu)} m_{q,\rho}^{(\mu)} s_i s_i' \right\}$$ \hspace{1cm} (A4)

We, then, rescale $m_{p,\rho}^{(\mu)} \rightarrow \frac{m_{p,\rho}^{(\mu)}}{\sqrt{N}}$ in order to get the right thermodynamic limit. Since in this limit the number of mismatched patterns diverges, we can approximate the average over the bimodal distribution with a Gaussian average, as well. In this sense the term of the mismatched memories is exactly equal to the one of the original Hopfield model. As a consequence, the transition line $T_\gamma(\sigma)$ between the paramagnetic phase and the spin glass phase does not change in the mixed case.

Considering only the partition function of the mismatched patterns, we further proceed by reassembling the terms and, by means of a Dirac’s delta, we introduce the overlap between configurations,

$$q_{per} \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} s_i^p s_i'^r$$

that is the order parameter that detects the spin glass phase transition. Finally, we are left with the following expression for the contribution of the mismatched patterns

$$\mathbb{E} [f_{NC}(\xi_i, s')] = \int \prod_{p<\sigma} dq_{per} \prod_{p>\sigma} dr_{per} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\alpha N}{2} \cdot \ln \left[ (1 - \beta) I - \beta q \right] \right\}$$

where $I$ is the identity matrix and where we have used the Laplace transform expression of the Dirac’s delta with $r_{per}$ Langevin multipliers. The independent overlaps and multipliers are for $\rho < \sigma$. By construction $q_{ab} = q_{ba}$, $r_{ab} = r_{ba}$ and the diagonal elements are zero. We have neglected some proportionality constants that multiply the measure and do not influence the outcome of the saddle point equations.

Now we can work out the matched pattern partition function contribution (A2). We can exactly get the average over the Gaussian extracted patterns as

$$\mathbb{E}_G \left[ \exp \left( \sum_{p,q} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta (m_{p,\rho}^{(\mu)} + h^{(v)}) \eta^{(\mu)} \xi_i s_i \right) \right] = \exp \left\{ \frac{\beta^2}{2} \sum_{v=1}^{n} \sum_{p<\sigma} r_{per} q_{per} \right\}$$ \hspace{1cm} (A7)

So that the total partition function, so far, reads

$$\mathbb{E}[Z^n] = e^{-\frac{\alpha N}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{s} \mathbb{E} [f_c(\xi_i, s') \cdot f_{NC}(\xi_i, s')] }$$

$$\sum_{(s')} \prod_{i=1}^{p N} \exp \left\{ \frac{\beta^2}{2} \sum_{p<\sigma} \sum_{v=1}^{n} (m_{p,\rho}^{(\mu)} + h^{(v)}) (m_{p,\rho}^{(\mu)} + h^{(v)}) s_i^p s_i'^r + \frac{\alpha N}{2} \sum_{p>\sigma} r_{per} s_i^p s_i'^r \right\}$$

$$\sum_{(s')} \prod_{i=1}^{p N} \exp \left\{ \frac{\beta^2}{2} \sum_{p<\sigma} \sum_{v=1}^{n} (m_{p,\rho}^{(\mu)} + h^{(v)}) (m_{p,\rho}^{(\mu)} + h^{(v)}) s_i^p s_i'^r + \frac{\alpha N}{2} \sum_{p>\sigma} r_{per} s_i^p s_i'^r \right\} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}_G \left[ \exp \left( \beta \sum_{p,\rho} (m_{p,\rho}^{(\mu)} + h^{(v)}) \xi_i s_i \right) \right]$$
And, at last, we can write free energy per spin: 

\[ f_n = \frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{q \neq p} (m^{(q)}_p)^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2\beta n} \text{Tr} \ln ((1 - \beta)[1 - \beta q]) + \frac{\alpha^2}{2n} \sum_{p, q, r} r_{pqr} q_{pqr} \]

\[ - \frac{P}{n\beta} \ln \left[ \sum_{q_p} \exp \left\{ \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{p, q} \left( \sum_{q} \left( m^{(q)}_p + h^{(q)}(m^{(q)}_p + h^{(q)} + \alpha r_{pqr}) \right) s^q s^q \right) \right\} \right] \]

\[ - (1 - p) \frac{1}{n\beta} \ln \left[ \sum_{q_p} \exp \left\{ \beta \sum_{v=1}^{n} \left( m^{(q)}_p + h^{(q)} c^{(q)} s^q + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \sum_{r, s} r_{pqr} s^q s^s \right) \right\} \right] \] (A8)

The free energy in the replica symmetric case, given by Eq. (6), is obtained by writing \( q_{pqr} = (1 - \delta_{pqr}) q, \) \( r_{pqr} = (1 - \delta_{pqr}) r \) and \( m^{(q)}_p = m^{(q)} \) and, the, by taking the limit \( n \to 0 \), as prescribed by the replica method.

**Appendix B: Memory retrieval-loss phase transition transition**

At this point we define the functions:

\[ u_1(q, m) = m - (1 - p)I_1 - p\beta m(1 - J_2) \]
\[ u_2(q, m) = q - (1 - p)I_2 - pJ_2 \] (B1)

The spinodal lines of the memory retrieval phase are defined through the following system of equations

\[ \begin{cases} u_1(q, m) = 0 \\ u_2(q, m) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial m} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial q} - \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial q} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial m} = 0 \end{cases} \] (B2)

The third equation has to be computed using the formulas listed below

\[ \partial_m J_2 = 2n\beta^2 (1 - 4J_2 + 3J_4) \]
\[ \partial_q J_2 = \frac{\alpha}{T - 1 - q} \frac{T - 1 - q}{(T - 1 + q)^3} (1 - 4J_2 + 3J_4) \]
\[ \partial_m I_2 = 2\beta(I_1 - I_3) \]
\[ \partial_q I_2 = \frac{\alpha}{T - 1 - q} \frac{T - 1 - q}{(T - 1 + q)^3} (1 - 4I_2 + 3I_4) \]
\[ \partial_m I_1 = \beta(I_1 - I_2) \]
\[ \partial_q I_1 = \frac{\alpha}{T - 1 - q} \frac{T - 1 - q}{(T - 1 + q)^3} (I_3 - I_1) \] (B3)

so that

\[ \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial m} = 1 - \beta(1 - I_2) - \beta p(I_2 - J_2) + 2p\beta^3 m^2 (1 - 4J_2 + 3J_4) \]
\[ \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial q} = \alpha T - 1 - q \frac{T - 1 - q}{(T - 1 + q)^3} (I_1 - I_3 + p(I_3 - I_1 + m\beta(1 - 4J_2 + 3J_4))) \]
\[ \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial m} = -2\beta(I_1 - I_3) + 2p\beta(I_1 - I_3 - m\beta(1 - 4J_2 + 3J_4)) \]
\[ \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial q} = 1 + \alpha T - 1 - q \frac{T - 1 - q}{(T - 1 + q)^3} (-1 - 4I_2 + 3I_4) + p(4(J_2 - I_2) + 3(I_4 - J_4)) \] (B4)

The system in Eq. (B2) can be solved numerically and the spinodal lines for different values of \( p \) can be drawn: the result will be an \( \alpha_c(p, T) \). To compute the first order transition line the system of equations is

\[ u_1(q, m) = 0 \] (B5)
\[ u_2(q, m) = 0 \] (B6)
\[ f(m(m(q), q(m, q))) = f(0, q(0, q)) \] (B7)

i.e. we are wondering where the free energy of the spin glass state (the free energy calculated at \( m = 0 \) and at a \( q \) that satisfies the saddle point equation with \( m = 0 \) ) is equal to the free energy of the retrieval state (the free energy calculated at \( m \) and \( q \) that satisfy the system of saddle point equations).

Again, system (B7) can be solved numerically and its results are shown in Fig. 4.

**Appendix C: Coefficients of spinodal ad transition lines**

In order to draw the spinodal lines we can fit the curves showed in with the following function

\[ \frac{\alpha_c(p, T)}{\alpha_c(0, T)} = a(T)(1 - p)^2 + (1 - a(T))(1 - p)^4 \] (C1)

so that we can find the coefficient \( a(T) \) for different values of the temperature. A second fit for \( a(T) \), shown in Fig. 2, provides

\[ a(T) = 1.000(1) + 0.482(2)T - 2.01(1)T^2 + 1.11(1)T^3 - 0.51(1)T^4. \] (C2)

It is worth noticing that the function [C1] is only an approximation due to the fact that we have neglected higher order terms and, as a consequence, the coefficient \( a(T) \) has a small systematic error because the function [C1] cannot fit the data perfectly. The expected limit \( a(0) = 1 \) is recovered. In Fig. 2 we notice that for an interval of low temperatures \( a(T) > 1 \), which means that some of the lines in plot [2] for this interval of temperatures, lie above the bisector.

At last, from the spinodal \( \alpha_c(0, T) \) line of the standard Hopfield model, we have those of the mixed model for every \( p \).
For the transition lines we can carry out the same analysis as above, i.e. we can fit such curves shown in Fig. 4 with the function

$$\frac{\alpha_t(p)}{\alpha_t(0)} = b(T)(1-p)^2 + (1 - b(T))(1-p)^4$$

and, after finding a sufficient number of values of $b(T)$, we can in turn find a fit for this last coefficient (Fig. 24):

$$b(T) = 1.000(2) + 0.29(2)T - 1.5(1)T^2 + 0.7(1)T^3 - 0.3(1)T^4$$

Again there is a systematic error in the coefficient $b(T)$ due to the neglecting of higher order terms in the fitting function $C_3$. Since we have $b(T = 0) = 1$ we are able to observe that at zero temperature $\alpha_t(p, 0) = \alpha_t(0)(1-p)^2$ just like in the spinodal case. Also for the first order transition line we find an interval of temperatures in which $b(T) > 1$, i.e., some of the curves in plot 4 lie above the bisector. It may be of interest, to say that although the way the spinodal and transition lines depend on $(1-p)^2$ may be very similar, it is not exactly equal. In fact this dependence is enclosed in the coefficients $a(T)$ and $b(T)$, that can be seen plotted together in Fig. 25.

Knowing the value of $\alpha_t(0, T)$, i.e., the transition line for the standard Hopfield model it is possible to draw the transition line for every value of $p$, as it is done in Fig. 3.

**Appendix D: Free energy of the MHM with separated variables**

In this appendix, we will calculate the free energy of the mixed model by separating the Gaussian and bimodal contributions of the patterns. To this end, we can rewrite the partition function as follows

$$\mathbb{E}[Z^n] = e^{-\beta E} \sum_{\xi_i} \mathbb{E}^n [f_G(\xi, \sigma) f_{NC}(\xi, \sigma')]$$

where $f_G$ and $f_{NC}$ are defined in Eqs. (A2)-(A3) and we have already separated the matched patterns, whose index is identified as $\nu = 1, \ldots, s$, from the mismatched patterns, whose index is identified as $\mu = 1, \ldots, P = aN$. Next, we take into account only the mismatched patterns and we perform the same calculations we have performed in [II A] Eq. (A6), but this time we introduce two delta functions in order to have a Gaussian configuration overlap ($q^G$) and a bimodal one ($q^B$). Then, as we have already done, we compute the Gaussian integral in the variable $m$ and we introduce the Lagrangian multipliers for the two delta functions. Such variables can be indicated as $r^G$ and $r^B$, with a natural choice of notation. In this way the contribution to the partition function of the mismatched patterns reads as follows.
Multiplying the two contributions (D2) and (D6) we have

\[
\mathbb{E}[f_{NC}(\xi, s^\nu)] = \int \prod_{p<\sigma} d\xi^G_p d\chi^G_p d\xi^B_{\rho p} d\chi^B_{\rho p} \exp \left\{-\frac{\alpha N}{2} \text{Tr} \ln \left[(1 - \beta)I - \beta \hat{q}\right] \right\} \exp \left\{-\frac{p \alpha \beta^2 N}{2} \sum_{p<\sigma} \xi^G_p \chi^G_p - \frac{(1 - p) \alpha \beta^2 N}{2} \sum_{p<\sigma} \chi^B_{\rho p} \chi^B_{\rho p} + \frac{\alpha \beta^2}{2} \sum_{p<\sigma} \xi^G_p \xi^G_p + \frac{\alpha \beta^2}{2} \sum_{p<\sigma} \chi^B_{\rho p} \chi^B_{\rho p} \right\} (D2)
\]

We, then, work out the partition function concerning the matched patterns by introducing two Dirac’s deltas for the two types of contributions to the overlap and by writing them in their exponential forms with Lagrangian multipliers \(\lambda_G\) and \(\lambda_B\). Sending the condensing fields \(h^{(v)}\) to zero we obtain

\[
f_C(\xi, s^\nu) = \int \prod_{p\nu} \frac{dm^{(v)}_{p,G} dm^{(v)}_{p,B}}{2\pi} d\xi^{(v)}_p d\chi^{(v)}_p \exp \left\{\frac{Nb}{2} \sum_{p\nu} \left[p m^{(v)}_{p,G} + (1 - p) m^{(v)}_{p,B} \right]^2 \right\}
- N\beta p \sum_{v} m^{(v)}_{\nu p,G} + \beta \sum_{v} \xi^{(v)}_{\nu p,G} - N\beta(1 - p) \sum_{v} m^{(v)}_{\nu p,B} + \beta \sum_{v} \chi^{(v)}_{\nu p,B} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \xi^{(v)}_{\nu p,G} \xi^{(v)}_{\nu p,G} \right\} (D3)
\]

The average over the disorder of the Gaussian variables contribution, \(\xi^{(v)}_{\nu p,G}\) turns out to be

\[
\mathbb{E}_G\left[\exp \left\{\beta \sum_{v} \lambda^{(v)}_{\nu p,G} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \xi^{(v)}_{\nu p,G} \xi^{(v)}_{\nu p,G} \right\} \right] = \exp \left\{ \frac{p N\beta^2}{2} \sum_{v} \sum_{\nu p} \left[\lambda^{(v)}_{\nu p,G} (1 - \beta G_{\nu p,G}) + \frac{p N\beta^2}{2} \sum_{\nu p} \left[\lambda^{(v)}_{\nu p,G} \right]^2 \right] \right\} (D4)
\]

The saddle point equation (D1) for the variable \(\lambda^{(v)}_{\nu p,G}\) leads to the expression in matrix notation

\[
\lambda^G = \frac{1}{\beta}(\hat{q}^{G} + I)^{-1} \rho^{G} (D5)
\]

Substituting in Eqs. (D3)- (D4), the average \(f_C\) becomes

\[
\mathbb{E}[f_C(\xi, s^\nu)] = \int \prod_{p\nu} \frac{dm^{(v)}_{p,G} dm^{(v)}_{p,B}}{2\pi} d\lambda^{(v)}_p \exp \left\{\frac{N b}{2} \sum_{p\nu} \left[p m^{(v)}_{p,G} + (1 - p) m^{(v)}_{p,B} \right]^2 \right\}
- p \sum_{v} \sum_{\nu p} m^{(v)}_{\nu p,G} \hat{q}^{G} + I_{\nu p,G} m^{(v)}_{\nu p,G} - 2\beta(1 - p) \sum_{v} m^{(v)}_{\nu p,B} \chi^{(v)}_{\nu p,B} \right\} \right\} \times \mathbb{E}_B\left[\exp \left\{\beta \sum_{v} \lambda^{(v)}_{\nu p,B} \sum_{i=0}^{N} \xi^{(v)}_{\nu p,B} \right\} \right] (D6)
\]

Multiplying the two contributions (D2) and (D6) we have

\[
\mathbb{E}[Z^v] = \int \prod_{\nu=1}^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{dm^{(v)}_{p,G} dm^{(v)}_{p,B}}{2\pi} d\chi^{(v)}_p \prod_{p<\sigma} d\xi^G_p d\chi^G_p d\xi^B_{\rho p} d\chi^B_{\rho p} \exp \left\{NA [\hat{q}^{G}, \hat{q}^{B}, \chi^{G}, \chi^{B}, m_{B}, m_{C}] \right\}
\]

with

\[
A \equiv -\frac{p \alpha \beta^2}{2} \sum_{p<\sigma} \chi^G_p \chi^G_p - \frac{(1 - p) \alpha \beta^2}{2} \sum_{p<\sigma} \chi^B_{\rho p} \chi^B_{\rho p} + \frac{p}{2} \sum_{\nu p} \left[p m^{(v)}_{\nu p,G} + (1 - p) m^{(v)}_{\nu p,B} \right]^2 - \frac{\alpha \beta^2}{2} \text{Tr} \ln \left[(1 - \beta)I - \beta \hat{q}\right]
- \frac{p}{2} \sum_{\nu p} \sum_{i=0}^{N} m^{(v)}_{\nu p,G} (\hat{q}^{G} + I_{\nu p,G} - \frac{\alpha \beta^2}{2} \sum_{\nu p} \sum_{i=0}^{N} \left[\lambda^{(v)}_{\nu p,G} \right]^2 \right] - \frac{\alpha \beta^2}{2} \sum_{\nu p} \sum_{i=0}^{N} m^{(v)}_{\nu p,B} \chi^{(v)}_{\nu p,B} \\
+ p \sum_{\nu p} \exp \left\{\frac{\alpha \beta^2}{2} \sum_{\nu p} \chi^G_p \chi^G_p \right\} + (1 - p) \mathbb{E}_B\left[\ln \sum_{\nu p} \exp \left\{\frac{p \alpha \beta^2}{2} \sum_{\nu p} \chi^B_{\rho p} \chi^B_{\rho p} \right\} + \beta \sum_{\nu p} \lambda^{(v)}_{\nu p,B} \lambda^{(v)}_{\nu p,B} \right\} \right\} (D7)
\]
Using Eq. (5), we can then write the free energy of this mixed model

\[ f = -\lim_{n \to 0} \frac{1}{n} A [q^B, q^G, r^B, r^G, m^B, m^G], \]  

(D8)

At last we can recover the free energy in the replica symmetric case given by equation 28. This is done by evaluating each term of (D8) in this particular case and then by taking the limit of \( n \) approaching zero just as prescribed by the replica method.
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