Critical quantum thermometry and its feasibility in spin systems
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In this work, we study temperature sensing with finite-sized strongly correlated systems exhibiting quantum phase transitions. We use the quantum Fisher information (QFI) approach to quantify the sensitivity in the temperature estimation, and apply a finite-size scaling framework to link this sensitivity to critical exponents of the system around critical points. We numerically calculate the QFI around the critical points for two experimentally-realizable systems: the spin-1 Bose-Einstein condensate and the spin-chain Heisenberg XXZ model in the presence of an external magnetic field. Our results confirm finite-size scaling properties of the QFI. Furthermore, we discuss experimentally-accessible observables that (nearly) saturate the QFI at the critical points for these two systems.

1 Introduction

Understanding and quantifying uncertainty in the measurement of a classical parameter using quantum resources is a central goal of modern estimation theory [1] with far-reaching implications both for fundamental studies and quantum technology applications [2]. In the well-studied scenario of single-parameter estimation, the geometry of quantum states [3], connects the sensitivity of parameter estimation to the fidelity between neighboring states. Concretely, if \( \hat{\rho}(\lambda) \) and \( \hat{\rho}(\lambda') \) are parametrized states and \( F_{\lambda,\lambda'} \) is the fidelity between \( \hat{\rho}(\lambda) \) and \( \hat{\rho}(\lambda') \), the quantum Fisher information (QFI) can be related to the second derivative \( \partial^2 \lambda' F_{\lambda,\lambda'} |_{\lambda'=\lambda} \) [4–6]; when this quantity is large, \( \lambda \) can in principle be estimated with high precision.

Methods to enhance this sensitivity include entanglement [7], inter-particle interaction [8] and quantum phase transitions (QPTs) [9]. Regarding the latter, prior work has studied parametrized states, e.g., ground states of a parametrized Hamiltonian \( \hat{H}(\lambda) \). The rapid state changes at the QPT imply larger QFI for \( \lambda \), i.e., for the QPT’s control parameter [10–20]. A relation between sensitivity to \( \lambda \) and universal scaling behaviour near critical points has been established [21–24].

Thermometry is another paradigmatic example of a quantum estimation problem; temperature \( T \) is not an observable in the quantum mechanical sense, but rather a parameter that can be estimated from measurements of proper observables. The study of fundamental limits to sensitivity in temperature estimation has attracted attention in different areas: from thermalization studies of open quantum systems [25] and characterization of trapped ions for quantum computation [26] to temperature sensing by micro-organisms [27].

Here we study thermometry in quantum critical systems using the tools of quantum parameter estimation. The parametrized state \( \hat{\rho}(T,\lambda) \) of interest is the Gibbs state of a parametrized Hamiltonian \( \hat{H}(\lambda) \). We focus on systems that exhibit continuous QPTs at zero temperature and in the thermodynamic limit. We explore their behaviour for finite numbers of particles \( N \) and
finite temperature $T$. In addition, we find relations between the sensitivity of temperature estimation and universal scaling behaviours around the critical points. At zero temperature and in the thermodynamic limit, a QPT shows power-law divergences of physical quantities, described by critical exponents that quantify the speed of the divergence [28]. When the system size is finite, the behaviour of physical quantities are regular at critical points and can be described by analytic functions that are subject to a universal finite-size scaling [28–30]. At small but finite temperatures, Gibbs states can inherit signatures of quantum critical behavior that, as in the zero-temperature case, enhance sensitivity in parameter estimation around the QPT [23, 31].

We quantify the sensitivity in temperature estimation using the quantum Fisher information and relate it with the critical exponents at the QPT through a universal scaling function that is directly proportional to the inverse of the lowest energy gap squared. This relationship causes the abrupt growth of the QFI in the critical region when temperature is lower than the energy gap. In addition, we analyze the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) showing its relation with critical exponents and the associated scaling function. We find out that the SNR does not exhibit the direct dependence on energy gap, i.e., diminishing the energy gap in the critical region does not lead to a larger SNR.

Finally, we illustrate the resulting finite-size scaling properties of the sensitivity using two interesting and experimentally-relevant examples: (i) the ferromagnetic spin-$1$ Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) and (ii) the ferromagnetic XXZ spin-$1/2$ chain, both subject to the presence of an external magnetic field. The two systems considered by us can be realized with current experimental techniques using ultra-cold atoms [32, 33]. We discuss possible experimental implementations, calculating the sensitivity of temperature measurements in these systems for different experimentally-accessible observables identifying the ones that would saturate the QFI. Our results demonstrate the validity of the finite-size scaling analysis and show how quantum thermometry in strongly correlated systems exhibiting continuous QPTs can benefit from the criticality when comparing to their far-from-criticality behavior. In this sense we refer to criticality-enhanced quantum thermometry. This enhancement in the sensitivity can lead to the realization of useful thermometers based on spin-measurements, i.e., not relying on external ancillary probes [34, 35], further manipulation of the atomic sample [36] or thermal fraction of the ultra-cold quantum gases [37].

2 Local quantum thermometry and criticality

In this section we present the principles of temperature estimation and its sensitivity bounds quantified by the QFI. We introduce the concept of a finite-size scaling framework for systems exhibiting continuous QPTs. The scaling approach is then applied to study the behavior of the QFI in the vicinity of QPTs.

2.1 QFI approach for quantum thermometry

Thermometry is the classical parameter estimation problem conveniently resolved using the parameter estimation protocols [38, 39]. More precisely, the temperature of a system consisting of $N$ particles is inferred from results of $m$ measurements $\{\alpha_i\}$ of an observable $\hat{A}$ of the system under consideration through the estimator function $T_{\text{est}}(\{\alpha_i\})$ which we assume to be unbiased, i.e., $\langle T_{\text{est}} \rangle = T$ ($i$ labels independent measurements). We define the resulting fluctuations of temperature in terms of the mean squared error of the corresponding estimator

$$\delta^2 T \equiv \langle (T_{\text{est}} - T)^2 \rangle$$

which is subject to the Cramér-Rao lower bound [40]:

$$\delta^2 T \geq \frac{1}{m F_Q(T)}, \quad (1)$$

where $F_Q(T)$ is the QFI (we set $k_B = 1$). In the scenario of a parameter dependent Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}(\lambda)$ the quantum states are given by a density matrix $\hat{\rho}(T, \lambda)$, and the QFI, with respect to the temperature $T$ for a given value of the control parameter $\lambda$, is defined as the maximization of the classical Fisher information (CFI) $F_c(T, \hat{A})$ over all possible measurements $\{\alpha\}$

$$F_Q(T) = \max_{\hat{A}} F_c(T, \hat{A}), \quad (2)$$

with $\hat{A}$ representing any possible observable of the state, and

$$F_c(T, \hat{A}) = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{p(\alpha|T)} \left( \frac{\partial p(\alpha|T)}{\partial T} \right)^2, \quad (3)$$
where \( p(\alpha|T) = \langle \alpha | \hat{\rho}(T, \lambda) | \alpha \rangle \) (and \( \hat{A} | \alpha \rangle = \alpha | \alpha \rangle \)) is a conditional probability distribution of the measurement outcomes for \( \hat{A} \), given a fixed value of temperature \( T \) \[38, 39\].

It has been proved that the optimal measurement (i.e., the measurement which gives \( F_c(T, \hat{A}) = F_Q(T) \)) is the energy of the system \[19, 38\], i.e., \( \hat{A} = \hat{H}(\lambda) \) with eigenvalues \( \hat{H}(\lambda) | \psi_n \rangle = E_n(\lambda) | \psi_n \rangle \). Therefore it is convenient to define the energy gap between \( n \)th excited and ground state as follows: \( \Delta_n(\lambda) = E_n(\lambda) - E_0(\lambda) \). Considering a state well described within the canonical Gibbs ensemble

\[
\hat{\rho}(T, \lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{e^{-\Delta_n(\lambda)/T}}{Z} | \psi_n \rangle \langle \psi_n |,
\]

(4)

where \( N \) is the total number of quantum states, \( Z \) is the partition function, and applying Eq. (3), one obtains

\[
F_Q(T, \lambda) = \frac{\Delta^2 \hat{H}(T, \lambda)}{T^4},
\]

(5)

where \( \Delta^2 \) denotes the standard definition for the variance of an operator, \( \Delta^2 \hat{A} = \langle \hat{A}^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{A} \rangle^2 \). The relation (5) can also be obtained by using the fidelity susceptibility approach \[39\] as conveniently used in the estimation of the parameter of the Hamiltonian \[31\]. The value of the QFI depends on the structure of energy levels and the temperature of a given quantum system. Note that in the remainder of the work, unless required, we drop the explicit dependence of \( F_Q \) and \( F_c \) with the observable \( \hat{A} \) and the parameters \( \lambda \) and \( T \).

2.2 Finite-size scaling at continuous quantum phase transitions

We consider a \( d \)-dimensional quantum many-body system of size \( L^d \) consisting of \( N \) particles and described by the Hamiltonian \( \hat{H}(\lambda) = \hat{H}_0 + \lambda \hat{V} \), where \( [\hat{H}_0, \hat{V}] \neq 0 \) and \( \lambda \) is a dimensionless control parameter. We assume the QPT takes place in the thermodynamic limit (\( N \rightarrow \infty, L^d \rightarrow \infty, N/L^d = \text{const.} \)) at zero temperature and is driven by \( \lambda \) with the critical point located at \( \lambda = \lambda_c \). We therefore define \( \epsilon \equiv \lambda - \lambda_c \) as the distance from the critical point. The QPT is characterized by a diverging power law behavior of a physical quantity \( A \sim e^{\epsilon} \) given by a critical exponent \( a \) quantifying how rapidly \( A \) changes at \( \lambda_c \), for example, if \( A \) is the energy gap between the ground and first excited energy states \( \Delta_g = \Delta_1(\epsilon) \) then \( \Delta_g \sim e^{-z\epsilon} \) \[28\], where \( z \) is the dynamic critical exponent and \( \nu \) is the critical exponent associated with the divergence of the correlation length.

The singular behavior of \( A \) is observed in the thermodynamic limit. If the size of the system (\( L \) and \( N \)) is finite then the change of \( A \) is an analytic function of \( \epsilon \). Moreover, around the transition point physical quantities are subject to finite-size scaling which depends on the general properties of the transition \[29, 30, 41\]. This was understood by the finite-size scaling approach, which was also considered through the renormalization group \[29, 30, 42–45\]. In the finite-size scaling framework, an existence of a regular function \( f_a \) is postulated such that

\[
A \sim L^{-a/\nu} f_a(\epsilon L^{1/\nu})
\]

(6)

with the constraint \( f_a(0) \neq 0 \) \[29, 30, 45, 46\]. Note, the finite-size behavior of \( A \) is characterized by the scaling function and scaling laws, with critical exponents determined by the universality class of the QPT. Therefore, the behavior of \( A \) in the critical region depends on global properties, such as \( L, N, d \) and the symmetry or nature of the interactions \[28, 45\]. The finite-size scaling framework can also be considered in terms of \( N \) instead of \( L \) as \( L \propto N^{1/d} \). For example, if \( A = \Delta_g \) and \( a = z \nu \), the finite-size scaling for the energy gap \[30\] then reads

\[
\Delta_g \sim N^{-z/d} f_\Delta(\epsilon N^{1/(\nu d)}).
\]

(7)

A non-zero value of temperature \( T \) introduces an additional relevant parameter, which, within the finite-size scaling framework, is taken into account by adding a further dependence of the scaling functions on \( T/\Delta_g \) \[28, 45\].

2.3 Finite-size scaling of the QFI

We now apply the finite-size scaling approach to the thermometry problem at the QPT in order to relate the behavior of the QFI with critical exponents and relevant scaling functions. According to the discussion above we can expect that the QFI is also subject to the finite-size scaling relation (6). However, the value of the scaling exponent \( a \) is not given a-priori. To analyze the scaling of the QFI and obtain \( a \), we first consider the dimensionless quantity \( F_Q T^2 = \Delta^2 \hat{H}/T^2 \), which can be expressed as a function of independent, dimensionless combinations of physical parameters.
of the system, specifically, the product $\epsilon N^{1/(\nu d)}$ as for (7) and the ratios $T/\Delta_n$. When the temperature is low, i.e., $T \lesssim \Delta_g$, the main contribution to the quantity $F_Q T^2$ comes from the terms containing $T/\Delta_g$ while the contribution of $T/\Delta_{g>1}$ is assumed to be negligible. This approximation leads to the following scaling form of the QFI near the critical point

$$F_Q = \Delta_g^{-2} g \left( \epsilon N^{1/(\nu d)} \frac{T}{\Delta_g} \right),$$

where the low temperature approximate of the scaling function $\tilde{g}$ can be expressed as

$$\tilde{g} \left( \epsilon N^{1/(\nu d)} \frac{T}{\Delta_g} \right) \equiv \left( \Delta_g/T \right)^2 \left( \Delta^2 \hat{H}/T^2 \right).$$

Note, Eq. (8) shows the direct relation between the QFI and the inverse of the energy gap squared. As a consequence, the QFI grows at the critical point at a rate determined by the speed at which the energy gap closes. Under this approximation, $\tilde{g}$ can be calculated analytically as a function of the energy gap $\Delta_g$ (see section B), and it is

$$\tilde{g} \left( \frac{T}{\Delta_g} \right) = \left( \frac{\Delta_g}{T} \right)^4 \frac{1}{4 \cosh^2 \left( \frac{\Delta_g}{T} \right)}. \quad (9)$$

The scaling function can be seen as a single-parameter function of $T/\Delta_g$, which tends to zero when temperature is much larger and smaller than the energy gap. The condition in which $T \gg \Delta_g$ resembles a classical situation in which the temperature is much larger than many internal energy levels in addition to the lower-lying ones. An analysis shows that the maximal value of the QFI from (9) near the critical point is approximately $F_Q^{\text{max}} \approx 4.53 \Delta_g^{-2}$ for $T/\Delta_g \approx 0.24$. These values estimate well the position and maximum of the QFI for the strongly correlated system we are considering in the next sections. Taking the scaling of the energy gap (7) in (8), we obtain that the QFI around criticality scales with the total number of particles as $N^{2z/d}$,

$$F_Q \sim N^{2z/d} \tilde{g} \left( \epsilon N^{1/(\nu d)} \frac{T}{\Delta_g} \right), \quad (10)$$

when considered for fixed values of $\epsilon N^{1/(\nu d)}$ and $T/\Delta_g$.

Until now, we have considered a general scenario for non-degenerate systems. However, degeneracy might have important consequences for the scaling of the QFI. Here we just note some simple observations. When the degeneracy is independent of the number of particles $N$ it appears as a constant multiplicative factor to the scaling function and can be neglected in the analysis of the scaling exponents. However, a more complicated scenario appears if the degeneracy of the energy levels is a function of $N$. In this case the scaling exponents can differ from those considered in the non-degenerate scenario, see section B for more details. Indeed, the optimal structure of energy levels, which leads to the highest value of the QFI, involves a single ground state and all degenerated excited states with a well-defined energy gap [38, 47]. The engineering of the optimal energy levels and the resulting sensitivity are summarized in section A.

We now discuss the SNR, defined as $T/\sqrt{\delta^2 T}$, also called the relative estimation precision. This quantity is dimensionless itself and it can be related to the QFI using (1). This gives $T/\sqrt{\delta^2 T} = \sqrt{T_Q T}$. From the scaling behavior of the QFI in (8), we can see that the SNR can be expressed as

$$\frac{T}{\sqrt{\delta^2 T}} = \left( \frac{T}{\Delta_g} \right)^{1/2} \tilde{g}^{1/2} \left( \epsilon N^{1/(\nu d)} \frac{T}{\Delta_g} \right). \quad (11)$$

This implies that, as before, the SNR near the critical point is given by a scaling function of two parameters $\epsilon N^{1/(\nu d)}$ and $T/\Delta_g$. Unlike the QFI, the SNR does not exhibit the scaling with the total number of particles for fixed values of $\epsilon N^{1/(\nu d)}$ and $T/\Delta_g$.

In this section, we have applied the finite-size scaling approach for critical systems to the thermometry problem. We derived an expression for the scaling function and scaling exponents of the sensitivity bound in temperature estimation quantified by the QFI. In the following two sections, we investigate the sensitivity of critical quantum thermometry and the scaling properties around the critical region of two different systems. First, we consider the spin-1 BEC belonging to the all-connected spins universality class such as the Lipkin-Meshkov-Glick model [48]. Next, we do the same for the spin-1/2 XXZ model with nearest neighbors interaction [15]. These systems are different in the structure of their lowest energy levels. However, both

\footnote{In fact, according to [30] not only the lowest energy gap $\Delta_g$ but also other lower lying energy gaps $\Delta_{g>1}$ follow the scaling (7).}
of them exhibit phase transition as a function of a Hamiltonian parameter and display asymptotically closing energy gaps at the critical points.

3 Spin-1 Bose-Einstein condensate

In this section we consider the finite size spin-1 BEC system in the $F = 1$ hyperfine ground state manifold in the presence of an external homogeneous magnetic field. Under the single mode approximation (SMA) [17, 49, 50], the Hamiltonian for $N$ atoms reads

$$\hat{H}_1 = -\frac{c}{2N} \hat{J}^2 - q_\lambda \hat{N}_0$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

after dropping constant terms. Here, $\hat{J}^2 = \hat{J}_x^2 + \hat{J}_y^2 + \hat{J}_z^2$ where $\hat{J}_{x,y,z}$ are the spin-1 operators, $\hat{N}_{m_F}$ is the number of atoms in the $m_F = 0, \pm 1$ Zeeman state, $q_\lambda$ is the quadratic Zeeman energy shift which acts as the control parameter $\lambda$, and $c = N |c_2| \int dr |\phi(r)|^4$, where $\phi(r)$ is the spatial atomic wave function being a solution of the Gross-Pitaevskii equation [49, 50]. In the following we will work with the re-scaled Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_1/c$, and we will refer to the re-scaled quadratic Zeeman energy as $q = q_\lambda/c$.

In general, the system can be viewed as $N$ interacting spin-1 particles exposed to an external field where the interaction is not limited to the nearest neighbors. The total magnetization $\mathcal{M}$ is defined as $\mathcal{M} = N_1 - N_{-1}$. This quantity is a constant of motion due to collisional symmetry [49]. Throughout the remainder of this work, we will only consider the case in which $N_1 = N_{-1}$, i.e., $\mathcal{M} = 0$, and the variance $\Delta^2 \mathcal{M} = 0$.

In the case of $\mathcal{M} = 0$, the phase diagram of ground states contains two critical points that separate the polar (P) phase (characterized by $N_{\pm 1} = 0$) from the broken axisymmetry (BA) phase ($N_0, N_{\pm 1} > 0$), and the BA phase from the antiferromagnetic (AFM) phase ($N_{\pm 1} = N/2$) [51]. In the thermodynamic limit, the two critical points for $\hat{H}_1/c$ are $q_c = 2$ and $q_c = -2$, respectively. These critical points were shown to provide an enhanced sensitivity in the estimation of the $s$-wave scattering length for spin-1 atoms colliding in symmetric channels of total spin $J = 0$ ($J = 2$). Note, $c$ is proportional to the density $c \propto \rho = N/V$ for homogeneous systems.
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Figure 1: (a) Energy levels of $\hat{H}_1/c$ as a function of quadratic Zeeman energy $q = q_\lambda/c$ for $N = 10^3$. Contour plots of the quantum Fisher information $F_Q$ in units of $c^{-2}$ (b) and the signal-to-noise ratio $T/\sqrt{\delta^2 T}$ (c) versus $q$ and $T/\Delta_{\min}$ for $N = 200$. Numerical demonstration of finite-size scaling of $\Delta_\lambda$ (d), $F_Q$ (e) and $T/\sqrt{\delta^2 T}$ (f) versus the product $N^{2/3} \Delta$ around the left critical point when $T/\Delta_{\min} = 0.17$ for various number of atoms $N$ as indicated in figure legend. Here, $q_\lambda$ is defined as a position of the minimum of $\Delta_\lambda$ and depends on $N$. In section E, we show the numerical results before applying the scaling.
of the control parameter \( q \) [17].

In the following, we will explore the sensitivity bounds for quantum thermometry with the spin-1 system by numerically evaluating the QFI (5) and SNR given by \( T/\sqrt{\delta^2 T} = \sqrt{F_Q T} \) using the method described in [16]. The results will be then interpreted within the finite-size scaling approach described in section 2, confirming the validity of this description and our derivation of the scaling behavior of the QFI and SNR.

Figure 1(a) shows the eigenvalue spectrum \( \Delta_n(q) \) (for the five lowest energy levels) of \( H_1/c \) for \( N = 10^3 \). This exhibits minima in the gap \( \Delta_n \) near \( q = \pm 2 \). Figure 1(b) and (c) show by color the values of the QFI and SNR, respectively, both in the \((q - T/\Delta_{\text{min}})\) parameter space. The QFI is largest around the critical points, and its value peaks at \( T/\Delta_{\text{min}} \approx 0.26 \). However, while the SNR also increases around the critical points, it shows a different behavior, as discussed in section 2.

To confirm the validity of the finite-size scaling approach, we have to consider that the spin-1 BEC within the SMA is an example of the “infinite” coordinate system, where the concept of correlation length and dimension is lost. The scaling approach can be applied in this case with the exponents modified by the critical dimension [28, 44]. This was discussed for the spin-1 system in [17, 52], where it was shown that \( \Delta_g \sim N^{-1/3} f(\epsilon N^{2/3}) \). Having this into account, Figure 1(d) shows the result of applying the finite-size scaling to the lowest energy gap, as in Figure 1(a) for different numbers of atoms. The scaling is confirmed with scaling exponents \( z \nu = 1/2 \), as in the Lipkin-Meshkov-Glick model and its corresponding universality class [19, 53]. Figure 1(e) and (f) show the result of applying the scaling to the numerical results for \( F_Q \) and \( T/\sqrt{\delta^2 T} \), respectively, as a function of the product \( \epsilon N^{2/3} \) for fixed \( T/\Delta_g = 0.17 \). The results evidence the scaling \( F_Q \sim \Delta_g^{-2} \hat{g}(\epsilon N^{2/3}) \) and \( T/\sqrt{\delta^2 T} \sim (T/\Delta_g) \hat{g}^{1/2}(\epsilon N^{2/3}) \) as expected from the finite-size scaling approach. Directly, this demonstrates that \( F_Q \sim N^{2/3} \) when \( T/\Delta_g \) is fixed, and the accuracy of the general finite-size scaling arguments used in section 2 for the spin-1 BEC system.

It is worth mentioning that in the antiferromagnetic condensate [54] the energy gap follows the scaling law (7) for the zero magnetization case when the system exhibits a first order phase transition [16]. The relevant scaling exponent suggests \( F_Q \sim N^2 \) for fixed \( T/\Delta_g \) around criticality.

4 Spin-1/2 XXZ system

In this section, we discuss the XXZ model of \( M \) spins in the transverse field with periodic boundary conditions described by the Hamiltonian [55]:

\[
\hat{H}_{1/2} = -4J \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left( \hat{s}_j^x \hat{s}_{j+1}^x + \hat{s}_j^y \hat{s}_{j+1}^y + \zeta \hat{s}_j^z \hat{s}_{j+1}^z \right) + 2h_x \sum_{j=1}^{M} \hat{s}_j^z, \tag{13}
\]

where \( \hat{s}_j^\sigma \) with \( \sigma = x, y, z \), are pseudo-spin-1/2 operators for \( j \)th site, \( J \) is an exchange coupling in the XY plane, \( \zeta \) is the exchange coupling anisotropy in the \( z \) direction, and \( h_x \) is the coupling strength between the system and an external, transverse, magnetic field. In this work, we will set \( \zeta = 0 \), and consider the re-scaled Hamiltonian \( \hat{H}_{1/2}/J \), for which the system exhibits a second-order phase transition at the critical point \( h_x/J = 0 \) [15, 56]. The values of the relevant critical exponents are \( z = 1 \) and \( v = 2/(4 - \cos(\zeta/J)/\pi) \) [57]. In general, the model is not integrable due to the transverse field considered. However, in the special case of \( h_x = 0 \), the Hamiltonian reduces to the XX model and can be solved analytically [56, 58, 59]. We study the QFI near the phase transition by performing an exact numerical diagonalization of the Hamiltonian.

The structure of energy levels is shown in Figure 2(a). Unlike the spin-1 BEC model discussed in the previous section, the spin-1/2 system features some weak degeneracy of the low energy levels around \( h_x = 0 \). We define the distance from the critical point as \( \epsilon = h_x/J \). According to (7), the energy gap between the two lowest energy states is expected to scale as

\[
\Delta_g \sim M^{-1} f(\epsilon M^{1/4}), \tag{14}
\]

with the particular values of critical exponents \( z \nu = 4/7 \). This is shown by the numerical results presented in Figure 2(d), where we plot the re-scaled \( \Delta_g \) according to (14) as a function of \( sgn(\epsilon)\epsilon M^{1/4} \).

In Figure 2(b) and (c), we show by color \( F_Q \) from (5) and \( T/\sqrt{\delta^2 T} \) for \( \hat{H}_{1/2}/J \), quantifying
the sensitivity and the SNR in the estimation of temperature in the \((h_x/J - T/\Delta_g)\) parameter space. The increase of the sensitivity at the critical region is again clearly visible when \(T \lesssim \Delta_g\) as expected by the finite-size scaling approach discussed in section 2.

Finally, we examine the finite-size scaling of both the QFI and SNR versus \(\text{sgn}(\epsilon)M|\epsilon|^{4/7}\) when \(T/\Delta_g\) is fixed. As we observe in Figure 2(e) and Figure 2(f) respectively, initially different curves, that correspond a different total number of spins, collapse into each other after the scaling is applied, when \(T/\Delta_{\text{min}} = 0.17\). Our results clearly demonstrate that the sensitivity is subject to the finite-size scaling, and \(F_Q \sim \Delta_g^{-2}|\epsilon|^{4/7}\) and \(T/\sqrt{\delta^2 T} \sim (T/\Delta_g)^{1/2}(\epsilon M^{7/4})\) applies for the XXZ model even in the presence of a weak degeneracy, and that the scaling of the QFI with the number of spins is \(F_Q \sim M^2\) for fixed \(T/\Delta_g\) at the critical point.

5 Feasibility of critical quantum thermometry in spin systems

In the previous sections, we discussed the critical quantum thermometry for two examples of physical systems having different critical and scaling exponents. We also demonstrated by means of exact numerical calculations, that the finite-size scaling approach well captures universal scaling properties of the sensitivity. In addition, both systems can be realized in ultra-cold atomic experiments [32, 33]. In this section, we discuss the feasibility of criticality-aided temperature sensing in such systems in reference to current experimental capabilities. We assess this considering different observables of the system, and quantifying the sensitivity that a possible experiment could reach using (3) and the error propagation formula (EPF). The EPF is used in the estimation of a parameter, in this case \(T\), evaluated from accessible experimental measurements of an observable \(\hat{A}\), such that:

\[
\Delta^2 T = \frac{\Delta^2 \hat{A}}{|\partial_T(\hat{A})|^2}.
\]

Let us start the analysis with the spin-1 system.
We consider two possible measurements that can be experimentally realized: i) non-destructive Faraday rotation (FR) of the collective spin degree of freedom \( \hat{A} = \hat{J}_1^2 \equiv \hat{J}^2 - \hat{J}_z^2 \) \(^8\) [17, 60, 61] and ii) destructive absorption imaging of the ground state population \( \hat{N}_0 \). We note that the combination of such measurements is possible within the same experimental run since FR measurements are non-destructive and can be performed before a final destructive measurement of \( \hat{N}_0 \).

Figure 3 shows the sensitivity in temperature estimation quantified by the FR \( c^2F_e \) and 1/\( \Delta^2 T \) as in (3) and (15) for both \( \hat{N}_0, \hat{J}_1^2 \) with \( N = 200 \) at the critical point \( q_z/c = -1.869 \) (a), (c), and a near-critical point \( q_z/c = -1.8 \) (b), (d). The black-dashed lines indicate the sensitivities at the critical point itself. Therefore, although the optimal (energy) measurement would reach a maximum sensitivity at the critical point, the more experimentally accessible quantities show its maximum at a slightly displaced position. The black-dashed lines indicate a baseline sensitivity

\[
\begin{align*}
F_Q^\infty &\equiv \max_T F_Q^a(T, q_z)
\end{align*}
\]

evaluated at the corresponding \( q_z \) for the spin-1 system, where \( F_Q^a(T, q_z) \) corresponds to the QFI calculated for the Hamiltonian in (12) when the interaction term is neglected. In the limit of large \( N \) and very low temperatures, analytic expression can be obtained giving \( F_Q^a(T, q_z) = (q_z/T)^4(q_z)^{-2}(\sinh)^{-2}(q_z/T) \) for \( q_z \neq 0 \), as discussed in section C. This indicates that the critical behavior of the system enhances the sensitivity in the estimation of temperature. We can see that temperature estimation based in measurements of \( \hat{N}_0, \hat{J}_1^2 \) yield a similar sensitivity around the critical point, and that they are sub-optimal. We note that the measurement of \( \hat{J}_1^2 \) gives the best sensitivity at \( q_z = 0 \) since at this particular value, the Hamiltonian reduces to \( \hat{H}_1/c = -\hat{J}_1^2/(2N) \). In the case of the spin-1 system, the range of temperatures for which the system exhibit criticality-enhanced sensitivity is found in the region of an experimentally accessible range of temperatures \( \sim pK - nK \) for \( N = 10^3 \) and \( |c| = h \times 17 \) Hz [32].

The spin-1/2 XXZ model we are considering in this work has been recently realized for the first time in an ultra-cold atomic experiment [33] using a two-components ultra-cold bosonic gas in a 1D optical lattice. Each bosonic component takes the role of the ground(excited) state in the

\(^8\)Note, because \( \hat{J}_1^2 = \hat{J}^2 - \hat{J}_z^2 \) the equivalence of \( \langle \hat{J}_1^2 \rangle \) with \( \langle \hat{J}^2 \rangle \) arises due to the fact that we are considering \( \mathcal{M} = \langle \hat{J} \rangle = 0 \), and the assumption of fixed (non-fluctuating) magnetization, i.e., \( \Delta^2 \mathcal{M} = 0 \).
equivalent spin-1/2 model. In this case, the collective $\hat{S}_z = \sum_j \hat{s}_j^z$ and its higher moments can be measured through absorption imaging techniques, and the other collective spin components could in principle be accessed after a suitable rotation $\hat{S}_x \rightarrow \hat{S}_z$ and $\hat{S}_y \rightarrow \hat{S}_z$. More sophisticated methods, like quantum non-demolition FR measurements are also possible [62].

Figure 4 shows the numerical results of evaluating $F_Q$, $F_c$ and $1/\Delta^2 T$ using (5), (3) and (15) respectively, for $H_{1/2}/J$, and the spin observables $\hat{A} = \hat{S}_z$ and $\hat{A} = \hat{S}_x$, as a function of $T/J$. The optimality of measuring collective quantum correlations for quantum thermometry was pointed out in [59]. The results at the critical point $h_x = 0$ are shown in Figure 4 in panels (a) and (c). We observe that $F_c$ achieves maximum sensitivity bounded by $F_Q$ using both observables in the low temperature regime. Away from the critical point, at $h_x = 0.5$ the two signals are suboptimal, as shown in panels (b) and (d). We also observe that at this value of $h_x$ and for $\hat{A} = \hat{S}_x$, the sensitivity obtained using the EPF equals $F_c$.

Finally, let us briefly comment on the effect of imperfect detection. Typically, there is a decrease in the sensitivity when the noise is of the order of the parameter to be measured [63]. Consequently, in order to retain an acceptable sensitivity in the estimation of $T$, the detection noise should be smaller than the value of the energy gap $\Delta_g$. We briefly discuss the effect of detection noise in a particular situation in section D.

6 Conclusions

In this work, we explored the critical properties of quantum thermometry in systems exhibiting continuous QPT. We reviewed the general framework for temperature estimation and the sensitivity bound that naturally arise in this context, set by the QFI. We considered the finite-size scaling approach to link the sensitivity with universal scaling in terms of critical exponents, showing that the QFI is linked to the inverse of the lowest energy gap squared. Consequently, we understood that the rate of growth of the QFI, occurring at the critical region, is caused by the diminishing energy gap. The result obtained in (8), shows scaling of the QFI with $N$ of the form $F_Q \sim N^{2/(\nu d)}$, for fixed values of $T/\Delta_g$, at the critical region.

In order to examine our results for real physical systems, we have considered two different spin models: the spin-1 BEC and the Heisenberg XXZ spin chain which are experimentally realizable. We numerically compute the QFI and observe an increase of sensitivity around the criticality for both systems, as expected from general formalism presented in section 2. Our results confirm the universal scaling properties of the QFI and SNR around the critical points. Finally, we discussed the feasibility and optimality of realizing the critical quantum thermometer in such systems using different observables which are accessible with current experimental capabilities.
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A The optimal configuration for quantum thermometry

The maximization of the Fisher information was already presented in the literature, e.g., [38, 47], with the realistic proposal [63]. The general idea is to calculate the classical Fisher information from Eq. (3), which leads to the expression

\[ F_c(T) = \frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \delta^2 \hat{\Delta}_\alpha e^{-\hat{\Delta}_\alpha} \]

\[ + \frac{1}{T} \sum_{\alpha,\alpha'>\alpha} (\delta^2 \hat{\Delta}_{\alpha} - \delta^2 \hat{\Delta}_{\alpha'}) e^{-(\hat{\Delta}_\alpha + \hat{\Delta}_{\alpha'})} \]

\[ = g(\hat{\Delta}_\alpha) \left( \frac{T}{2} \right)^2 \]  

(17)

where we introduced \( g(\hat{\Delta}_\alpha) \) to mark the whole sum in the above expression which depends on \( m \) re-scaled energy gaps \( \hat{\Delta}_\alpha \). The minimum of \( F_c^{-1} \) signals the lower bound for the sensitivity in the temperature estimation. This idea is presented in [38] and later generalized for all \( m \) excited states in [47].

When taking into account the first excited state only, \( m = 1 \) in (17), one deals with the function \( g(\hat{\Delta}_1) \) which after maximization determines the bound for the Fisher information \( F_{max}(T) \approx 0.44/T^2 \) (or for the temperature fluctuations \( \delta^2 T_{min}/T^2 \geq 2.27 \)).

When taking into account two excited states, \( m = 2 \) in (17), one can easily see that the maximum for the CFI can be achieved when \( \hat{\Delta}_1 = \hat{\Delta}_2 \), meaning that degeneracy of the excited states is required to obtain the maximal value. The maximal Fisher information is then \( F_{max}(T) \approx 0.76/T^2 \) (or for the temperature fluctuations \( \delta^2 T_{min}/T^2 \geq 1.31 \)).

Finally, one can consider all the excited states and find that the maximum of the FI is achieved when all excited states are degenerated. Then, the Fisher information \( F_{max}(T) \approx (\log m)^2/(2T)^2 \) (or for the temperature fluctuations \( \delta^2 T_{min}/T^2 \geq 4/(\log m)^2 \) when \( m \gg 1 \)). More precisely, the gap that maximizes the QFI is given by:

\[ e^x(x - 2) = m, \quad \text{where } x = \Delta_{max}/(T) \]  

(18)

and the maximal value of the QFI is

\[ F_{Q,max}(T) = \frac{X_{max}}{(T)^2} \]  

(19)

with

\[ X_{max} = \frac{m x^2 e^{-x}}{(1 + me^{-x})^2}. \]  

(20)

B Scaling function around criticality

In this section we present a simple derivation of the relation \( \Delta^2 Q_F \) as a function of \( x = \epsilon N^{1/(\nu d)} \) and \( y = T/\Delta_y \) as expressed in Equation 8.

To show this, we first express the temperature in units of \( \Delta_y \), namely \( T = \Delta_y (T/\Delta_y) = y \Delta_y \). Then \( F_Q = \Delta_y^2 (\Delta^2 H)/(y^4 \Delta_y^2) \). Next, one needs to consider the quantity \((\Delta^2 H)/(\Delta_y^2)\).

The variance of the energy is \( \Delta^2 \hat{H} = \sum_n g_n E_n^2 e^{-E_n/T}/Z - (\sum_n g_n E_n e^{-E_n/T}/Z)^2 \), where \( g_n \) is degeneracy of the nth energy level. The ground and the first excited states provide leading terms in the low-temperature region ( \( T \ll \Delta_y \) ) [31, 38]. Under this approximation the variance of the energy can be expressed as \( (\Delta^2 H) \approx g_0 E_0^2 + g_1 E_1^2 e^{-\Delta_y/T}/Z - (g_0 E_0 + g_1 E_1 e^{-\Delta_y/T}/Z)^2 \), with \( Z \approx g_0 + g_1 e^{-\Delta_y/T} \), which simplifies to \( (\Delta^2 H) \approx g_0 g_1 \Delta_y^2/(g_0 e^{\Delta_y/2T} + g_1 e^{-\Delta_y/2T})^2 \) after some algebra. The ratio of energy variance and the energy gap squared then becomes \( \Delta^2 H/\Delta_y^2 \approx g_0 g_1/(g_0 e^{\Delta_y/2T} + g_1 e^{-\Delta_y/2T})^2 \equiv \tilde{g}(g_0, g_1, y) \). The dependence on \( x \) arises indirectly through \( y \) (since \( \Delta_y = \Delta_y(x) \)). The QFI can be written as

\[ F_Q = g_0 g_1 \frac{\Delta_y^2}{T} \left( \frac{\Delta_y}{T} \right)^4 \left( g_0 e^{\Delta_y/2T} + g_1 e^{-\Delta_y/2T} \right)^{-2} \]  

(21)

Note here, that if degeneracy \( g_0/1 \) is independent of \( N \) then it acts as the numerical factor and can be neglected in scaling analysis. However, if degeneracy is a function of \( N \) then it affects the scaling properties of the QFI and must be taken into account.
C Baseline sensitivity based on the uncorrelated counterpart of the systems

In this section we will consider the generic model Hamiltonian $\hat{H}^* = g\hat{H}_0$, which effectively describes the two system models considered in the main text after dropping the corresponding nonlinear terms. This corresponds to the situation at which the interaction term in the Hamiltonian becomes negligible as compared to the linear term $\hat{H}^* \propto g$, and takes place in the region far from the critical point. We calculate the QFI for this generic model in its eigenbasis, assuming $\hat{H}_0|n_0\rangle = E_{n_0}|n_0\rangle$ with $E_{n_0} = gn_0$, $n_0 = 0, \cdots, N$.

Now, we can compute the QFI for $\hat{H}^*$ using (5) and obtain:

$$F_Q^*(T, g) = T^{-4} \sum_{n_0=0}^{N} \frac{E_{n_0}^2}{Z} e^{-E_{n_0}/T} - T^{-4} \left( \sum_{n_0=0}^{N} \frac{E_{n_0} e^{-E_{n_0}/T}}{Z} \right)^2 \approx \frac{1}{4g^2} \left( \frac{g}{2T} \right)^4 \frac{1}{\sinh^2 \left( \frac{g}{2T} \right)}.$$

(22)

for $g \neq 0$, $N \to \infty$ and in the limit of low temperatures, where $e^{-E_{n_0}/T} \to 0$ for large $n_0$. A careful analysis of (22) shows that its maximal possible value as a function of temperature is

$$F_Q^* \equiv \max_T F_Q^*(T, g) \approx 4.88/g^2$$

(23)

when the temperature that maximizes the above expression $T^* \approx g/(3.83)$. This implies that the QFI tends to zero as $1/g^2$ when $g \to \infty$. If one identifies the control parameter $g$ with the distance from the critical point $\epsilon$, then one can conclude that the QFI tends to zero as $1/\epsilon^2$.

For the spin-1 system, we can identify $g = -q_z$ and $\hat{H}_0 = \hat{N}_0$. The corresponding eigenbasis is given by the Fock states $|n_0\rangle = |k, N-2k, k\rangle$ with $k = 0, \cdots, N$ and $E_{n_0} = -2qck$.

In particular, away from the critical point the analytic approximate expression for the QFI in the large $N$ limit is

$$F_Q^*(T, q_z) = \frac{1}{q_z^2} \left( \frac{q_z}{T} \right)^4 \frac{1}{\sinh^2 \left( \frac{q_z}{T} \right)}.$$

(24)

A similar expression can be obtained for the XXZ model. However, since in this case the critical point is $h_z = 0$, we do not use it to reference a baseline for the sensitivity in the main text.

D Effect of detection noise

Assuming the measurement of energy $\hat{A} = \hat{H}$, we show here the effect of detection noise. Therefore, we consider the Fisher information as in (3) where the probability distribution $p(E_\alpha) = e^{-E_\alpha}/Z$ is replaced with

$$P_{dn}(E_\alpha) = \sum_{E_\alpha'} \frac{e^{-(E_\alpha - E_\alpha')^2/2\sigma^2} \cdot N_{\alpha'}}{Z} P(E_\alpha'),$$

(25)

with

$$N_{\alpha'} = \sum_n e^{-(E_n - E_{\alpha'})^2/2\sigma^2}.$$  

(26)

In the optimal case, considered in section A, with all degenerated $m$ excited states one can obtain an analytical formula for the classical Fisher information

$$F_{dn} = \frac{1}{(T)^2} \frac{m(1-y)^2 \log^2 m}{4(1+y + 2my)(m + 2y + my \log^2 m)},$$

(27)

where we have taken the optimal energy gap $\Delta_{\alpha}/T = \log(m)$ and introduced $y = (T \log m/\sigma)^2$. One can easily note that in this optimal configuration the Fisher information is one parameter dependent function of dimensionless parameter $\sigma/T$. In general, FI with detection noise can be considered as unperturbed QFI multiplied by the function of the only parameter $\sigma/T$. A similar conclusion was made in [63]. An example of $F_{dn}$ is given in Figure 5.

E Numerical results for non-scaled quantities in Figure 1 and Figure 2

Figure 6 shows the numerical results for the same quantities shown in panels (d), (e) and (f) for
Figure 6: Upper row shows results for the spin-1 systems. Lower row displays the corresponding quantities for the XXZ model. (a) Energy gap $\Delta_g$ of the BEC spin-1 system. Panels (b) and (c) display $\Delta^2 F_Q$ and SNR respectively versus versus the distance from the critical point $\epsilon$, around the left critical point when $T/\Delta_{\text{min}} = 0.17$. Colors label different number of atoms $N$ as indicated in the legend of Figure 1. (d) Energy gap $\Delta_g$ of the XXZ model. Panels (e) and (f) display $\Delta^2 F_Q$ and SNR respectively versus $\epsilon$ when $T/\Delta_{\text{min}} = 0.17$. The colors indicate the total number of spins as shown in the legend of Figure 2.

both Figure 1 and Figure 2 before applying the corresponding scaling.
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