Strongly-interacting bosons at 2D-1D Dimensional Crossover
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We study a two dimensional (2D) system of interacting quantum bosons, subjected to a periodic potential in one direction. Such system exhibits a dimensional crossover between a canonical 2D behavior with Berezinski-Kosterlitz-Thouless (BKT) type of superfluid order and a one-dimensional (1D) behavior when the potential is large and splits the system in essentially independent tubes. The later is in the universality class of Tomonaga-Luttinger liquids (TLL). Using a continuous quantum Monte Carlo method, we investigate this dimensional crossover by computing longitudinal and transverse superfluid fraction as well as the superfluid correlation as a function of temperature, interactions and potential. We discuss how the consequences of the dimensional crossover can be investigated in cold atomic gases experiments.

Dimensionality plays an important role in the properties of quantum many-body systems, since it modifies the effects of quantum and thermal fluctuations. In three dimensions (3D), order is usually the norm at low temperatures due to moderate quantum and thermal fluctuations. Excitations are usually of a single particle nature. Two dimensionality (2D) usually re-inforces thermal fluctuations leading to an easier destruction of perfect long-range order, replacing it by quasi-long-range order at finite temperature as in the celebrated Berezinski-Kosterlitz-Thouless (BKT) transition [1, 2]. Topological excitations can be present. In one dimension, the fluctuations have even stronger effects and long-range order is usually destroyed even at zero temperature by quantum fluctuations, leading to exotic phases such as the Tomonaga-Luttinger liquid (TLL) [3, 4]. No single-particle excitations exist in this case and the behavior of the system is totally controlled by collective modes. These effects have been well explored both in condensed matter or cold atomic systems [4–7]

Although in most systems the dimensionality is well fixed, an important class of systems exists for which the dimensionality itself can be controlled either by temperature or by changing an internal parameter. This is for example the case of the organic conductors made of weakly coupled fermionic chains [8], weakly coupled spin chains and ladders [9–11], and coupled bosonic chains [12–15]. This class of systems thus exhibits a dimensional crossover [16] with a drastic change of the properties and excitations when varying a parameter. Understanding such dimensional crossover is a considerable challenge with important experimental consequences. For instance, for bosonic systems, recent researches have focused on such systems in the situation of superfluid to Mott insulator transition [12, 17, 18], out-of-equilibrium dynamics [13, 14], quantum droplets of bosonic mixture [19, 20] and supersolid phases [15].

For most condensed matter systems, a tight-binding description of weakly coupled well-defined low dimensional objects (e.g. chains) is an appropriate starting point. Using this description, mean field analysis [9, 17, 18] and numerical approaches [21] have studied the effect of the quantum fluctuations of the low dimensional objects on the ordering of the system and found important differences compared to the isotropic case, both for the critical temperature and the excitation modes. For cold atomic systems however, the system is usually split into lower dimensional units by raising the periodic potential of an optical lattice [5, 13, 22–24]. Although very deep potentials lead back to a tight-binding description [5], more complex situations can occur since for intermediate potentials, the low dimensional units are not defined from the start but smoothly emerging out of the higher dimensional system. In addition, the change of the periodic potential also affects the effective interactions as well as the kinetic energy. It is thus important, especially in connection with experiments with cold atoms, to see how dimensional crossover occurs in such continuous models.

This question is particularly relevant for two dimensional bosonic systems which have been recently realized in a homogeneous box potential, where the 2D BKT physics was clearly identified [6, 25–28]. Such systems can be continuously modulated to the limit of independent tubes by a unidirectional periodic potential, similarly than for 3D bosons in a trap [12]. Going continuously from the homogeneous 2D gas to the weakly coupled 1D TLL tubes offers new perspectives for the dimensional crossover.

In the present paper, we address such a problem with a direct idea of application to realistic cold atomic systems. We choose the strong interaction regime where quantum fluctuations are more pronounced. Using a quantum Monte Carlo approach, we study physical properties such as the longitudinal and transverse superfluid stiffness. We show that the density correlation functions evolves from BKT to TLL behavior. In addition to features in agreement with the tight-binding model, we also find additional intermediate regimes. We discuss the consequences of these findings for cold atomic experiments.

Model and approach.— We consider a 2D cold Bose gas with repulsive two-body contact interactions subjected to the external potential $V(r)$, with $r = (x, y)$ the position of the atom, governed by the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \sum_j \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla_j^2 + V(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_j) \right] + \sum_{j<k} U(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_j - \hat{\mathbf{r}}_k)$$

(1)

where $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_j$ is the position of the $j$-th particle and $U$ a short-range repulsive two-body interaction term. We add a unidirectional
lattice potential along the $y$ axis, which has a single dependence on position component $y$. It writes $V(r) = V_y \cos^2(ky)$ where $V_y$ is the potential amplitude and $k = \pi/a$ is the lattice vectors with $a$ the lattice period. We use the lattice spacing $a$ and the corresponding recoil energy $E_r = \pi^2a^2/2m$ as the space and energy units. The potential $U(r_i - r_j)$ is fully characterized by the 2D scattering length $a_{2D}$, which can be expressed as a function of the 3D scattering length $a_{3D}$ and linked with the coupling constant $g$ characterizing the interactions both in the 2D and 1D limits, see details in [5, 29–32].

To study the properties of the system at finite temperature, we rely on ab initio quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) calculations and use path integral Monte Carlo in continuous space to simulate the Hamiltonian (1). At a given temperature $T$, 2D scattering length $a_{3D}$ and chemical potential $\mu$, we find the particle density $n$ from the counting of closed worldlines. The superfluid fraction $f_s$ in both directions is computed from $f_s^i = \frac{1}{\gamma_i} + \frac{1}{n}$ where $i = x, y$ and $\gamma_i$ is the superfluid stiffness, determined by the winding number estimators under periodic boundary conditions [33]. Thanks to the worm algorithm implementations [34, 35], we can compute the one-body correlation function $g_1(x, y) = \langle \Psi^\dagger(x, y)\Psi(0, 0) \rangle$ in the open worldline configurations, which writes

$$g^{(1)}(x, y) = \int \frac{dx' dy'}{L_x L_y} \langle \Psi^\dagger(x' + x, y' + y)\Psi(x', y') \rangle,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

with $L_{x,y}$ the system size along the two directions. The momentum distribution $D(k_x, k_y)$ can be computed from its Fourier transform and the condensate fraction $f_c$ is obtained from the zero-momentum portion $f_c = D(0, 0)/(\sum_{k_x,k_y} D(k_x, k_y))$. Due to the finite size and periodic boundary conditions, the sum is performed over $k_i = j \times 2\pi/L_i(i = x, y)$ with $j$ integers. We use the same QMC algorithm as Refs. [36–39]. More details about the technique is shown in [32].

Phase diagram.— In Fig. 1, we show a sketch of the various regimes for strongly-interacting bosons at various temperatures and lattice depths. We focus on the temperature range $k_B T/E_r = 0.0067 - 0.2$ and the lattice potential range $V_y/E_r = 0 - 40$. Without losing generality, we consider the system size $L_x = 25a$, $L_y = 5a$, particle density $n = N/(L_x L_y) = 0.5a^{-2}$ and $a_{3D} = 0.01a$. In the strictly-2D and strictly-1D limits, this value leads to coupling constants on the scale $\tilde{g}_{2D} \simeq 1.36$ and $\tilde{g}_{1D} \simeq 10$. With the particle density we have chosen, we get the Lieb-Liniger parameter [40] $\gamma = mg/k^2n \simeq 20 \gg 1$ and TLL parameter $K = 1.01$ in the 1D limit. We also satisfy the condition for a 2D strongly-interacting gas $\tilde{g}_{2D} > 1$. Therefore our system remains in the strongly-interacting limit in the full range of parameters considered in Fig. 1.

In Fig. 1, we find five different regimes based on the superfluid fraction along the two directions and the condensate fraction. At low temperature, the system is a quantum degenerate gas in different dimensionalities. When $V_y$ is small, the system is a 2D quantum gas with a weakly modulated density (2D, yellow). A larger potential $V_y/E_r \sim 7$ causes important enough modulations in the density $n_{min}/n_{max} \leq 5\%$ and the system cannot be viewed as a 2D system any more, but starts to be built of coupled lower dimensional 1D units (“tubes”). This is denoted by the shaded blue region. When the modulation becomes large enough $V_y/E_r \sim 10$, the 1D units are well formed and one can consider with a high accuracy [5] that the system is described by a tight-binding Hamiltonian with a tunneling $t = \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}V_y^{3/4}}E_r^{1/4}e^{-2\sqrt{V_y/E_r}}$. Depending on the temperature and the tunneling, the tubes can be either coherently (C-1D, dark blue) or incoherently (I-1D, light blue) coupled [17, 18, 21].

The I-1D regime is identified by $f_c^y = 0$ in thermodynamic limit. For our finite size system, we use the criterion $f_c^y < 0.1\%$, represented by black square points in Fig. 1. Correspondingly, the condensate fraction $f_c$ remains finite at this crossover and drops to a small constant in I-1D regime [32]. The numerical results find a scaling of the crossover temperature $T_{cross} \sim t^4$, which matches well the analytic prediction based on the tight-binding model [17, 18, 21] with difference on $\nu$ less than 10%, and shown by the solid line between C-1D and I-1D regions in Fig. 1. See more details in [32]. For large potentials $V_y/E_r \geq 10$, our results on the continuous model are thus confirming fully the tight-binding results. This is not only due to the fact that the system itself is very well-described by the tunneling Hamiltonian, but also that in the 1D regime the interaction of the system is already very strong so the modification of the confinement by increasing $V_y$ has little effect on the TLL parameters.

At high enough temperature, the superfluidity of the system
is totally destroyed, leading to a thermal phase (TH, red). For the finite size we considered here, this regime is determined by $f^x_s, f^y_s < 0.1\%$. Note that the critical temperatures between thermal and quantum regimes are not equal in different regimes of dimension due to the fact that the long-range correlations are much more fragile in 1D comparing with the 2D case.

**Longitudinal superfluidity.**— The longitudinal superfluid fraction $f^z_s$ exhibits interesting properties at the dimensional crossover. Since there is no lattice potential directly applied on this direction, the behavior of $f^z_s$ reflects directly the effect of dimensionality. Based on the QMC results, we study $f^z_s$ along several cuts in Fig. 1.

Fig. 2(a) shows $f^z_s$ as a function of the lattice amplitude $V_y$ at various fixed temperatures. At low enough temperature $k_B T / E_r = 0.014$ (orange), the long-range coherence is preserved both in 2D and 1D limit for a system of finite size. Thus, $f^z_s$ remains at a large value around 1 for any potential strength considered here. On the contrary, at high enough temperature $k_B T / E_r = 0.143$ (blue), the superfluidity is completely destroyed in both 2D and 1D limits, which leads to $f^z_s \approx 0$ in both regimes.

At intermediate temperature, the dimensional crossover is visible since 1D superfluidity is fragile to the temperature while the 2D one survives. We choose $k_B T / E_r = 0.056$ (red) as an example. At small $V_y$, the system is in 2D regime and the quantity $f^z_s$ is at a large value nearby 1 because of the quasi-long-range order in the BKT phase even at finite $T$. When increasing $V_y$, the quantity $f^z_s$ starts to drop. In the range $V / E_r = 10 - 15$, its value shows a sudden and large decrease and hits a small value around zero since correlations decreases exponentially at finite $T$ in the 1D regime. The crossover between the C-1D and I-1D regimes happens at $V_y = 15 E_r$ at this temperature (see Fig. 1). Remarkably, the $x$ direction superfluid fraction shows a dramatic change although we only increase the transverse lattice amplitude $V_y$ without changing any parameters along $x$ direction.

![Figure 2](image-url)  
**Figure 2.** Superfluid fraction along $x$ direction $f^x_s$, at 2D scattering length $a_{2D} = 0.014 a$, particle density $0.5 a^{-2}$ and system size $L_x, L_y = 25 a, 5 a$. (a) The dependence of the lattice potential amplitudes $V_y$ at three different temperature $k_B T / E_r = 0.014$ (orange), 0.056 (red) and 0.143 (blue). (b). The temperature dependence at three different potential amplitudes $V_y / E_r = 0$ (orange), 15 (red) and 30 (blue). The black dashed line presents the analytical formula Eq. 3. The crossover temperatures at the two extreme cases is marked as $T_{2D}$ (2D) and $T_{1D}$ (I-1D).

The intermediate case $V_y = 15 E_r$ (red squares) shows that, for small temperatures $k_B T \leq 0.03 E_r$, $f^z_s$ follows essentially the 2D curve due to the coherent tunneling in both directions. Increasing further the temperature leads to a rapid drop of $f^z_s$ signaling the dimensional crossover. At $k_B T \geq 0.06 E_r$, the particles can hardly execute any coherent tunneling between tubes and the value $f^z_s$ hits and follows the 1D curve. Around $k_B T \approx 0.04 E_r$, the system cannot be considered either as a 1D or 2D superfluid as shown by the intermediate value of $f^z_s$ (square with black frame).

**Correlation functions.**— Let us now turn to the correlation functions $g^{(1)}(x, y)$, which measures directly the degree of coherence both along and perpendicular to the direction of the potential $V(y)$. We compute $g^{(1)}(x, y)$ at fixed temperature $k_B T / E_r = 0.021$, particle density $n = 0.5 a^{-2}$, and system size $L_x, L_y = 25 a, 5 a$. These parameters allows us to access all the quantum degenerate regimes (see Fig. 1). To capture the continuous evolution from 2D to 1D, we take four lattice potential $V_y = 0 E_r$ (homogeneous 2D), $5 E_r$ (strongly modulated 2D), $10 E_r$ (crossover to C-1D) and $32 E_r$ (I-1D) as examples. The results are shown in Fig. 3. 

Fig. 3(a)-(d1) show the full correlation function $g^{(1)}(x, y)$ in different regimes, reflecting both the longitudinal and transverse coherence. Various regimes are clearly visible. For (c1)-(d1) the potential $V_y$ is large enough that we are, for the temperature considered, essentially in the tight-binding description of single mode TLL. The decay along $y$ in (c1) shows the well-defined periodicity in $y$ that one could expect for wavefunctions corresponding to the ground state of an harmonic oscillator, see also [32]. In this regime one can decompose the wavefunction $\Psi(x, y)$ into the Wannier basis at large $V_y$. It writes $\Psi(x, y) = \sum_j b_j \phi(x, y - aj)$ with $\phi(x, y - aj)$ the local wavefunction on site $j$. This allows us to write the corre-
The relation function can be depicted by TLL theory at large distances. The extreme opposite case is the 1-D regime where the correlation function $g^{(1)}(x,0)$ for four different potential depths $V_y = 0E_r, 5E_r, 10E_r$ and $32E_r$, where the system is inhomogeneous 2D, 2D with shallow lattice, crossover to C-1D, and I-1D regimes correspondingly. Subfigures (a2)-(d2) are the cuts along $x$ direction, namely $g^{(1)}(x,0)$. The dashed lines in (a2)-(d2) are the linear fits in different regimes in the log-log scale (see details in the text).

Figure 3. Correlation function of the system for 2D scattering length $a_0 = 0.01a$, particle density $n = 0.5a^{-2}$, temperature $k_B T/E_r = 0.021$ and system size $L_y, L_y = 25a, 5a$. Subfigures (a1)-(d1) shows the full correlation function $g^{(1)}(x,y)$ for four different potential depths $V_y = 0E_r, 5E_r, 10E_r$ and $32E_r$, where the system is inhomogeneous 2D, 2D with shallow lattice, crossover to C-1D, and I-1D regimes correspondingly. Subfigures (a2)-(d2) are the cuts along $x$ direction, namely $g^{(1)}(x,0)$. The dashed lines in (a2)-(d2) are the linear fits in different regimes in the log-log scale (see details in the text).

While increasing the lattice potential $V_y$, two different processes appears in Eq. (4). On one hand, the harmonic approximation becomes more accurate nearby the potential minimum and the wavefunction $\phi(x,y)$ can be better approximated by the ground state of harmonic oscillator. This enhances the existence of the periodic pattern. On the other hand, the term $\langle \hat{b}_j \hat{b}_0 \rangle$ evolves from an algebraic decay into an exponential decay, which weakens the periodic pattern. Thanks to the competition of these two processes, the periodic pattern evolves non-monotonically along the dimensional crossover [32]. At the temperature chosen, (d1) shows a total loss of transverse coherence even between neighboring tubes indicating the entrance of the 1-D regime, while (c1) is at crossover to C-1D region with still excellent transverse coherence.

Cases (a1)-(b1) are clearly beyond the tight-binding description. One sees for (b1) the beginning of the formation of the periodic pattern but the correlation function varies very little along $y$ direction at the (low) temperature considered here, indicating the yet strong coherence in the transverse direction.

Let us now turn to the study of the $x$ direction correlation, which exhibits a stronger decay while we raise the transverse lattice potential. In Fig. 3(a2)-(d2), we plot the $x$-direction correlation $g^{(1)}(x,0)$ in log-log scale. In Fig. 3(a2), the system follows the property of 2D homogeneous quantum gas. It exhibits a BKT type of decay $g^{(1)}(x,0) \sim x^{-\alpha_{2D}}$ with $\alpha_{2D} = 1/n_s\chi_T^2$ the inverse quantum degeneracy parameter [5, 6]. By a linear fit in log-log scale(red dashed line), we find $\alpha_{fit} = 0.036 \pm 0.012$, which fits well with the expected value at the considered temperature $\alpha_{2D} = 1/n_s\chi_T^2 = 0.032$. The extreme opposite case is the 1-D regime where the correlation function can be depicted by TLL theory at large distance. It follows $g^{(1)}(x,0) \sim x^{-\alpha_{1D}}$ with $\alpha_{1D} = 1/2K$ linked with the Luttinger parameter $K$ [4, 7]. In the considered case, we have $K \approx 1.01$ and the expected scaling parameter $\alpha_{1D} \approx 0.50$. In Fig. 3(d2), we perform the fit (green dashed line) and find $\alpha_{fit} = 0.46 \pm 0.04$ which agrees well with the expected value. Here, one should note that at a much larger distance, the $g^{(1)}(x,0)$ will decay exponentially due to the small but finite temperature [4, 7]. However, it is beyond the system size we considered here.

The two intermediate cases Fig. 3(b2)-(c2) show how the longitudinal correlation evolves between these two integer dimensions. In the presence of a shallow lattice $V_y = 5E_r$, a sharper drop of correlation starts to appear at short $x$ distance, while the long range correlation remains similar to the 2D case with a similar exponent $\alpha_1 = 0.034 \pm 0.013$ (red dashed line). Further increasing the potential to $V_y = 10E_r$, the system reaches the C-1D regime and an even stronger short-distance decay is observed, see Fig. 3(c2). In log-log scale, two linear regimes with different slopes are clearly found. In both regimes, we perform the fit $g^{(1)}(x,0) \sim x^{-\alpha}$ and find $\alpha_1 = 0.16 \pm 0.01$ (orange dashed line) and $\alpha_2 = 0.034 \pm 0.02$ (red dashed line) in the small and large $x$ regions correspondingly. Recovering correlations at large distances that are similar to the 2D case (a2) can be expected since, due to the coherent tunneling in the transverse direction, the system essentially keeps its 2D character at large distances. The intermediate distance behavior is however strongly modified by the presence of the potential $V_y$. Note that the regime observed in (b2) and (c2) at short distance is not the short distance 1D powerlaw regime that it would be natural to expect in a tight-binding description. The continuous system thus offers in this intermediate coupling range of $V_y$ interesting new behaviors that will be worth investigating and understanding in more details.

Conclusion and experimental observability.— In summary, we have studied the properties of 2D strongly-
interacting bosons in a uni-dimensional periodic potential. We computed the phase diagram and correlation functions at different temperatures and lattice depths. Along cuts of the diagram, we find that the longitudinal and transverse superfluidity and correlation exhibit features characteristic of the dimensional crossovers existing between a 2D and 1D behavior.

The physics we describe here is adapted to current generation experiments. In cold atom experiments, low-dimensional quantum gases can be produced by loading an optical lattice potential on a continuous 3D BEC [24, 36, 42–47]. Our model gives a description that can be directly applied to such an experimental setup. For observing our main results, the demands of experimental parameters are temperature range \(k_B T/E_r = 0.02 - 0.1\) and interaction strength \(\gamma = 20\). Such conditions can be achieved by nowadays experiments, see for instance Ref. [24]. Moreover, a box potential can cure the problem of inhomogeneity induced by a harmonic trap [25–28].

Furthermore, all the main physical quantities are detectable. By performing a time-of-flight experiment, one can measure the momentum distribution \(D(k_x, k_y)\). The correlation function \(g^{(1)}(x, y)\) can be directly obtained by its Fourier transform [45, 48, 49]. The strength of unidirectional superfluidity could be observed from the excitation properties along given direction [50].
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Supplemental Material for
Strongly-interacting bosons at 2D-1D Dimensional Crossover

In this supplemental material, we provide details about the quantum Monte Carlo calculations (Sec. S1), the evolution for the superfluid and condensate fractions (Sec. S2), the crossover temperature between C-1D and I-1D regime (Sec. S3), and the correlation function along transverse direction (Sec. S4).

S1. QUANTUM MONTE CARLO CALCULATIONS

Here, we discuss the details about the quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) calculations we used in the main paper. In most of the results of the main paper, we use the path integral Monte Carlo implemented with worm algorithm. Within the grand-canonical ensemble, we can compute the relevant physical quantities at a given temperature $T$, 2D scattering length $a_{2D}$ and chemical potential $\mu$ with the standard path-integral Monte Carlo.

The 2D scattering length $a_{2D}$ we put into the QMC code can be linked with other physical quantities describing the interaction properties. For a 2D gas generated by a strong confinement on the transverse direction, the 2D scattering length can be expressed as a function of the 3D scattering length $a_{3D}$ and characteristic transverse length $l_\perp = \sqrt{\hbar/m\omega_\perp}$ [29, 30], which writes

$$a_{2D} \simeq 2.092l_\perp \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2a_{2D}}\right).$$ (S1)

Remarkably, in the regime of 2D or 1D gases, the quantity $a_{3D}$ can be linked with the coupling constant $g$ which describes the pseudopotential strength [5]. The 2D coupling constant $\tilde{g}_{2D}$ is [29, 30]

$$\tilde{g}_{2D} \simeq \frac{2\sqrt{2\pi}}{l_\perp/a_{2D} + 1/\sqrt{2\pi}\ln(1/\pi q^2 l_\perp^2)},$$ (S2)

where $\tilde{g}_{2D} = mg_{2D}/\hbar^2$ is the rescaled coupling constant and $q = \sqrt{2m|\mu|/\hbar^2}$ is the quasi-momentum. On the other hand, the 1D coupling constant $\tilde{g}_{1D}$ can be written as [5, 31],

$$\tilde{g}_{1D} = \frac{2a_{1D}}{l_\perp^2} \left(1 - \frac{1.036a_{2D}}{l_\perp}\right)^{-1},$$ (S3)

with $\tilde{g}_{1D} = mg_{1D}/\hbar^2$.

In the QMC calculations, the thermodynamic averages of an observable $A$ can be estimated by

$$\langle A \rangle = \frac{\text{Tr} \left[ e^{-\beta(H-\mu N)} A \right]}{\text{Tr} \left[ e^{-\beta(H-\mu N)} \right]},$$ (S4)

where $H$ is the Hamiltonian, $N$ the number of particles operator, $\beta = 1/k_B T$ the energy scale of inverse temperature, and Tr the trace operator [33]. Thanks to the worm algorithm implementations [34, 35], the configurations of worldlines can move aggressively. The particle number $N$ and density $n = N/L$ can be directly computed by the counting of worldlines. In the numerical data of the main manuscript, we always choose the proper $\mu$ to maintain the fixed particle density $n = 0.5a^{-2}$. Also, the superfluid fraction $f_i^s = \Upsilon_i / n(i = x, y)$ can be found from the superfluid stiffness $\Upsilon_i$ along certain direction $i$, which is computed from the winding number estimator under periodical boundary condition [33]. To me more specific, it writes

$$\Upsilon_i = \frac{1}{\beta L_x L_y} \frac{m}{\hbar^2} W_i^2, \quad i = x, y$$ (S5)

with $W_i$ the winding number along $i$ direction. With the definition of Eq. (S5), the value of superfluid fraction $f_i^s$ is in the range of $[0, 1]$. Moreover, in the open worldline configurations, we can compute the one-body correlation function $g^{(1)}(x, y)$ defined as

$$g^{(1)}(x, y) = \int \frac{dx' dy'}{L_x L_y} \langle \Psi^\dagger(x' + x, y' + y)\Psi(x', y') \rangle.$$ (S6)
This average of creation and annihilation operators can be estimated according to the worm statistics with open ends at \((x', y')\) and \((x' + x, y' + y)\) [34, 35]. Here, one should notice that for a system with sizes \((L_x, L_y)\), the correlation function is computed up to \((L_x/2, L_y/2)\). Consequently, the momentum distribution \(D(k_x, k_y)\) can be obtained from its Fourier transform,
\[
D(k_x, k_y) = \frac{1}{L_x L_y} \int dx dy \, g^{(1)}(x, y) e^{i(xk_x + yk_y)}
\]
and it is a discrete distribution with resolution \((2\pi/L_x, 2\pi/L_y)\) due to the finite size effect. Then, the condensate fraction \(f_c\) can be obtained from the zero-momentum portion
\[
f_c = \frac{D(0,0)}{\sum_{j,j'} D(j \frac{2\pi}{L_x}, j' \frac{2\pi}{L_y})}
\]
with \(j, j'\) taken the value of all integers. More details of the numerical techniques can be found from previous works [36–39]. Specifically, they contain the computation methods for the superfluid fraction [36, 38] and the correlation function [38], as well as the 2D scattering propagator implementations [39].

Moreover, for all the QMC results presented in the paper, we control the numerical parameter of the QMC calculation and minimize the errors induced by them. On the one hand, we use the small imaginary time step \(\epsilon = 0.05 - 0.25E_r^{-1}\). We always make sure that the value of \(\epsilon\) is much smaller than the inverse temperature \(\beta\) and the corresponded standard deviation of free particle propagator \(\sigma = \sqrt{\hbar^2\epsilon/m}\) is much smaller than the lattice period \(a\). On the other hand, we take large enough iterations to make sure the Monte Carlo statistics is sufficient. Typically, we take \(N_{\text{iter}} = 3 \times 10^8\) iterations with \(10^8\) warmup steps in advance. Certain parameters may demand larger values of iterations. Generally, we always make sure that a smaller \(\epsilon\) or larger \(N_{\text{iter}}\) will not change the physical properties presented in the main manuscript.

### S2. EVOLUTION FOR THE SUPERFLUID AND CONDENSATE FRACTIONS

The Fig. 1 of the main paper is obtained from the behavior of three quantities, the superfluid fraction along the two directions \(f_x^s\) and \(f_y^s\), and the condensate fraction \(f_c\). In this section, we explain in detail how the three quantities help us obtaining the
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In Fig. S1, we give one example of the behavior for the three quantities computed from QMC along the cut of Fig. 1 in the main text at fixed temperature $k_B T/E_r = 0.0135$. The background colors indicate the regimes of the system, namely 2D (yellow), C-1D (dark blue) and I-1D (light blue). The shaded area is the crossover between 2D and C-1D regime. By increasing the potential amplitude $V_y$, we find both the $y$-direction superfluid fraction $f_y^s$ and the condensate fraction $f_y^c$ drop, while the $x$-direction superfluid fraction $f_x^s$ remains almost a constant at a large value. At the crossover between the C-1D and I-1D regime, the $y$-direction superfluid fraction $f_y^s$ drops to zero and the condensate fraction $f_y^c$ converges to a small value and stays almost like a constant. The behavior of $f_y^s$ and $f_y^c$ is similar in most of the other cut below the thermal regime in Fig. 1 of the main text. Therefore, we can judge crossover potential $V_{y,cross}$ for entering the I-1D regime by the condition $f_y^s < 0.1\%$. Correspondingly, we always find $f_y^c$ saturates at small constant values at the obtained $V_{y,cross}$ which further confirms the validity of this judgement. In the example we show in Fig. S1, the crossover between C-1D and I-1D regime is found at $V_y = 28.0 E_r$. Here, one should notice that in the C-1D regime, the quantity $f_y^s$ takes a small but finite value, although it cannot be clearly view on the scale of the plot. However, in I-1D regime, the value of $f_y^s$ is either an even smaller value less than our criteria or absolute zero.

Moreover, one should notice that the $f_x^s$ may also drop to a smaller values when it enters the thermal regime. In another words, if we look at the cut of Fig. 1 in the other direction, i.e. the dependence on $T$ for fixed $V$, we can find the point where $f_x^s$ drops to almost zero. For instance, the three curves in Fig. 2(b) of the main text serve as good examples. In this process, since there is no lattice potential applied along the $x$ direction, the quantum coherence is totally destroyed by thermal effect and the system reaches the thermal phase. This is how we judge the TH area in Fig. 1 of the main text.

### S3. CROSSOVER TEMPERATURE BETWEEN C-1D AND I-1D REGIME

Here, we discuss in details about the crossover line between C-1D and I-1D regimes in Fig. 1 of the main paper. At large enough potential amplitude $V_y$, the system is in C-1D or I-1D regime at low temperature where we can write the effective tunneling $t = \frac{4}{3} V_y^{3/4} E_r^{1/4} e^{-2\sqrt{V_y}/E_r}$ thanks to the tight-binding description. In Sec. S2, we have discussed that one can compute a crossover potential $V_{y,cross}$ at each given temperature $T$. Equivalently, it means that at each given $t$ (or equivalently $V_y$), we can find a crossover temperature $T_{cross}$ above which the system enters the I-1D regime. In Fig. S2, we plot the detailed data of $T_{cross}$ as a function of $t$ from the QMC calculations (blue points). Here, the range of $t/E_r$ in Fig. S2 corresponds to the lattice potential $V_y/E_r$ from 10 to 32. From the QMC data, we find the scaling $T_{cross} \sim t^\nu$ and it shows a linear behavior in log-log scale. From the linear fit (red dashed line), we find $\nu \approx 0.72 \pm 0.04$. Remarkably, the exponent we found here is less than 10% different from the meanfield prediction $\nu_{MF} = 2K/(4K-1) \approx 0.67$ for the discrete model in the thermodynamic limit [18, 21].
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Figure S2. The crossover temperature $T_{cross}$ as a function of the transverse direction tunneling $t$. The QMC data is plotted as blue balls, and they follows a fit of the scaling $T_{cross} \sim t^\nu$ with $\nu \approx 0.72 \pm 0.04$ which is presented in red dashed line. Here, the system size is $L_x, L_y = 25a, 5a$, the particle density is $n = 0.5a^{-2}$, and the scattering length is $a_{2D} = 0.01a$. 

S4. CORRELATION FUNCTION ALONG TRANSVERSE DIRECTION

In Fig. 3 of the main paper, we have shown the evolution of the full correlation function \(g^{(1)}(x, y)\), where we find a non-monotonic behavior of periodic pattern along \(y\) direction. Here, we give the detailed data for the cut \(g^{(1)}(x_0, y)\) along \(y\) direction with fixed \(x = x_0\) at the four considered cases of lattice potentials, and discuss their behaviors comparing with the harmonic oscillator approximations.

Figure S3. The transverse correlation function \(g^{(1)}(0, y)\) of the system for scattering length is \(a_{2D} = 0.01a\), particle density \(n = 0.5a^{-2}\), temperature \(k_B T/E_r = 0.021\) and system size \(L_x, L_y = 25a, 5a\). Subfigures (a)-(d) corresponds to four different potential depths \(V_y = 0E_r, 5E_r, 10E_r\) and \(32E_r\). To have a better view of the periodic pattern evolution, we set the subplots (a)-(c) to have the same width along vertical axis in semi-log scale. The green and red dashed lines are the analytical formulas computed by the harmonic oscillator approximation (see details in the text).

We first present the cut at \(x = 0\), see Fig. S3. In Fig. S3(a), there is no lattice potential applied and the system is a homogeneous 2D gas. Thus, the function \(g^{(1)}(0, y)\) behaves similarly as the \(g^{(1)}(x, 0)\), which should follow a slow decay in BKT type \(g^{(1)}(0, y) \sim y^{-\alpha_{2D}}\) with \(\alpha_{2D} = 1/n_0\lambda_2^2 = 0.032\). At the system size \(L_y\) we consider here, it behaves almost like a constant. Increasing the lattice depth to large \(V_y\), the description by Eq. (4) of the main text starts to be valid with \(\phi(x, y - aj) \sim \exp[-m\omega(y - aj)^2/2\hbar]\) the ground state of quantum harmonic oscillator located at site \(j\) and \(\omega = k\sqrt{2V_y/m}\) the oscillating frequency. Under this harmonic oscillator assumption, we can estimate the shape of \(g^{(1)}(0, y)\) nearby integer values of \(y/a\).

In Fig. S3(b)-(d), we plot the estimated \(g^{(1)}(0, y)\) around \(y = 0\) (green dashed line) and \(y = a\) (red dashed line). Apparently, such a description becomes more accurate at larger \(V_y\) and it leads to a stronger periodic pattern contributed from the term \(\phi^*(x, y - aj)\phi(x, -aj)\) in Eq. (4) of the main text. From Fig. S3(b) to (c), thanks to the fact that the term \(\langle \hat{b}_j^\dagger \hat{b}_0 \rangle\) still decays slowly, we can directly observe an enhancement of the periodic pattern. Further increasing \(V_y\) to the case of Fig. S3(d), although the harmonic approximation of \(\phi(x, y - aj)\) becomes even more accurate, the system enters the 1-ID regime by losing its coherence along \(y\) direction and the strong decay of \(\langle \hat{b}_j^\dagger \hat{b}_0 \rangle\) eliminates the periodic pattern. Thus, we find the periodic pattern is strongly weakened.

Figure S4. The transverse correlation function \(g^{(1)}(3a, y)\) of the system for scattering length \(a_{2D} = 0.01a\), particle density \(n = 0.5a^{-2}\), temperature \(k_B T/E_r = 0.021\) and system size \(L_x, L_y = 25a, 5a\). Subfigures (a)-(d) corresponds to four different potential depths \(V_y = 0E_r, 5E_r, 10E_r\) and \(32E_r\). To have a better view of the periodic pattern evolution, we set the subplots (a)-(c) to have the same width along vertical axis in semi-log scale.

Moreover, we stress that the same type of non-monotonic behavior for the periodic pattern also appears at the other cut of \(x\). For example, in Fig. S4, we show \(g^{(1)}(x, y)\) at the cut \(x = 3a\). By increasing the lattice depth, we also find the periodic pattern appears and increases while entering the C-1D regime, and then becomes much weaker in the I-1D regime.