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Graphs representation learning has been a very active research area in recent years. The goal of graph representation learning is to generate graph representation vectors that capture the structure and features of large graphs accurately. This is especially important because the quality of the graph representation vectors will affect the performance of these vectors in downstream tasks such as node classification, link prediction and anomaly detection. Many techniques are proposed for generating effective graph representation vectors. Two of the most prevalent categories of graph representation learning are graph embedding methods without using graph neural nets (GNN), which we denote as non-GNN based graph embedding methods, and graph neural nets (GNN) based methods. Non-GNN graph embedding methods are based on techniques such as random walks, temporal point processes and neural network learning methods. GNN-based methods, on the other hand, are the application of deep learning on graph data. In this survey, we provide an overview of these two categories and cover the current state-of-the-art methods for both static and dynamic graphs. Finally, we explore some open and ongoing research directions for future work.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Graphs are powerful data structures to represent networks that contain entities and relationships between entities. There are very large networks in different domains including social networks, financial transactions and biological networks. For instance, in social networks people are the nodes and their friendships constitute the edges. In financial transactions, the nodes and edges could be people and their money transactions. One of the strengths of a graph data structure is its generality, meaning that the same structure can be used to represent different networks. In addition, graphs have strong foundations in mathematics, which could be leveraged for analyzing and learning from complex networks.

In order to use graphs in different downstream applications, it is important to represent them effectively. Traditional methods for representing graphs either use the adjacency matrix which is a square matrix whose elements indicate whether pairs of vertices are adjacent or not in the graph, or are based on feature extraction of the graph properties. However, the dimensionality of the adjacency matrix is often very high for big graphs, and the feature extraction based methods are time consuming and may not represent all the necessary information in the graphs. Recently, the abundance of data and computation resources paves the way for more flexible learning methods. Specifically, graph embedding methods have been very successful in graph representation. These methods project the graph to a lower dimensional space and preserve the properties of graphs.

Graphs can be static or dynamic. A static graph is a single fixed graph, while a dynamic graph evolves over time and its nodes and edges can be added or deleted from the graph. Most of the node embedding methods are suitable for static data. These methods capture the information in a static graph by applying different techniques including random walks, factorization methods and deep learning. In addition to static graphs, there are a large number of evolving networks in...
the real world and modeling the characteristics of dynamic graphs is more challenging than modeling static graphs. The dynamic graph embedding methods preserve the history of the interactions of the nodes and combine it with the current state of the nodes to represent the graphs. There are various categories of evolving graph representation methods including random walk based, temporal point process based and aggregation based methods.

In addition to traditional graph embedding approaches, recently there has been a focus on applying deep learning methods on graphs, which results in Graph Neural Networks (GNNs). GNNs have been very successful in different applications including node classification, link prediction and graph classification. One of the main advantages of GNN-based methods is that they support inductive inference in the sense that they can generate representation vectors for unseen nodes. Early works on GNNs were based on recurrent neural networks. However, later convolutional neural nets were developed that are more flexible and therefore more popular. There are spatio-temporal GNNs and dynamic GNNs that leverage strengths of GNNs in evolving networks.

In this survey, we conduct a comprehensive review on two types of graph representation learning methods, non-GNN based graph embedding methods and GNN-based methods in both static and dynamic settings. There are other surveys and books in the area of node representation learning [19, 22, 24, 31, 48, 63, 75, 82]. [19, 24] surveys cover non-GNN embedding methods for static graphs. The survey by Kazemi et al. [31] mainly focuses on non-GNN embedding methods for dynamic and knowledge graphs. [48, 75, 82] review the concepts in GNN methods for static graphs. [63] surveys the GNN methods for dynamic graphs. [22] covers graph representation learning for static graphs. The distinction between our survey and others is that our survey puts together the state-of-the-art and most important works for both GNN and non-GNN methods and also covers both static and dynamic graphs. In addition, we include some of the most recent works until the time of submission.

Below, we first provide some basic backgrounds on graphs. Then, we survey the most important and well-studied non-GNN static node embedding methods. After that, we review the non-GNN dynamic graph embedding literature and describe their categories. In addition, considering the excellent performance that graph neural nets have shown in different applications, we review different methods for graph neural nets for static and dynamic graphs as well. Finally, we discuss the open issues in the graph representation learning area as potential future research directions.

2 GRAPHS

Graphs are powerful tools for representing entities and relationships between them. Graphs have applications in many domains including social networks, E-commerce and citation networks. In social networks such as Facebook, nodes in the graph are the people and the edges represent the friendship between them. In E-commerce, the Amazon network is a good example, in which users and items are the nodes and the buying or selling relationships are the edges.

Definition: Formally, graphs are represented as $G = (V, E)$ where $V = \{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ is the set of $n$ nodes/vertices and $E = \{e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_m\} \subseteq V \times V$ is the set of $m$ edges/links of the graph $G$.

Graphs can be represented by different matrices as follows:

- Adjacency matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$: An element $a_{ij}$ in the matrix equals to 1 if there is an edge between each node pair $v_i$ and $v_j$ and is 0 otherwise. An adjacency matrix can be weighted in which the value of an element represents the weight (such as importance) of the edge it represents.
- Degree matrix $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$: Degree matrix is a diagonal matrix such that $d_{ii}$ is the degree of node $v_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and all other $d_{ij} = 0$. In undirected graphs, degree of a node refers to number of edges that are attached to that node. For directed graphs, degree of a node can be number of incoming or outgoing edges of that node.
Graph Laplacian $L \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$: Graph Laplacian is defined as $L = D - A$.

2.1 Graph Types

There are various types of graphs depending on the types of nodes and their relationships. Here, we explain some of the most important kinds of graphs.

Directed vs Undirected. In the directed graphs, an edge between the two nodes has a direction and represents an asymmetric relationship between two nodes. That means that an edge between node $v_i$ and node $v_j$ does not guarantee that an edge between nodes $v_j$ and $v_i$ exists. If there is an edge $e_{ij}$ between nodes $v_i$ and $v_j$, node $v_i$ is called the source node and $v_j$ is the target node. Digraph is another name for a directed graph in the literature. One example of directed graphs is a citation graph that a paper cites several other papers as its references but the relationship does not hold in the reverse direction. In undirected graphs, however, the relationship between nodes is symmetric. One example of the undirected graphs is a social network. In social networks, the friendship holds both ways meaning that if person $a$ is friend with person $b$ then person $b$ is also friend with person $a$.

Weighted vs Unweighted. In weighted graphs, a weight is assigned to each edge and can represent different concepts. In E-commerce networks, an edge weight could represent the number of times that a user bought an item. For instance, $w = 5$ means that a user bought a particular item 5 times. The weight could show the price that the user paid for that particular item. In unweighted graphs, the value of an edge weight is zero or one depending on the existence of the edge or lack thereof.

Attributed vs Non-attributed. Attributed graphs are those graphs where nodes and/or edges in the graph are associated with some attributes related to the properties of the nodes/edges. For instance, a social network can be represented by an attributed graph such that each vertex in the graph representing a person has some properties such as age, location and gender of that person. If the nodes/edges of the graph do not have attributes or we do not consider the attributes, we have a non-attributed graph.

Homogeneous vs Heterogeneous. A homogeneous graph consists of nodes of the same type. For instance, in a social network, nodes are the people and edges are the friendship between them. All the nodes have the same type and are people. In a heterogeneous graph, nodes can be of different types. As an example, in the Amazon network that is an E-commerce platform, users buy and sell items. Users and items are both nodes of this network and are of different types.

Static vs Dynamic. Static graphs have a fixed set of nodes and edges. Dynamic graphs change over time and nodes and edges in the graph can be changed. Dynamic graphs are more challenging than static graphs because of the evolving characteristics of the graph. Most of the real world graphs are dynamic. For instance in an E-commerce network, a user buys new items, items get added/deleted to the network and new users are added/deleted. As a result, the network evolves over time.

3 NON-GNN BASED GRAPH EMBEDDING

In order to use graphs in downstream machine learning and data mining applications, graphs need to be represented using numerical features. In traditional graph representation methods, nodes are represented using different features of the nodes such as incoming and outgoing node degrees and centrality properties of nodes. Usually, a node is represented with a set of features that could help the performance of the representation in a particular application. For example, in anomaly detection application, the nodes with the densest neighborhood have the potential to be anomalous. Therefore,
Fig. 1. The graph on the left hand side consists of 6 nodes \{a, b, c, d, e, f\} and 8 edges. Node embedding methods map each node of the graph into an embedding vector with dimension \(d\). For instance, the node a is embedded into an embedding vector \(z_a\).

Table 1. Operators for generating edge embeddings using node embeddings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operator</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>(\frac{z_i + z_j}{2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hadamard</td>
<td>(z_i \ast z_j)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted-L1</td>
<td>(</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted-L2</td>
<td>(</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

if we include the in-degree and out-degree of nodes in the node representation, we can more likely detect the anomalous nodes with a high accuracy because the anomalous nodes often have larger degrees.

However, it could be hard to find features that are important in different applications and can represent the entire structure of the graph. In addition, it is time consuming to extract different features manually as a preprocessing task before using the representations. More recent studies address the issue of automatically generating representation vectors for the graphs such that the output vectors preserve different properties of the graph. These approaches formulate the problem of graph representation as a machine learning task and generate embedding vectors leveraging the structure and properties of the graph as input data. Figure 1 shows the concept of embedding a node in the embedding dimension \(d\).

3.1 Node/edge/graph embedding

The node embedding vectors can simply be obtained from the node embedding methods. Given node embedding vectors, an edge embedding can be generated by applying several binary operators on node representation vectors as shown in table 1 [21]. Let \(z_i, z_j\) be the embedding vectors for nodes \(v_i, v_j\). Then, the embedding vector for the edge \((v_i, v_j)\) can be created by applying one of the operators. Similarly, graph representation vectors can be obtained by aggregating the representation vectors for all the nodes in the graph. One example could be taking an average of node embedding vectors of all the nodes in the graph.

3.2 Graph Embedding applications

The generated embedding vectors can be utilized in different applications including node classification, link prediction and graph classification. Here, we explain some of these applications.

**Node Classification.** Node classification task assigns a label to the nodes in the test dataset. This task has many applications in different domains. For instance, in social networks, a person’s political affiliation can be predicted based on his friends in the network. In node classification, each instance in the training dataset is the node embedding vector and the label of the instance is the node label. Different regular classification methods such as Logistic Regression and
Random Forests can be trained on the training dataset and generate the node classification scores for the test data. Similarly, Graph classification can be performed using graph embedding vectors.

**Link Prediction.** Link prediction is one of the important applications of node embedding methods. It predicts the likelihood of an edge formation between two nodes. Examples of this task include recommending friends in social networks and finding biological connections in biological networks. Link prediction can be formulated as a classification task that assigns a label for edges. Edge label 1 means that an edge is likely to be created between two nodes and the label is 0 otherwise. For the training step, a sample training set is generated using positive and negative samples. Positive samples are the edges that exist in the graph. Negative samples are the edges that do not exist and their representation vector can be generated using the node vectors. Similar to node classification, any classification method can be trained on the training set and predict the edge label for test edge instances.

**Anomaly Detection.** Anomaly detection is another application of node embedding methods. The goal of anomaly detection is to detect the nodes, edges, or graphs that are anomalous and the time that anomaly occurs. Anomalous nodes or graphs deviate from normal behavior. For instance, in banks’ transaction networks, people who suddenly send or receive large amounts of money or create lots of connections with other people could be potential anomalous nodes. An anomaly detection task can be formulated as a classification task such that each instance in the dataset is the node representation and the instance label is 0 if the node is normal and 1 if the node is anomalous. This formulation needs that we have a dataset with true node labels. One of the issues in anomaly detection is the lack of datasets with true labels. An alleviation to this issue in the literature is generating synthetic datasets that model the behaviors of real world datasets. Another way to formulate the anomaly detection problem, especially in dynamic graphs, is viewing the problem as a change detection task. In order to detect the changes in the graph, one way is to compute the distance between the graph representation vectors at consecutive times. The time points that the value of this difference is far from the previous normal values, a potential anomaly has occurred [20].

**Graph Clustering.** In addition to classification tasks, graph embeddings can be used in clustering tasks as well. This task can be useful in domains such as social networks for detecting communities and biological networks to identify similar groups of proteins. Groups of similar graphs/node/edges can be detected by applying clustering methods such as the Kmeans method [49] on the graph/node/edge embedding vectors.

**Visualization.** One of the applications of node embedding methods is graph visualization because node embedding methods map nodes in lower dimensions and the nodes, edges, communities and different properties of graphs can be better seen in the embedding space. Therefore, graph visualization is very helpful for the research community to gain insight into graph data, especially very large graphs that are hard to visualize.

### 3.3 Non-GNN based Static Graph Embedding

In this section, we review the non-GNN graph embedding methods that are developed for static graphs. As mentioned in the previous section, static graphs do not change over time and have a fixed set of nodes and edges. The majority of the graph embedding methods have been developed for static graphs.

**Definition (Graph embedding).** Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and $V$ and $E$ represent nodes and edges of the graph respectively. A graph embedding method encodes nodes into a lower dimensional space $d$ such that $d << |V|$.

Graph embedding methods preserve different properties of nodes and edges in graphs such as node proximities. Here, we define first-order and second-order proximities. Higher order of proximities can be similarly defined.
Definition (First-order Proximity). Nodes that are connected with an edge have first-order proximity. Edge weights are the first-order proximity measures between nodes. Higher weights for edges show more similarity between two nodes connected by the edges.

Definition (Second-order Proximity). The second-order proximity between two nodes is the similarity between their neighborhood structure. Nodes sharing more neighbors are assumed to be more similar.

The static graph embedding methods can be categorized into three categories: factorization based, random walk based and deep learning based methods [19, 24]. Below, we review these methods and the techniques they used.

3.3.1 Factorization based. Matrix factorization methods are the early works in graph representations learning. Graph Factorization algorithm [1], GraRep [7] and HOPE [55] are examples of factorization methods. These methods use the dot product of embedding vectors as a measure of the similarity of two vectors. More similar vectors have a larger dot product value. For two vertices \( v_i \) and \( v_j \), the dot product of their embedding vectors is \( z_i^T z_j \). In order to find similar embedding vectors for similar nodes, the embedding vectors are initialized with random values at first. Then, these methods find embedding vectors that minimize the error between \( z_i^T z_j \) and a proximity measure for every node \( v_i \) and \( v_j \) in the graph. In the Graph Factorization algorithm, the adjacency matrix is used as the proximity measure and the general form of the optimization function is as follows:

\[
\min_{z_i, z_j} \sum_{v_i, v_j \in V} |z_i^T z_j - a_{ij}| \tag{1}
\]

where \( a_{ij} \) is the element in the adjacency matrix corresponding to nodes \( v_i \) and \( v_j \). In GraRep and HOPE, the value of \( a_{ij} \) is replaced with other measures of similarity including higher orders of adjacency matrix, Katz index [30], Rooted page rank [64] and the number of common neighbors.

3.3.2 Random walk based. Random walk based methods have attracted a lot of attention because of their success in graph representation. The main concept that these methods utilize is generating random walks for each node in the graph to capture the structure of the graph and output similar node embedding vectors for nodes that occur in the same random walks. Using co-occurrence in a random walk as a measure of similarity of nodes is more flexible than fixed proximity measures in earlier works and showed promising performance in different applications.

Definition (Random Walk) In a graph \( G = (V, E) \), a random walk is a sequence of nodes \( v_0, v_1, ..., v_k \) that starts from node \( v_0 \). \((v_i, v_{i+1}) \in E\) and \( k + 1 \) is the length of the walk. Next node in the sequence is selected based on a probabilistic distribution.

Node2vec [21], DeepWalk [66] and HARP [10] belong to this category and will be explained below.

DeepWalk and Node2vec. DeepWalk [66] and Node2vec [21] are based on the Word2vec embedding method [52] in natural language processing (NLP). Word2vec is based on the observation that words that co-occur in the same sentence many times have a similar meaning. Node2vec and DeepWalk extend this assumption for graphs by considering that nodes that co-occur in random walks are similar. Therefore, these methods generate similar node embedding vectors for neighbor nodes. The algorithm of these two methods consists of two parts. In the first part, a set of random walks are generated, and in the second part, the random walks are used in the training of a SkipGram model to generate the embedding vectors. The difference between DeepWalk and Node2vec is in the way that they generate random walks. DeepWalk selects the next node in the random walk uniformly from the neighbor nodes of the previous node. Node2vec applies a more effective approach to generating random walks. In this section, we first explain the Node2vec random walk generation and then the SkipGram.
(1) **Random Walk Generation.** Assume that we want to generate a random walk \(v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k\) where \(v_i \in V\). Given that the edge \((v_{i-1}, v_i)\) is already passed, the next node \(v_{i+1}\) in the walk is selected based on the following probability:

\[
P(v_{i+1}|v_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{a_{v_{i-1}v_{i+1}}}{Z} & \text{if } (v_{i+1}, v_i) \in E \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

where \(Z\) is a normalization factor and \(a_{v_{i-1}v_{i+1}}\) is defined as:

\[
a_{v_{i-1}v_{i+1}} = \begin{cases} 1/p & \text{if } d_{v_{i-1}v_{i+1}} = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } d_{v_{i-1}v_{i+1}} = 1 \\ 1/q & \text{if } d_{v_{i-1}v_{i+1}} = 2 \end{cases}
\]

where \(d_{v_{i-1}v_{i+1}}\) is the length of the shortest path between nodes \(v_{i-1}\) and \(v_{i+1}\) and takes values from \(\{0, 1, 2\}\). The parameters \(p\) and \(q\) guide the direction of the random walk and can be set by the user. A large value for parameter \(p\) encourages global exploration of the graph and avoids returning to the nodes that are already visited. A large value for \(q\) on the other hand biases the walk toward local exploration. With the use of these parameters, Node2vec creates a random walk that is a combination of breadth-first search (BFS) and depth-first search (DFS). Figure 2 depicts the process of selecting the next node in the random walk generation of Node2vec. In this figure, the edge \((d, c)\) is passed and the next node from the neighborhood of node \(c\) will be selected based on the probability \(\alpha\) that depends on the parameters \(p, q\).

(2) **SkipGram.** After generating random walks, the walks are input to a SkipGram model to generate the node embeddings. SkipGram learns a language model, which maximizes the probability of sequences of words that exist in the training corpus. The objective function of SkipGram for node representation is:

\[
\max_{\Phi} \sum_{v_i \in V} \log P(N(v_i)|\Phi(v_i))
\]

where \(N(v_i)\) is the set of neighbors of node \(v_i\) generated from the random walks. Assuming independency among the neighbor nodes, we have

\[
P(N(v_i)|\Phi(v_i)) = \prod_{v_k \in N(v_i)} P(\Phi(v_k)|\Phi(v_i))
\]

The conditional probability of \(P(\Phi(v_k)|\Phi(v_i))\) is modeled using a softmax function:

\[
P(\Phi(v_k)|\Phi(v_i)) = \frac{\exp(\Phi(v_k)\Phi(v_i))}{\sum_{v_j \in V} \exp(\Phi(v_j)\Phi(v_i))}
\]

The softmax function nominator is the dot product of the node representation vectors. Since the dot product between two vectors measures their similarity, by maximizing the softmax function for neighbor nodes, the generated node representations for neighbor nodes tend to be similar. Computing the denominator of the conditional probability is time consuming between the target node and all the nodes in the graph. Therefore, DeepWalk and Node2vec approximate it using hierarchical softmax and negative sampling, respectively.

**HARP.** Hierarchical Representation Learning for Networks (HARP) [10] is another random walk based embedding method. HARP’s contribution is twofold. First, it captures the neighborhood structure of graphs better than other methods that only preserve the first and second order proximity of nodes. Second, it avoids getting stuck in the local minimum for DeepWalk and Node2vec because it initializes the node embeddings with better values in the training
HARP has a graph coarsening algorithm that generates a hierarchy of smaller graphs as $G_0, G_1, ..., G_L$ such that $G_0 = G$. Starting from the $G_L$ which is the smallest graph, the node embeddings that are generated for $G_L$ are used as initial values for nodes in $G_{L-1}$. The embedding at each step can be created using the LINE [66], DeepWalk [66] and Node2vec [21] methods.

**LINE.** Large-scale Information Network Embedding (LINE) [66] is another node embedding method. LINE is not based on random walks but because it is computationally related to DeepWalk [66] and Node2vec [21] and its results are usually compared with them. LINE generates node embeddings that preserve the first-order and second-order proximities in the graph using a loss function that consists of two parts. In the first part, it minimizes the following loss to preserve the first-order proximity:

$$L_1 = - \sum_{(v_i, v_j) \in E} w_{ij} \log \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-z_i^T z_j)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $z_i, z_j$ are the embedding vectors for nodes $v_i, v_j$. $w_{ij}$ is the weight of edge $(v_i, v_j)$. In the second part, for preserving the second-order proximity, it assumes that nodes that have many connections to other nodes in common are similar. Therefore, each node can be a context to other nodes and nodes with similar distribution over contexts are similar. LINE considers two representations for a node $v_i$. $z_i$ is the node embedding for $v_i$ as a node and $z_i'$ is the node embedding for $v_i$ as the context. Then, it minimizes the following loss:

$$L_2 = - \sum_{(v_i, v_j) \in E} w_{ij} \log \frac{\exp(z_j'^T z_i)}{\sum_{k=1}^n \exp(z_k'^T z_i)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

LINE trains two models that minimize $L_1$ and $L_2$ separately and then the embedding of a node is the concatenation of its embeddings from two models.

### Deep learning based

Deep learning based graph embedding methods use deep learning techniques such as autoencoders. SDNE [70] and VGAE [35] fall in this category and are explained below.

**SDNE.** Structural Deep Neural Embedding (SDNE) [70] is based on an autoencoder. This autoencoder tries to reconstruct the adjacency matrix of a graph and captures nodes’ first-order and second-order proximities. To that end, SDNE jointly optimizes a loss function that consists of two parts. The first part preserves the second-order proximity of the nodes and minimizes the following loss function:

$$L_1 = \sum_{v_i \in V} |(x_i - x_i') \odot b_i|$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)
where $x_i$ is the row corresponding to node $v_i$ in the graph adjacency matrix and $x'_i$ is the reconstruction of $x_i$, $b_i$ is a vector consisting of $b_{ij}$s for j from 1 to n (the number of nodes in the graph). If $a_{ij} = 0$, $b_{ij} = 1$; otherwise, $b_{ij} = \beta > 1$.

$a_{ij}$ is the element corresponding to nodes $v_i$ and $v_j$ in the adjacency matrix. Using $b_i$, SDNE assigns more penalty for the error in the reconstruction of the non-zero elements in the adjacency matrix to avoid reconstructing only zero elements in sparse graphs. The second part captures the first-order similarity and optimizes $L_2$:

$$L_2 = \sum_{(v_i, v_j) \in E} |a_{ij}(z_i - z_j)|$$

where $z_i$ and $z_j$ are the embedding vectors for nodes $v_i$ and $v_j$, respectively. In this way, a higher penalty is assigned if the difference between the embedding vectors of two nodes connected by an edge is higher, resulting in similar embedding vectors for nodes connecting with an edge. This loss is based on ideas from Laplacian Eigenmaps [5]. SDNE jointly optimizes $L_1$ and $L_2$ to generate the node embedding vectors. The embedding method DNGR [8] is also very similar to SDNE with the difference that DNGR uses pointwise mutual information of two nodes co-occurring in random walks instead of the adjacency matrix values.

**VGAE.** Variational Graph Autoencoder (VGAE) [35] is a variant of variational autoencoders on graph data. The encoder part uses two Graph Convolutional Networks (GCN) [34] and the decoder is based on an inner product. The variational graph encoder encodes the observed graph data including the adjacency matrix and node attributes into low-dimensional latent variables.

$$q(Z|A, X) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} q(z_i|A, X),$$

with $q(z_i|A, X) = N(z_i|\mu_i, diag(\sigma_i^2))$

where $z_i$ is the embedding vector for node $v_i$, $\mu_i$ is a mean vector for node $v_i$ in the matrix of mean vectors $\mu = GCN_\mu(A, X)$, and $\sigma_i$ is the log standard deviation vector of node $v_i$ from the standard deviation matrix $\sigma = GCN_\sigma(A, X)$. $A$ and $X$ are the adjacency matrix and attribute matrix of the graph, respectively. The variational graph decoder decodes the latent variables into the distribution of the observed graph data as follows:

$$p(A|Z) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{j=1}^{N} p(a_{ij}|z_i, z_j),$$

with $p(a_{ij} = 1|z_i, z_j) = sigmoid(z_i^Tz_j)$

The model generates embedding vectors that minimize the distance between the $p$ and $q$ probability distributions using the KL-divergence measure, SGD and reparametrization trick. VGAE is a generative model and can be used to generate embeddings for unseen data and has shown to have good performance in link prediction.

### 3.4 Non-GNN based Dynamic Graph Embedding

Most real-world networks evolve, with nodes and edges being added and deleted from the networks. Dynamic graphs are represented in two ways in the dynamic graph embedding studies: discrete-time and continuous-time.

**Definition (Discrete-time dynamic graphs).** In discrete-time dynamic graph modeling, dynamic graphs are considered a sequence of graphs’ snapshots at consecutive time points. Formally, dynamic graphs are represented as $G = G_0, G_1, ..., G_T$ which $G_i$ is a snapshot of the graph $G$ at time $i$. The dynamic graph is divided into graph snapshots using a time granularity such as hours, days, months and years depending on the dataset and applications.
Definition (Continuous-time dynamic graphs). In continuous-time dynamic graph modeling, changes in the graph are depicted by a sequence of events over time. The events are the changes in the dynamic graphs such as adding/deleting edges/nodes and are represented by a tuple (type of event, event, time of event).

Definition (Dynamic graph embedding). We can use either the discrete-time or the continuous-time approach for representing a dynamic graph. Let \( G_t = (V_t, E_t) \) be the graph at time \( t \) with \( V_t, E_t \) as the nodes and edges of the graph. Dynamic graph embedding methods map nodes in the graph to a lower dimensional space \( d \) such that \( d << |V| \).

Dynamic graph node embedding methods are more challenging than static graph embedding methods because of the challenges in modeling the evolution of graphs. Different methods have been proposed for dynamic graph embedding recently. Here, we provide an overview of the dynamic embedding methods and categorize these methods into four categories: Aggregation based, Random walk based, Deep learning based and Temporal point process based [3, 31].

3.4.1 Aggregation based. Aggregation based dynamic graph embedding methods aggregate the dynamic information of graphs to generate embeddings for dynamic graphs. These methods can fall into two groups:

1) Aggregating the temporal features. In these methods, the evolution of the graph is simply collapsed into a single graph and the static graph embedding methods are applied on the single graph to generate the embeddings. For example, the aggregation of the graph over time could be the sum of the adjacency matrices for discrete-time dynamic graphs [42] or the weighted sum which gives more weights to recent graphs [62]. One drawback of these methods is that they lose the time information of graphs that reveals the dynamics of graphs over time. For instance, there is no information about when any edge was created. *Factorization-based models* can also fit into the aggregation based category. The reason is that factorization based models save the sequence of graphs over time in a three dimensional tensor \( \in \mathbb{R}^{V \times V \times T} \) (\( T \) is a time dimension) and then apply factorization on this tensor to generate the dynamic graph embeddings [14].

2) Aggregating the static embeddings. These aggregation methods first apply static embedding methods on each graph snapshot in the dynamic graph sequence. Then, these embeddings are aggregated into a single embedding matrix for all the nodes in the graph. These methods usually aggregate the node embeddings by considering a decay factor that assigns a lower weight to older graphs. [78, 86]. In another type of these methods, the sequence of graphs from time 0 to \( t - 1 \) are fit into a time-series model like ARIMA that predicts the embedding of the next graph at time \( t + 1 \) [13].

3.4.2 Random walk based. Random walk based approaches extend the concept of random walks in the static graphs for dynamic graphs. Random walks in dynamic graphs capture the time dependencies between graphs over time in addition to the topological structure of each of the graph snapshots. Depending on the definition of random walks, different methods include the temporal information of the graphs differently. DynNode2vec [50], CTDNE [54], LSTM-Node2vec [32] and EvoNRL [25] belong to the random walk based dynamic node embedding methods.

CTDNE. Continuous Time Dynamic Network Embedding method (CTDNE) [54] defines a temporal walk to capture time dependencies between nodes in dynamic graphs. CTDNE considers a continuous-time dynamic graph such as graph \( G = (V, E_T, T) \) which \( V, E_T, T \) are nodes and edges of the graph and time \( T : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \). Each edge \( e \) in this graph is represented by a tuple \( (u, v, t) \) which \( u, v \) are the nodes connected by the edge and \( t \) is the time of occurrence of that edge.

Definition (Temporal Walk). A temporal walk is a sequence of nodes \( v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k \) such that \( (v_i, v_{i+1}) \in E_T \) and \( t(v_{i-1}, v_i) \leq t(v_i, v_{i+1}) \).

An important concept in CTDNE is that time is respected in selecting the next edge in a temporal walk. In order to generate these temporal walks, first a time and a particular edge in that time, \( e = (u, v, t) \) is selected based on one of three probability distributions: uniform, exponential and linear. The uniform probability for an edge \( e \) is \( p(e) = 1/|E_T| \).
The exponential probability is:

$$p(e) = \frac{\exp(t_e - t_{\text{min}})}{\sum_{e' \in E_t} \exp(t_{e'} - t_{\text{min}})}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where $t_{\text{min}}$ is the minimum time of an edge in the graph. Using exponential probability distribution, edges that appear at a later time are more likely to be selected. After selecting $e = (u, v, t_e)$, the next node in the temporal walk is selected from the neighbors of node $v$ in time $t_e + k$ where $k > 0$ again using one of the uniform, exponential or linear probability distributions. The generated temporal walks are then input to a SkipGram model and the temporal node representation vectors are generated.

**DynNode2vec.** DynNode2vec [50] is a dynamic version of Node2vec [21] and uses a discrete-time approach for dynamic graph representation learning. This method represents the dynamic graph as a sequence of graph snapshots over time as $G_0, G_1, ..., G_T$. The embedding for the graph at time 0, $G_0$ is computed by applying Node2vec on $G_0$. Then, for next time points, the SkipGram model of $G_{t+1}$ is initialized using node representations from $G_t$ for nodes that are common between consecutive time points. New nodes will be initialized randomly. In addition, dynnode2vec does not generate random walks at each time step $i$ from scratch. Instead, it uses random walks from the previous time $i - 1$ and only updates the ones that need to be updated. In order to update random walks, dynnode2vec defines a set of nodes that are changed at time $t$, $\delta V_t$ as follows:

$$\delta V_t = V_{\text{add}} \cup \{ v_i \in V_t \mid \exists (v_i, t_j) \in (E_{\text{add}}, E_{\text{del}}) \}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where $V_{\text{add}}, E_{\text{add}}$ are nodes and edges that are added and $E_{\text{del}}$ are deleted edges at time $t$. Then, this method updates random walks by generating new walks for nodes that are added or their neighborhood have changed and deleting the walks related to deleted nodes. DynNode2vec has two advantages. First, it saves time because it does not generate all the walks in each step. Second, since the SkipGram model at time $t$ is initialized with weights from time $t - 1$, embedding vectors of consecutive times are in the same embedding space, embedding vectors of nodes change smoothly over time and the model converges faster.

**LSTM-Node2vec.** LSTM-Node2vec [32] is a dynamic graph embedding method that captures both the static structure and evolving patterns in graphs. LSTM-Node2vec model consists of an LSTM autoencoder and a Node2vec model. This model combines the strength of LSTM in capturing order dependency of nodes with the power of Node2vec for generating node representations. The dynamic graph is represented as a sequence of snapshots over time as $G_0, G_1, ..., G_T$. For each graph $G_i$ at time $t_i$, first, a set of temporal walks is generated for each node in the graph. Each temporal random walk of a node $v$ is represented as $w_0, w_1, ..., w_L$ of length $L$ which $w_j$ is a neighbor of the node $v$ at time $t_j$ in graph $G_j$ and $t_j < t_{j+1}$. These temporal walks demonstrate changes in the neighborhood structure of the node before time $t_i$.

The inputs and outputs of the LSTM autoencoder for a graph $G_i$ are the generated temporal random walks. In order to keep the generated embeddings for graphs at consecutive time points in the same embedding space, the autoencoder of $G_i$ is initialized with the weights of the model from $G_{i-1}$. After training the LSTM autoencoder, the weights of the input layer of the LSTM encoder are used as initial weights for the SkipGram model of a Node2vec model for $G_i$.

The SkipGram is trained using the random walks obtained from the graph $G_i$. This way, both the static and dynamic information of the graph at time $t_i$ are captured. Figure 3 illustrates the framework of LSTM-Node2vec.

**EvoNRL.** Evolving Network Representation Learning (EvoNRL) [25] is another random walk based dynamic graph embedding. This method’s focus is on maintaining a set of valid random walks for the graph at each time point so that the generated node embeddings using these random walks stay accurate. To that end, EvoNRL updates the existing
random walks from previous time points instead of generating random walks from scratch. Specifically, it considers four cases of edge addition, edge deletion, node addition and node deletion for evolving graphs and updates the affected random walks accordingly. For instance, in the edge addition case, EvoNRL finds random walks containing the nodes which are connected by the updated link and updates those walks. However, updating random walks is time consuming, especially for large graphs. Therefore, EvoNRL proposed an indexing mechanism for fast retrieval of random walks. This method builds an inverted index using nodes as keys and the information about their related walks consisting of the node’s location in the walks and the number of times it occurs in the walks as values. EvoNRL also proposes that the update of node embeddings can be done adaptively by monitoring the changes in the graph.

3.4.3 Deep learning based. This type of dynamic graph embedding methods use deep learning models such as RNNs and autoencoders. Here, we explain DynGEM [20], Dyn-VGAE [51] and Dyngraph2vec [18] from this class.

**DynGEM.** DynGEM [20] is based on the static graph embedding method SDNE [70]. Let the dynamic graph be a sequence of graph snapshots $G_0, G_1, ..., G_t$. The embeddings for graph $G_0$ are computed using a SDNE model. The embedding of $G_i$ is obtained by running a SDNE model on $G_i$ that is initialized with the embeddings from $G_{i-1}$. This initialization leads to generating node embeddings at consecutive time points that are in the same embedding space and can reflect the changes in the graph at consecutive times accurately. The size of the graphs can change over time. DynGEM uses Net2WiderNet to change the number of nodes in each layer and Net2DeeperNet to change the number of layers to compute the embeddings for bigger graphs [9].

**Dyn-VGAE.** Dynamic joint Variational Graph Autoencoders [51] is a dynamic version of Variational Graph Autoencoder (VGAE) [35] which is a static node representation learning method. The input to dyn-VGAE is the dynamic graph as a sequence of graph snapshots, $G_0, G_1, ..., G_T$. At each time point, the embedding of the graph snapshot $G_i$ is obtained using VGAE. However, the loss of the model at time $t$ has two parts. The first part is related to VGAE loss and the second loss is a KL divergence measure that minimizes the difference between two distributions as follows:

$$L_t^f = KL[q_t(Z_t|X_t, A_t)||N(Z_{t-1}, \sigma^2)]$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where $q_t(Z_t|X_t, A_t)$ is the distribution of latent vectors at time $t$ and $N(Z_{t-1}, \sigma^2)$ is a normal distribution with mean $Z_{t-1}$ and standard deviation $\sigma$. This loss places the current latent vectors $Z_t$ near latent vectors of previous time point.
$Z_{t-1}$. This approach can be extended to consider multiple previous time points in the current latent vector generation. The loss function of all the models for the graph at time 0 to $T$ are jointly trained. The generated representation vectors preserve both the structure of the graph at each time point and evolutionary patterns obtained from previous time points.

**Dyngraph2vec.** Dyngraph2vec [18] generates embeddings at time $t$ using an autoencoder. This method inputs adjacency matrices of previous times $A_0, A_1, ..., A_{t-1}$ to the encoder and using the decoder reconstructs the input and generates the embeddings at time $t$. Dyngraph2vec proposes several variants using a fully connected model or a RNN/LSTM model for the encoder and the decoder: dyngraph2vecAE, dyngraph2vecAERNN and dyngraph2vecRNN. Dyngraph2vecAE uses an autoencoder, dyngraph2vecAERNN is based on an LSTM autoencoder and dyngraph2vecAERNN has a LSTM encoder and a fully connected decoder.

### 3.4.4 Temporal point process based.

This class of the dynamic graph embedding methods assumes that the interaction between nodes for creating the graph structure is a stochastic process and models it using temporal point processes. HTNE [88] and DyRep [67] are examples of this category.

**HTNE.** Hawkes process based Temporal Network Embedding (HTNE) [88] generates embeddings for dynamic graphs by modeling the neighborhood formation of nodes as a hawkes process. In a hawkes process modeling, the occurrence of an event at time $t$ is influenced by events that occur before time $t$ and a conditional intensity function characterizes this concept. Let $G = (V, E, A)$ be the temporal network which $V$, $E$, $A$ are the nodes, edges and events. Each edge $(u, v)$ in this graph is associated with a set of events $a_{ij} = \{a_1 \rightarrow a_2 \rightarrow \ldots \} \subset A$ where each $a_i$ is an event at time $i$.

**Definition (Neighborhood Formation Sequence).** A neighborhood formation sequence for a node $v_i$ is a series of neighborhood arrival events $\{v_i : (u_0, t_0) \rightarrow (u_1, t_1) \ldots \rightarrow (u_k, t_k)\}$ where $u_i$ is a neighbor of $v_i$ that occurs at time $t_i$.

HTNE models the neighborhood formation for a node $v$ using the neighborhood formation sequence $H_v$. The probability that an edge forms between node $v$ and a target neighbor $u$ at time $t$ is represented using the following formula:

$$p(u|v, H_v) = \frac{\lambda_{u|v}(t)}{\sum_{u'} \lambda_{u'|v}(t)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

where $\lambda_{y|x}(t)$ is defined:

$$\lambda_{u|v}(t) = \exp(\mu_{u,v} + \sum_{h,u} a_{h,u} \kappa(t - t_h))$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

$\lambda_{u|v}(t)$ is the conditional intensity function of a hawkes process which is the arrival rate of target neighbor $u$ for node $v$ at time $t$ given the previous neighborhood formation sequence. $\mu_{u,v}$ is a base rate of edge formation between $u, v$ and it is equal to $|z_u - z_v|$. $h$ is a historical neighbor of the node $v$ in the neighborhood formation sequence in a time before $t$. $a_{h,u}$ is the degree that the historical neighbor $h$ is important for $u$ and it equals $|z_h - z_u|$. $\kappa(t - t_h)$ is a decay factor to control the intensity of influence of a historical node on $u$. HTNE generates embedding vectors that maximize the $\sum_{v \in V} \sum_{u \in H_v} p(u|v, H_v)$ for all the nodes using SGD and negative sampling to deal with a large number of computations in the denominator of the probability function.

**DyRep.** DyRep [67] is another method that captures the dynamic of graphs using two temporal point process models. DyRep argues that in the evolution of a graph two types of events occur: communication and association. Communication events are related to node interactions and association events are the topological evolution and these events occur at different rates. For instance, in a social network, a communication event such as liking a post from someone happens much more frequently than an association event like creating a new friendship. DyRep represents
these two events as two temporal point process models. At any time $t$, an edge in the graphs is represented as $(u, v, t, k)$ where $u, v$ are the nodes connected by the edge, $t$ is the time of the event and $k \in \{0,1\}$ specifies the type of event. $k = 0$ for association events and 1 for communication events. The intensity function of the temporal point process models of DyRep is as follows:

$$\lambda_k(t) = \psi_k \log(1 + \exp\left(\frac{\omega_k^T [z^u_k; z^v_k]}{\psi_k}\right))$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

where $\psi_k$ is the rate of a specific event. $[;]$ is the concatenation of two vectors. $z^u_k, z^v_k$ are the embedding vectors of $u, v$ at time $t$. $\omega_k$ is the parameter that learns the time-scale compatibility. Given the intensity function, the embeddings are computed by maximizing the probability of occurrence of events for all nodes using SGD.

**MTNE.** Motif-preserving Temporal Network Embedding (MTNE) [26] is a dynamic graph embedding method that is based on two concepts of triad motif evolution and the hawkes process. This method considers the evolution of graphs as the evolution of motifs in the graphs and models that evolution using a hawkes process. MTNE argues that a model such as HTNE based on neighborhood formation processes considers network evolution at edge and node levels and can not reflect network evolution very well. Therefore, MTNE models dynamics in a graph as a subgraph (motif) evolution process.

3.4.5 Other methods. In this section, we explain DynamicTriad [84] method that we could not fit into the above categories.

**DynamicTriad.** DynamicTriad [84] generates dynamic graph embeddings by modeling the triad closure process, which is a fundamental process in the evolution of graphs.

**Definition (Triad closure process).** Let $(u, v, j, k)$ be an open triad in the graph at time $t$ which means that there are two edges $(u, v)$ and $(v, j)$ in the graph but no edge exists between $u$ and $j$. It is likely that an edge forms between $u$ and $j$ at time $t + 1$ because of the influence of node $v$ and closes the open triad.

The probability that an open triad $(u, v, j, k)$ evolves into a closed triad under the influence of $v_j$ is:

$$p_{tr}(i, j, k) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-(\theta, x^f_{ijk}))}$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

with $x^f_{ijk} = w^t_i \ast (z^v - z^i) + w^t_j \ast (z^j - z^i) + \alpha_{ijk}$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

$x^f_{ijk}$ quantifies the strength of relationship between $v_j$ and other two nodes $v_i, v_k$. $\theta$ is a social strategy parameter. $z_i$ is the embedding vector of node $v_i$. $w^t_i$ is the tie strength of $v_i, v_j$. The nodes $v_j$ and $v_j$ might be part of other open triads with different neighbors that can have different influences on the formation of the link between them. Therefore, an open triad can evolve in two ways: 1) It becomes closed because of the influence of any one of the neighbors. 2) Stays open because no neighbor could influence the creation of the open link. These two evolution traces are reflected in DynamicTriad loss function by maximizing $(p^t_{tr}(i, j, k))^{\alpha_{ijk}} \times (1 - p^t_{tr}(i, j, k))^{(1-\alpha_{ijk})}$ for open triad samples that close under the influence of a neighbor and $1 - p^t_{tr}(i, j, k)$ for those samples that do not close. $\alpha_{ijk} = 1$ if an open triad closes at time $t + 1$. The loss function also utilizes social homophily and temporal smoothness regularizations. Social homophily smoothness assumes that nodes that are highly connected are more similar and should have similar embeddings. Temporal smoothness assumes that network evolves smoothly and therefore, the distance between embeddings of a node at consecutive times should be small.
Table 2. Comparison of graph representation learning methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Graph Representation Method</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Easily interpretable</td>
<td>Time-consuming to extract, not representing graph fully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-GNN based Graph Embedding</td>
<td>Higher expressive power, scalable in some categories</td>
<td>Not generalizable to unseen nodes, not considering node/edge attributes easily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNN based Graph Embedding</td>
<td>Generalize to unseen nodes, consider node/edge attributes, can do both task-specific and node similarity based training</td>
<td>Expressive power upper bounded by the WL test, scalability, over-smoothing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 GNN BASED GRAPH EMBEDDING

A Graph Neural Net (GNN) based graph embedding method is an application of deep learning on graph data. Deep learning methods have been very successful in various domains, such as Computer Vision and Natural Language Processing. For instance, a convolutional neural network (CNN) layer that captures local features on the input is very effective in processing images since it preserves the adjacency of pixels in an image. Similarly, a recurrent neural net (RNN) layer that captures the order in data is suitable for modeling text data because it preserves the orders between words in a sentence. However, graphs are different from text and images because nodes in a graph do not have a natural order and the local information in graphs is different from images. In order to consider the effects of the local information of a node and the lack of order between nodes, GNNs generate node embeddings by aggregating node neighbors using a permutation invariant function such as sum. GNN based graph embedding methods are different from non-GNN based methods in that the GNN based methods generalize well to unseen nodes. In addition, they can better take advantage of node/edge attributes compared to non-GNN graph embedding methods. Table 2 shows the advantages and disadvantages of the different categories of graph embedding methods.

4.1 A (Semi)Supervised framework for training GNNs

GNNs can generate node representation vectors in a supervised, semi-supervised and unsupervised framework. These frameworks are end-to-end and built by stacking several GNN layers. Let $h_i^l$ and $e_{ij}^l$ represent the node and edge features for node $i$ at layer $l$ respectively. Each GNN layer takes as input the node and edge features. The node representations for node $i$ at each layer $l + 1$ are updated using the following formula:

$$h_i^{(l+1)} = f(h_i^l, \sum_{j \in N(i)} g(i, j))$$

(19)

where $f$ and $g$ are learnable functions and $N(i)$ is the neighbors of node $i$. After passing through $L$ GNN layers, the final node representations are $h_i^L$. Then, these node representations are used in the prediction tasks and the parameters of the GNN layers are updated using SGD on the loss function of the prediction tasks. GNNs can be applied in different prediction tasks with a focus on nodes, edges and graphs. Here, we describe what other layers are stacked after GNNs to generate the prediction results.

- Node-focused: For node-level prediction such as node classification, the GNN layers output node representations and then using an MLP or a softmax layer the prediction output is generated.
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Fig. 4. A general supervised framework for training Graph neural net layers. Two GNN layers are applied on an input graph to compute the node representation vectors for its nodes. The colors on arrows show neighbors of a target node that are aggregated to generate the target node representation. \( x_a \) is the feature vector of node \( a \) and \( h^1_a \) and \( h^2_a \) are the representation vectors generated for the node \( a \) after applying the first and second GNN layers. The generated embeddings are used in a node classification task. \( y'_a \) is the predicted label for the node \( a \).

- **Edge-focused**: In edge-focused prediction including link prediction, given two nodes’ representations, a similarity function or an MLP is used for the prediction task.
- **Graph-focused**: In graph-focused tasks such as graph classification, a graph representation is often generated by applying a readout layer on node representations. Clique pooling operation has also been applied for generating graph representations [46].

4.1.1 Parameter learning. As discussed, the graph neural nets can be trained in a supervised, semi-supervised or unsupervised framework. The supervised tasks in a supervised framework include node classification, edge prediction and graph classification. A typical way to train a GNN in a node classification task is by applying the cross entropy loss function as follows:

\[
L = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{V}_{train}} \left( y_u \log(\sigma(h^T_u \theta)) + (1 - y_u) \log(1 - \sigma(h^T_u \theta)) \right)
\]

where \( h_u \) is the embedding of node \( u \), which is the output of the last layer of GNN and \( y_u \) is the true class label of the node and \( \theta \) are the classification weights. Figure 4 shows a general framework for training a GNN using a node classification task. There are three types of nodes in a node classification in GNN [22]:

- **Training nodes**: Nodes which their embeddings are computed in the last layer of GNNs and are included in the loss function computation.
- **Transductive test nodes**: Nodes which their embeddings are computed in the GNN but they are not included in the loss function computation.
- **Inductive test nodes**: They are not included in the GNN computation and loss function.

Transductive node classification in GNNs is equivalent to semi-supervised node classification. It refers to testing on transductive test nodes that are observed during training but their labels are not used. On the other hand, inductive node classification means that the testing is on inductive test nodes (unseen nodes) where these test nodes and all their adjacent edges are removed during training. The loss function for graph classification and link prediction tasks can be similarly defined using graph representations and pair wise node representations. In an unsupervised framework for
GNN training, node similarities obtained from co-occurrence of nodes in the graph random walks are used for model training.

4.1.2 Implementation. Implementing graph neural nets at node-level using their message passing equations is not computationally efficient. For instance, we may need to do redundant computations to compute the neighbor nodes shared among nodes. Therefore, an efficient way of computing node representations in GNN is using graph-level implementation. The intuition here is that most of the message passing operations can be seen as matrix multiplications which are computationally cheap. As an example for a basic GNN, the equation can be reformulated as:

$$H^k = \sigma(AH^{k-1}W_{neigh}^k + H^{k-1}W_{self}^k)$$

where $H^k$ contains the embedding of all the nodes in layer $k$. The graph-level implementation avoids redundant computations, however, it needs to operate on the whole graph which may lead to memory limitations. Sampling and mini-batching are two approaches that are used to alleviate this problem.

Sampling & Mini-batching. To avoid the memory problems that could happen because of working on the entire graph in GNN, we can use batch training and operate on a subset of nodes in each batch. Separating nodes of the graph in batches, however, has some problems. One issue is that when some nodes are separated from the graph, their related edges are also removed. Thus, there is no guarantee that these subsets of a graph represent the initially connected graph anymore. One way to overcome this problem that was proposed in GraphSAGE [23] was to select a set of nodes for each batch and recursively include neighbors of those nodes in that batch until a max number of nodes is reached.

4.2 Some important concepts in GNNs

In this section, we review some of the essential concepts that are frequently used in the GNN based graph representation literature.

Node Information. When we say that node information from the neighbors of a node is aggregated to generate the node embeddings, we usually talk about two kinds of information: 1) Structural information such as node degrees and the existence of edges between nodes and 2) Features of nodes defined as node attributes. For instance, in a citation network consisting of papers and the citation relationship, each paper’s attributes could be words of the paper’s abstract.

Receptive Field. The receptive field of a node in GNNs are the nodes that contribute to the final representation of the node. After passing through each layer of the GNN the receptive field of a node grows one step towards its distant neighbors.

Graph Isomorphism. Two graphs are isomorphic if they have a similar topology. Some of the early works on GNN such as GCN [34] and GraphSAGE[23] fail to distinguish non-isomorphic graphs in some cases.

Weisfeiler & Lehman (WL) test. WL test [36] is a classic algorithm for testing graph isomorphism. The representation power of GNNs is upper bounded by WL test. This method successfully tests isomorphism between different graphs but there are some corner cases that it fails. Similarly, GNNs fails in those cases. The simple way of thinking about how this test works is that it first counts the number of nodes in two graphs. If two graphs have a different number of nodes, they are different. If two graphs have a similar number of nodes, it checks the number of immediate neighbors of each node. If the number of immediate neighbors of each node is the same, it goes to check the second-hop neighbors of nodes. If two graphs were similar in all these cases, then they are identical or isomorphic. This test can also work for large graphs because it is shown before that we usually visited all the nodes in the graph after checking 5-hop or 6-hop neighbors of nodes.
Over smoothing effects of deep stacking of GNN layers. A critical known issue with GNNs is their depth limitation. GNN methods aggregate information from one-hop neighbors of a node in the first layer. The second layer reaches the two-hop neighbors of a node and stacking additional layers goes forward in the neighbors of a node similarly. After passing through multiple layers, the generated nodes vectors will be over-smoothed because the local information for each node is lost.

Skip connections. A skip connection in deep architectures means skipping some layers in the neural network and feeding one layer’s output as an input to the next layers, not just the immediate next layer. An skip connection helps in alleviating the vanishing gradient effect and preserving information from previous layers. Skip connections specifically are used in GraphSAGE [23] update step. GraphSAGE concatenates the node representation at the previous level with the aggregated representation from node neighbors from the previous layer in the update step. This way, it preserves more node-level information in the message passing.

Self loop. A Self loop approach in the GNN refers to aggregating a node representation with the neighbor nodes instead of a concatenation operation. The benefit of this approach is that it simplifies the GNN by removing the update step. However, it reduces the expressivity of GNNs by not differentiating between a node features and its neighbors’ features.

4.3 Static Graph Neural Nets

Static GNN based graph embedding methods are suitable for graph representation learning on static graphs, which do not change over time. These methods can be divided into two classes: Recurrent GNNs and Convolutional GNNs that will be explained below.

4.3.1 Recurrent Graph Neural Net (RecGNN). RecGNNs are the early works on GNN that are based on RNNs. The original GNN model proposed by Scarselli et al. [60] used the assumption that nodes in a graph constantly exchange information until they reach a balanced state. In this method, the representation of node \( v \) at iteration \( t \), \( h^t_v \), is defined using the following recurrence equation:

\[
 h^t_v = \sum_{u \in N(v)} f(x_v, x^e_{(u,v)}, h^{(t-1)}_u, x_u)
\]  

where \( f \) is a recurrent function. \( N(v) \) is a set of neighborhood nodes of node \( v \). \( x_v, x_u \) are feature vectors of nodes \( v, u \) and \( x^e_{(u,v)} \) is the feature vector of the edge \( (u, v) \). This GNN model recursively runs until convergence to a fixed point. Therefore, the final representation \( h^T_v \) in this method is a vector that \( h^T_v = f(h^{T-1}_v) \). In this model, \( h^0_v \) is initialized randomly. The initialization of node representation vectors does not matter in this model because the function \( f \) recursively converges to the fixed point using any value as an initialization. For learning the model parameters, the states \( h^t_v \) are iteratively computed until the iteration \( T \). An approximate fixed point solution is obtained and used in a loss function to compute the gradients. This model has several limitations. One limitation is that if \( T \) is large, the iterative computation of node representation until convergence is time consuming. Furthermore, the node representations obtained from this model are more suitable for graph representation than node representation as the outputs are very smooth.

GGNN. Gated Graph Neural net uses gated recurrent unit (GRU) [40] as the recurrent function in the original RecGNN method proposed by Scarselli et al. [60]. The advantage of using GRU is that the number of recurrence steps is
fixed and the aggregation does not need to continue until convergence. The $h_t^v$ formula is as follows:

$$h_t^v = GRU(h_t^{(t-1)}, \sum_{u \in N(v)} h_u^{(t-1)})$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

The $h_0^v$ are initialized with node features.

**GraphRNA.** GraphRNA [28] is a graph neural net model based on RNN. This method has two components: 1) Attributed random walks named AttriWalks 2) A deep embedding model for modeling the attributed random walks named Graph Recurrent Network (GRN). The main novelty of this work is proposing random walks that consider the node attributes. GraphRNA defines the attributed random walks by introducing a bipartite graph between nodes and attribute categories. In this bipartite graph, each node is connected with its attribute categories. Then, the AttriWalk is generated by selecting an edge from the actual graph or the bipartite graph. This method tosses a coin to pick the next edge. If the coin turns head, it selects an edge from the actual graph with a specific probability. Otherwise, it selects two edges from the bipartite graph such as $(v_i, \delta_j)$ and $(\delta_j, v_k)$ where $\delta_j$ is the attribute category $j$. For instance, an attributed random walk could be of the form $v_1 \delta_2 v_4 \delta_5 v_9$.

For each node in the graph, a fixed set of AttriWalks are generated. The generated random walks sample the topological structure and node attributes of all nodes in the graph. Then, the AttriWalks are input to a tailored deep learning model based on RNN that consider the order of nodes in the aggregation. The nodes in the random walks are initialized using nodes attributes and the attribute categories are initialized using one-hot vectors. In this model, first, the dimension of the input vectors is reduced using a fully connected layer. Then, a bidirectional RNN is applied on the sequences to generate the forward and backward state of sequences. Each node representation is computed using double pooling methods on the node’s random walks.

**4.3.2 Convolutional Graph Neural Net (ConvGNN).** ConvGNNs are a well-known category of graph neural nets. These methods generate node embeddings using the concept of convolution in graphs. The difference between ConvGNNs and RecGNNs is that ConvGNNs use CNN based layers to extract node embeddings instead of RNN layers in RecGNNs. There are three key characteristics in CNNs that make them attractive in graph representations. 1) Local connections: CNN can extract local information from neighbors for each node in the graph 2) Shared weights: weight sharing in node representation generates node embeddings that consider the information of other nodes in the graph 3) Multiple layers: each layer of convolution can explore a layer of proximities between nodes [83].

ConvGNN methods have become very popular recently because they are efficient and can easily integrate with other neural nets. ConvGNNs have two categories that can overlap: Spectral based and Spatial based methods. The spectral based methods have roots in graph signal processing and define graph signal filters. The spatial based methods are based on information propagation and message passing concepts from RecGNNs and are more preferred than spectral methods because of efficiency and flexibility. Here, we explain these two categories in more detail.

1) **Spectral based.** Spectral based graph neural nets utilize mathematical concepts from graph signal processing. **Spectral Network** [6] is one of the early works that defines convolution operation on graphs. Here, we define some of the main concepts shared among spectral based GNNs.

**Definition (Graph Signal).** In graph signal processing, a graph signal $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is an array of $n$ real or complex values for $n$ nodes in the graph.

**Definition (Eigenvectors and eigenvalues (spectrum)).** Let $L = D - A$ be the graph Laplacian of graph $G$ which $D, A$ are the graph’s degree matrix and adjacency matrix. The normalized graph Laplacian matrix is $L_N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}$.
$I_n = D^{-1/2}AD^{-1/2}$ which can be factorized as $L_N = U\Lambda U^T$. $U$ is the matrix of eigenvectors and $\Lambda$ is the diagonal matrix of ordered eigenvalues. The set of eigenvalues of a matrix are also called the spectrum of the matrix.

**Definition (Graph Fourier transform).** The graph fourier transform is $F = U^T x$ which maps the graph signal $x$ to a space formed by the eigenvectors of $L_N$.

**Definition (Spectral Graph Convolution).** The spectral graph convolution of the graph signal $x$ with a filter $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined as:

$$x * g = F^{-1}(F(x) \odot F(g)) = U(U^T x \odot U^T g)$$

$$\Rightarrow x * g_\theta = U g_\theta U^T x$$

$$\text{where } g_\theta = \text{diag}(U^T g)$$

Different spectral based ConvGNNs use a different graph convolution filter $g_\theta$. For instance, Spectral CNN [6] defines $g_\theta$ as a set of learnable parameters. One of the main limitations of this method is the eigenvalue decomposition computational complexity. This limitation was resolved by applying several approximations and simplification in future works.

**GCN.** Graph Convolutional Network (GCN) [34] uses a layerwise propagation rule based on multiplying the first-order approximation of localized spectral convolution filter $g_\theta$ with a graph signal $x$ as follows:

$$x * g_\theta = \theta(I_n + D^{-1/2}AD^{-1/2})x$$
where $D, A$ are the degree matrix and adjacency matrix of a graph $G$ and $I$ is an identity matrix with 1 on the diagonal and 0 elsewhere. $\theta$ represents the filter parameters. GCN also modifies the convolution operation into a layer defined as 

$$H = X * g_\theta = f(\tilde{A}X\Theta),$$

where $f$ is an activation function and $\tilde{A} = I + D^{-1/2}AD^{-1/2}$. Therefore, the formulation of $h_v^{l+1}$ for node $v$ becomes:

$$h_v^{l+1} = f(\Theta^l(\sum_{u \in (N(v) \cup v)} \tilde{A}_{v,u}x_u))$$

(28)

where $\tilde{A}$ is a constant and is approximately computed in a preprocessing step. $N(v)$ is the set of neighbors of a node $v$. Therefore, $h_v^{l+1}$ value can be roughly approximated as:

$$h_v^{l+1} \approx f(\Theta^l.\text{Mean}(h_v^l \cup \{h_u \forall u \in N(v)\}))$$

(29)

In a neural net setting, $f$ is an activation function such as ReLU and $\Theta^l$ is the matrix of parameters at layer $l$. GCN can be viewed as a spatial-based GNN because it updates the node embeddings by aggregating information from neighbors of nodes.

**DSGC.** Dimensionwise Separable 2D Graph Convolution (DSGC) [39] jointly models relations between nodes and relations between attributes of nodes. The node embeddings in this model are the output of a 2D spectral graph convolution defined as $Z = GXF$. In this formula, $X$ is a node feature matrix and $G$ and $F$ are an object graph convolutional filter and an attribute graph convolutional filter. The object graph convolutional filter is defined by designing a filter on the adjacency matrix of the graph. For defining the attribute graph convolutional filter, an attribute affinity graph is constructed on the original graph by applying either positive point-wise mutual information or word embedding based KNN on the attributes of the nodes. After generating the attribute affinity graph, a 1-step lazy random walk filter is applied on the graph. The DSGC filter can replace the convolutional filter in other spectral embedding methods such as GCN and can improve their performance in some cases.

**CPGNN.** This model [85] adds a class compatibility matrix into GNNs to improve the performance of GNNs in graphs that heterophily exits. Homophily is the underlying implicit assumption in many GNNs. Homophily means that nodes with similar classes or similar features are connected in graphs resulting in GNNs generating similar embeddings for connected nodes. Therefore, many GNN methods perform poorly in heterophily settings which is the case in many networks. To overcome this limitation, the CPGNN framework first estimates a prior belief of the class label of each node based on the node features. The estimator of the class label of the node, in the simplest case, can be an MLP layer. Then, using a compatibility matrix $H$, the prior beliefs of nodes are propagated in their neighborhood. Each element $H_{ij}$ in the matrix $H$ is the empirical probability that nodes with class label $i$ connects to nodes with class label $j$ in the dataset. As the node labels for some of the nodes are unknown, $H$ is learned in the CPGNN framework.

**Cluster-GCN.** Cluster-GCN [12] first generates clusters of subgraphs from the original graph and then applies graph convolution filter on the nodes in the subgraphs. Therefore, Cluster-GCN is suitable for large graphs by using less memory and time.

2) **Spatial based.** Spatial-based ConvGNNs define the graph convolution similar to applying CNN on images. Images can be viewed as a graph such that the nodes are the pixels and the edges are the proximity of pixels. When a convolution filter applies to an image, the weighted average of the pixel values of the central node and its neighbor nodes are computed. Similarly, the spatial-based graph convolutional filters generate a node representation by aggregating the node representations of neighbors of a node. One of the advantages of spatial-based ConvGNNs is that the learned parameters of models are based on close neighbors of nodes and therefore, can be applied on different graphs with some
constraints. In contrast, spectral-based models learn filters that depend on eigenvalues of a graph Laplacian and are not directly applicable on graphs with different structures. In this section, we explain some of the spatial-based methods.

**GraphSAGE.** GraphSAGE [23] is one of the early spatial based ConGNNs. This method generates node embeddings iteratively. The node embeddings are first initialized with node attributes. Then, a node embedding at iteration $k$ is computed by concatenating the aggregation of the node’s neighbor and the node embedding at iteration $k - 1$. For example, for a node $v$,

$$
\begin{align*}
    h^k_{N(v)} &= \text{Aggregate}_k(h^{k-1}_u, \forall u \in N(v)) \\
    h^k_v &= \sigma(W^k \cdot \text{Concat}(h^{k-1}_v, h^k_{N(v)}))
\end{align*}
$$

where $h^k$ and $W^k$ are the node embedding and weight matrix at iteration $k$. $N(v)$ is the set of neighbors of $v$.

GraphSAGE leverages mean, LSTM and pooling aggregators:

- **Mean aggregator.** The mean aggregation is similar to GCN that [34] takes mean over neighbors of a node. The difference is that GCN includes the node representation $h^{k-1}_v$ in the mean but GraphSAGE concatenates the node representation with the mean aggregation of neighbor nodes. This way, GraphSAGE avoids node information loss.

- **LSTM aggregator.** An LSTM aggregator aggregates neighbor nodes representations using an LSTM structure. It is important to note that LSTM preserves the order between nodes, however, there is no order among neighbor nodes. Therefore, GraphSAGE inputs a random permutation of nodes to alleviate this problem.

- **Pooling aggregator.** In this aggregation, each neighbor node is fed through a fully-connected neural net and then an elementwise max operation is applied on the transformed nodes as follows:

$$
\text{Aggregate}^\text{pool} = \max\left(\{\sigma(W^\text{pool}_u h^k_u + b), \forall u \in N(v)\}\right)
$$

The above equation uses the max operator for pooling however mean operator can be used as well. The pooling aggregator is symmetric and learnable. The pooling aggregation intuition is that it captures different aspects of the neighborhood set of a node.

The aggregation continues until $K$ iterations. The model is trained using a loss function that generates similar node embeddings for nearby nodes in an unsupervised setting. The unsupervised loss can be replaced with task specific objective functions.

**MPNN.** Message Passing Neural Net (MPNN) [17] proposes a general framework for ConvGNNs. In the MPNN framework, each node sends messages based on its states and updates its states based on messages received from its immediate neighbors. The forward pass of MPNN has two parts: A message passing and a readout phase. In the message passing phase, a message function is utilized for information propagation and the node state is updated as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
    h^t_v &= U_t(h^{t-1}_v, \sum_{u \in N(v)} M_t(h^{t-1}_v, h^{t-1}_u, e_{vu})) \\
    h^0_v &= x_v
\end{align*}
$$

where $M_t$ is the message function and $U_t$ updates the node representation. $U_t, M_t$ are learnable functions. $e_{vu}$ is the information of an edge $(v, u)$. In the readout phase, the readout layer generates the graph embeddings using the updated
node representations, \( h_G = R(h'_G) \). Different ConvGNN methods can be formulated using this framework using different functions for \( U_t, M_t, R \).

**GN block.** GN block \([4]\) proposes another general framework for Graph neural nets that some of the GNN methods could fit in its description. A GN block learns nodes, edges and the graph representations denoted as \( h'_i, e'_ij, u'_i \) respectively. Each GN block contains three update functions, \( \phi \) and three aggregation functions, \( \rho \):

\[
e^{i+1}_{ij} = \phi^e(e^{i}_{ij}, h'_i, h'_j, u'_i) \\
h^{i+1}_i = \phi^g(m^{i+1}_i, h'_i, u'_i) \\
u^{(l+1)}_i = \phi^u(m^{i+1}_E, m^{i+1}_V, h'_i, u'_i)
\]

\[
m^{i+1}_i = \rho^{\rightarrow u}(\{e^{i+1}_{ij}, \forall j \in N(i)\}) \\
m^{i+1}_v = \rho^{\rightarrow v}(\{h^{i+1}_i, \forall i \in V\}) \\
m^{i+1}_E = \rho^{\rightarrow eu}(\{e^{i+1}_{ij}, \forall (i, j) \in E\})
\]

The GN assumption is that computation on a graph starts from an edge to a node and then to the entire graph. This phenomenon is formulated with update and aggregation functions: 1) \( \phi^e \) updates the edge representations for each edge. 2) \( \rho^{\rightarrow v} \) aggregates the updated edge representations for the edges connected to each center node. 3) \( \phi^v \) updates the node representations. 4) \( \rho^{\rightarrow u} \) aggregates node representation updates for all nodes. 5) \( \rho^{\rightarrow eu} \) aggregates edge representation updates for all edges. 6) finally, the entire graph representation is updated by \( \phi^u \). Other GNN methods can be seen as special cases of the GN block. For example, the message function in MPNNs \([17]\), \( M_t \) is similar to \( \phi^e \) that updates the representation of edges. Then, the new representations are aggregated using a sum function similar to the function \( \rho^{\rightarrow u} \) and the node representation is finally updated by the update function \( U_t \) similar to \( \phi^v \) function. The MPNN only returns node representations, however, a GN block can learn edge representations as well.

**GAT.** Graph Attention Network (GAT) \([69]\) utilizes the self-attention mechanism \([68]\) to generate node representations. Unlike GCN that assigns a fixed weight to neighbor nodes, GAT learns a weight for a neighbor depending on the importance of the neighbor node. The state of node \( v \) at layer \( k \) is formulated as follows:

\[
h^k_v = \sigma \left( \sum_{u \in N(v)} \alpha_{vu}^k W^k h^k_u \right)
\]

\[
\alpha_{vu} = \frac{\exp(\text{LeakyReLU}(a^T [W h_u || W h_v]))}{\sum_{k \in N_v} \exp(\text{LeakyReLU}(a^T [W h_u || W h_k]))}
\]

\[
h^0_v = x_v
\]

where \( \alpha_{vu} \) is the attention coefficient of node \( v \) to its neighbor \( u \) defined using a softmax function. \( N_v \) is the neighbor set of node \( v \). \( W \) is the weight matrix and \( a \) is a weight vector. || is the concatenation symbol. In addition to self-attention, GAT’s results benefit from using multi-head attention. Similar to GraphSAGE, GAT is trained in an end-to-end fashion and outputs node representations.

**PinSage.** PinSage \([79]\) is a scalable convolutional GNN for web-scale recommendation tasks in the Pinterest application. Pinterest is an application where users discover items of interest (called pins, e.g. clothes, designs and foods) and keep collections of pins on their boards. This method generates a representation for items/pins and recommends them to users using the nearest neighbor method. To that end, PinSage creates a bipartite graph between pins and boards. The node set of the bipartite graph is \( V = I \cup C \) where \( I, C \) are the set of items and set of boards. Given the graph, a node representation is obtained similar to the GraphSAGE approach \([23]\). The novelty of this work is using random walks for finding the important neighbors (called importance pooling). In importance pooling, a set of random walks are generated for a node of interest. For each neighbor node in the random walk, a visit count by random walks is
computed. The nodes with the highest scores with respect to the node of interest are selected as the neighbors of that node.

**GRAND.** Graph Random Neural Network (GRAND) [15] proposes a new framework to address some of the existing GNNs problems including oversmoothing. GRAND consists of two parts. In the first part, inspired by advances in computer vision, GRAND augments the feature matrix of the input graph by randomly dropping some nodes or some of the features of nodes. This augmentation helps in making nodes less sensitive to their neighbor nodes and reducing the oversmoothing effect. GRAND generates $S$ augmented feature matrices. In the second part, each augmented feature matrices passes through a 2-layer MLP to generate prediction probabilities of nodes. The loss function of this model has a classification loss for the labeled nodes and a regularized term that reduces the difference between generated prediction probabilities from each augmented matrix and a center matrix which is the average over all the distributions. The model is trained on the augmented feature matrices and then is tested on the original feature matrix.

**GSKN.** Graph Structural Kernel Network (GSKN) [45] addresses the drawbacks of common GNNs in distinguishing many graph substructures. The power of GNN in discriminating between substructures is similar to WL test which has known limitations. In order to account for graph substructures such as cliques or motifs, GSKN leverages anonymous walks (AW) and proposes an AW based GNN using graph kernels. Graph kernel methods are similarity measures for pairwise graph comparison. GSKN consists of two graph kernels: an anonymous walk kernel and a random walk kernel. The anonymous walk kernel is defined based on the definition of $l$-walk kernels which for every pair of node $(u_i, u_j)$ in two graphs $G_1, G_2$ compares all their walk with length $l$. Based on this kernel, a kernel mapping for each node can be computed. A kernel mapping of a kernel is the mapping of each node, denoted as $\psi(u)$ if a kernel $k$ between two nodes is given as follows: $k(u, v) = \langle \psi(u), \psi(v) \rangle$. The kernel mapping for the anonymous walk is further approximated to compute a function similar in format to GNNs and is concatenated with the kernel mapping obtained from random walks to generate embeddings of nodes.

**H2GCN.** H2GCN [87] is a GCN for networks with Homophily or Heterophily. This framework combines three design principles from previous methods to make the new method (H2GCN) suitable for both homophily and heterophily settings. Three design principles are as follows:

- Separating node embeddings and neighbor embeddings. The reason is that mixing the node and neighbor information results in similar embeddings among a neighborhood, which is not correct in heterophily settings. A straightforward way to avoid this problem is to concatenate the node embedding with the aggregated neighbor embeddings similar to the GraphSAGE [23] approach.
- Higher order Neighborhoods. This principle means that information from higher-order neighbors is also involved in the neighbor embeddings at each layer, which helps consider more relevant information from more neighbors. Therefore, H2GCN considers two levels of neighbors (1-hop and 2-hop neighbors).
- Combining the intermediate representations. Combining representation from different layers similar to the previous principle increases the range of neighbors and information considered in node embedding generation, which helps generate correct node representations in heterophily settings.

The node embeddings are generated using these design principles, and the model is trained and evaluated in a node classification task.

**ID-GNN** Identity-aware Graph Neural Networks (ID-GNN) [80] is a version of GNNs that has a greater expressive capability than the WL test, which is the upperbound for GNNs expressive power. GNNs fail in generating distinct node representations in certain graph substructures. For instance, consider two graphs $G_1, G_2$ which $G_1$ is a triangle and
\(G_2\) is a square. If no node features are provided, the node representation for each node in \(G_1\) is similar to \(G_2\) because each node has two neighbors and node embeddings are computed by aggregating two neighbors recursively for \(k\) layers. Therefore, ID-GNN proposes a coloring mechanism as a solution for this problem. ID-GNN has two variants. The first variant has two components: inductive identity coloring and heterogeneous message passing. For computing the embedding for each node \(v\), a \(k\)-hop ego networks of node \(v\) is extracted and the center node of the ego network is colored. Then, for each node in the ego network of node \(v\), the embedding is computed using a different message passing component for each node based on color. This paper proposes a fast variant of ID-GNN that augments the node features instead of coloring nodes by injecting identity information such as cycle counts for cycles that start and end in the node \(v\).

**Local mixing in GNN.** This paper [65] shows through an experiment that by increasing node-level local assortativity in a graph, the performance of GNN models improves. A graph is assortative if nodes with similar attributes are connected and disassortative if nodes can be connected to other nodes with dissimilar attributes. Based on this experiment, the disassortativity of many real-world graphs can lead to the low performance of GNN models on these graphs. Therefore, this paper proposes to generate a computation graph from the original graph such that in the computation graph, nodes with similar structural attributes are connected and then run the GNN on the computation graph. The computation graph is computed by considering structural equivalences between nodes using a degree sequence of nodes at different hierarchies of neighborhoods similar to struct2vec node embedding approach [57]. This approach assumes that nodes are structurally similar if they have similar degrees and their similarity increases by having similar neighbor degrees at different hierarchies of neighborhoods. The resulting computation graph is a multi-relational graph with different types of edges between two nodes based on different levels of neighborhood similarities. For instance, considering \(T\)-hop neighbors of two nodes can result in at most \(T\) types of weighted edges between them with an edge weight corresponding to a structural similarity score. Original edges of the graph are also included in the edge set of the computation graph as a measure of proximity similarity.

**MAGNA.** Multi-hop Attention Graph Neural Network (MAGNA) [71] generalizes the attention mechanism in GAT [69] by increasing the receptive fields of nodes in every layer. Stacking multiple layers of GAT has the same effect, however that causes the oversmoothing problem. MAGNA first computes the 1-hop attention matrix for every node and then uses the sum of powers of the attention matrix to account for multi-hop neighbors in every layer. To lower the computation cost, an approximated value for the multi-hop neighbor attention is computed. MAGNA model aggregates the node features with attention values and passes the values through a feed forward neural network to generate the node embeddings.

**GAS.** GNNAutoScale (GAS) [16] is a framework for improving the scalability of GNNs. One of the bottlenecks of GNNs is that for each node in the graph, the node’s neighbors’ representations are aggregated. The number of neighbors can be large in very large graphs, which lowers GNN training speed. Some methods alleviate this problem by sampling a subset of node neighbors. However, this solution reduces the performance of GNN methods because some of the edges are dropped. GAS proposes a solution for the scalability of GNNs that maintains the performance of the original GNN. GAS leverages the historical embedding concept [11] and uses node embeddings from the previous training iterations. Specifically, GAS aggregates neighbors of a node for generating embeddings for nodes that exist in a minibatch training similar to other GNNs. However, it divides the immediate neighbors of a node into two groups: neighbors that exist in a given minibatch and neighbors that do not. Then, for neighbors that do not exist in the minibatch, it uses the embedding from the history. After each training step, the new embedding of nodes is added to the history. The GAS
framework requires much less GPU memory because it does not maintain the entire recursive computation graphs and has the same expressive power as the original GNN.

4.4 Spatial-Temporal Graph Neural Net (STGNN)

Spatial-temporal GNNs are a category of GNN that capture both the spatial and temporal properties of a graph. They model the dynamics of graphs considering the dependency between connected nodes. There are wide applications for STGNNs such as traffic flow forecasting [73] and human trajectory prediction [53]. For instance, in traffic prediction, the future traffic in a road is predicted considering the traffic congestion of its connected roads. Most of the STGNN methods fall into CNN based and RNN based categories. CNN based and RNN based methods integrate graph convolution networks in CNNs and RNNs, respectively.

4.4.1 RNN based. RNN based methods are a class of Spatio-temporal Graph Neural Nets that integrates GCNs into RNNs.

**GCRN.** Graph Convolutional Recurrent Network (GCRN) [61] is an example of RNN based STGNN. In this method, an LSTM network is combined with the convolution operation. GCRN has two variants. In the first variant, a CNN layer is stacked with an LSTM layer. The CNN layer extracts the features at time $t$ and the LSTM captures the temporal behavior of nodes over time, represented by replacing $x^t$ with $x^t_{CNN}$ in each LSTM formula for input, forget and output gates. For example, the forget gate formula for GCRN could be written as follows:

$$f_t = \sigma(W x^t_{CNN} + U h_{t-1} + b) \quad (39)$$

where $W, U$ are the weights of the LSTM layer. $x^t_{CNN}$ is the output of the CNN layer on the node feature matrix. In the second variant, GCRN uses the graph convolution operation $*_{G}$ similar to GCN as follows:

$$f_t = \sigma(W *_{G} x_t + U *_{G} h_{t-1} + b) \quad (40)$$

The LSTM layer could be easily replaced with RNN or GRU layers.

**An RNN based STGNN.** In another spatio-temporal method [73], the spatial and temporal correlations between nodes are modeled using three components including a spatial graph neural network layer, a GRU layer and a transformer layer.

- The spatial graph neural network layer first constructs a relation matrix from the input adjacency matrix. Then, it applies a graph convolution operation on the relation matrix and input feature matrix denoted by $f_d(A, X_i n)$, where $A$ is the adjacency matrix and $X_i n$ is the input matrix. Each element $R[i, j]$ of the relation matrix is a softmax function on the dot product of $p_i, p_j$ where $p_i$ is a latent positional representation of a node that captures the effects of other nodes on node $i$.
- The GRU layer takes sequence of $X_t s$ over time and outputs the next $m$ step feature matrices. The input feature matrix at each time $t$ and hidden states at $t-1$ are obtained as follows: $\hat{X}_t = f_d(A, X_t), \hat{H}_{t-1} = f_d(A, H_{t-1})$.
- The transformer layer takes the output sequence from the GRU layer and generates the output sequence by applying a multi-head attention mechanism.

4.4.2 CNN based. This class of STGNNs combines graph convolution networks with CNNs.

**Graph WaveNet.** Graph WaveNet [76] is a CNN based spatio-temporal GNN. This method takes as input a graph and feature matrices of nodes for $m$ previous time steps and the goal is to predict the next $n$ feature matrices of the graph. The framework of Graph WaveNet consists of two building blocks: a graph convolution layer and a temporal convolution layer.
layer. In the graph convolution layer, it combines a diffusion convolution layer [41] with a self-adaptive adjacency matrix. The central part of the self-adaptive adjacency matrix is multiplying source and target node embeddings that are initialized randomly and are learned during the model training. The temporal convolution layer adopts a gated version of a dilated causal convolution network [81]. This convolution operation captures long term dependencies between nodes by applying dilated convolution on the input sequence of nodes over time. The dilated convolution operation has a dilation factor \( d \) which skips some of the nodes in an input sequence, \( x \in R^T \) and, as a result, increases the receptive field of each node. The convolution operation is as follows:

\[
x \ast f(t) = \sum_{s=0}^{K-1} f(s)x(t - d \times s)
\]

where \( f(t) \) is a filter at step \( t \). Graph WaveNet overcome two problems in the previous methods: 1) Captures long sequences with stacking fewer layers compared to other CNN methods 2) Does not have the vanishing gradient limitation of RNNs.

**SFTGNN.** Spatial-Temporal Fusion Graph Neural Networks [37] belong to CNN based STGNNs. This method predicts the next \( n \) step feature matrices of a graph given the previous \( m \) matrices. For example, in a traffic prediction application, each node is a sensor or a road and a feature matrix is a \( N \times d \) matrix that each row contains a traffic feature of a node. Different from previous spatio-temporal neural nets that model the spatial and temporal correlations of nodes separately, SFTGNN constructs a spatial-temporal fusion graph using three \( N \times N \) matrices to capture three kinds of correlation for each node. 1) A spatial graph for spatial neighbors, 2) A temporal graph for nodes with similar temporal sequences and 3) A temporal connectivity graph for connection of a node at nearby time points. The spatial-temporal fusion graph is then input to spatial-temporal fusion graph neural module (STFGN module) that generates node representations using the following formula:

\[
h^{l+1} = (A^*h^lW_1 + b_1) \odot (A^*h^lW_2 + b_2)
\]

where \( A^* \) is the spatial-temporal fusion graph. \( W, b \) are the weight and bias of the model and \( \odot \) is the Hadamard product operator. The initial representation is \( h^0 = [X^t, ..., X^{t+k}] \) where \( X^t \) is the feature matrix of the graph at time \( t \). In addition, the input sequence of feature matrices is convoluted with a dilated convolution filter with a large dilation factor operation to capture the long term dependencies of the nodes and the result will be added to the output of STFGN module.

### 4.5 Dynamic Graph Neural Net (DGNN)

Dynamic Graph Neural Nets (DGNN) are Graph neural nets that model dynamic behaviors of a graph. Dynamicity in a graph includes adding or deleting nodes and edges. Here, we explain some of DGNN models.

**EvolveGCN.** EvolveGCN [56] is a dynamic GNN method. Different from GCRN method [61] that integrates the GCN into RNN, EvolveGCN integrates RNN in the GCN layer. The idea behind it is to use an RNN model to update weights of the GCN at each time point and capture dynamics of the graph. At each time step \( t \), a GCN layer is used to represent the graph at time \( t \). In order to integrate historical information of the nodes, the initial weights for the GCN at time \( t \) are the hidden states/output of RNN over the graph sequence. This method has two variants depending on which information from RNN based models it uses. In the EGCN-O, the weights of the layer \( l \) of the \( GCN_{t-1} \) are input
to LSTM to generate weights of the layer \( l \) of GCN as output as follows:

\[
W^l = LSTM(W^{l-1})
\]

\[
H^l_{t+1} = GCN(A_t, H^l_t, W^l_t)
\]

Note that EvolveGCN assigns separate RNN based models to each layer of GCN. In EGCN-H, the weights of layer \( l \) of GCN are obtained from applying a GRU model on the weights of the layer \( l \) of GCN_{t-1} and node embeddings \( H^l_t \). The EvolveGCN model is trained end to end for link prediction and edge/node classification tasks.

**DyGNN.** DyGNN [47] proposes a dynamic GNN method that consists of two components: Update and propagation components. These two components work in parallel to update and propagate the information of a new interaction in the graph. Let \((v_s, v_g, t)\) represent a new directed edge that emerges between a source node \( v_s \) and a target node \( v_g \) at time \( t \). The update component updates the two nodes \( v_s, v_g \) representations and the propagate component propagates the interaction information to influenced nodes which are defined as 1-hop neighbors of the two interacting nodes.

**Update component.** The update component consists of interact, update and merge units.

1. **Interact unit.** The interact unit generates the interaction information for the new edge \((v_s, v_g, t)\) using a feed forward neural net.

2. **Update unit.** The generated interaction information is used to update nodes’ hidden state and cell memory using a modified LSTM. DyGNN uses LSTM because, at the update time a node could be involved in a sequence of interactions and the sequence of interactions information is the input to LSTM.

3. **Merge unit.** Merge unit merges the source hidden state and target hidden state of a single node. The merge unit applies both to the source and target nodes in the interaction. Finally, the output of the update component is the new feature for source and target nodes after the interaction \((v_s, v_g, t)\).

**Propagate component.** Propagate component also has three units: Interact, Prop and Merge units. Interact and merge units are similar to those of the update component. The prop unit propagates information to influenced nodes based on the strength of relationships between the node and its neighbors and the time of their interaction. The training of this model is end to end using a loss function of a link prediction or a node classification task. The training uses mini-batches of edges which each mini-batch contains sequences of interactions maintaining the temporal order. For the testing, the learned node embeddings from training are used for predicting edges or node labels depending on the task. This model does not support inductive testing.

**DySAT.** Dynamic Self-Attention Network (DySAT) [59] is a dynamic GNN. DySAT consists of two components, a structural block followed by a temporal block to capture the structural and temporal properties of a graph. This method defines dynamic graphs as a series of graphs over time. In order to capture the structure of the graph at each time point, the structural block, which is a variant of GAT is applied to the graph at each time point using a self-attention mechanism over the immediate neighbors of each node. Then, to capture dynamic patterns of nodes, DySAT applies a temporal self attention layer in the temporal block. The inputs to the temporal block are node representations overtime for every node \( v \) such that the node representation \( x^t_v \) attends over the historical representation of the node \((< t)\) to generate the final embedding of each node. This model also uses multi head attention to capture different dynamic evolution patterns in the graph. For DySAT training, the dynamic representation of a node \( v \) at each time point, \( e^t_v \), is used in the loss function of a link prediction task for time \( t \). The total loss of the model is the sum of all the loss functions over time \( 1, 2, ..., T \). Therefore, the node embeddings of all the snapshots are jointly optimized. This model can be evaluated for unseen nodes as well. Although there is no history for the previously unseen nodes, the learned
weights from seen nodes help DySAT to generate embeddings for unseen nodes that outperform the baselines in a link prediction task.

**EHNA.** Embedding via Historical Neighborhoods Aggregation (EHNA) [27] is a dynamic network embedding method based on neural nets. This method utilizes the concept of neighborhood aggregation in GCNs. However, it aggregates the historical neighbors of a node to capture the evolution of the node in the graph. In order to capture the historical neighbors of a node, EHNA first generates $k$ temporal random walks for each node. The transition probability of each edge in a temporal random walk depends on the weight and time of the edge. In addition, this method uses a bias similar to Node2vec for traversing a graph. After that $k$ walks are generated for each node $x$, there is a node-level attention step. In this step, the node embedding of every node $u$ that appears in the node $x$’s walks is multiplied by an attention coefficient. The generated random walks for each node $x$ are first aggregated using an LSTM model to generate the walk encoding. Then, there is a walk level attention between walk encodings and the node $x$ embedding similar to the node-level attention. After that, the sequence of $k$ walk encodings are aggregated to generate a representation for the node $x$. For the training of the EHNA, first, the embedding $z_x$ is computed for every node $x$ using the EHNA framework and using a variant of link prediction loss, the parameters in the model are updated.

**TGN.** Temporal Graph Network (TGN) [58] generates node embeddings for dynamic graphs that are modeled as a stream of edges. This model consists of several modules.

- **Memory:** At each time $t$, TGN saves a vector $s_i(t)$ for each node $i$ in the memory to represent the node history in a compressed format. The vector $s_i(t)$ is initialized as a zero vector and updated as more edges emerge.
- **Message Function:** Every time an edge occurs between two nodes, a message is sent to the nodes participating in the edge. The message function used here is just the concatenation of the node’s id for the sake of simplicity.
- **Message Aggregator:** A node can be involved in multiple interactions. TGN keeps the last message in the order of time and the mean of other messages from other interactions for each node.
- **Memory Updater:** Every time an event occurs, the memory of the participating nodes is updated using a learnable memory update function such as LSTM.
- **Embedding:** The embedding module generates the temporal embeddings for each node at any time $t$ using a learnable function $h$ that updates the representation of each node even if the node was not involved in any interaction until that point. For example, the $h$ function could be a time projection, temporal graph attention or a temporal graph sum.

For training, TGN uses a Raw message store for each batch which saves the messages from interactions of that batch. In each batch, the messages from the Raw message store of the previous batch are used to update the nodes’ memory in the interaction and then the updated memory is used in the embedding module. Thus, the memory updates of each interaction are delayed to later batches. However, all the modules parameters are updated in the backpropagation. Note that the size of batches should not be huge to avoid using old memories in later interactions in each batch because nodes’ memory is updated once.

**ContinualGNN.** This paper [72] proposes a framework for updating parameters of streaming GNN models. An streaming GNN is modeled as $G^1, G^2, ..., G^T$ where $G^t = G^{t-1} + \delta G^t$ and $\delta G^t$ is the changes of a graph between times $t - 1$ and $t$. There are different approaches for obtaining node embeddings at time $t$:

- **Pretrained GNN:** This approach uses a pretrained model (such as the GNN with parameters $\theta^{t-1}$) to obtain node representations at time $t$. However, if the patterns in $G^t$ are very different from the data used in the pretrained model, the node representations are not accurate.
• Retrained GNN: This naive solution is to retrain the GNN using all the nodes including new and old ones. This way, the most accurate result is obtained, but it is time and space consuming.

• Online GNN: Online GNN means to train the GNN on the changes in $\delta G^t$ using $\theta^{t-1}$ as initial weights. The problem with this training is that if $\delta G^t$ is different from $G^{t-1}$ then a concept called “Catastrophic forgetting” happens. As a result, the new representation of nodes in $G^{t-1}$ will be degraded.

• Continual learning: This is the method proposed by this paper to avoid catastrophic forgetting and will be explained next.

In the ContinualGNN model, the loss of the network at time $t$ is formulated as $L_{new} + L_{existing}$. $L_{new}$ is the loss of parts of the graph influenced by the new changes at time $t$. $L_{existing}$ preserves information from previous time points. For training the model at time $t$, first, a set of influenced nodes are obtained. The influenced nodes are those whose score which is defined as $\|h_u^{t+\delta t} - h_u^t\|$ is greater than a predefined threshold $\alpha$. $h_u^t$ is the node $v$ representation at time $t$. This score is approximated based on the information propagation of changes in the graph. Then, the influenced nodes are replayed in the GNN model initialized with parameters $\theta^{t-1}$. Second, the model tries to preserve the existing patterns. To that end, ContinualGNN uses information from the previous data and model. From the data view, this model saves a memory of the important nodes from previous time points and replays the important nodes. From the model view, the ContinualGNN saves the parameters of the previous time point and uses them to approximate new parameters using a formula similar to L2 smoothing such that the parameters of two models do not deviate drastically.

TGAT. Temporal Graph Attention Network [77] is based on a self-attention mechanism in temporal networks. TGAT is a dynamic version of GAT [69]. GAT does not consider time ordering between neighbors of a node and is used for static settings. However, TGAT assumes ordering between neighbors of a node based on the time they arrive. The assumption is that a neighbor that occurs more recently is likely to have more influence on a node. In order to add time to the attention mechanism, a time vector is concatenated to the node feature vector. Time features that are used in TGAT are obtained based on the concepts from Bochner’s Theorem and expressed by mapping the time to $R^d$ as follows:

$$\phi_d(t) = \frac{1}{d} [\cos(w_1 t), \sin(w_1 t), ..., \cos(w_d t), \sin(w_d t)]$$

which parameters $w_1, ..., w_d$ are learnable parameter. A simple way to learn these parameters is the reparametrization trick [33] that approximates these parameters with another form that is easier to learn. The node embeddings obtained are trained end to end and used in link prediction and node classification tasks in inductive and transductive settings.

CAW-N. Causal Anonymous Walks Neural Net (CAW-N) [74] inductively generate temporal network embeddings. The motivation of CAW-N is to consider the evolution of motifs in dynamic networks. For instance, the triangle closure concept is an example of a motif evolution which says if there is an open triangle between three nodes $v_1, v_2, v_3$ with edges $e_{12}, e_{23}$, it is more likely that the third edge $e_{13}$ appears in future to close the triangle. CAW-N captures the motif evolution by proposing a variant of anonymous walks. The original Anonymous walks [29] capture motifs in the graph and are generated by removing the node ids from random walks and replacing them with new indices, $I_{AW}$. The index of a node $w$ in a walk $W$ is obtained as:

$$I_{AW}(w; W) = \left|\{v_0, v_1, ..., v_{k^*}\}\right|, k^* = \text{smallest index that } v_{k^*} = w$$

The goal of CAW-N is to predict the probability of a link in the future and it assumes that if motif structures of two nodes $u, v$ interact over time, the probability of a link occurrence between $u, v$ is higher. Therefore, this model defines
set-based anonymization on the temporal random walks to capture the interaction between the motifs of the two nodes over time. To that end, for each node \( u \), a set of \( M \) many m-step temporal random walks, \( S_u \), are obtained. Then, for each link between \( u, v \), the walks in \( S_u, S_v \) are set anonymized. The index of a node \( w \) in the union of \( S_u, S_v \) is obtained as follows:

\[
I_{CAW}(w; \{S_u, S_v\}) = \{g(w, S_u), g(w, S_v)\},
\]

\[
g(w, S_u)[i] = |\{W|W \in S_u, w = W[i][0]\}|, \forall i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m\}
\]

After anonymization of walks, for each walk \( W \), an encoding vector \( enc(W) \in \mathbb{R}^d \) is obtained using an RNN that takes a walk input and returns a vector representation for that walk as an output. Elements in a walk are the concatenation of \( I_{CAW} \) and the time feature of each node in the walk. Then, in order to obtain a representation of a link \((u, v)\), all the walks in \( \{S_u, S_v\} \) are encoded and aggregated using mean or attention mechanism and passed through an MLP to obtain the link probability. For the model training, each dataset is divided into training and test sets according to the order of time and the parameters of the model are learned using the link prediction task. This model can be used for inductive settings as well.

**MTSN.** Motif-preserving Temporal Shift Network (MTSN) [44] considers the evolution of motifs in dynamic networks embedding generation. MTSN considers the dynamic network as a series of graph snapshots over time as \( G_0, G_1, \ldots, G_T \) and has two components for generating node embeddings at each time point. The first component is a Motif Preserving Encoder (MPE) and the second is a Temporal Shift based on Motif preserving Encoder (TIME). MPE component preserves the high-order similarity between nodes at each snapshot. First, it generates node embeddings using a simplified GCN with the adjacency matrix and a combined motif matrix of the graph separately as inputs. Then, it adds these two node embeddings to generate the final node embeddings as follows:

\[
H^t_A = A^t H^{t-1}_A
\]

\[
H^t_M = M^t H^{t-1}_M
\]

\[
H^t_l = H^t_A + \beta H^t_M
\]

where \( A^t \) is the adjacency matrix, \( M^t \) is the combined motif matrix of graph at time \( t \) and \( \beta \) is a parameter that learns the importance of motif representation. The combined motif matrix of a graph is obtained by weighted averaging of motif matrices of the input graph that are efficiently computed using the Parametrized Graphlet Decomposition (PGD) technique [2]. MTSN considers all motif matrices that are computed for motifs with 2, 3, 4 nodes. In a motif matrix for a specific motif type, each element \( m_{ij} \) is the number of times that an edge \((i, j)\) participates in building motifs of that specific type. The second component of the MTSN model is TIME which considers the effect of time and is inspired by the Temporal Shift Mechanism in computer vision [43]. This component shifts the node embeddings at each snapshot to capture the temporal evolution. As the shift mechanism on the graph is expensive, instead the following operation is performed on the node embedding at each time point:

\[
H^t_A = A^t (H^{t-1}_A)^{-1} = A^t H^{t-1}
\]

\[
H^t_M = M^t H^{t-1}_M
\]

\[
H^t_l = H^t_A + \beta H^t_M
\]

where \((-)^{-1}\) denotes the shift operation. As shown in the formula, the shift operation was only applied on the adjacency matrix, not the motif matrix, due to the negative effects of complete shift on the model performance because most of the
current network structure will be covered by previous snapshot information. For training the model, the embeddings at time $t$ are learned using a loss function that preserves the similarities between nodes.

5 OPEN ISSUES AND ONGOING RESEARCH

In previous sections, we reviewed some of the important methods for learning node/graph representations. Node representation learning has been a very active field of study. However, there are still several areas that are ongoing and have potential for further studies. We will discuss some of them here.

**Modeling unstudied graph structures.** Graph neural networks have proved to be very successful in modeling graph structures with node attributes. However, they fail in generating distinguishable graph or node representations in certain scenarios. Developing node representation methods that can accurately model node behavior is one future direction. In addition, most of the work on graph neural nets were focused on homogeneous graphs. Modeling the more complicated heterogenous graph structures using GNNs is an open issue.

**Dynamicity.** Most of the existing graph representation models are suitable for static graphs. However, many of the networks in the real world are evolving with nodes and edges being added to or deleted from the graph over time. The common example of dynamic networks are social networks that are dynamically evolving. Developing dynamic node representation methods that can capture the changes of dynamic networks is an interesting research topic.

**Coupling traditional statistical relational learning with GNN.** GNNs share a similarity with the variational inference in a graphical model. Specifically, if we take a probabilistic view on graphs we can model them using graphical models such that nodes of the graph are the items and edges are the dependencies between nodes. In this setting, the goal is to infer the distribution of latent node embeddings given the node features $p(z_v | x_v)$. This inference can be approximated using a variational inference method that formulates the latent node embedding as a function of node features and the distribution of the node neighbors. This is highly similar to message passing in a GNN. The difference is that the variational inference uses distributions and the GNN uses embeddings. Different message passing algorithms can be defined using the connection between GNNs and more traditional statistical models.

**Interpretability.** It is important to interpret the meaning behind node representation vectors so that they are understandable to humans. The features obtained for nodes/edges in the graph or the graph itself by traditional feature engineering methods were more interpretable compared to embeddings obtained by embedding methods as the meaning of the features were clear for human. However, the embedding methods are more effective in capturing different properties of a graph. Therefore, developing metrics for explaining of the values of node embedding vectors is necessary.

**Scalability.** GNNs use sampling or clustering to sample from the neighborhood of a node in the graph for generating node representations. In this way GNNs become more scalable in representing very large graphs. However, some of the important neighbors of a node may be lost by sampling and the completeness of the graph may get corrupted. Creating a tradeoff between model scalability and graph completeness has a potential for further studying.

**Depth of model.** It was shown [38] that in ConvGNN by stacking many GNN layers, the output node representations will become less distinguishable as eventually each node representation aggregates information from all the nodes in the graph. In deep learning, however, the deep architectures are more successful than shallow ones. Therefore, developing models that can use the strength of deep learning in graph data is a potential future direction.

**More applications.** GNNs have proved to be successful in representing different graphs including social networks, financial networks and protein structures. It is interesting to evaluate the effectiveness of GNNs in other areas such as natural language processing and recommendation systems and propose GNNs that are more suitable for these tasks.
CONCLUSION

In this manuscript, we surveyed the node/graph embedding methods. We discussed non-GNN based graph embedding methods for both static and dynamic settings. Static methods generate node embedding vectors for static graphs and are categorized into factorization based, random walk based and deep learning based methods. As most of the graphs in the real world are evolving, there have been several studies with a focus on dynamic graph representation methods recently. Dynamic embedding methods capture both the evolving patterns in the history of nodes and the current node structure in learning node representations. These methods can be divided into aggregation based, random walk based, deep learning based and temporal point process based methods.

In addition to non-GNN based node embedding methods, GNN based methods have achieved huge success in generating node representations. GNNs are an application of deep learning on graph data and use information from the particular graph mining task of interest in learning the node representations. In this survey, we summarized the general framework of GNNs and their categories including static GNNs, spatio-temporal GNNs and dynamic GNNs.

Finally, we discussed some of the open issues in the node representation learning field that are interesting future research directions. Specifically, the open issues include modeling unstudied graph structures, dynamicity, using traditional statistical learning with GNN, interpretability, scalability, depth of model and using the strength of GNNs in NLP and recommendation systems.
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