Modulation property of flexural-gravity waves on a water surface covered by a compressed ice sheet
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Abstract
We study the nonlinear modulation property of flexural-gravity waves on a water surface covered by a compressed ice sheet in a basin of a constant depth. For weakly nonlinear perturbations, we derive the nonlinear Schrödinger equation and investigate the conditions when a quasi-sinusoidal wave becomes unstable with respect to amplitude modulation. The domains of instability are presented in the planes of governing physical parameters; they exhibit complicated patterns. It is shown that under certain conditions the modulational instability can develop from shorter groups and for fewer wave periods than in the situation of deep-water gravity waves in the free water. The modulational instability can occur at the conditions shallower than that known for the free water surface \(kh = 1.363\), where \(k\) is the wavenumber and \(h\) is the water depth. Estimates of parameters of modulated waves are given for the typical physical conditions of an ice-covered sea.

Keywords: Surface wave; ice cover; ice compression; nonlinear Schrödinger equation; modulational instability

*)Corresponding author: Yury.Stepanyants@usq.edu.au
1. Introduction

Water waves in the oceans, lakes and other estuaries covered by ice sheets more and more attracts the attention of researchers in the recent years. This interest is caused by the exploration of polar regions, which are rich in mineral resources. Works on the ice-covered seas require the development of infrastructure which includes the construction of dwellings, research stations monitoring weather and climate change, laboratories, aerodromes, barns, etc. In many countries primarily in the Northern hemisphere lakes and rivers are covered by ice in the winter period which provides conditions the transport across the ice field. This also makes topical investigations of properties of the ice-water system. Another problem, which is similar to ice-water system is related to large floating artificial constructions (airdromes, platforms, artificial islands, long tankers). The dynamic properties of such constructions are close to the properties of elastic ice sheets. The description of all such objects is based on the combination of classical hydrodynamic equations with specific boundary conditions on the free surface which account for the elastic plate within the Kirchhoff–Love model (Forbes, 1986; Părău and Dias, 2002; Il’ichev, 2016; 2021) or a special Cosserat theory of hyperelastic shells (Plotnikov and Toland, 2011; Guyenne and Părău, 2014).

There is a vast volume of publications devoted to the linear properties of flexural-gravity waves (FGWs); it is impossible to mention here all publications therefore, we mention only the most relevant monographs (Kheisin, 1967; Squire et al., 1996; Sahoo, 2012; Bukatov, 2017). Much fewer publications are devoted to the non-linear processes occurring in the ice-water system. Weakly non-linear modulated waves were studied by (Părău and Dias, 2002; Guyenne and Părău, 2014) within the framework of the nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation but neither the ice compression nor the ice-plate inertia was considered. In the paper by Il’ichev (2016) a modulated solitary wave of an arbitrary amplitude in the form of a “bright” soliton was obtained by taking into account both these effects. In the recent publication Il’ichev (2021) considered strongly nonlinear envelop solitary wave for the particular carrier wavelength that corresponds to the minimum of the phase speed within the framework of primitive Euler equation. Then, a similar solution in the form of NLS soliton was derived within the weakly nonlinear theory in the long-wave approximation and it was shown that both solutions are close to each other for moderate depths of a water basin. However, to our best knowledge, the general analysis of modulation instability for the basic governing parameters was not carried out so far.
Below we fill this gap and derive the NLS equation for weakly nonlinear perturbations for a fluid of a finite depth. Then, on the basis of the Lighthill criterion, we investigate the conditions when a quasi-sinusoidal wave becomes unstable with respect to the amplitude modulation. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present a model of surface water waves in the presence of a compressed ice cover. In Section 3 we derive the NLS equation, and in Section 4 and Section 5 present the analysis of the linear properties and nonlinear properties of the flexural-gravity waves. In the Conclusion, we summarize the results obtained and present some estimates for the typical parameters of a modulated wave.

2. A model of surface waves in the presence of ice cover

Let us consider planar waves which propagate along the horizontal \( x \)-axis with the \( z \)-axis directed upward. We assume that the fluid is ideal and irrotational, so that the velocity potential \( \phi \) can be introduced \( \mathbf{v} = \nabla \phi \). Then, we obtain from the equation of mass conservation for the incompressible fluid the Laplace equation in the domain occupied by the fluid:

\[
\Delta \phi = 0, \quad -h \leq z \leq \eta,
\]

where \( \eta(x, t) \) is the water surface displacement, the vertical \( z \)-axis is directed upward, \( h \) is the constant water depth. The water rest level corresponds to the horizon, \( z = 0 \), while the flat bottom is at \( z = -h \). The non-leaking bottom boundary condition requires that

\[
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} = 0, \quad z = -h.
\]

On the upper boundary, \( z = \eta \), we apply the kinematic and dynamic conditions (Forbes, 1986; Squire et al. 1996; Sahoo 2012; Stepanyants and Sturova 2021):

\[
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x},
\]

or

\[
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} + g \eta + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right] = -\frac{1}{\rho} \left[ D \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} K(\eta) + QK(\eta) + M \frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial t^2} \right],
\]

where \( \rho \) is the water density, \( D = Ed^3/[12(1 - \nu^2)] \) is the coefficient of ice rigidity/elasticity, \( E \) is the Young modulus of elastic plate, \( Q \) is the coefficient of longitudinal stress (\( Q > 0 \) corresponds to the compression, and \( Q < 0 \) – to the stretching), and \( M = \rho nd \). Other parameters are: \( \nu \) is the Poisson ratio, \( \rho_i \) is the ice density, \( d \) is the thickness of the ice plate, and \( g \) is the acceleration due to gravity. The function \( K(\eta) \) describes the ice-plate curvature caused by the
plate deflection. According to the model suggested by Forbes (1986) and Il’ichev (2016; 2021)

\[
K(\eta) = \frac{\eta_{sx}}{[1 + (\eta_s)^2]^{3/2} - d\eta_{sx}/2}
\]  
(5)

(another model for the ice-plate curvature was suggested by Plotnikov and Toland (2011) and Guyenne and Părău (2014)). The first term in the square brackets of the right-hand side of Eq. (4) describes the elastic property of the ice plate; the second term represents a longitudinal stress or strain of the plate; the third term describes the inertial property of the ice plate. Hereafter the coefficients \(M, Q, D\) and \(d\) will be treated as independent physical parameters.

Below we consider quasi-monochromatic perturbations of the velocity potential and related ice-plate deflection and derive the nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation for weakly nonlinear waves. Then, on the basis of the derived equation, we analyze the stability of such perturbations with respect to small amplitude modulations.

3. The weakly nonlinear theory for a modulated wave

To derive the nonlinear equation for long modulations of flexural-gravity waves from the system of governing equations (1)–(4), we use the asymptotic method employed in Slunyaev (2005). We chose the carrier wavenumber \(k\) and assume that the wave amplitude is small such that \(k\eta = O(\varepsilon)\) where \(\varepsilon \ll 1\) is a small parameter. We also assume that the wave is quasi-monochromatic so that its relative spectrum width \(\Delta k / k = O(\varepsilon)\) is of the same order of smallness as the wave steepness \(k\eta\). Let us expand the wave fields in series of wave harmonics which will be treated separately:

\[
\eta(x,t) = \eta_0(x,t) + \eta_1(x,t)E^{-1} + \eta_2(x,t)E^{-2} + \ldots ,
\]  
(6)

\[
\phi(x,z,t) = \phi_0(x,z,t) + \phi_1(x,z,t)E^{-1} + \phi_2(x,z,t)E^{-2} + \ldots,
\]  
(7)

where \(E(x,t) = \exp(\ii \omega t - \ii k x)\). The term \(\phi_0\) represents the induced mean flow, and \(\eta_0\) describes the long-scale surface displacement. The following conditions \(\phi_{-n} = \phi_n^*\), \(\eta_{-n} = \eta_n^*\) provide real-valued solutions for \(\eta\) and \(\phi\), where asterisk stands for the complex-conjugate function and \(n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots\)

Each harmonic is additionally decomposed in the asymptotic series on the small parameter \(\varepsilon\):

\[
\eta_n(x,t) = \varepsilon \eta_{n0}(x_1,t_1,t_2) + \varepsilon^2 \eta_{n1}(x_1,t_1,t_2) + \varepsilon^3 \eta_{n2}(x_1,t_1,t_2) + \ldots ,
\]  
(8)

\[
\phi_n(x,z,t) = \varepsilon \phi_{n0}(x_1,z_1,t_1,t_2) + \varepsilon^2 \phi_{n1}(x_1,z_1,t_1,t_2) + \varepsilon^3 \phi_{n2}(x_1,z_1,t_1,t_2) + \ldots ,
\]  
(9)
where multiple coordinates and times are introduced, such that the operations of differentiation in space and time act as follows:
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} + \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial t_0} + \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} + \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2}.
\] (10)

In Eq. (8) \( \eta_{00} = 0 \) if \( n \neq \pm 1 \), thus the dominant term corresponds to the first harmonic.

Functions in Eqs. (8) and (9) depend on slow horizontal coordinate and times. The only function \( E(x, t) \) depends on the fast variables:
\[
E(x, t) = \exp(i\omega t_0 - ikx_0).
\] (11)

Relying on the small surface displacements, the potential and its derivatives can be expanded into the Taylor series in the vicinity of surface \( z = 0 \). Then, the surface boundary conditions (3) and (4) give the following relations for \( z = 0 \):
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \eta_j \frac{\partial^{j+1}}{\partial z_j} \varphi = \eta_t + \eta_x \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \eta_j \frac{\partial^{j+1}}{\partial z_j} \varphi_x, \tag{12}
\]
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\eta_j \partial^{j+1}}{j!} \varphi + g \eta + \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \eta_j \frac{\partial^{j+1}}{j!} \varphi_x \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \eta_j \frac{\partial^{j+1}}{j!} \varphi_z \right)^2 = -\frac{1}{\rho} \left( \dot{D} \frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial x^2} + \dot{Q} K + \dot{M} \frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial t^2} \right). \tag{13}
\]

Now we introduce the notations \( \{\dot{D}, \dot{Q}, \dot{M}\} = \{D/\rho, Q/\rho, M/\rho\} \) for the sake of convenience. The nonlinear function \( K(\eta) \) is also expanded in the Taylor series. For the NLS theory it is sufficient to consider the three first terms of the expansion:
\[
K(\eta) \approx \eta_{x^2} + \frac{1}{2} d(\eta_{x^2})^2 + \frac{1}{4} d^2(\eta_{x^2})^3 - \frac{3}{2} \eta_{x^2}(\eta_x)^2. \tag{14}
\]

The series (6)–(9) are substituted in Eqs. (12)–(14) with the use of differentiation as per Eqs. (10) and bearing in mind Eq. (11), then the terms for the given harmonic number \( n \) and given order of smallness \( m \), \( \epsilon^m E^n \) are collected and treated consequently.

The solution of the Laplace equation (1) with the bottom boundary condition (2) can be found explicitly for the given amplitudes \( A_{nm} \) specified at the water surface as follows:
\[
\begin{align*}
\epsilon^1 E^0: & \quad \varphi_{00} = A_{00}(x_1, t_1, t_2), \\
\epsilon^2 E^0: & \quad \varphi_{01} = A_{01}(x_1, t_1, t_2), \\
\epsilon^3 E^0: & \quad \varphi_{02} = A_{02}(x_1, t_1, t_2) - \frac{1}{2} (z + h)^2 \frac{\partial^2 A_{00}}{\partial x_1^2}, \\
\epsilon^4 E^n, n \neq 0: & \quad \varphi_{n0} = A_{n0}(x_1, t_1, t_2) \cosh nk(z + h)/\cosh nkh,
\end{align*}
\]
$\varepsilon^n E^n, \ n \neq 0: \ \varphi_{ni} = A_{ni}(x_i, t_1, t_2) \frac{\cosh nk(z+h)}{\cosh nk h} + i(z+h) \frac{\sinh nk(z+h)}{\cosh nk h} \frac{\partial A_{n0}}{\partial x_i}$,

$\varepsilon^n E^n, \ n \neq 0: \ \varphi_{n2} = \frac{\cosh nk(z+h)}{\cosh nk h} \left[ A_{n2}(x_i, t_1, t_2) - \frac{(z+h)^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 A_{n0}}{\partial x_i^2} + i(z+h) \tanh nk(z+h) \frac{\partial A_{n1}}{\partial x_i} \right]$.

The amplitudes of the velocity potentials $A_{mn}(x_1, t_1, t_2)$ in these equations are functions of slow variables. The nonlinear surface boundary conditions (12), (13) constrain the amplitudes; the corresponding equations for the amplitudes are considered below in each order of smallness.

In the order $\varepsilon^1 E^1$, the well-known dispersion relation for the FGWs is found as the compatibility condition:

$$\omega^2 = \frac{k \sigma \left(g - k^2 \hat{Q} + k^4 \hat{D} \right)}{1 + \sigma k M} = \sigma \tanh(k h). \quad (15)$$

Simultaneously, we obtain the relation between the leading-order surface displacement $\eta_{10}$ and the leading order amplitude of the velocity potential $A_{10}$:

$$\eta_{10} = i \lambda A_{10}, \quad \lambda = -\frac{k \sigma}{\omega}. \quad (16)$$

Other harmonics are absent in the order of $O(\varepsilon)$.

In the order of $O(\varepsilon^2)$, three harmonics exist. At $\varepsilon^2 E^1$, the advection equation appears:

$$\frac{\partial A_{10}}{\partial t_1} + V \frac{\partial A_{10}}{\partial x_1} = 0, \quad (17)$$

where

$$V = \omega \left( \sigma + kh(1 - \sigma^2) \right) - \sigma \frac{k^2 \hat{Q} - 2k^4 \hat{D}}{\omega [1 + \sigma k M]} = -2\sigma^2 k M \left( k^2 \hat{Q} - 2k^4 \hat{D} \right) + \sigma \left(g - 3k^2 \hat{Q} + 5k^4 \hat{D} \right) + kh \left(1 - \sigma^2 \right) \left(g - k^2 \hat{Q} + k^4 \hat{D} \right) \frac{k^2}{2\omega^2(1 + \sigma k M)^2}. \quad (18)$$

It can be readily confirmed that this expression is the group velocity of FGWs, $V = d\omega dk$.

In the order of $\varepsilon^2 E^1$, the relation between the surface displacement and the velocity potential has the form:

$$\eta_{11} = i \lambda \left( A_{11} + ip \frac{\partial A_{10}}{\partial x_1} \right), \quad \text{where} \quad p = \frac{V}{\omega} + \frac{\omega^2 \left( k M (kh + \sigma) + kh \sigma \right) + 2k \sigma \left( k^2 \hat{Q} - 2k^4 \hat{D} \right)}{k \omega^2 \left(1 + \sigma k M \right)}. \quad (19)$$

The relation between the long-scale surface displacement and the amplitude of the wave induced potential, which appears in the order $\varepsilon^2 E^n$, is:

$$\eta_{01} = \frac{V}{g} \frac{\partial A_{10}}{\partial x_1} - \frac{k^2}{4g} \left(1 - \sigma^2 \right) |A_{10}|^2. \quad (20)$$
The second harmonic is determined in the order of $\varepsilon^2 E^2$: $A_{21} = i\chi A_{10}^2$, where

$$ \chi = \frac{k^2(1+\sigma^2)}{8\sigma\omega} \cdot \frac{3\omega^2(\sigma^2 - 1 + 2\sigma kM) + 6\sigma k^3 \left( \dot{Q} - 5k^2 \dot{D} \right) - k^4 \sigma^2 d \left( \dot{Q} - 4k^2 \dot{D} \right)}{\omega^2 \left( \sigma + 3kM \right) + 3k^3 \left( \dot{Q} - 5k^2 \dot{D} \right)} . $$ (21)

The corresponding term of the surface displacement is:

$$ \eta_{21} = \frac{k\sigma}{4\omega^2} \cdot \frac{-8i\omega^3 A_{10} + k^d \left[ \omega^2 \left( 1 - \sigma^2 \right) + k^4 d \sigma^2 \left( \dot{Q} - 4k^2 \dot{D} \right) \right] A_{10}^2}{\omega^2 \left( 1 + \sigma^2 \right) - \sigma \left[ \omega^2 \left( \sigma + 3kM \right) + 3k^3 \left( \dot{Q} - 5k^2 \dot{D} \right) \right]} . $$ (22)

The nonlinear induced flow, which is supposed to propagate with the group velocity $V$, is defined by the following equation, which appears in the order of $\varepsilon^3 E^0$:

$$ 2 \left( g h - V^2 \right) \frac{\partial A_{10}}{\partial x_i} = \Gamma |A_{10}|^2 , \quad \Gamma = \frac{k^2}{2} \left( V \frac{1-\sigma^2}{2} + \frac{g \sigma}{\omega} + d V \dot{Q} \frac{\sigma^2 k^4}{2\omega^2} \right) . $$ (23)

In the order of $\varepsilon^3 E^1$, we finally obtain the evolution equation for the carrier wave amplitude, which accounts for the effects of nonlinearity and dispersion:

$$ i \frac{\partial A_{10}}{\partial t} + \beta \frac{\partial^2 A_{10}}{\partial x_i^2} + q_1 |A_{10}|^2 A_{10} + q_2 A_{10} \frac{\partial A_{10}}{\partial x_i} = 0 , $$ (24)

where

$$ \beta = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 \omega}{dk^2} = \frac{1}{8\omega^3 \sigma^2 k^2 \left( 1 + \sigma kM \right)^2} \times $$

$$ \frac{\left[ \omega^4 \left( kh \right)^2 \left( 1 + 12\sigma^2 - 3\sigma^4 \right) - 2\sigma k \left( 1 - \sigma^2 \right) + \sigma^2 + 4\sigma kM \left( kh + \sigma \right) \left( 1 - \sigma^2 \right) + \sigma^2 \right]^2 \frac{\omega}{\omega^2 \left( \sigma + 3kM \right) + 3k^3 \left( \dot{Q} - 5k^2 \dot{D} \right)} + 4\sigma^2 \omega^2 k \left( k^2 \dot{Q} - 2k^2 \dot{D} \right) + \omega^2 \left( k^2 \dot{Q} - 2k^2 \dot{D} \right) + \sigma^2 kM \left( k^2 \dot{Q} - 6k^2 \dot{D} \right) + 4\sigma^2 k^2 \left( k^2 \dot{Q} - 2k^2 \dot{D} \right) \right] , $$

$$ q_1 = \frac{k^2}{1 + \sigma kM} \left( -\chi \left( T_i + kdT_i \right) + T_i + kdT_i + \left( kd \right)^2 T_3 \right) , $$ (26)

$$ T_i = -\frac{k^2 \omega^2}{2} \left( 1 - \sigma^2 \right) , \quad T_2 = -2k^3 \sigma^2 \left( k^2 \dot{Q} - k^2 \dot{D} \right) , $$

$$ T_3 = \frac{k}{16\omega^3 \sigma^4} \times \left( 8\omega^2 \left( 1 - \sigma^2 \right)^2 + k^2 \sigma \omega^2 \left( g \left( 5 + 12\sigma^2 + 3\sigma^4 \right) - 12g^3 \sigma^3 kM - 24k^4 \dot{D} \left( 1 - \sigma^2 \right)^2 \right) + + 3g \sigma^4 k^2 \omega^2 \left[ -g + 3g \sigma kM + 4k^4 \dot{D} \left( 5 - 3\sigma kM \right) \right] - 9g^3 \sigma^5 k^3 + 36g^2 \sigma^5 k^3 \dot{D} \right) , $$

$$ q_2 = \frac{k}{1 + \sigma kM} \left( 1 + \frac{k\omega V}{2g\sigma} \left( 1 - \sigma^2 \right) \right) . $$ (27)
Seemingly the term $T_3$ is given in the most compact form, when the coefficient $\hat{Q}$ is eliminated using the dispersion relation (15). The terms $T_4$ and $T_5$ are lengthy polynomials that are not given here but are given in the electronic supplement together with all other coefficients. In all interesting cases the ice is supposed to be thin compared to the wavelength, and then, the parameter $kd$ is small. The terms $T_2$, $T_3$ and $T_5$ can be neglected in Eq. (26) under the assumption that $kd \ll 1$.

Using Eq. (23), we reduce Eq. (24) to the closed form:

$$i \frac{\partial A_{10}}{\partial t} + \beta \frac{\partial^2 A_{10}}{\partial x^2} + \alpha |A_{10}|^2 A_{10} = 0,$$

Where

$$\alpha = q_t + \frac{q_z k^2}{2(V^2 - gh)} \left( V \frac{1 - \sigma^2}{2} + \frac{g \sigma}{\omega} + dV \hat{Q} \frac{\sigma^2 k^4}{2\omega^2} \right).$$

Finally, the NLS equation for the complex amplitude $B(x,t) = \eta = \varepsilon \eta_{10} + O(\varepsilon^3)$ of the surface displacement can be obtained by combining Eqs. (17) and (28) and using the relation (16):

$$i \left( \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} + V \frac{\partial B}{\partial x} \right) + \beta \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial x^2} + \alpha |B|^2 B = 0, \quad \alpha = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda^2},$$

which is accurate to the order of $O(\varepsilon^3)$ (see, for example, Lighthill, 1978; Ablowitz and Segur, 1981; Ostrovsky and Potapov, 1999). Here the real ice-sheet displacement and the velocity potential are specified by the relations:

$$\eta(x,t) = \text{Re} \left[ B \exp(i\omega t - ik x) \right], \quad \varphi(x,z,t) = -\frac{\omega}{k\sigma} \text{Im} \left[ B \exp(i\omega t - ik x) \right] \cosh k(z + h) \cosh kh.$$

The coefficients of Eq. (29) can be significantly simplified in the limit of infinite depth, $kh \to \infty$. They are listed below with the subindex $\infty$:

$$\omega_{\infty} = \frac{k \left( g - k^2 \hat{Q} + k^4 \hat{D} \right)}{1 + k\hat{M}}, \quad V_{\infty} = \frac{\omega_{\infty} - 2k^3 (\hat{Q} - 2k^2 \hat{D})}{2\omega_{\infty} k \left( 1 + k\hat{M} \right)},$$

$$\lambda_{\infty} = -\frac{k}{\omega_{\infty}}, \quad q_{1,\infty} = \lambda_{\infty}^2 \alpha_{\infty}, \quad q_{2,\infty} = \frac{k}{1 + k\hat{M}},$$

$$\beta_{\infty} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 \omega_{\infty}}{dk^2} = \frac{\omega_{\infty}^4 \left( 1 + 4k\hat{M} \right) + 4\omega_{\infty}^2 k^3 \left[ k\hat{M} (\hat{Q} - 6k^2 \hat{D}) + 2(\hat{Q} - 4k^2 \hat{D}) \right] + 4k^6 (\hat{Q} - 2k^2 \hat{D})^2}{8\omega_{\infty} k^2 \left( 1 + k\hat{M} \right)^2},$$

$$\alpha_{\infty} = \frac{k^2}{32\omega_{\infty} \left( 1 + k\hat{M} \right) \left( \omega_{\infty}^2 \left( 1 + 3k\hat{M} \right) + 3k^3 (\hat{Q} - 5k^2 \hat{D}) \right)^{\lambda_{\infty}}},$$
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The nonlinear coefficient $\alpha_\infty$ can be further simplified assuming that $kd$ is small. Note that due to the factor $(gh - V^2)$ in the left-hand side of Eq. (23) which is usually very big whereas the expression in the right-hand side of this equation is finite, the wave induced mean flow proportional to $|B|^2$ vanishes in the limit of infinite depth; this is the standard situation in the classic NLS theory which results in $\tilde{\alpha}_\infty \approx q_{1,\infty}$. The derived NLS equation can be improved taking into account higher terms of the asymptotic expansions with the help of the approach suggested by Dysthe (1979), and Trulsen and Dysthe (1996)).

4. Analysis of the dispersion relation of flexural-gravity waves

For the analysis of the dispersion relation (15) it is convenient to use the dimensionless variables introducing the scaled quantities as follows:

$$\Omega = \omega \sqrt{\frac{D}{\rho g}}, \quad \kappa = k \sqrt{\frac{D}{\rho g}}, \quad \tilde{Q} = \frac{Q}{\sqrt{\rho g D}}, \quad \tilde{M} = \frac{\rho_1}{\rho} d \sqrt{\frac{\rho g}{D}}, \quad \tilde{H} = h \sqrt{\frac{\rho g}{D}}. \quad (32)$$

Then the dispersion relation (15) is represented in the form which contains the minimal number of dimensionless parameters $\tilde{Q}, \tilde{M}$, and $\tilde{H}$:

$$\Omega(\kappa) = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma \kappa (1 - \kappa^2 \tilde{Q} + \kappa^4)}{1 + \sigma \kappa \tilde{M}}} \cdot \sigma = \tanh (\kappa \tilde{H}). \quad (33)$$

For the estimates, let us choose the following parameters:

$\rho_1 = 922.5 \text{ kg/m}^3; \quad d = 1 \text{ m}; \quad E = 5 \cdot 10^9 \text{ Pa}; \quad \nu = 0.3; \quad \rho = 1025 \text{ kg/m}^3; \quad h = 100 \text{ m}; \quad g = 9.81 \text{ m/s}^2$.

The compression parameter $Q$ can vary in a wide range but not exccding the limiting value $Q^*$ when the buckling phenomenon begins. The threshold value of the compression parameter $Q^*$ can be found from the condition of appearing of a double root in the fourth-degree polynomial under the square root; this gives $\tilde{Q} = 2$. With the chosen set of parameters, we obtain: $Q^* = 4.291 \cdot 10^6 \text{ kg/s}^2, \quad \tilde{M} = 0.062, \quad \tilde{H} = 6.846$. The typical plot of the dispersion relation (22) is shown in Fig. 1 for different values of $\tilde{Q}$.

Let us start our analysis of the dispersion relation (33) with the case when there is no ice compression, $\tilde{Q} = 0$. Then, the gravity effect predominates over the elastic effect for small $\kappa < 1$, whereas for big $\kappa > 1$, the elastic effect predominates. These effects are of the same
strength when $\kappa \approx 1$. The effect of a finite depth is important only for the gravity waves when $\kappa < 1$ because in the typical situations the dimensionless water depth is relatively big, $\bar{H} = 6.846$, so that $\sigma = \tanh(\kappa \bar{H})$ is close to unity when $\kappa > 0.5$. The dimensionless depth can be smaller and its range of influence on the $\kappa$-axis becomes wider if the real water depth $h < 10$ m.

![Graph showing dispersion relation](image)

Fig. 1. (Color online.) The typical dispersion relation (33) for $\bar{\kappa} = 0.062$, $\bar{H} = 6.846$ and several values of $\bar{Q}$. Line 1 – $\bar{Q} = 0$; line 2 – $\bar{Q} = \bar{Q}_0 \approx 1.475$; line 3 – $\bar{Q} = 1.85$; line 4 – $\bar{Q} = \bar{Q}_0 = 2$.

The parameter $\bar{\kappa}$ which characterizes the inertial property of the ice plate usually is relatively small, $\bar{\kappa} < 0.1$, therefore, in many cases it can be neglected. Its influence becomes significant for a thick ice plate with $h_1 > 5$ m. As one can see from Fig. 1, the most important influence on the dispersion curve is produced by the ice compression. Line 1 in the figure shows the dispersion relation with no compression, $\bar{Q} = 0$; it is qualitatively similar to the dispersion curve of gravity-capillary waves with the monotonic increase of frequency with the wavenumber. When the compression increases, the dispersion curve bends down so that the local maximum and minimum appear on the curve when $\bar{Q} > \bar{Q}_0 \approx 1.475$. The maximum and minimum coincide at $\bar{Q} = \bar{Q}_0$ (see lines 2 and 3). Further increase of the compression leads to the buckling phenomenon when $\bar{Q} > \bar{Q}_0 = 2$; the dispersion relation becomes imaginary which
implies that the destruction of the ice cover occurs around the critical point $\kappa_{cr}$. Graphics of the group velocities for the same values of $\tilde{Q}$ as in Fig. 1 are shown in Fig. 2.

Fig. 2. (Color online.) The dependence of group velocity of FGWs on the wavenumber for $\tilde{M} = 0.062$, $\tilde{H} = 6.846$ and several values of $\tilde{Q}$. Line 1 $- \tilde{Q} = 0$; line 2 $- \tilde{Q} = \tilde{Q}_o \approx 1.475$; line 3 $- \tilde{Q} = 1.85$; line 4 $- \tilde{Q} = \tilde{Q}_c = 2$. Dashed vertical line shows the critical wavenumber $\kappa_{cr}$ where line 4 splits onto two branches, lower and upper.

As one can see from Fig. 2, the group velocity has a minimum at the intermediate wavenumbers. When the compression parameter increases, the point of minimum in the $\kappa$-space shifts toward $\kappa_{cr}$. The group velocity can be negative in a certain range of $\kappa$ when $\tilde{Q}_o < \tilde{Q} < \tilde{Q}_c$. The slope of the dispersion curve at a certain point $\kappa$ determines the dispersion coefficient $\beta$ in the NLS equation (18).

5. The Benjamin–Feir instability of flexural-gravity waves

As well-known, waves described by the NLS equation (29) are affected by the modulational (alias Benjamin–Feir) instability, when the Lighthill criterion $\alpha \beta > 0$ is fulfilled (Lighthill, 1965; 1978; Ablowitz and Segur, 1981; Ostrovsky and Potapov, 1999). Free gravity water waves in basins of constant depth possess a strictly positive dispersion coefficient $\beta$. The nonlinear coefficient $\alpha$ is positive in deep water and negative in shallower water due to the opposite effects of the nonlinear frequency shift and induced mean flow, when the coefficient $\Gamma$ in Eq. (23) is strictly negative. The nonlinear coefficient vanishes at $kh \approx 1.363$. The diagram of the modulational instability of water waves with surface tension
(i.e., when \(D = 0, M = 0, d = 0,\) and \(Q = 0\)) can be found in the book by Ablowitz and Segur, (1981). In Fig. 3 we present a similar stability diagram for the dimensionless parameters of the water depth \(kh\) and the longitudinal stress \(k^2Q/\rho\).

The diagram of Ablowitz and Segur, (1981) corresponds to the leftward part of the plane, \(Q \leq 0\), in Fig. 3a (which should be mirror-reflected to compare with the classic result). Shaded areas pertain to the domains of instability, \(\alpha\beta > 0\). Modifications of the stability diagram when \(D\) and \(M\) differ from zero are shown in other panels of Fig. 3. The rightmost boundaries on the diagrams are determined by the condition when the frequency (15) tends to zero, i.e.

\[
g - k^2\hat{Q} + k\hat{D} = 0. \tag{34}
\]

The domains of modulational instability in Fig. 3 look fairly complicated. The general picture of the modulational instability is better represented by diagrams as functions of scaled water depth and rigidity parameter \(D\) for the given values of \(M\) and \(Q\), which are presented in Figs. 4 and 5 in the way similar to Fig. 3. As expected, when the ice cover is absent (\(\hat{D} = 0\) in Figs. 3a and 3b), water waves are unstable for \(kh > 1.363\). The dotted horizontal blue lines in each frame depicts the boundary \(kh = 1.363\) as in Fig. 3.

The stability property changes each time when one of the coefficients \(\alpha\) or \(\beta\) changes sign. These conditions are differentiated in Figs. 3-5 by the colors of edges of the stability and instability domains. The light-green color shows the change of the sign of the dispersion coefficient \(\beta\). Indeed, as follows from Fig. 2, the dispersion coefficient \(\beta = -(1/2)dV/dk = -(1/2)d^2\omega/dk^2\) can change the sign in the case of flexural-gravity waves. In the range of gravity waves where the slope of the function \(V(\kappa)\) is negative, the coefficient \(\beta\) is positive, whereas in the range of flexural waves the situation is inverse. A qualitatively similar situation takes place for gravity-capillary waves, when \(M = 0, D = 0\) and \(Q < 0\). The detailed analytic study of the dispersion is rather complicated even in the infinite-depth limit.

The nonlinear coefficient changes its sign when it passes either through the zero value (shown by red curves) or through the infinity (shown by black and black-yellow curves). Black-yellow curves show the condition of the synchronism between the waves and the nonlinear induced long-scale flow,

\[
gh = V^2, \tag{35}
\]

which results in infinite value of the nonlinear coefficient (see Eq. (28)). This can be understood from (15) and (18) that this condition may give up to two curves for a given \(kh\) in the parametric diagrams in Figs. 4 and 5.
Fig. 3. (Color online.) Domains of the modulational instability (shaded) for $d = 0$ and: $M = 0$, $D = 0$ – frame (a); $kM/\rho = 1$, $D = 0$ – frame (b); $M = 0$, $k^4D/\rho g = 0.1$ – frame (c); $kM/\rho = 1$, $k^4D/\rho g = 0.1$ – frame (d); $M = 0$, $k^4D/\rho g = 0.2$ – frame (e); $kM/\rho = 1$, $k^4D/\rho g = 0.2$ – frame (f). The curves where the coefficient $\beta$ changes its sign are shown by the light-green color. The curves where the coefficient $\alpha$ vanishes are shown by dark-red color. Black color curves correspond to the infinite value of $\alpha$, whereas yellow curves denote the condition of the resonance with the long-scale flow. Horizontal blue dashed line designates the condition $kh = 1.363$. 

\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{cc}
\text{a)} & k \dot{M} = 0, k^2 \dot{Q} g = 0, kd = 0 \\
\text{b)} & k \dot{M} = 1, k^2 \dot{Q} g = 0, kd = 0 \\
\text{c)} & k \dot{M} = 0, k^2 \dot{Q} g = 1, kd = 0 \\
\text{d)} & k \dot{M} = 1, k^2 \dot{Q} g = 1, kd = 0
\end{array}
\end{align*}
Fig. 4. (Color online.) Domains of modulational instability (shaded) for \( d = 0 \) and: \( M = 0, Q = 0 \) – frame (a); \( kM/\rho = 1, Q = 0 \) – frame (b); \( M = 0, k^2 Q/\rho g = 1 \) – frame (c); \( kM/\rho = 1, k^2 Q/\rho g = 1 \) – frame (d); \( M = 0, k^2 Q/\rho g = -1 \) – frame (e); \( kM/\rho = 1, k^2 Q/\rho g = -1 \) – frame (f). The curve coding is similar to Fig. 3.

The nonlinear resonance between the flexural-gravity wave and its second harmonic corresponds to another case when the nonlinear coefficient \( \alpha \) goes to infinity (such edges are shown by black curves). This happens when the denominator in the expression for \( \chi \) in Eq. (21) vanishes resulting in the relation:

\[
g \sigma \left( \sigma + 3k\hat{M} \right) + k^2 \left( 3 - \sigma^2 \right) \hat{Q} - k^4 \left( 15 - \sigma^2 + 12\sigma k\hat{M} \right) \hat{D} = 0. \tag{36}
\]

This can give at most one curve for a fixed \( kh \) in the parametric diagrams in Figs. 4 and 5. Note that though the denominator of the second term in the curly brackets of Eq. (26) can vanish too, this does not lead to a singularity of \( q_1 \) due to the cancellation of zero terms in the denominator and expression for \( \chi \). The singularity in the coefficient \( \alpha_\infty \) in Eqs. (31) remains in the limit of a deep water.

Note that the relations (35) and (36) do not depend on the ice thickness parameter \( d \), therefore the corresponding borders of instability regions in Figs. 3–5 are not affected by the change of \( d \). The parameter \( d \) does not enter explicitly the dispersion relation, hence the zeros of \( \beta \) do not depend on \( d \) directly but only in combination with other parameters through the \( \hat{M} \) – see Eq. (33). Only zero values of the nonlinear coefficient \( \alpha \) (red curves in Figs. 3–5) depend on \( d \) explicitly. In practice, the parameter \( kd \) is small, therefore its effect on the instability domains is not strong. As a result, the sets of instability diagrams for the zero ice
thickness (Fig. 4) and an exaggerated value $kd = 0.5$ (Fig. 5) look generally similar. Obviously, moderate values of $M$ do not change the diagrams qualitatively either.
Fig. 5. (Color online.) Same as in Fig. 4 but for \( kd = 0.5 \).

The analysis of zeros of the nonlinear coefficient \( \alpha \) is fairly complicated due to the bulky terms \( T_3, T_4 \) and \( T_5 \) in Eq. (26). As follows from Eq. (31), in the limit of deep water the nonlinear coefficient \( \alpha_* \) can vanish at two values of \( \hat{D} \). It also follows from Figs. 4 and 5 that the number of zeros \( \alpha \) can be larger in shallow water. In fact, the numerator of the coefficient \( \alpha \) in the shallow-water limit contains the coefficient \( \hat{D} \) in power four, hence up to four roots are possible, what agrees with the diagrams in Fig. 4 and 5.

The resonance conditions (35) and (36) are easier for investigation. According to Figs. 4 and 5, waves can be modulationally unstable below the critical depth \( kh < 1.363 \) under certain combinations of the physical parameters. The instability expands down to the zero-depth limit if \( \hat{Q} \geq 0 \) (when the ice plate is compressed). It can be readily shown that in the shallow-water limit \( kh \ll 1 \),

\[
\omega^2 \rightarrow_{kh \rightarrow 0} k^2 h \left( g - k^2 \hat{Q} + k^4 \hat{D} \right), \quad V \rightarrow_{kh \rightarrow 0} \frac{\omega}{k} - \frac{kh}{\omega} \left( k^2 \hat{Q} - 2k^4 \hat{D} \right),
\]

and then, the resonance condition (35) yields a quadratic equation on either \( \hat{D} \) or \( \hat{Q} \), which does not depend on \( \hat{M} \) and \( d \). The equation possesses one positive solution \( \hat{D} \) for any \( 0 \leq k^2 \hat{Q} / g \leq 3/4 \) (see black-yellow curves in Figs. 4a,b and 5a,b) and two positive roots \( \hat{D} \) for \( k^2 \hat{Q} / g > 3/4 \) (see black-yellow curves in Figs. 4c,d and 5c,d). In particular, in the limit of dominating gravity \( (k^4 \hat{D} \ll g \text{ and } k^2 \hat{Q} \ll g) \) the resonance condition reads \( \hat{Q} = 5/3k^2 \hat{D} \geq 0 \).

On the other hand, as follows from the diagrams, short waves are stable with respect to self-modulation when the rigidity parameter \( \hat{D} \) exceeds some value. As can be seen from Eq. (31), the group velocity in the limit \( kh \gg 1 \) remains finite for any finite \( \hat{D} \). Therefore, for the finite coefficients of rigidity and longitudinal stress, the nonlinear resonance with long waves does not occur. This conclusion agrees with the slowly growing black-yellow edges in Figs. 4 and 5 when \( \hat{D} \) increases. This also leads to the statement that the second branch of the resonance curve (the leftmost in Figs. 4c,d and 5c,d), which appears when \( k^2 \hat{Q} / g > 3/4 \), must cross the axis \( \hat{D} = 0 \) at some large value of \( kh \), though this point is beyond the limit of the shown graphs.
As for the super-harmonic resonance condition (36), in the shallow-water limit \(kh \ll 1\), it is reduced to \(\dot{Q} = 5k^2 \dot{D}\). Therefore, it always has one root when \(\dot{Q} \geq 0\) and has no solutions when \(\dot{Q} < 0\). In the deep-water limit \(kh \gg 1\), the resonance condition (36) can be simplified too. Then, only one positive root can exist when \(2k^2 \dot{Q} \geq -g^2 \left(1 + 3kM\right)\).

In Figs. 3–5 one can see many examples when the curves which correspond to zero and infinite values of the nonlinear coefficient \(\alpha\) merge. This implies the cancellation of singularities. In such situations, the NLS equation should be extended to include higher-order terms for proper description of nonlinear wave processes.

Besides the principal possibility of modulation instability, the issues on the spectral range of instability and maximum growth rate are important to be achievable under realistic conditions. It is well known that the most unstable wave within the NLS theory (29) is characterized by the perturbation wavenumber \(K_m\) and the growth rate \(\gamma_m\):

\[
K_m = a_0 \sqrt{\alpha / \beta}, \quad \gamma_m = \alpha a_0^2,
\]

where \(a_0\) is the real wave amplitude. The range of the wavenumbers where the modulation instability occurs is \(0 < K < K_m \sqrt{2}\). From the practical perspective it is constructive to express the perturbation length, \(L = 2\pi / K\), and the characteristic growth time, \(\tau = 2\pi / \gamma\); in terms of the wave period, \(T = 2\pi / \omega\) and the wavelength, \(\lambda = 2\pi / k\), respectively. Then, using Eq. (38), we obtain:

\[
\frac{L}{\lambda} = n \varepsilon^{-1}, \quad \frac{\tau}{T} = \delta \varepsilon^{-2}, \quad n = k^2 \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}, \quad \delta = \frac{\omega k^2}{|\alpha|},
\]

where \(\varepsilon = a_0 k\) is the wave steepness which is for realistic sea waves is of the order of \(10^{-1}\) or less. The coefficient \(n\) represents the normalized number of waves in an unstable group, and the coefficient \(\delta\) is the normalized maximal growth time. For reference, these coefficients for purely gravity waves in the limit of deep water are 0.5 and 2, respectively. The quantities \(n\) and \(\delta\) in the domains of instability are plotted in Figs. 6 and 7 with the pseudo-color for the set of conditions similar to those in Fig. 4. The darker color corresponds to shorter unstable wave groups (in Fig. 6) and faster modulation growth (in Fig. 7). As one can see, compared to the case of deep-water gravity waves without ice (the axis \(\dot{D} = 0\) in Figs. 6a and 7a), the flexural-gravity waves can be characterized as less or more unstable depending on the ice parameters. Interestingly, strongly unstable situations can be realized under much shallower conditions than \(kh = 1.363\).
To gain some insight how the considered effects can manifest in realistic conditions, we present in Fig. 8 the parameter of growth time $\delta$ in the plane of the ice thickness $d$ and carrier wavelength $\lambda = 2\pi/k_0$ for a few values of depth $h$ and compression parameter $\tilde{Q} = Q/\sqrt{\rho g D}$.

The diagrams for $n$ look qualitatively similar. The horizontal dotted lines in each frame show the boundary $k_0 h = 1.363$. It should be beared in mind that the genuine scaled time of the modulational growth is $\delta \varepsilon^{-2}$ provided that $\varepsilon = 0.1$ or less.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{diagrams.png}
\caption{Parameter of growth time $\delta$ in the plane of the ice thickness $d$ and carrier wavelength $\lambda = 2\pi/k_0$ for different values of depth $h$ and compression parameter $\tilde{Q} = Q/\sqrt{\rho g D}$.
\textit{a)} $k\tilde{M}=0, k\tilde{Q}g = 0, kd = 0$
\textit{b)} $k\tilde{M}=1, k\tilde{Q}g = 0, kd = 0$
\textit{c)} $k\tilde{M}=0, k\tilde{Q}g = 1, kd = 0$
\textit{d)} $k\tilde{M}=1, k\tilde{Q}g = 1, kd = 0$
}\end{figure}
Fig. 6. (Color online.) The normalized number of waves $n$ in unstable groups are shown with the pseudo-color in the same planes as in Fig. 4. The edges of the instability domains are shown by the cyan curves.
Fig. 7. (Color online.) The normalized maximum growth times $\delta$ are shown with the pseudo-color in the same planes as in Fig. 4. The edges of the instability domains are shown by the cyan curves.
Fig. 8. (Color online.) Domains of the modulation instability (shaded zone) in the parameter plane of ice thickness $d$ and carrier wavelength $\lambda$ for the different values of compression parameter $\tilde{Q}$. Left column – $\tilde{Q} = 0$, middle column – $\tilde{Q} = 1$, and right column – $\tilde{Q} = 1.85$ (Let us replace the middle column for $Q = 1.475$ like in Fig. 1). In the upper row the water depths $h = 1$, in the second row $h = 10$, in the third row $h = 50$, in the fourth row $h = 200$, and in the last row $h = 4,000$ m (see the legends in the frames).

6. Discussion

As one can see from Figs. 3–5, the domains of modulation stability and instability are bizarrely interspersed on the diagram. The importance of highlighting such domains is in the understanding when bright and dark solitary waves can exist (the examples of such solitary waves are given in Fig. 9). The former can arise in the process of development of the modulation instability, whereas the latter can appear in the modulational stable regions on the parameter plane. Moreover, in the case of modulational instability, rogue waves with anomaly large amplitudes can emerge from rather regular initial perturbations (Kharif et al., 2009), for example, in the process of soliton transformation at a bottom step (Ducroz et al., 2021).
Fig. 9. (Color online.) Examples of the bright soliton (a) and dark soliton (b).

Strongly nonlinear envelope bright solitary waves were considered in the recent publication by Il’ichev (2021) for the particular carrier wavelength that corresponds to the minimum of the phase speed in the fluid of a finite depth. The shape of an envelope solitary wave with the amplitude $a_0 = 2 \text{ m}$ was very similar to the NLS soliton (the water depth was $h = 55 \text{ m}$ and ice sheet thickness was $d = 1 \text{ m}$).

Apparently, bright solitons can emerge in the wakes behind moving pressure sources such as landing or taken-off aircraft, snowmobiles, skaters on frozen rivers or lakes, etc. Something similar was considered by Berger and Milewski (2000) who discovered the formation of gravity-capillary lumps in the wake behind a moving source on a thin water layer. This interesting problem in application to flexural-gravity waves yet waits for its solution.

To conclude this section, let us estimate the wave steepness $a_0k$ for the deflection of the ice plate with the amplitude $a_0 = 1 \text{ m}$ and wavenumber $k_c = \sqrt{\rho g / D} = 6.846 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ m}^{-1}$ which corresponds conditionally to the boundary between the gravity and flexural waves with the wavelength $\lambda_c \approx 92 \text{ m}$. Then, we obtain $a_0 k_c = 6.846 \cdot 10^{-2}$. It is hardly reasonable to consider greater ice-plate deflections with the amplitude $a_0 > 1 \text{ m}$. Therefore, the steepness of FGWs with $k < k_c$ can be less than $5 \cdot 10^{-2}$ in agreement with our assumption (see Section 5).

Thus, we have studied the modulation property of flexural-gravity waves on a water surface covered by a compressed ice sheet. We have derived the nonlinear Schrödinger equation and investigated the conditions when a quasi-sinusoidal wave becomes unstable with respect to amplitude modulation. The domains of instability are presented in the plane of parameters in Figs. 3 and 4. The estimates show that the wave steepness even for amplitude of ice deflection of $1 \text{ m}$ is rather small $a_0k$ for the reasonable wavelengths. In the domains of instability bright envelope solitons can emerge from the initial pulse-type perturbations, whereas in the domains of stability, dark solitons can exist on quasi-sinusoidal wavetrains. Moreover, in the case of modulation instability, the extremely big rogue waves can be formed on quasi-sinusoidal wavetrains. Such rogue waves can lead to the ice destruction; this
problem deserves further study. The same pertains to the cases when one of the coefficients of the NLS equation, \( \alpha \) or \( \beta \), either vanishes or becomes singular. This corresponds to the boundaries between the domains of modulation stability and instability. In such cases, the classical NLS equation becomes invalid and the higher-order Dysthe equation (Dysthe, 1979; Trulsen and Dysthe, 1996) should be derived. The analysis of such a problem is beyond the scope of this paper; it will be studied elsewhere.
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