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We study the interplay of superconductivity and a wide spectrum of critical (multifractal) wave functions ("spectrum-wide quantum criticality," SWQC) in the one-dimensional Aubry-André and power-law random-banded matrix models with attractive interactions, using self-consistent BCS theory. We find that SWQC survives the incorporation of attractive interactions at the Anderson localization transition, while the pairing amplitude is maximized near this transitional phase in both models. Our results suggest that SWQC, recently discovered in two-dimensional topological surface-state and nodal superconductor models, can robustly enhance superconductivity.

Bulk low-temperature superconductors typically reside in the so-called "dirty limit" $\Delta \ll 1/\tau_{\text{el}}$, where $\Delta$ is the spatially averaged order parameter amplitude and $1/\tau_{\text{el}}$ is the elastic scattering rate. As long as the normal state is a good conductor ($\varepsilon_F\tau_{\text{el}} \gg 1$, where $\varepsilon_F$ is the Fermi energy), non-magnetic disorder has a negligible effect on $T_c$ (Anderson’s theorem [1, 2]). Unconventional superconductors like the cuprates and twisted-bilayer graphene [3, 4] are effectively two-dimensional (2D), however, where arbitrarily weak disorder typically induces Anderson localization of all electronic states [5].

The competition between disorder and superconductivity is responsible for the superconductor-insulator transition [6], which has been a subject of extensive study (see e.g. Refs. [7–10]). Self-consistent numerical solutions to the Bogoliubov-de Gennes (BdG) equations revealed that strong disorder, which localizes single-particle states, can induce emergent granularity in $\Delta(r)$ [11–13]. This augments phase fluctuations that ultimately destroy superconductivity [11, 12, 14–16].

A surprising recent development was the realization that superconductivity can sometimes be \textit{enhanced} by random or structured inhomogeneity [14, 17–32]. In particular, near the bulk Anderson metal-insulator transition or generally for weak disorder in 2D, the critical hardening (multifractality [33]) of single-particle wave functions induced by quantum interference can enhance interaction matrix elements [22, 26, 27, 34, 35]. The multifractal wave functions have larger spatial overlap and stronger state-to-state correlations for states with similar energies ("Chalker scaling" [36–38]), and therefore interaction effects are stronger compared to that for extended or localized ones. It was argued that this can boost both the superconducting order parameter amplitude $\Delta$ and $T_c$ [13, 14, 22, 26, 27, 39–41]. Multifractal order parameter modulations have recently been observed in experiments on 2D superconductors [42–46].

In this paper, we consider a new twist on this theme. In particular, we show that the superconducting amplitude can be strongly enhanced for a system with a wide spectrum of multifractal single-particle wave functions, a phenomenon dubbed "spectrum-wide quantum criticality" (SWQC). SWQC was very recently discovered to arise robustly in 2D surface-state theories with disorder [47–50]. These theories describe surface states of model bulk topological superconductors [35], as well as nodal quasiparticles in dirty 2D d-wave superconductors [49, 51]. In these theories, SWQC may be protected by a robust topological mechanism [50].

In this work we perform numerical self-consistent BdG calculations on special 1D systems also known to exhibit SWQC, when fine-tuned to the Anderson metal-insulator transition (MIT). (Working in 1D permits us
to access much larger system sizes than would be possible in 2D). In particular, we consider the effect of attractive Hubbard interactions for spin-1/2 fermions in the quasiperiodic Aubry-André and power-law random-banded matrix models. Quasiperiodic systems have recently garnered a surge of interest due to realizations with ultracold atoms [52–62], applications in many-body localization physics [56–58, 61, 63–65], and progress in moiré materials [3, 4, 66–68] with large twist angles [69–76]. The Aubry-André (AA) model [77, 78] is a canonical example of a 1D quasiperiodic system. While its energy spectrum is well-known to possess fractal structure (the Hofstadter butterfly [79, 80]), a less-appreciated aspect is the fractality of the corresponding wave functions, which exhibit SWQC at the MIT tuned by the incommensurate potential strength [81, 82]. SWQC also occurs in the ensemble of power-law random banded matrices (PRBM) [33, 38, 83–90].

We find that SWQC survives at a (renormalized) single-particle MIT in the AA and PRBM models with attractive interactions. Our key result is that the superconducting amplitude $\Delta$ is enhanced by inhomogeneity relative to the clean case, in a wide region around the MIT. The \textit{maximum amplitude} closely tracks the MIT for weak-to-moderate interaction strengths, as shown in Figs. 1–3. A recent study employing smaller system sizes also demonstrated multifractal enhancement of superconductivity in the interacting AA model [40]. In that work, both the amplitude and superfluid stiffness were computed, but the location of the MIT and concomitant maximization of $\Delta$ were not determined. Our calculations incorporate random Hartree shifts [11, 12], an important additional source of quantum interference (Altshuler-Aronov corrections [5]), and we compute the Chalker correlations between self-consistent energy eigenstates in the metallic, critical, and localized regimes, Fig. 4. Our results show that when Anderson localization is prevented in low-dimensions (here via fine-tuned potential strengths in special models, but as may also occur \textit{generically} in topologically protected 2D systems [47–50]), the rarefied nature of the MIT can allow for slow decay (\textit{α} < 1) of the inverse participation ratio (IPR) [33], where $F_p$ is the $p^{th}$ Fibonacci number, which is also the system size [82]. The system goes through a spectrum-wide MIT at $V = 2t$ without the interaction term [77, 78]. All single-particle wave functions are Anderson localized for $V > 2t$, and all of them are extended for $V < 2t$. All single-particle wave functions are multifractal at the critical point $V = 2t$ [81, 82]. The multifractal property of the wave functions can be characterized by the scaling behavior of the inverse participation ratio (IPR) [33],

$$P_q = \sum_i |\psi_i|^2 \propto L^{-\tau_q},$$

with $L$ being the system size.

A plane wave has $\tau_q = d(q - 1)$, since it spreads over the whole $d$-dimensional space, while $\tau_q \rightarrow 0$ for localized wave functions since these are localized to a finite-size region. The critical wave functions are neither extended nor localized, but rarefied with multifractal spatial fluctuations characterized by dimensions of the form $\tau_q = D_q(q - 1)$, with $0 < D_q < d$ [33]. The multifractal dimensions are typically self-averaging in the thermodynamic limit, and can be extracted from finite-size scaling in numerics. Wave functions in the extended (localized) phase near the critical point can also show multifractal properties up to the scale of the correlation (localization) length. The multifractality enhancement of superconductivity can occur in a wide region close to the MIT, driven by critical correlations if the coherence length is shorter than the correlation or localization length [22, 26].

The Hamiltonian of the spin-1/2 PRBM model with attractive Hubbard interactions is

$$H = \sum_{ij\sigma} H_{ij} c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma}^\dagger - U \sum_i n_i^\dagger n_i - \mu \sum_i n_i,$$

where $H_{ij} = G_{ij} |i-j|^{-\alpha}$, with $G$ a random matrix in the orthogonal class (class AI). Without interactions, the system exhibits SWQC at the MIT with $\alpha = 1$. The system is spectrum-wide extended when $\alpha < 1$ and localized when $\alpha > 1$. There are two types of disorder in the PRBM: the on-site disorder potential ($H_{ii}$) and the long-range hopping disorder ($H_{i\neq j}$). Disorder in the off-diagonal hopping terms is inefficient in localizing the eigenstates and the long-range nature of the hopping enables extended states for slow decay ($\alpha < 1$). For fast decay ($\alpha > 1$), the on-site divisor dominates and the states are Anderson localized [83].

**Phase Diagrams.**—In the mean-field approximation [11–13], the local superconducting order parameter $\Delta_i$ and fermion density $\langle n_i \rangle$ satisfy

$$\Delta_i = -U \langle c_i c_i^\dagger \rangle, \quad \langle n_i \rangle = \sum_\sigma \langle c_i^\dagger c_i^\sigma \rangle.$$

We solve the systems BdG self-consistently [12] with effective chemical potential $\tilde{\mu}_i = \mu + U \langle n_i \rangle / 2$. The convergence condition is set so that the average difference of
Fig. 2. The enhancement of superconductivity in the PRBM model with attractive Hubbard interactions, Eq. (3). (a): Contour plot of $\langle \Delta \rangle$ versus $U$ and the decay power $\alpha$, where $\langle \cdots \rangle$ stands for disorder averaging of 20 samples of size $L = 2000$ systems. The MIT occurs at $\alpha = 1$ without interactions, and we use the value of $\tau_2 = -\log(P_2)/\log(L)$ at $U = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$ as the criteria for the MIT at for nonzero $U$. The red and green curves represent the MIT obtained by fitting $\tau_2$ using the lowest-lying quasiparticle state and the average of $1\%$ of the low-lying states, respectively. The most enhanced superconductivity is indicated by the white curve. The error bars are obtained from the standard deviation of $\Delta$ and $\tau_2$ due to disorder averaging, converted to uncertainty in $\alpha$. The large error bars reflect the broad enhancement region of superconductivity. (b), (c): $\Delta$ and $\tau_2$ as functions of $\alpha$ for $U = 0.5$ and $U = 1$, respectively. $\Delta$ peaks around the MIT, indicating multifractal enhancement of the order parameter. The wide region of wave function criticality (indicated by the rather slower decrease of $\tau_2$ compared to the case of AA model) explains why the maximal enhancement curve [white in (a)] does not follow MIT curve as well as the case of AA model.

$\Delta_i$ and $n_i$ are smaller than $10^{-6}$ ($10^{-7}$ for small $U$) [91]. In this Letter, we focus on half-filling with $\mu = -U/2$, but the physics discussed applies to other filling factors since the whole spectrum of single-particle states are multifractal near the MIT.

Fig. 1 shows the enhancement of the average order parameter $\Delta$ in the “BCS-AA” model [Eq. (1)]. The spectrum-wide MIT persists with attractive interactions, and the MIT can be characterized by the second multifractal dimension $\tau_2$, averaged over the entire spectrum of quasiparticle states. This shows a sharp drop from 1 to 0 as $V$ increases, indicating the MIT [Fig. 1(b)]. With increasing $U$, the critical incommensurate potential strength $V_c$ decreases and the Anderson insulator phase is enlarged, Fig. 1(a). $\Delta$ is enhanced by the multifractal wave functions near the transition, and the maximal $\Delta$ for fixed $U$ follows the MIT curve $V_c(U)$ for weak and moderate interactions. When the incommensurate potential strength $V$ is weak, the order parameter is determined by BCS theory with $\Delta \sim \exp(-1/UV)$, with $\nu$ the density of states at the Fermi point. As $V$ increases, $\Delta$ increases significantly and peaks around the MIT, e.g. $\Delta(V_c)$ is more than 10 times larger than $\Delta(V = 0)$ for $U = 0.5$. The order parameter amplitude decreases in the Anderson insulator phase due to the combination of localization and Altshuler-Aronov effects [5, 6]. The enhancement ratio $\Delta(V_{\text{max}})/\Delta(V = 0)$ decreases as $U$ increases and the strongest enhancement curve deviates from the MIT curve at strong interaction.

Apart from inducing SWQC of the wave functions at $V_c$, the potential in the BCS-AA model additionally generates the interaction-dressed Hofstadter energy spectrum. Band flattening near half-filling plays a role in the enhancement of the order parameter seen here, and the maximum $\Delta$ also occurs close to the band flattening point, Figs. 3(a), (b). The density of states is much larger at the band flattening regions, but the average order parameter deviates significantly from the homogeneous BCS prediction $\Delta \sim \exp(-1/UV)$, except for small $V$ ($V < 0.5$ for $U = 0.5$). Multifractal enhancement without band flattening is observed in the BCS-PRBM model.
The single-particle wave functions become more and more rarefied with increasing $V$, resulting in a stronger binding energy between paired electrons occupying the same spatial orbital, and thus increasing the spectral gap $E_g$. In the strong-localization limit, the pairing energy is given by $U P_2(E)$, with $P_2(E)$ the IPR of the localized state. The energy gap of the BCS-AA model is then given by half the pairing energy $E_g = P_2(E_0)U/2$ [12, 91], with $E_0$ the energy of the lowest quasiparticle state. Unlike $\Delta$, the gap $E_g$ in our numerics always increases with $V$, and is much larger than $\Delta$ for finite $V$ [Fig. 3(c),(d)]. Thus while the pairing energy of more localized states is larger than extended ones, the average amplitude $\Delta$ is suppressed in the insulator by the strong fluctuations of $\Delta$, in space and the loss of multifractal enhancement. The increasing of $E_g$ into the localization regime is consistent with previous studies indicating that the energy gap increases with the inverse of localization length [12, 93].

Fig. 2 demonstrates the enhancement of $\Delta$ in the “BCS-PRBM” model [Eq. (3)]. Fig. 2(a) is a contour plot of $\Delta$ as a function of $U$ and the hopping exponent $\alpha$, near the interaction-dressed MIT. The order parameter $\Delta$ takes its largest value close to the MIT curve obtained by fitting $\tau_2$ of the lowest energy quasiparticle state. The change of $\tau_2$ from the extended phase $(\tau_2 \sim 1)$ to localized phase $(\tau_2 \sim 0)$ with $\alpha$ is much slower in the BCS-PRBM model, compared to that in BCS-AA model, resulting in a much broader critical region. The SWQC wave functions survive in the presence of attractive interactions and pairing, but the $\tau_2$ of the quasiparticle states are affected differently for different states. The lowest-lying quasiparticle states are the best indicator for the MIT and $\Delta$ enhancement, as these are most involved in pairing. The enhancement always occurs in the critical region, indicated by the drop of $\tau_2$ in Figs. 2(b) and (c). The spectral gap $E_g$ in the BCS-PRBM model shows similar behavior as that in the BCS-AA, increasing with $\alpha$ to the localized phase [Fig. 3(e),(f)]. In the localized phase, $E_g$ is also approximately proportional to $P_2(E_0)$ [91]. Different the from BCS-AA model, there is no significant change of the density of states across the MIT in the BCS-PRBM model, and the critical wave functions are the only factor responsible for enhancing $\Delta$.

Chalker scaling.—For critical single-particle states near an Anderson MIT, the multifractal enhancement of matrix elements is due to Chalker scaling [22, 26, 36–38]. The normalized Chalker correlation function is defined as

$$C_{E,E_0} = \frac{\int dx |\psi_{E_0}(x)|^2 |\psi_E(x)|^2}{\frac{1}{4} \left( \int dx |\psi_{E_0}(x)|^4 + \int dx |\psi_E(x)|^4 \right)} , \quad (5)$$

where $\psi_E(x)$ is an exact eigenstate with energy $E$. The Chalker scaling correlation characterizes the spatial overlap of eigenstates of a system. For $\{E, E_0\}$ close to the MIT, one expects $C_{E,E_0} \sim |E-E_0|^{-\gamma}$, where $\gamma = 1 - \tau_2/d$ in $d$ spatial dimensions [36, 37]. By contrast, $C_{E,E_0} \sim 0$ for localized states with nearby energies $|E-E_0| \ll \delta$, where $\delta$ is the typical level spacing in the localization volume. The pairing order parameter contributed by a quasiparticle state $|n\rangle$ with energy $E_n$ is defined as

$$\Delta(E_n) = -\frac{U}{N} \sum_i \langle n| c_{\delta \downarrow} c_{\delta \uparrow} |n\rangle . \quad (6)$$

For weak attractive interactions, only the low-energy quasiparticle states participate in the superconducting pairing.

In Fig. 4, we show $\Delta(E)$ and the Chalker correlation function $C_{E,E_0}$ near the self-consistently determined MIT, with $U = 0.5$ and $E_0$ chosen to be the lowest-lying quasiparticle state. In the extended phase of the BCS-
AA model [Fig. 4(a)], \( \Delta(E) \) is continuous in the same band and decays rapidly with energy. \( C_{E, E_0} \) is also (almost) continuous and takes nonzero values. In the localized phase [Fig. 4(e)], \( \Delta(E) \) is point-like and decreases rapidly in energy and \( C_{E, E_0} \) takes values close to 0. At the MIT [Fig. 4(c)], \( \Delta(E) \) takes almost constant values in each subband and \( C_{E, E_0} \) decreases from the extended phase value and starts to show discontinuous features.

In the BCS-PRBM model [Figs. 4(b),(d),(f)], the physics is similar except for the absence of flat bands and Hofstadter gaps. \( \Delta(E) \) decreases smoothly with energy in the extended phase, but exhibits strong scatter in the localized phase. Near the critical point, more states are piled around the peak of \( \Delta(E) \). \( C_{E, E_0} \) is almost zero everywhere except for several scattered points when the system is in the localized phase with increasing \( \alpha \).

**Conclusion.**—In this Letter, we have confirmed that the pairing amplitude for superconductivity is enhanced by spectrum-wide critical wave functions, via self-consistent BdG calculations using the AA and PRBM models with attractive interactions. The maximal enhancement tracks the metal-insulator transition curve in both models. The similarity of the results in the two otherwise-distinct models demonstrates the universality of multifractal enhancement [22, 26].

True superconductivity does not occur in 1D systems; at best, a quasi-long-range ordered precursor (the Luther-Emery liquid [94]) can be realized. However, SWQC also emerges in 2D systems, including surface states of model 3D topological superconductors [50]. Strong spatial fluctuations observed in \( \Delta(r) \) in the high-\( T_c \) cuprate superconductors [95] may also realize SWQC for nodal quasiparticles [49]. In this Letter we focused on \( s \)-wave pairing and zero temperature. It would also be very interesting to consider how multifractality interplays with other types of pairing, such as \( p \)-wave and \( d \)-wave that are not protected by Anderson’s theorem.

The AA model and PRBM model studied here can be realized in ultracold atoms. Variations of the AA model, for example generalized AA models with a mobility edge, have been proposed [96, 97, 99, 100] and studied in recent experiments [101–103]. The pairing amplitude enhancement could also be examined in these systems when the Fermi level is tuned close to the mobility edge.
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I. CONVERGENCE CONDITION

In our numerics, we solve the Bogoliubov-de Gennes equations self-consistently with the inhomogeneous pairing and Hartree shift,
\[ \Delta_i = -U \langle c_{i \downarrow} c_{i \uparrow} \rangle, \quad \langle n_i \rangle = \sum_i \langle c_{i \sigma}^\dagger c_{i \sigma} \rangle. \]
(I.1)
The error of each iteration is defined as
\[ \Delta_{\text{err}} = \frac{1}{L} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{L} (\Delta_{i}^{m+1} - \Delta_{i}^{m})^2}, \]
(I.2)
\[ n_{\text{err}} = \frac{1}{L} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{L} (n_{i}^{m+1} - n_{i}^{m})^2}. \]
(I.3)
Here \( \Delta_{i}^{m} \) and \( n_{i}^{m} \) are the order parameter and Hartree shift at \( i \)-th site in the \( m \)-th iteration, and \( L \) is the number of sites. We terminate the iteration when \( \max(\Delta_{\text{err}}, n_{\text{err}}) \) is smaller than \( 10^{-6} \), or \( 10^{-7} \) (when \( U \) and \( \Delta \) are small). In the extended phase, the numerics usually converges in around 30 or 50 iterations. In the localized phase, the maximum iteration is set to be 100 to reduce computational consumption and \( \max(\Delta_{\text{err}}, n_{\text{err}}) \) is around \( 2 \sim 3 \times 10^{-6} \) when terminated.

II. MULTIFRACTAL PROPERTIES OF THE BCS-AUBRY-ANDRè MODEL

In Fig. II.1, we show the average inverse participation ratio (IPR) of the BCS-AA model,
\[ P_2 = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{n=1}^{L} \sum_{i=1}^{L} |\psi_i^n|^4. \]
(II.1)
Here \( \psi^n \) is the \( n \)-th quasiparticle state with positive energy for the BCS-AA Hamiltonian. We can see that \( P_2 \sim L^{-1} \) in the extended phase, \( P_2 \sim L^{0} \) in the localized phase, and \( P_2 \sim L^{-\tau_2} \) with \( 0 < \tau_2 < 1 \) close to the critical point.

The single-particle energy gap \( E_g \) is half of the pairing energy of a singlet pair of two electrons occupying the spatial orbital eigenstate with the lowest energy. In the strong localization limit, the spatial overlap [given by the Chalker correlation function, Eq. (5) in the main text] of two different single-particle orbital states with nearby energies
Figure II.1. Inverse participation ratio $P_2$ around the Anderson-localization transition in the BCS-AA model. (a): In the extended phase with $V \approx V_c - 0.1$. (b): Close to transition point $V_c$. (c): In the localized phase.

vanishes, since these are typically exponentially localized in a small, distant regions. The paired electrons occupy the same strongly localized orbital state, which is nonzero only at a small portion of the sites. The energy gap $E_g$, i.e. half of the pairing energy is then given by [1],

$$E_g = P_2(E_0)U/2. \quad (\text{II.2})$$

The inverse of the IPR $1/P_2$ gives a good approximation for the localization length in the localized phase. Fig. II.2 shows the relation between the single-particle energy gap $E_g$ and the inverse participation ratio $P_2(E_0)$ of the lowest-energy quasiparticle state. We can see that $P_2(E_0)/E_g$ approaches $2/U$ in the strong-localization limit $V \gg V_c$.

In Figs. II.3 and II.5, we plot the spatial probability density $|\psi_i|^2$ of 5 low-lying quasiparticle eigenstates of the BCS-AA model with $U = 0.5$ and $U = 1$, for different incommensurate potential strengths $V$ through the Anderson-
Figure II.3. Probability density $|\psi_i|^2$ of five low-lying quasiparticle eigenstates in the BCS-AA model with $U = 0.5$. The number labels indicate the quasiparticle states with the $i$-th lowest energy. The Anderson-localization transition occurs around $V \approx 1.4$. The wave functions, especially the ones with small $V$, show a rapid oscillation with period of 2 lattice sites and the probability densities appear double-valued. This is due to the $k_F$-oscillation since the system is doped at half-filling with Fermi momentum $k_F = \pm \pi/2a$.

localization transition. Without the incommensurate potential, all the single particle wave functions are plane waves [Fig. II.3(a) and II.5 (a)]. As the potential strength $V$ increases, the wave functions are no longer pure plane waves and they begin to show some multifractal features at short distances [Figs. II.3(b-d) and II.5 (b-d)]. Near the critical point, the wave functions are multifractal in the whole space, and the probability densities of eigenstates with similar energies overlap strongly in space [Fig. II.3(e) and II.5 (e)]. In the localized phase, the wave functions are localized in a narrow region of space [Fig. II.3(f) and II.5(f)].

Figure II.4. The singularity spectrum $f(\alpha)$ of 10 low-lying quasiparticle states in the BCS-AA model with $U = 0.5$. For localized states, the $q < 0$ data are not reliable due to the singularity caused by $\psi_i \approx 0$ for points away from the localization center, and this is reflected in the discontinuity in $f(\alpha)$ curve with $\alpha > \alpha_{\text{max}}$.
Figure II.5. Probability density $|\psi_i|^2$ of low-lying quasiparticle states in the BCS-AA model with $U = 1$. The Anderson-localization transition occurs around $V \approx 1.1$. The wave functions at small $V$ also show $k_F$-oscillation, as explained in Figure II.4.

in the limit that the system size $L$ goes to $\infty$. The multifractal analysis can also be performed for a single wave function. The inverse participation ratio with the system divided into $L/b$ boxes of size $b$ is defined as [2, 3],

$$P_q(b) = \frac{1}{L/b} \sum_{n=1}^{L/b} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{b} |\psi_{(n-1)b+i}|^2 \right)^q \propto b^{\tau_q}.$$  \hspace{1cm}  (II.3)

The exponent $\tau_q$ can be expressed as

$$\tau_q = q\alpha - f(\alpha), \quad \alpha = \frac{d\tau_q}{dq}.$$ \hspace{1cm}  (II.4)

The singularity spectrum $f(\alpha)$ is the fractal dimension of points in a wave function that scales like $|\psi_i|^2 \sim L^{-\alpha}$ [2, 4]. In Figs. II.4 and II.6, we present the singularity spectrum of 10 low-lying quasiparticle states in the BCS-AA model with $U = 0.5$ and $U = 1$, at different incommensurate potential strengths $V$ through the Anderson-localization transition. For plane waves, $f(\alpha) = d\delta_{\alpha,d}$ in $d$ dimensions, and we can see that $f(\alpha)$ takes nonzero value around 1 at $\alpha \approx 1$ for wave functions in the BCS-AA model without the incommensurate potential [Figs. II.4(a) and II.6(a)]. Close to the critical point, the wave functions are multifractal and $f(\alpha)$ shows approximately parabolic structure in a large range of $\alpha$. In the localized phase, $f(\alpha)$ is only defined up to the localization length in the $f'(\alpha) > 0$ side. $f'(\alpha)$ values are obtained from $\tau_q$ with $q > 0$, and $\tau_q$ is not well-defined for $q < 0$ in the localized regime since $\psi_i \approx 0$ away from the localization center.

### III. MULTIFRACTAL PROPERTIES OF THE BCS-PRBM MODEL

In Fig. III.1, we show $\langle \tau_2 \rangle$ for the disorder-averaged lowest energy state, 0.5%, and 1% average of the low-lying quasiparticle states for BCS-PRBM model. The most enhanced superconductivity curve tracks the change of $\tau_2$ (except for small $U$, where $\Delta$ is not very accurate).

Fig. III.2 shows the relation between $E_g$ and $P_2(E_0)$. $P_2(E_0)/E_g$ is not close to $2/U$ in the localized phase since the strong-localization limit is still not reached at $\alpha = 2$. However, the ratio at $U = 1$ is approximately half of that at $U = 0.5$, implying that the relation $E_g \sim P_2(E_0)/U/2$ gives a good qualitative estimation of the energy gap $E_g$ in BCS-PRBM model.

In Figs. III.3 and III.5, we show $|\psi_i|^2$ for the BCS-PRBM model with $U = 0.5$ and $U = 1$, for exponents $\alpha$ that tune through the Anderson-localization transition. Unlike the BCS-AA model, the BCS-PRBM does not go through a sharp Anderson-localization transition. This can be seen from the wave functions, which show multifractal properties.
Figure II.6. The singularity spectrum $f(\alpha)$ of the 10 low-lying quasiparticle states in BCS-AA model with $U = 1$. For localized states, the $q < 0$ data are not reliable due to the singularity caused by $\psi_i \approx 0$ points away from the localization center, and this is reflected in the discontinuity in $f(\alpha)$ curve with $\alpha > \alpha_{\text{max}}$.

Figure III.1. $\langle \tau^2 \rangle$ of low-lying quasiparticle states in BCS-PRBM model, where $\langle \cdots \rangle$ represents the disorder averaging of 20 samples of size $L = 2000$. (a): $\langle \tau^2 \rangle$ of the lowest energy quasiparticle states. (b): $\langle \tau^2 \rangle$ of the 10 low-lying quasiparticle states. (c): $\langle \tau^2 \rangle$ of the 20 low-lying quasiparticle states.

over a wide range of $\alpha$. In the extended phase, the wave functions with close energies overlap strongly in space and we have a nonzero Chalker correlation function [Eq. (5) in the main text]. In the localized phase, the wave functions are localized in small regions and they have little overlap in space, resulting in a vanishing Chalker correlation function. In Figs. III.4 and III.6, we show the singularity spectrum of BCS-PRBM model with $U = 0.5$ and $U = 1$.

Figure III.2. Single-particle energy gap $E_g$ and inverse participation ratio $P_2(E_0)$ of the lowest-energy quasiparticle state in the BCS-PRBM model. (a): $E_g - P_2(E_0)$ with $U = 0$. $E_g$ is proportional to $P_2(E_0)$ in the localized phase. (b): $E_g - P_2(E_0)$ with $U = 1$. (c): $P_2(E_0)/E_g$ as a function of $\alpha$ with $U = 0.5$. This does not reach $2/U = 4$ even at $\alpha = 2$, since the localization length $1/P_2(E_0) \gg 1$ there. (d): $P_2(E_0)/E_g$ as a function of $\alpha$ with $U = 1$.

Figure III.3. Probability density $|\psi_i|^2$ of 5 low-lying quasiparticle states around the Anderson-localization transition in the BCS-PRBM model with $U = 0.5$. The Anderson-localization transition occurs around $\alpha \approx 0.96$.
Figure III.4. Singularity spectrum of the BCS-PRBM model with $U = 0.5$, with $\alpha$ tuned through the Anderson-localization transition.

Figure III.5. Probability density $|\psi_i|^2$ of 5 low-lying quasiparticle states in the BCS-PRBM model with $U = 1$. The Anderson-localization transition occurs at around $\alpha \approx 0.86$. 
Figure III.6. Singularity spectrum of the BCS-PRBM model with $U = 1$ through the Anderson-localization transition.