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Abstract

Let $A$ be a unital $*$-algebra. For $A, B \in A$, define by $[A, B]_* = AB - BA^*$ and $A\bullet B = AB + BA^*$ the new products of $A$ and $B$. In this paper, under some mild conditions on $A$, it is shown that a map $\Phi : A \to A$ satisfies $\Phi([A\bullet B, C]_*) = [\Phi(A)\bullet B, C]_* + [A\bullet \Phi(B), C]_* + [A\bullet B, \Phi(C)]_*$ for all $A, B, C \in A$ if and only if $\Phi$ is an additive $*$-derivation. In particular, we apply the above result to prime $*$-algebras, von Neumann algebras with no central summands of type $I_1$, factor von Neumann algebras and standard operator algebras.

Keywords: mixed Jordan triple $*$-derivations; $*$-derivations; von Neumann algebras.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47B47; 46L10

1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $*$-algebra over the complex field $\mathbb{C}$. For $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, define the skew Lie product of $A$ and $B$ by $[A, B]_* = AB - BA^*$ and the Jordan $*$-product of $A$ and $B$ by $A\bullet B = AB + BA^*$. The skew Lie product and the Jordan $*$-product are fairly meaningful and important in some research topics. They were extensively studied because they naturally arise in the problem of representing quadratic functionals with sesquilinear functionals (see [17,19]) and in the problem of characterizing ideals (see [2,16]). Particular attention has been paid to understanding
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maps which preserve the skew Lie product or the Jordan \(*\)-product on \(*\)-algebras (see [1, 3, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 25]).

Recall that an additive map \(\Phi : A \rightarrow A\) is said to be an additive derivation if \(\Phi(AB) = \Phi(A)B + A\Phi(B)\) for all \(A, B \in A\). Furthermore, \(\Phi\) is said to be an additive \(*\)-derivation if it is an additive derivation and satisfies \(\Phi(A^*) = \Phi(A)^*\) for all \(A \in A\). A not necessarily linear map \(\Phi : A \rightarrow A\) is said to be a nonlinear Jordan \(*\)-derivation or a nonlinear skew Lie derivation if

\[
\Phi(A \bullet B) = \Phi(A) \bullet B + A \bullet \Phi(B)
\]

or

\[
\Phi([A, B]_*) = [\Phi(A), B]_* + [A, \Phi(B)]_*
\]

for all \(A, B \in A\). Yu and Zhang in [22] proved that every nonlinear skew Lie derivation on factor von Neumann algebras is an additive \(*\)-derivation. Jing in [7] studied nonlinear skew Lie derivations on standard operator algebras. Let \(A\) be a standard operator algebra on a complex Hilbert space \(H\) which is closed under the adjoint operation. It was shown that every nonlinear skew Lie derivation \(\Phi\) on \(A\) is automatically linear. Moreover, \(\Phi\) is an inner \(*\)-derivation. Taghavi et al. [21] and Zhang [23] independently investigated nonlinear Jordan \(*\)-derivations on factor von Neumann algebras, respectively. It turns out that every nonlinear Jordan \(*\)-derivation between factor von Neumann algebras is an additive \(*\)-derivation. Li et al. in [10] investigated nonlinear skew Lie derivations and Jordan \(*\)-derivations on von Neumann algebras with no central summands of type \(I_1\).

Given the consideration of nonlinear Jordan \(*\)-derivations and nonlinear skew Lie derivations, we can further develop them in one natural way. A map \(\Phi : A \rightarrow A\) is said to be a nonlinear Jordan triple \(*\)-derivation or a nonlinear skew Lie triple derivation if

\[
\Phi(A \bullet B \bullet C) = \Phi(A) \bullet B \bullet C + A \bullet \Phi(B) \bullet C + A \bullet B \bullet \Phi(C)
\]

or

\[
\Phi([A, B]_*, C)_* = [[\Phi(A), B]_*, C]_* + [[A, \Phi(B)]_*], C]_* + [[A, B]_*], \Phi(C)]_*
\]

for all \(A, B, C \in A\). Li et al. [13] proved that every nonlinear skew Lie triple derivation on factor von Neumann algebras is an additive \(*\)-derivation. Fu and An [5] proved that \(\Phi\) is a nonlinear skew Lie triple derivation on von Neumann
algebras with no central summands of type $I_1$ if and only if $\Phi$ is an additive $*$-derivation. Zhao and Li [24] proved that every nonlinear Jordan triple $*$-derivation between von Neumann algebras with no central summands of type $I_1$ is an additive $*$-derivation. Lin [14, 15] studied the nonlinear skew Lie $n$-derivations on standard operator algebras and von Neumann algebras with no central summands of type $I_1$.

In this paper, we will study the nonlinear mixed Jordan triple $*$-derivations on $*$-algebras. A map $\Phi : A \to A$ is said to be a nonlinear mixed Jordan triple $*$-derivation if

$$\Phi([A \cdot B, C]_*) = [\Phi(A) \cdot B, C]_* + [A \cdot \Phi(B), C]_* + [A \cdot B, \Phi(C)]_*$$

for all $A, B, C \in A$. Under some mild conditions on a $*$-algebra $A$, we prove that a map $\Phi : A \to A$ is a nonlinear mixed Jordan triple $*$-derivation if and only if $\Phi$ is an additive $*$-derivation. In particular, we apply the above result to prime $*$-algebras, von Neumann algebras with no central summands of type $I_1$, factor von Neumann algebras and standard operator algebras.

2 The main result and its proof

Our main result in this paper reads as follows.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let $A$ be a unital $*$-algebra with the unit $I$. Assume that $A$ contains a nontrivial projection $P$ which satisfies

$$(\spadesuit) \quad XAP = 0 \; \text{implies} \; X = 0$$

and

$$(\clubsuit) \quadXA(I - P) = 0 \; \text{implies} \; X = 0.$$ 

Then a map $\Phi : A \to A$ satisfies $\Phi([A \cdot B, C]_*) = [\Phi(A) \cdot B, C]_* + [A \cdot \Phi(B), C]_* + [A \cdot B, \Phi(C)]_*$ for all $A, B, C \in A$ if and only if $\Phi$ is an additive $*$-derivation.

**Proof.** Let $P_1 = P$ and $P_2 = I - P$. Denote $A_{jk} = P_j AP_k, j, k = 1, 2$. Then $A = \sum_{j,k=1}^2 A_{jk}$. In all that follows, when we write $A_{jk}$, it indicates that $A_{jk} \in A_{jk}$. Clearly, we only need to prove the necessity. We will complete the proof by several claims.

**Claim 1.** $\Phi(0) = 0$. 
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Indeed, we have
\[ \Phi(0) = \Phi(\{0 \cdot 0, 0, \} \cdot x) = [\Phi(0) \cdot 0, 0, 0] + [0 \cdot \Phi(0), 0] + [0 \cdot 0, \Phi(0)] = 0. \]

**Claim 2.** \( \Phi \) is additive.

We will complete the proof of Claim 2 by proving several steps.

**Step 2.1.** For every \( A_{12} \in A_{12}, B_{21} \in A_{21} \), we have
\[
\Phi(A_{12} + B_{21}) = \Phi(A_{12}) + \Phi(B_{21}).
\]

We only need show that \( T = \Phi(A_{12} + B_{21}) - \Phi(A_{12}) - \Phi(B_{21}) = 0 \). Since \( I \cdot (i(P_2 - P_1)), A_{12} \cdot = [I \cdot (i(P_2 - P_1)), B_{21}] = 0, \)

where \( i \) is the imaginary unit, it follows from Claim 1 that
\[
\begin{align*}
[\Phi(I) \cdot (i(P_2 - P_1)), A_{12} + B_{21}] + [I \cdot \Phi(i(P_2 - P_1)), A_{12} + B_{21}] \\
= \Phi([I \cdot (i(P_2 - P_1)), A_{12}] + \Phi([I \cdot (i(P_2 - P_1)), B_{21}]) \\
= [\Phi(I) \cdot (i(P_2 - P_1)), A_{12} + B_{21}] + [I \cdot \Phi(i(P_2 - P_1)), A_{12} + B_{21}] \\
+ [I \cdot (i(P_2 - P_1)), \Phi(A_{12}) + \Phi(B_{21})].
\end{align*}
\]

From this, we get \([I \cdot (i(P_2 - P_1)), T] = 0 \). So \( T_{11} = T_{22} = 0 \).

Since \([I \cdot A_{12}, P_1] = 0 \), it follows that
\[
\begin{align*}
[\Phi(I) \cdot (A_{12} + B_{21}), P_1] + [I \cdot \Phi(A_{12} + B_{21}), P_1] \\
= \Phi([I \cdot (A_{12} + B_{21}), P_1]) \\
= \Phi([I \cdot A_{12}, P_1] + \Phi([I \cdot B_{21}, P_1]) \\
= [\Phi(I) \cdot (A_{12} + B_{21}), P_1] + [I \cdot (\Phi(A_{12}) + \Phi(B_{21})), P_1] \\
+ [I \cdot (A_{12} + B_{21}), \Phi(P_1)].
\end{align*}
\]

Hence \([I \cdot T, P_1] = 0 \), from which we get that \( T_{21} = 0 \). Similarly, we can prove that \( T_{12} = 0 \), proving the step.

**Step 2.2.** For every \( A_{11} \in A_{11}, B_{12} \in A_{12}, C_{21} \in A_{21}, D_{22} \in A_{22} \), we have
\[
\Phi(A_{11} + B_{12} + C_{21}) = \Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(B_{12}) + \Phi(C_{21})
\]
and

\[ \Phi(B_{12} + C_{21} + D_{22}) = \Phi(B_{12}) + \Phi(C_{21}) + \Phi(D_{22}). \]

Let \( T = \Phi(A_{11} + B_{12} + C_{21}) - \Phi(A_{11}) - \Phi(B_{12}) - \Phi(C_{21}) \).

It follows from Step 2.1 that that

\[
[\Phi(I) \cdot (iP_2), A_{11} + B_{12} + C_{21}]_* + [I \cdot \Phi(iP_2), A_{11} + B_{12} + C_{21}]_* \\
+ [I \cdot (iP_2), \Phi(A_{11} + B_{12} + C_{21})]_* \\
= \Phi([I \cdot (iP_2), A_{11} + B_{12} + C_{21}]_*) \\
= \Phi([I \cdot (iP_2), A_{11}]_*) + \Phi([I \cdot (iP_2), B_{12} + C_{21}]_* \\
+ \Phi([I \cdot (iP_2), A_{11}]_*) + \Phi([I \cdot (iP_2), B_{12}]_* + \Phi([I \cdot (iP_2), C_{21}]_* \\
= [\Phi(I) \cdot (iP_2), A_{11} + B_{12} + C_{21}]_* + [I \cdot \Phi(iP_2), A_{11} + B_{12} + C_{21}]_* \\
+ [I \cdot (iP_2), \Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(B_{12}) + \Phi(C_{21})]_*. \\
\]

From this, we get \( [I \cdot (iP_2), T]_* = 0 \). So \( T_{12} = T_{21} = T_{22} = 0 \).

Since
\[ [I \cdot (i(P_2 - P_1)), B_{12}]_* = [I \cdot (i(P_2 - P_1)), C_{21}]_* = 0, \]

it follows that

\[
[\Phi(I) \cdot (i(P_2 - P_1)), A_{11} + B_{12} + C_{21}]_* + [I \cdot \Phi(i(P_2 - P_1)), A_{11} + B_{12} + C_{21}]_* \\
+ [I \cdot (i(P_2 - P_1)), \Phi(A_{11} + B_{12} + C_{21})]_* \\
= \Phi([I \cdot (i(P_2 - P_1)), A_{11} + B_{12} + C_{21}]_*) \\
= \Phi([I \cdot (i(P_2 - P_1)), A_{11}]_*) + \Phi([I \cdot (i(P_2 - P_1)), B_{12}]_* + \Phi([I \cdot (i(P_2 - P_1)), C_{21}]_* \\
= [\Phi(I) \cdot (i(P_2 - P_1)), A_{11} + B_{12} + C_{21}]_* + [I \cdot \Phi(i(P_2 - P_1)), A_{11} + B_{12} + C_{21}]_* \\
+ [I \cdot (i(P_2 - P_1)), \Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(B_{12}) + \Phi(C_{21})]_* \\
\]

from which we get \( [I \cdot (i(P_2 - P_1)), T]_* = 0 \). So \( T_{11} = 0 \), and then \( T = 0 \). Similarly, we can get that \( \Phi(B_{12} + C_{21} + D_{22}) = \Phi(B_{12}) + \Phi(C_{21}) + \Phi(D_{22}) \).

**Step 2.3.** For every \( A_{11} \in A_{11}, B_{12} \in A_{12}, C_{21} \in A_{21}, D_{22} \in A_{22} \), we have

\[ \Phi(A_{11} + B_{12} + C_{21} + D_{22}) = \Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(B_{12}) + \Phi(C_{21}) + \Phi(D_{22}). \]

Let \( T = \Phi(A_{11} + B_{12} + C_{21} + D_{22}) - \Phi(A_{11}) - \Phi(B_{12}) - \Phi(C_{21}) - \Phi(D_{22}) \). It
follows from Step 2.2 that

\[
\Phi(I) \cdot (iP_2), A_{11} + B_{12} + C_{21} + D_{22}] + [I \cdot \Phi(iP_2), A_{11} + B_{12} + C_{21} + D_{22}]^* \\
= \Phi(I) \cdot (iP_2), A_{11} + B_{12} + C_{21} + D_{22}\]

Step 2.4. For every \(A_{jk}, B_{jk} \in A_{jk}, 1 \leq j \neq k \leq 2,\) we have

\[
\Phi(A_{jk} + B_{jk}) = \Phi(A_{jk}) + \Phi(B_{jk}).
\]

Since

\[
\frac{I}{2} \cdot (P_j + A_{jk}), P_k + B_{jk}]^* = (A_{jk} + B_{jk}) - A_{jk}^* - B_{jk}A_{jk}^*,
\]
we get from Step 2.3 that

\[
\Phi(A_{jk} + B_{jk}) + \Phi(-A_{jk}^*) + \Phi(-B_{jk}A_{jk}^*) \\
= \Phi(\frac{I}{2} \cdot (P_j + A_{jk}), P_k + B_{jk}]^*) \\
= [\Phi(\frac{I}{2} \cdot (P_j + A_{jk}), P_k + B_{jk}]^* + \frac{I}{2} \cdot \Phi(P_j + A_{jk}), P_k + B_{jk}]^* \\
+ \frac{I}{2} \cdot (P_j + A_{jk}), \Phi(P_k + B_{jk}]^* \\
= [\Phi(\frac{I}{2} \cdot (P_j + A_{jk}), P_k + B_{jk}]^* + \frac{I}{2} \cdot (\Phi(P_j) + \Phi(A_{jk})), P_k + B_{jk}]^* \\
+ \frac{I}{2} \cdot (P_j + A_{jk}), (\Phi(P_k) + \Phi(B_{jk})])^* \\
= \Phi(\frac{I}{2} \cdot (P_j, P_k]^* + \Phi(\frac{I}{2} \cdot P_j, B_{jk}]^* + \Phi(\frac{I}{2} \cdot A_{jk}, P_k]^* + \Phi(\frac{I}{2} \cdot A_{jk}, B_{jk}]^* \\
= \Phi(B_{jk}) + \Phi(A_{jk} - A_{jk}^*) + \Phi(-B_{jk}A_{jk}^*) \\
= \Phi(B_{jk}) + \Phi(A_{jk}) + \Phi(-A_{jk}^*) + \Phi(-B_{jk}A_{jk}^*).}
\]

Then

\[
\Phi(A_{jk} + B_{jk}) = \Phi(A_{jk}) + \Phi(B_{jk}).
\]
Step 2.5. For every $A_{jj}, B_{jj} \in A_{jj}, 1 \leq j \leq 2$, we have

$$
\Phi(A_{jj} + B_{jj}) = \Phi(A_{jj}) + \Phi(B_{jj}).
$$

Let $T = \Phi(A_{jj} + B_{jj}) - \Phi(A_{jj}) - \Phi(B_{jj})$. For $1 \leq j \neq k \leq 2$, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
[\Phi(I) \cdot (iP_k), A_{jj} + B_{jj}]_* + [I \cdot \Phi(iP_k), A_{jj} + B_{jj}]_* \\
+ [I \cdot (iP_k), \Phi(A_{jj} + B_{jj})]_* \\
= \Phi([I \cdot (iP_k), A_{jj} + B_{jj}]_*) \\
= \Phi([I \cdot (iP_k), A_{jj}]_*) + \Phi([I \cdot (iP_k), B_{jj}]_*) \\
= [\Phi(I) \cdot (iP_k), A_{jj} + B_{jj}]_* + [I \cdot \Phi(iP_k), A_{jj} + B_{jj}]_* \\
+ [I \cdot (iP_k), \Phi(A_{jj}) + \Phi(B_{jj})]_*.
\end{align*}
$$

From this, we get $[I \cdot (iP_k), T]_* = 0$. So $T_{jk} = T_{kj} = T_{kk} = 0$. Now we get $T = T_{jj}$.

For every $C_{jk} \in A_{jk}, j \neq k$, it follows from Step 2.4 that

$$
\begin{align*}
[\Phi(I) \cdot (A_{jj} + B_{jj}), C_{jk}]_* + [I \cdot \Phi(A_{jj} + B_{jj}), C_{jk}]_* \\
+ [I \cdot (A_{jj} + B_{jj}), \Phi(C_{jk})]_* \\
= \Phi([I \cdot (A_{jj} + B_{jj}), C_{jk}]_*) \\
= \Phi([I \cdot A_{jj}, C_{jk}]_*) + \Phi([I \cdot B_{jj}, C_{jk}]_*) \\
= [\Phi(I) \cdot (A_{jj} + B_{jj}), C_{jk}]_* + [I \cdot \Phi(A_{jj}) + \Phi(B_{jj}), C_{jk}]_* \\
+ [I \cdot (A_{jj} + B_{jj}), \Phi(C_{jk})]_*.
\end{align*}
$$

Hence $[I \cdot T_{jj}, C_{jk}]_* = 0$ for all $C_{jk} \in A_{jk}$, that is, $T_{jj}(CP_k = 0$ for all $C \in A$. It follows from (♠) and (♣) that $T = T_{jj} = 0$, proving the step.

Now, it follows from Steps 2.3, 2.4 and 2.5 that $\Phi$ is additive, proving the Claim 2.

Claim 3. $\Phi(I)$ is a self-adjoint central element in $A$.

On the one hand, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
0 &= \Phi([I \cdot I, I]_*) \\
&= [\Phi(I) \cdot I, I]_* + [I \cdot \Phi(I), I]_* + [I \cdot I, \Phi(I)]_* \\
&= [2\Phi(I), I]_* \\
&= 2\Phi(I) - 2\Phi(I)^*.
\end{align*}
$$
which implies that \( \Phi(I) \) is a self-adjoint element in \( \mathcal{A} \).

On the other hand, for all \( A \in \mathcal{A} \), we get

\[
0 = \Phi([I \cdot I, A]_*) \\
= [\Phi(I) \cdot I, A]_* + [I \cdot \Phi(I), A]_* + [I \cdot I, \Phi(A)]_* \\
= 2\Phi(I), A]_* \\
= 4(\Phi(I)A - A\Phi(I)),
\]

which implies that \( \Phi(I) \) is a central element in \( \mathcal{A} \).

**Claim 4.** \( P_1 \Phi(P_1)P_2 = -P_1 \Phi(P_2)P_2, P_2 \Phi(P_1)P_1 = -P_2 \Phi(P_2)P_1, P_1 \Phi(P_2)P_1 = P_2 \Phi(P_1)P_2 = 0 \).

On the one hand, for \( 1 \leq j \neq k \leq 2 \), it follows from Claim 3 that

\[
0 = \Phi([I \cdot P_j, P_k]_*) \\
= [\Phi(I) \cdot P_j, P_k]_* + [I \cdot \Phi(P_j), P_k]_* + [I \cdot P_j, \Phi(P_k)]_* \\
= [2\Phi(P_j), P_k]_* + [2P_j, \Phi(P_k)]_* \\
= 2\Phi(P_j)P_k - 2P_k\Phi(P_j)^* + 2P_j\Phi(P_k) - 2\Phi(P_k)P_j.
\]

Multiplying both sides of the above equation by \( P_j \) and \( P_k \) from the left and right respectively, we obtain that \( P_1 \Phi(P_1)P_2 = -P_1 \Phi(P_2)P_2 \) and \( P_2 \Phi(P_1)P_1 = -P_2 \Phi(P_2)P_1 \).

On the other hand, we get

\[
0 = \Phi([I \cdot (iP_j), P_k]_*) \\
= [\Phi(I) \cdot (iP_j), P_k]_* + [I \cdot \Phi(iP_j), P_k]_* + [I \cdot (iP_j), \Phi(P_k)]_* \\
= [2\Phi(iP_j), P_k]_* + [2iP_j, \Phi(P_k)]_* \\
= 2\Phi(iP_j)P_k - 2P_k\Phi(iP_j)^* + 2i(P_j\Phi(P_k) + \Phi(P_k)P_j).
\]

Multiplying both sides of the above equation by \( P_j \) from the left and right respectively, we obtain that \( P_1 \Phi(P_2)P_1 = P_2 \Phi(P_1)P_2 = 0 \).

**Claim 5.** \( P_1 \Phi(P_1)P_1 = P_2 \Phi(P_2)P_2 = 0 \).

For every \( A_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12} \), on the one hand, it follows from Claim 2 and Claim 3 that

\[
2\Phi(A_{12}) = \Phi([I \cdot P_1, A_{12}]_*) \\
= [\Phi(I) \cdot P_1, A_{12}]_* + [I \cdot \Phi(P_1), A_{12}]_* + [I \cdot P_1, \Phi(A_{12})]_* \\
= [2\Phi(I)P_1, A_{12}]_* + [2\Phi(P_1), A_{12}]_* + [2P_1, \Phi(A_{12})]_* \\
= 2\Phi(I)A_{12} + 2\Phi(P_1)A_{12} - 2A_{12}\Phi(P_1)^* + 2P_1\Phi(A_{12}) - 2\Phi(A_{12})P_1.
\]
Multiplying both sides of the above equation by $P_1$ and $P_2$ from the left and right respectively, by Claim 4, we get that

$$P_1 \Phi(P_1) A_{12} + \Phi(I) A_{12} = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$2 \Phi(A_{12}) = \Phi([P_1 \bullet I, A_{12}],_{\ast})$$

$$= [\Phi(P_1) \bullet I, A_{12}],_{\ast} + [P_1 \bullet \Phi(P_1), A_{12}],_{\ast} + [P_1 \bullet I, \Phi(A_{12})],_{\ast}$$

$$= [\Phi(P_1) P_1 + P_1 \Phi(P_1)^{\ast}, A_{12}],_{\ast} + [P_1 \Phi(P_1) + \Phi(P_1) P_1, A_{12}],_{\ast} + [2 P_1, \Phi(A_{12})],_{\ast}$$

$$= \Phi(P_1) A_{12} + P_1 \Phi(P_1)^{\ast} A_{12} - A_{12} \Phi(P_1) P_1 + P_1 \Phi(P_1) A_{12}$$

$$+ \Phi(P_1) A_{12} - A_{12} \Phi(P_1)^{\ast} P_1 + 2 P_1 \Phi(A_{12}) - 2 \Phi(A_{12}) P_1.$$ 

Multiplying both sides of the above equation by $P_1$ and $P_2$ from the left and right respectively, we get that

$$3 P_1 \Phi(P_1) A_{12} + P_1 \Phi(P_1)^{\ast} A_{12} = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Finally, we also have that

$$2 \Phi(A_{12}) = \Phi([P_1 \bullet I, A_{12}],_{\ast})$$

$$= [\Phi(P_1) \bullet I, A_{12}],_{\ast} + [P_1 \bullet \Phi(I), A_{12}],_{\ast} + [P_1 \bullet I, \Phi(A_{12})],_{\ast}$$

$$= [\Phi(P_1) + \Phi(P_1)^{\ast}, A_{12}],_{\ast} + [2 P_1 \Phi(I), A_{12}],_{\ast} + [2 P_1, \Phi(A_{12})],_{\ast}$$

$$= (\Phi(P_1) + \Phi(P_1)^{\ast}) A_{12} - A_{12} (\Phi(P_1) + \Phi(P_1)^{\ast}) + 2 \Phi(I) A_{12} + 2 P_1 \Phi(A_{12}) - 2 \Phi(A_{12}) P_1.$$ 

Multiplying both sides of the above equation by $P_1$ and $P_2$ from the left and right respectively, by Claim 4, we get that

$$P_1 \Phi(P_1) A_{12} + P_1 \Phi(P_1)^{\ast} A_{12} + 2 \Phi(I) A_{12} = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

It follows from Eq. (2.2) and Eq. (2.3) that

$$P_1 \Phi(P_1) A_{12} - \Phi(I) A_{12} = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Now, by Eq. (2.1) and Eq. (2.4), we have $P_1 \Phi(P_1) A_{12} = 0$, that is $P_1 \Phi(P_1) P_1 A P_2 = 0$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. It follows from (♣) that $P_1 \Phi(P_1) P_1 = 0$. Similarly, we can prove $P_2 \Phi(P_2) P_2 = 0$.

Claim 6. $\Phi(I) = 0$. 
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By Claims 2, 4 and 5, we can get that

$$\Phi(I) = \Phi(P_1) + \Phi(P_2) = P_1\Phi(P_1)P_2 + P_2\Phi(P_1)P_1 + P_1\Phi(P_2)P_2 + P_2\Phi(P_2)P_1 = 0.$$ 

**Claim 7.** For all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $\Phi([A, B]) = [\Phi(A), B] + [A, \Phi(B)]$.

It follows from Claim 2 and Claim 6 that

$$2\Phi([A, B]) = \Phi([I \bullet A, B]) = \Phi([I \bullet A, B]) = [2\Phi(A), B] + [I \bullet A, \Phi(B)].$$

which implies that $\Phi([A, B]) = [\Phi(A), B] + [A, \Phi(B)]$.

**Claim 8.** For all $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $\Phi(A^*) = \Phi(A^*)$.

For every $A \in \mathcal{A}$, by Claims 2, 6 and 7, we have

$$\Phi(A) - \Phi(A^*) = \Phi([A, I]) = [\Phi(A), I] = \Phi(A) - \Phi(A^*).$$

Hence $\Phi(A^*) = \Phi(A^*)$.

Now, let $T = P_1\Phi(P_1)P_2 - P_2\Phi(P_1)P_1$. Then $T^* = -T$. Defining a map $\phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ by $\phi(A) = \Phi(A) - (AT - TA)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. It is easy to verify that $\phi$ has the following properties.

**Claim 9.**

(1) For all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, $\phi([A, B]) = [\phi(A), B] + [A, \phi(B)]$;

(2) $\phi(P_i) = 0$, $i = 1, 2$;

(3) $\phi$ is additive;

(4) $\phi$ is an additive derivation if and only if $\Phi$ is an additive derivation.

**Claim 10.** $\phi(A_{ij}) \subseteq A_{ij}$, $i, j = 1, 2$.

Let $A_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$, $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2$. On the one hand, it follows from $\phi(P_i) = 0$ that

$$\phi(A_{ij}) = \Phi([P_i, A_{ij}]^*) = [P_i, \phi(A_{ij})]^* = P_i\phi(A_{ij}) - \phi(A_{ij})P_i.$$ 

Hence $P_i\phi(A_{ij})P_i = P_j\phi(A_{ij})P_j = P_j\phi(A_{ij})P_i = 0$. So $\phi(A_{ij}) = P_i\phi(A_{ij})P_j \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$, $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2$. 10
Let $A_{ii} \in \mathcal{A}_{ii}, i = 1, 2$. Then

$$0 = \phi([P_j, A_{ii}]_*) = [P_j, \phi(A_{ii})]_* = P_j \phi(A_{ii}) - \phi(A_{ii}) P_j.$$  

Hence $P_i \phi(A_{ii}) P_j = P_j \phi(A_{ii}) P_i = 0$. Now we let $\phi(A_{ii}) = P_i \phi(A_{ii}) P_i + P_j \phi(A_{ii}) P_j$.

For any $B_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2$, it follows from Claim 10 that

$$0 = \phi([B_{ij}, A_{ii}]_*) = [\phi(B_{ij}), A_{ii}]_* + [B_{ij}, \phi(A_{ii})]_* = B_{ij} P_j \phi(A_{ii}) P_j - P_j \phi(A_{ii}) P_j B_{ij}^*.$$  

So $P_j \phi(A_{ii}) P_j B_{ij}^* = 0$, that is $P_j \phi(A_{ii}) P_j B P_i = 0$ holds true for any $B \in \mathcal{A}$. It follows from (♠) and (♣) that $P_j \phi(A_{ii}) P_j = 0$. Now we get $\phi(A_{ii}) = P_i \phi(A_{ii}) P_i \in \mathcal{A}_{ii}, i = 1, 2$.

**Claim 11.** Let $A_{ii}, B_{ii} \in \mathcal{A}_{ii}$ and $A_{ij}, B_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2$. Then

$$\phi(A_{ii} B_{ii}) = \phi(A_{ii}) B_{ii} + A_{ii} \phi(B_{ii}), \phi(A_{ii} B_{ij}) = \phi(A_{ii}) B_{ij} + A_{ii} \phi(B_{ij}),$$

$$\phi(A_{ij} B_{ji}) = \phi(A_{ij}) B_{ji} + A_{ij} \phi(B_{ji}), \phi(A_{ij} B_{jj}) = \phi(A_{ij}) B_{jj} + A_{ij} \phi(B_{jj}).$$

It follows from Claim 10 that

$$\phi(A_{ii} B_{ij}) = \phi([A_{ii}, B_{ij}]_*) = [\phi(A_{ii}), B_{ij}]_* + [A_{ii}, \phi(B_{ij})_*]$$

$$= \phi(A_{ii}) B_{ij} + A_{ii} \phi(B_{ij}).$$

For any $C_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$, we have

$$\phi(A_{ii} B_{ii}) C_{ij} + A_{ii} B_{ii} \phi(C_{ij}) = \phi(A_{ii} B_{ii} C_{ij})$$

$$= \phi(A_{ii}) B_{ii} C_{ij} + A_{ii} \phi(B_{ii} C_{ij})$$

$$= \phi(A_{ii}) B_{ii} C_{ij} + A_{ii} \phi(B_{ii}) C_{ij} + A_{ii} B_{ii} \phi(C_{ij}).$$

Then $\phi(A_{ii} B_{ii}) - \phi(A_{ii}) B_{ii} - A_{ii} \phi(B_{ii})) C_{ij} = 0$ for any $C_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$. It follows from (♠) and (♣) that $\Phi(A_{ii} B_{ii}) = \Phi(A_{ii}) B_{ii} + A_{ii} \Phi(B_{ii})$.

It follows from Claim 10 that

$$\phi(A_{ij} B_{ji}) = \phi([A_{ij}, B_{ji}]_*)$$

$$= [\phi(A_{ij}), B_{ji}]_* + [A_{ij}, \phi(B_{ji})]_*$$

$$= \phi(A_{ij}) B_{ji} + A_{ij} \phi(B_{ji}).$$

For any $C_{ji} \in \mathcal{A}_{ji}$, we have

$$\phi(C_{ji}) A_{ij} B_{jj} + C_{ji} \phi(A_{ij} B_{jj}) = \phi(C_{ji} A_{ij} B_{jj})$$

$$= \phi(C_{ji} A_{ij}) B_{jj} + C_{ji} A_{ij} \Phi(B_{jj})$$

$$= \phi(C_{ji}) A_{ij} B_{jj} + C_{ji} \phi(A_{ij}) B_{jj} + C_{ji} A_{ij} \phi(B_{jj}).$$
Hence \( C_{ji}(\phi(A_{ij}B_{jj}) - \phi(A_{ij})B_{jj} - A_{ij}\phi(B_{jj})) = 0 \) for any \( C_{ji} \in A_{jj} \). It follows from (♠) and (♣) that \( \phi(A_{ij}B_{jj}) = \phi(A_{ij})B_{jj} + A_{ij}\phi(B_{jj}). \)

Claim 12. \( \Phi(AB) = \Phi(A)B + A\Phi(B) \) for all \( A, B \in \mathcal{A} \).

Write \( A = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} A_{ij}, B = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} B_{ij} \in \mathcal{A} \). Then \( AB = A_{11}B_{11} + A_{11}B_{12} + A_{12}B_{21} + A_{12}B_{22} + A_{21}B_{11} + A_{21}B_{12} + A_{22}B_{21} + A_{22}B_{22} \). It follows from Claim 11 and the additivity of \( \phi \) that \( \phi(AB) = \phi(A)B + A\phi(B) \). So \( \Phi(AB) = \Phi(A)B + A\Phi(B) \).

Now, by Claims 2, 8 and 12, we have proved that \( \Phi \) is an additive \(*\)-derivation. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

3 Corollaries

In this section, we present some corollaries of the main result. An algebra \( \mathcal{A} \) is called prime if \( AAB = \{0\} \) for \( A, B \in \mathcal{A} \) implies either \( A = 0 \) or \( B = 0 \). Observing that prime \(*\)-algebras satisfy (♠) and (♣), we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1. Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a prime \(*\)-algebra with unit \( I \) and \( P \) be a nontrivial projection in \( \mathcal{A} \). Then \( \Phi \) is a nonlinear mixed Jordan triple \(*\)-derivation on \( \mathcal{A} \) if and only if \( \Phi \) is an additive \(*\)-derivation.

A von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M} \) is a weakly closed, self-adjoint algebra of operators on a Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \) containing the identity operator \( I \). It is shown in [4] and [10] that if a von Neumann algebra has no central summands of type \( I_{1} \), then \( \mathcal{M} \) satisfies (♠) and (♣). Now we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a von Neumann algebra with no central summands of type \( I_{1} \). Then \( \Phi : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M} \) is a nonlinear mixed Jordan triple \(*\)-derivation if and only if \( \Phi \) is an additive \(*\)-derivation.

\( \mathcal{M} \) is a factor von Neumann algebra if its center only contains the scalar operators. It is well known that a factor von Neumann algebra is prime and then we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a factor von Neumann algebra with \( \dim \mathcal{M} \geq 2 \). Then \( \Phi : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M} \) is a nonlinear mixed Jordan triple \(*\)-derivation if and only if \( \Phi \) is an additive \(*\)-derivation.

\( B(\mathcal{H}) \) denotes the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \). We denote the subalgebra of all bounded finite rank operators by
$F(H) \subseteq B(H)$. We call a subalgebra $A$ of $B(H)$ a standard operator algebra if it contains $F(H)$. Now we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let $H$ be an infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space and $A$ be a standard operator algebra on $H$ containing the identity operator $I$. Suppose that $A$ is closed under the adjoint operation. Then $\Phi : A \to A$ is a nonlinear mixed Jordan triple $*$-derivation if and only if $\Phi$ is a linear $*$-derivation. Moreover, there exists an operator $T \in B(H)$ satisfying $T + T^* = 0$ such that $\Phi(A) = AT - TA$ for all $A \in A$, i.e., $\Phi$ is inner.

**Proof.** Since $A$ is prime, we have that $\Phi$ is an additive $*$-derivation. It follows from [20] that $\Phi$ is a linear inner derivation, i.e., there exists an operator $S \in B(H)$ such that $\Phi(A) = AS - SA$. Using the fact $\Phi(A^*) = \Phi(A)^*$, we have

$$A^*S - SA^* = \Phi(A^*) = \Phi(A)^* = -A^*S + S^*A^*$$

for all $A \in A$. This leads to $A^*(S + S^*) = (S + S^*)A^*$. Hence, $S + S^* = \lambda I$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Let us set $T = S - \frac{1}{2}\lambda I$. One can check that $T + T^* = 0$ such that $\Phi(A) = AT - TA$.
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