ANNIHILATORS OF DIFFERENTIAL FORMS OVER FIELDS OF CHARACTERISTIC \( p \)
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Abstract. Let \( F \) be a field of characteristic \( p \) and let \( \Omega^n(F) \) be the \( F \)-vector space of \( n \)-differential forms. In this work, we will study the annihilator of differential forms, give specific descriptions for special cases and show a connection between these annihilators and the kernels of the restriction map \( \Omega^n(F) \rightarrow \Omega^n(E) \) for purely inseparable field extensions \( E/F \).

0. Introduction

For a field \( F \) of characteristic \( p > 0 \), the space of \( n \)-fold differential forms \( \Omega^n(F) \) is defined as the \( n \)-fold exterior power of the \( F \)-vector space span\(_F\)(d\( F \)). The Artin-Schreier map \( \wp \) naturally extends to \( \Omega^n(F) \), so in addition to the space of \( n \)-differential forms, we also get two more spaces \( \nu_n(F) := \ker \wp \) and \( H_{p+1}^{n+1}(F) := \coker \wp \). Since the structures of these three spaces heavily depend on the field \( F \), it is a natural question to ask how these spaces behave under field extensions \( K/F \). In particular we are interested in a characterization of forms defined over \( F \) that become the zero form viewed as an element over the field \( K \), i.e. we are interested in the kernel of the restriction map induced by \( F \rightarrow K \) which we will denote by \( \Omega^n(K/F) \), \( \nu_n(K/F) \) and \( H_{p+1}^{n+1}(K/F) \). In this note we will focus on algebraic extensions of \( F \). Using the theory of \( p \)-independence, it is well known that \( \Omega^n(K/F) \) and \( \nu_n(K/F) \) are both trivial for all separable extensions \( K/F \). So the next type of field extensions to study which come to mind are purely inseparable extensions. In this case the kernel \( H_{p+1}^{n+1}(K/F) \) was completely described in [9]. For the kernels \( \Omega^n(K/F) \) and \( \nu_n(K/F) \) not so much is known. For modular purely inseparable extensions given by \( K = F(\sqrt[p]{b_1}, \ldots, \sqrt[p]{b_r}) \) (i.e. the set \( \{b_1, \ldots, b_r\} \) is \( p \)-independent), in [9, Theorem 4.1] was shown

\[
\Omega^n(K/F) = \sum_{i=1}^r \Omega_n \wedge \Omega^{n-1}(F)
\]

and if we additionally assume \( F^{p-1} = F \) so that we can use the Lemma of Kato we get

\[
\nu_n(K/F) = \left[ \frac{dx}{x} \mid x \in F^{p-1} \langle b_1, \ldots, b_r \rangle^* \right] \wedge \nu_{n-1}(F)
\]

(see [9] Theorem 5.7) for \( p = 2 \), but the proof can easily be translated to arbitrary positive characteristic. The case of non modular purely inseparable extensions however seems to be more difficult. In Theorem 4.4 we will provide insight into the kernels \( \Omega^n(K/F) \) and \( \nu_n(K/F) \) for a special case of non modular purely inseparable
extensions which in particular gives us a complete characterization for 2-fold purely inseparable extensions, i.e. for purely inseparable extensions generated by two elements. Our main tool in the study of these kernels are so called annihilators, which we will introduce in sections 2 and 3 to prove Theorem 3.3, so that we can translate kernels into annihilators. It turns out that we can describe all kernels $\Omega^n(K/F)$ and $\nu_n(K/F)$ which are known at the time of publishing as annihilators of specific subsets of $\Omega^n(F)$ which contain the crucial information of the algebraic field extension $K/F$.

1. A SHORT INTRODUCTION TO DIFFERENTIAL FORMS

We refer to [4] or [1] (for the case $p = 2$) for any undefined terminology or any basic facts about differential forms that we do not mention explicitly in this work.

Throughout this whole paper let $F$ denote a field of characteristic $p > 0$, if not stated otherwise. We start by recalling some basic facts about the theory of differential forms.

The space $\Omega^1(F)$ of absolute 1-differential forms over $F$ is defined to be the $F$-vector space generated by the symbols $da$ with $a \in F$ which are subject to the relations $d(a + b) = da + db$ and $d(ab) = a db + b da$ for all $a, b \in F$. With this we have $da^p = 0$ for all $a \in F$ and $d : F \rightarrow \Omega^1(F), a \mapsto da$ is an $F^p$-derivation. The space of $n$-fold differential forms, or $n$-differentials for short, is defined as the $n$-fold exterior power $\Omega^n(F) := \bigwedge_n \Omega^1(F)$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $\Omega^n(F)$ is an $F$-vector space generated by the elementary wedge products $da_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge da_n$ with $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in F$. With this, the map $d$ can be extended to an $F^p$ linear map, defined on additive generators by

$$d : \Omega^n(F) \rightarrow \Omega^{n+1}(F), \quad x da_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge da_n \mapsto dx \wedge da_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge da_n.$$  

Forms contained in the image $d\Omega^n(F)$ of the operator $d$ are called exact differential forms. For completeness of later results, we further define $\Omega^0(F) := F$ and $\Omega^n(F) := \{0\}$ for $n < 0$. The Artin-Schreier map can be extended to the space $\Omega^n(F)$ by

$$\varphi : \Omega^n(F) \rightarrow \Omega^n(F)/d\Omega^{n-1}(F),$$

$$x \frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n} \mapsto (x^p - x) \frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n} \mod d\Omega^{n-1}(F)$$

with $\text{Ker}(\varphi) := \nu_n(F)$ and Kato showed in [6], that $\nu_n(F)$ is additively generated by the so called logarithmic differential forms $\frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n}$ with $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in F^\ast$.

Now recall that a subset $A \subset F$ is called $p$-independent (over $F$), if for every finite subset $\{a_1, \ldots, a_k\} \subset A$, we have $[F^p(a_1, \ldots, a_k) : F^p] = p^k$. Additionally $A$ is called a $p$-basis of $F$, if $A$ is $p$-independent with $F^p(A) = F$ and we define the $p$-degree of a set $C \subset F$ as $p\text{-deg}(C) := \log_p([F^p(C) : F^p])$ if $[F^p(C) : F^p]$ is finite and $\infty$ otherwise. With this we get the following lemma which is folklore by now, but we will state it here for easy reference.

**Lemma 1.1** (a) Let $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in F$. Then the following statements are equivalent

(i) $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ is $p$-independent.

(ii) $da_1, \ldots, da_n$ are $F$-linearly independent.

(iii) $da_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge da_n \neq 0$ in $\Omega^n(F)$.

(b) Let (a) be true for $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in F$ and let $b_1, \ldots, b_n \in F$. then the following statements are equivalent
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with $\Omega$ and call it the $\Omega$-annihilator resp. the $\Omega$-annihilator of $F$. Using basic linear algebra, we can also deduce from Lemma 1.1 that every $p$-independent set in $\Omega$ can be extended to a $p$-basis of $F$. This seems to be a trivial observation but is rather crucial for many of the upcoming proofs.

For two differential forms $\omega \in \Omega^n(F)$ and $u \in \Omega^r(F)$ we say that $\omega$ divides $u$, for short $\omega \mid u$, if there exists a $v \in \Omega^{n-r}(F)$ with $u = \omega \wedge v$. Now since every $p$-independent subset can be extended to a $p$-basis of $F$, it is evident to see the following

**Lemma 1.2** Let $\{b_1, \ldots, b_r\} \subset F$ be $p$-independent and $\omega \in \Omega^n(F)$. Then

$$db_i \mid \omega \quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, r \iff db_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge db_r \mid \omega$$

Now let $B = \{b_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a $p$-basis of $F$. We may assume that $I$ is well ordered and set $\Sigma_n := \{\sigma : \{1, \ldots, n\} \to I \mid \sigma(i) < \sigma(j) \text{ for } i < j\}$. We further transfer the ordering of $I$ to $B$ by setting $b_i < b_j$ if $i < j$ in $I$. Then it is well known that the differential basis

$$\bigwedge^n_B := \{db_{\sigma(1)} \wedge \ldots \wedge db_{\sigma(n)} \mid \sigma \in \Sigma_n\}$$

is an $F$-basis of $\Omega^n(F)$. For $b \in B$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$ we will shortly write $b \in \text{Im}(\sigma)$ if $b = b_i$ for some $i \in I$ and $i \in \text{Im}(\sigma)$.

Furthermore we can equip $\Sigma_n$ with the lexicographic ordering, i.e. for $\sigma, \tau \in \Sigma_n$ we have $\sigma < \tau$ if and only if $\sigma(j) < \tau(j)$ and $\sigma(i) = \tau(i)$ for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and all $i < j$. Setting $db_\sigma := db_{\sigma(1)} \wedge \ldots \wedge db_{\sigma(n)}$ resp. $\underline{db_\sigma} := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\sigma(1)}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\sigma(n)}}$ for $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$, we get a filtration of $\Omega^n(F)$ by

$$\Omega^p_\delta(F) := \text{span}_F(db_\sigma \mid \sigma \leq \delta) \quad \text{resp.} \quad \Omega^p_{\delta\leq}(F) := \text{span}_F(db_\sigma \mid \sigma < \delta),$$

with $\Omega^p_\delta(F) \subseteq \Omega^p_\delta(F)$ if and only if $\delta < \tau$. Using this notation, we set $\max_B(\omega) := \min\{|\delta| \mid \delta \in \Sigma_n \mid \omega \in \Omega^p_\delta(F)\}$ and call it the maximal multiindex of $\omega$ with respect to $B$. Note that $\delta$ respects this filtration on $\Omega^n(F)$ after fixing a $p$-basis of $F$ resp. the corresponding differential basis. Transferring the above filtration to the field $F$, we define

$$F_\ell := F^p(b_i \mid i \leq \ell) \quad \text{and} \quad F_{\ell<} := F^p(b_i \mid i < \ell)$$

for any $\ell \in I$.

2. Annihilators in $\Omega^n(F)$

We will now start to analyse annihilators in the algebra of differential forms. First, we make the following definition.

**Definition 2.1** For $n, r \in \mathbb{N}$ and a non-empty set $U \subset \Omega^r(F)$, we define

$$\text{Ann} \Omega^n_F(U) := \{\omega \in \Omega^n(F) \mid \omega \wedge u = 0 \in \Omega^{n+r}(F) \text{ for all } u \in U\}$$

$$\text{Ann} \nu^r_F(U) := \{\chi \in \nu^r(F) \mid \chi \wedge u = 0 \in \Omega^{n+r}(F) \text{ for all } u \in U\}$$

and call it the $\Omega$-annihilator resp. the $\nu$-annihilator of $U$. 
Note $\text{Ann} \nu^p(U) = \text{Ann} \Omega^p_\nu(U) \cup \nu_t(F)$ and also note $\text{Ann} \Omega^p_\nu(U) = \Omega^n(F)$ if $|\mathcal{B}| < n + r$ for a $p$-basis $\mathcal{B}$ if $F$. Our goal will be to study these annihilators for specific types of $U$, which are given as follows. For non-empty sets $S_1, \ldots, S_r \subset F$, we define $dS_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dS_r = \{ ds_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge ds_r \mid s_i \in S_i, i = 1, \ldots, r \}$. For $S_i = \{ s_i \}$, we will replace the slot $dS_i$ by $ds_i$ for short and for a single non-empty set $S \subset F$, we will write $\wedge S$ for the $r$-fold wedge product $dS \wedge \ldots \wedge dS$.

**Lemma 2.2** For non-empty sets $S_1, \ldots, S_r \subset F$ and $U, V \subset \Omega'(F)$, we have

(a) $(dS_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dS_r) \cup \{0\} = d(S_1 \setminus F^p) \wedge \ldots \wedge d(S_r \setminus F^p) \cup \{0\}$ if $S_i \setminus F^p \neq \emptyset$.

(b) $\text{Ann} \Omega^p_\nu(dS_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dS_r) = \text{Ann} \Omega^p_\nu(dS_{\pi(1)} \wedge \ldots \wedge dS_{\pi(r)})$ for all permutations $\pi$.

(c) For $U \subset V$ we have $\text{Ann} \Omega^p_\nu(V) \subset \text{Ann} \Omega^p_\nu(U)$.

(d) $\text{Ann} \Omega^p_\nu(U) = \text{Ann} \Omega^p_\nu(\text{span}_F(U))$.

(e) $\text{Ann} \Omega^p_\nu(dS_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dS_r) = \text{Ann} \Omega^p_\nu(d(F^p(S_1)) \wedge \ldots \wedge d(F^p(S_r)))$.

**Proof:** The statements (a)-(c) are all consequences from the definitions above and (d) is proved using the multilinear property of the wedge product. The inclusion \((\supseteq)\) in (e) directly follows from (c). To check the inverse inclusion \((\subseteq)\) let $\{ a_{ij} \mid j_i \in J_i \}$ be a $p$-basis of $F^p(S_i)$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$. Using the Basis Extension Theorem for $p$-independence, we may assume $a_{ij} \in S_i$ for all $j_i \in J_i$. Now let $\omega \in \text{Ann} \Omega^p_\nu(dS_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dS_r)$ and $x_i \in F^p(S_i)$. Then we find a finite subset $T_i \subset J_i$ and $\lambda_{it}, \in F$ for $t_i \in T_i$ with $dx_i = \sum_{t_i \in T_i} \lambda_{it} dt_i$. Thus we have

$$\omega \wedge dx_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dx_r = \sum_{t_i \in T_i} \ldots \sum_{t_r \in T_r} \lambda_{1t_1} \ldots \lambda_{rt_r} \omega \wedge da_{1t_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge da_{rt_r} = 0$$

due to the selection $a_{ij} \in S_i$. This concludes the proof.

As an easy consequence, we get

**Corollary 2.3** For non-empty sets $S_1, \ldots, S_r \subset F$ with $p$-deg($S_i$) $= k_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and a $p$-basis $\{ a_{1i}, \ldots, a_{ik_i} \}$ of $F^p(S_i)$, we have

$$\text{Ann} \Omega^n_\nu(dS_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dS_r) = \text{Ann} \Omega^n_\nu(d\{a_{11}, \ldots, a_{1k_1}\} \wedge \ldots \wedge d\{a_{1r}, \ldots, a_{rkr}\})$$

In particular we have

$$\text{Ann} \Omega^n_\nu(dS_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dS_r) = \bigcap_{j_1=1}^{k_1} \ldots \bigcap_{j_r=1}^{k_r} \text{Ann} \Omega^n_\nu(da_{1j_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge da_{rj_r})$$

With this setup, we are now able to compute our first annihilators.

**Proposition 2.4** For non-empty sets $S_1, \ldots, S_r \subset F$ with $p$-deg($S_i$) $= k_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p$-bases $\{ a_{1i}, \ldots, a_{ik_i} \}$ of $F^p(S_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$ assume $p$-deg($S_1 \cup \ldots \cup S_r$) $= k_1 + \ldots + k_r$. Then

$$\text{Ann} \Omega^n_\nu(dS_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dS_r) = \sum_{i=1}^r da_{1i} \wedge \ldots \wedge da_{ik_i} \wedge \Omega^n-k_i(F)$$
In particular, we have
\[ \text{Ann } \Omega^p_F (dS_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dS_r) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \text{Ann } \Omega^p_F (dS_i) \]

\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{r} k_i \bigcap_{j_i=1}^{k_i} \text{Ann } \Omega^p_F (da_{i,j_i}) = \bigcap_{j_i=1}^{k_i} \ldots \bigcap_{j_r=1}^{k_r} \sum_{j_i=1}^{r} \text{Ann } \Omega^p_F (da_{i,j_i}). \]

**Proof:** As above, we may assume \( a_{1,1}, \ldots, a_{ik_i} \in S_i \) for all \( i \in \{1, \ldots, r\} \). Since \( a_{11}, \ldots, a_{1k_1}, \ldots, a_{rk_r} \) are \( p \)-independent by assumption, we can extend these elements to a \( p \)-basis \( B = \{ b_i \mid i \in I \} \) of \( F \). All we have to prove is the equality
\[ \text{Ann } \Omega^p_F (dS_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dS_r) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} da_{i1} \wedge \ldots \wedge da_{ik_i} \wedge \Omega^{n-k_i}(F) \]
in which the inclusion (\( \supseteq \)) is rather easy to see and left to the reader. So let us now prove the inclusion (\( \subseteq \)).

Let \( \omega \in \Omega^n(F) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} da_{i1} \wedge \ldots \wedge da_{ik_i} \wedge \Omega^{n-k_i}(F) \). Thus in the basis expansion of \( \omega \) using the basis \( \bigwedge B \) of \( \Omega^n(F) \), we find a non-zero summand \( \lambda = x \text{db}_{j_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \text{db}_{j_n} \) with \( x \in F^*, b_{j_1}, \ldots, b_{j_n} \in B \), for which we can choose \( a_i \in \{ a_{i1}, \ldots, a_{ik_i} \} \) such that \( da_i \mid \lambda \) for all \( i \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \). Since all the elements \( a_{11}, \ldots, a_{1k_1}, \ldots, a_{rk_r} \) are contained in the \( p \)-basis \( F \), by Lemma 1.2 we get
\[ da_{i1} \wedge \ldots \wedge da_{ik_i} \wedge \lambda \neq 0 \]
and therefore
\[ da_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge da_n \wedge \omega \neq 0. \]

From this we can easily conclude that \( \omega \in \Omega^n(F) \setminus \text{Ann } \Omega^p_F (dS_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dS_r) \). \( \square \)

**Proposition 2.5** Let \( S \subset F \) be a non-empty set with \( p \)-deg\( (S) = k \) and let \( \{ a_1, \ldots, a_k \} \) be a \( p \)-basis of \( F^p(S) \). For \( r \in \{1, \ldots, k\} \) set \( t = k - r + 1 \). Then
\[ \text{Ann } \Omega^p_F \left( \bigwedge^r dS \right) = \sum_{\{i_1, \ldots, i_r\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, t\}} \text{da}_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \text{da}_{i_r} \wedge \Omega^{n-t}(F) \]
and for \( r > k \) we have \( \text{Ann } \Omega^p_F \left( \bigwedge^r dS \right) = \Omega^n(F) \).

**Proof:** Using Corollary 2.3 we may assume \( S = \{ a_1, \ldots, a_k \} \). The second statement is clearly true since \( \bigwedge^r dS = \{ 0 \} \) for \( r > k \) by Lemma 1.1.

So assume \( r \in \{1, \ldots, k\} \) and set \( t = k - r + 1 \). We can extend \( \{ a_1, \ldots, a_k \} \) to a \( p \)-basis \( B = \{ b_i \mid i \in I \} \) of \( F \). Again the inclusion (\( \supseteq \)) is easy to check, so we will now prove the inclusion (\( \subseteq \)) and leave the rest to the reader. To simplify notations, set
\[ \mathfrak{A} = \sum_{\{i_1, \ldots, i_r\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, t\}} da_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge da_{i_r} \wedge \Omega^{n-t}(F) \]
and let \( \omega \in \Omega^n(F) \setminus \mathfrak{A} \). Thus the basis expansion of \( \omega \) using \( \bigwedge^r \mathfrak{A} \) contains a non-zero summand \( \lambda = x \text{db}_{j_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \text{db}_{j_n} \) such that \( da_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge da_{i_r} \mid \lambda \) for all subsets \( \{i_1, \ldots, i_r\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, t\} \). In particular we have \( da_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge da_{t} \mid \lambda \), hence we find \( j_1 \in \{1, \ldots, t\} \) with \( da_{j_1} \mid \lambda \) by Lemma 1.2. Similarly we find \( j_2 \in \{1, \ldots, t+1\} \setminus \{j_1\} \) with \( da_{j_2} \mid \lambda \) and continue until we have found \( j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_r \in \{1, \ldots, k\} \) such that
with which are both extreme cases since for $j$ which we conclude $d_{a_j} \wedge \ldots \wedge d_{a_j} \wedge \lambda \neq 0$ and finally $d_{a_j} \wedge \ldots \wedge d_{a_j} \wedge \omega \neq 0$. □

**Proposition 2.6** Let $S_1, \ldots, S_{r+1} \subseteq F$ be non-empty sets with $p \deg S_i = 1$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$ and $d S_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge d S_r \wedge d S_{r+1} \neq \{0\}$. Set $p \deg (S_1 \cup \ldots \cup S_r \cup S_{r+1}) = r + \ell$ with $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\ell \geq 1$ and for any $p$-independent $a_1, \ldots, a_r, e_1, \ldots, e_\ell \in F$ with $F^p(S_i) = F^p(a_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$ and $F^p(a_1, \ldots, a_r)(S_{r+1}) = F^p(a_1, \ldots, a_r)(e_1, \ldots, e_\ell)$ we have

$$\text{Ann } \Omega^n_F(d S_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge d S_r \wedge d S_{r+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} d a_i \wedge \Omega^{n-1}(F) + d e_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge d e_\ell \wedge \Omega^{n-\ell}(F).$$

**Proof:** As above we may assume $S_i = \{a_i\}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$ and $e_1, \ldots, e_\ell \in S_{r+1}$. First $\{a_1, \ldots, a_r\}$ must be $p$-independent, because a $p$-dependence would imply $d S_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge d S_r \wedge d S_{r+1} = \{0\}$. So $\ell \geq 0$ and $\ell = 0$ would imply $F^p(S_{r+1}) \subseteq F^p(a_1, \ldots, a_r)$ which would lead to $d S_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge d S_r \wedge d S_{r+1} = \{0\}$ as well. Thus we have $\ell \geq 1$ and $\{a_1, \ldots, a_r, e_1, \ldots, e_\ell\}$ is a $p$-basis of $F^p(S_1, \ldots, S_{r+1})$.

Let us start with the proof of (\subseteq). Since $\{e_1, \ldots, e_\ell\}$ can be seen as part of a $p$-basis $S$ of $F^p(S_{r+1})$, by Corollary 2.3 and Proposition 2.4 we get

$$\text{Ann } \Omega^n_F(d S_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge d S_r \wedge d S_{r+1}) = \text{Ann } \Omega^n_F(d S_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge d S_r \wedge d S)$$

$$\subseteq \text{Ann } \Omega^n_F(d S_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge d S_r \wedge d \{e_1, \ldots, e_\ell\})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{r} d a_i \wedge \Omega^{n-1}(F) + d e_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge d e_\ell \wedge \Omega^{n-\ell}(F).$$

For the reverse inclusion (\supseteq) set $T := \{a_1, \ldots, a_r\} \cup S_{r+1}$. Then we obviously have

$$\text{Ann } \Omega^n_F(d S_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge d S_r \wedge d S_{r+1}) = \text{Ann } \Omega^n_F(d a_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge d a_r \wedge d T)$$

and by Corollary 2.3 we may replace $T$ by $\{a_1, \ldots, a_r, e_1, \ldots, e_\ell\}$ in the equation above. So it now suffices to show that the forms $d a_1, \ldots, d a_r$ and $d e_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge d e_\ell$ annihilate every element in $d a_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge d a_r \wedge d T$ which is rather easy to see and left to the reader. □

The description of $\text{Ann } \Omega^n_F(d S_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge d S_r)$ for non-empty sets $S_i \subseteq F$ is now complete for the cases

- $p \deg_F(S_1 \cup \ldots \cup S_r) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} p \deg_F(S_i)$
- $S_1 = \ldots = S_r$

which are both extreme cases since for $j \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ we obviously have

$$p \deg_F(S_j) \leq p \deg_F(S_1 \cup \ldots \cup S_r) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{r} p \deg_F(S_i).$$

Proposition 2.6 gives an insight of the structure of annihilators if the $F^p(S_i)$ might have a non-empty intersection. Boundaries for the annihilator can be given in all possible cases.

**Proposition 2.7** Let $S_1, \ldots, S_r \subseteq F$ be non-empty sets with $p \deg(S_i) = k_i \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume $[F^p(S_1, \ldots, S_i) : F^p(S_1, \ldots, S_{i-1})] = p^{k_i}$ with $0 \leq k_i \leq k_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$ and let $\{c_1, \ldots, c_{\ell_i}\} \subseteq F$ be $p$-independent such that $F^p(S_1, \ldots, S_i) = \ldots$
We have $1^n$ which is strictly larger than $\text{Ann } \Omega\{\ldots, r\}$. Well known that the upper bound from Proposition 2.7 in this example is given by $n$ which inclusion is strict since for $\Omega$ annihilator. The example also shows that the condition “$p$-deg $S_i = 1$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$” in Proposition 2.6 is actually necessary for the statement to be true.

**Example 2.8** Set $F := \mathbb{F}_p(a, b, c)$ with transcendental elements $a, b, c$. Then it is well known that $\{a, b, c\}$ (with ordering $a < b < c$) is a $p$-basis of $F$. Say $r = 2$ and $S_1 = \{a, b\}, S_2 = \{a, c\}$. Thus we have $dS_1 \land dS_2 = \{0, da \land dc, db \land da, db \land dc\}$, hence

$$\text{span}_F(dS_1 \land dS_2) = \text{span}_F(dT \land dT)$$

with $T = \{a, b, c\}$. So by Lemma 2.2(d) the $\Omega$-annihilators of $dS_1 \land dS_2$ and $dT \land dT$ coincide and by Proposition 2.5 we get

$$\text{Ann } \Omega^n_F(dT \land dT) = da \land db \land \Omega^{n-2}(F) + da \land dc \land \Omega^{n-2}(F) + db \land dc \land \Omega^{n-2}(F).$$

Constructing the bounds of $\text{Ann } \Omega^n_F(dS_1 \land dS_2)$ as described in Proposition 2.7 as a lower bound we get

$$da \land db \land \Omega^{n-2}(F) + da \land dc \land \Omega^{n-2}(F) \subset \text{Ann } \Omega^n_F(dS_1 \land dS_2)$$

which inclusion is strict since for $n = 2$ we have $db \land dc \not\in F$ $da \land db + F$ $da \land dc$. The upper bound from Proposition 2.7 in this example is given by

$$da \land db \land \Omega^{n-2}(F) + dc \land \Omega^{n-1}(F)$$

which is strictly larger than $\text{Ann } \Omega^n_F(dS_1 \land dS_2)$ for $n = 1$.

3. Annihilators in $\nu_n(F)$

In this section our main goal is to use the results from Section 2 to find the corresponding $\nu$-annihilators of the sets discussed above. For that, Kato’s lemma will be the key engine for most of the upcoming computations, but its usage will also lead to the necessary assumption $F^{p-1} = F$. This restriction however is not as strict as it may appear, since the case $p = 2$ is of special interest for us due to the connection between differential forms and bilinear forms over the field $F$ (see [7, Theorem p. 494]).

To make it easier for the reader we will repeat Kato’s Lemma here.
there exist \( r \) where we define \( \ell \) with \( \sum_{i=1}^{r} d_{a_i} \wedge \Omega^{n-1}(F) + \sum_{\{i_1, \ldots, i_\ell\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, \ell\}} d_{e_{i_1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge d_{e_{i_\ell}} \wedge \Omega^{n-\ell}(F) \big) \cap \nu_n(F) \\
= \left[ \frac{dx}{x} \right] \bigg| \, x \in F^p(a_1, \ldots, a_r)^* \wedge \nu_{n-1}(F) \\
+ \left[ \frac{dy_1}{y_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \frac{dy_t}{y_t} \right] \bigg| \, y_1, \ldots, y_t \in F^p(a_1, a_r, e_1, \ldots, e_t)^* \wedge \nu_{n-t}(F). 
\]
where we define \( d_{e_{i_1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge d_{e_{i_\ell}} = 0 \) for \( t = 0 \).
In particular for \( \ell = 0 \) (and thus \( t = 0 \)) we have
\[
\left( \sum_{i=1}^{r} d_{a_i} \wedge \Omega^{n-1}(F) \right) \cap \nu_n(F) = \left[ \frac{dx}{x} \right] \bigg| \, x \in F^p(a_1, \ldots, a_r)^* \wedge \nu_{n-1}(F) 
\]
and for \( r = 0 \) we have
\[
\left( \sum_{\{i_1, \ldots, i_\ell\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, \ell\}} d_{e_{i_1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge d_{e_{i_\ell}} \wedge \Omega^{n-\ell}(F) \big) \cap \nu_n(F) \\
= \left[ \frac{dy_1}{y_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \frac{dy_t}{y_t} \right] \bigg| \, y_1, \ldots, y_t \in F^p(e_1, \ldots, e_t)^* \wedge \nu_{n-t}(F). 
\]

**Proof:** For the inclusion \((\supseteq)\) let \( v = \frac{dx}{x} \wedge \chi \in \nu_n(F) \) be a generator with \( x \in F^p(a_1, a_r)^* \) and \( \chi \in \nu_{n-1}(F) \). Since \( \{a_1, \ldots, a_r\} \) is a \( p \)-basis of \( F^p(a_1, a_r) \), we find (unique) \( \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r \in F \) with \( dx = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_i d_{a_i} \). Thus we have
\[
v = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\lambda_i}{x} d_{a_i} \right) \wedge \chi = \sum_{i=1}^{r} d_{a_i} \wedge \left( \frac{\lambda_i}{x} \chi \right) \in \left( \sum_{i=1}^{r} d_{a_i} \wedge \Omega^{n-1}(F) \right) \cap \nu_n(F). 
\]
Now let \( v = \frac{dy_1}{y_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \frac{dy_t}{y_t} \wedge \eta \in \nu_n(F) \) be a generator of the second summand with \( y_j \in F^p(a_1, a_r, e_1, \ldots, e_t)^* \) and \( \eta \in \nu_{n-t}(F) \). As before we find representations
\[
dy_j = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{ji} d_{a_i} + \sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \mu_{jm} d_{e_m} \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda_{ji}, \mu_{jm} \in F.
\]
Thus we have

\[ dy_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dy_\ell = z + \sum_{j_1=1}^{e} \ldots \sum_{j_\ell=1}^{e} \mu_{j_1} \ldots \mu_{j_\ell} \, de_{j_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge de_{j_\ell} \]

for some \( z \in \sum_{i=1}^{r} da_i \wedge \Omega^{t-1}(F) \), which leads to

\[ v = z \wedge ((y_1 \ldots y_\ell)^{-1} \eta) + \sum_{\{i_1, \ldots, i_\ell\} \subset \{1, \ldots, \ell\}} \quad de_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge de_{i_\ell} \wedge (\mu_{i_1} \ldots \mu_{i_\ell} (y_1 \ldots y_\ell)^{-1} \eta) \]

\[ \in \left( \sum_{i=1}^{r} da_i \wedge \Omega^{n-1}(F) + \sum_{\{i_1, \ldots, i_\ell\} \subset \{1, \ldots, \ell\}} \quad de_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge de_{i_\ell} \wedge \Omega^{n-\ell}(F) \right) \cap \nu_{n}(F). \]

For the inclusion (\( \subseteq \)) extend \( \{a_1, \ldots, a_\ell, e_1, \ldots, e_\ell\} \) to a \( p \)-basis \( B = \{b_i \mid i \in I\} \)

of \( F \) with an ordering such that \( a_1 < \ldots < a_\ell < e_1 < \ldots < e_\ell \). To simplify notations set

\[ \mathfrak{A} := \left( \sum_{i=1}^{r} da_i \wedge \Omega^{n-1}(F) + \sum_{\{i_1, \ldots, i_\ell\} \subset \{1, \ldots, \ell\}} \quad de_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge de_{i_\ell} \wedge \Omega^{n-\ell}(F) \right) \cap \nu_{n}(F) \]

and note that \( \mathfrak{A} \) is an additive group. Now let \( \omega \in \mathfrak{A} \). Since we only work with one element \( \omega \) and apply a finite number of computation steps to this one element, without loss of generality we may assume \( |B| < \infty \) which also implies \( |\Sigma_n| < \infty \).

Representing \( \omega \) in the basis \( \bigwedge^n F \) and assuming \( \max_B(\omega) = \delta \in \Sigma_n \), we get

\[ \omega = \sum_{\sigma \leq \delta} x_\sigma \frac{db_\sigma}{b_\sigma} = x_\delta \frac{db_\delta}{b_\delta} + \omega_{<\delta} \quad \text{with} \quad x_\sigma \in F \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_{<\delta} \in \Omega^{n}_{<\delta}(F). \]

Since \( \varphi \) respects the filtration on \( \Omega^n(F) \) and \( \varphi(\omega) \in d\Omega^{n-1}(F) \) by the choice of \( \omega \), we have

\[ \varphi(x_\delta) \frac{db_\delta}{b_\delta} \in d\Omega^{n-1}(F) + \Omega^n(F) \]

which allows us to use Kato’s lemma 3.1 so that we get

\[ x_\delta \frac{db_\delta}{b_\delta} = \frac{dy_1}{y_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \frac{dy_n}{y_n} + v_{<\delta} \quad \text{with} \quad y_i \in F^*_F(1) \quad \text{and} \quad v_{<\delta} \in \Omega^n_{<\delta}(F). \]

Now due to the assumptions, at least one of the following two cases must occur.

(i) There is \( i \in \{1, \ldots, r\} \) with \( da_i \mid db_\delta \)

(ii) There is a subset \( \{i_1, \ldots, i_\ell\} \subset \{1, \ldots, \ell\} \) with \( i_1 < \ldots < i_\ell \) such that \( de_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge de_{i_\ell} \mid db_\delta \)

First assume case (i) and say \( da_s \mid db_\delta \) with \( s \in \{1, \ldots, r\} \) and further assume \( da_1, \ldots, da_{s-1} \nmid db_\delta \). Using the ordering on \( B \), we have \( \delta(1) = s \) and \( y_1 \in F^p(a_1, \ldots, a_s)^* \subset F^p(a_1, \ldots, a_r)^* \). If we now insert Equation (2) into (1), we get

\[ \omega = \frac{dy_1}{y_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \frac{dy_n}{y_n} + \omega_{<\delta} + v_{<\delta} \]
and since we have $\frac{dy}{y_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \frac{dy}{y_n} \in \mathfrak{A}$ by the already shown first inclusion, we also get $\omega_{< \delta} + \nu_{< \delta} = \omega - \frac{dy}{y_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \frac{dy}{y_n} \in \mathfrak{A}$ and we may proceed by induction on $\max_B(\omega)$.

Now assume case (ii), i.e. say $de_i \wedge \ldots \wedge de_i \mid \partial B$. Due to case (i) already being treated, we may additionally assume that none of the forms $da_1, \ldots, da_r$ divide $\partial B$.

Thus, by the ordering of $B$ and from (2) we get

$y_1, \ldots, y_t \in F_{\delta(t_1)} \subset F^p(a_1, \ldots, a_r, e_1, \ldots, e_\ell)^*.$

Combining Equations (2) and (1) once more, and repeating the same arguments as in case (i), we may conclude the proof by induction on $\max_B(\omega)$.

Note that in Lemma 5.2, the $y_i$ might also be chosen in $F^p(a_1, \ldots, a_r, e_1, \ldots, e_\ell)\setminus F^p(a_1, \ldots, a_r)$ because if we have $y_i \in F^p(a_1, \ldots, a_r)$, the resulting generator $\frac{dy}{y_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \frac{dy}{y_n}$ (after possibly reordering the slots) can be viewed as an element of $[\frac{dy}{x} \mid x \in F^p(a_1, \ldots, a_r)^*] \wedge \nu_{n-1}(F)$.

If we now combine the results from Section 2 with Lemma 5.2 we are able to give a precise description for the $\nu$-annihilators of the sets studied in Section 2.

**Theorem 3.3** Assume $F^{r-1} = F$.

(a) Let $S_1, \ldots, S_{r+1} \subset F$ be non-empty sets with $p\text{-deg } S_i = 1$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$ and $dS_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dS_r \wedge dS_{r+1} \neq \{0\}$. Set $p\text{-deg}(S_1 \cup \ldots \cup S_r \cup S_{r+1}) = r + \ell$, with $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\ell \geq 1$ and for any $p$-independent $\{a_1, \ldots, a_r, e_1, \ldots, e_\ell\} \subset F$ with $F^p(S_i) = F^p(a_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$ and $F^p(a_1, \ldots, a_r)(S_{r+1}) = F^p(a_1, \ldots, a_r)(e_1, \ldots, e_\ell)$ we have

\[
\text{Ann } \bigwedge^{r+1} dS \wedge \left[\frac{dy}{y_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \frac{dy}{y_\ell} \mid y_1, \ldots, y_\ell \in F^p(a_1, \ldots, a_r, e_1, \ldots, e_\ell)\right] \nu_{n-\ell}(F).
\]

(b) Let $S \subset F$ be a non-empty set with $p\text{-deg}(S) = k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\{a_1, \ldots, a_k\}$ be a $p$-basis of $F^p(S)$. For $r \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ set $t = k - r + 1$. Then

\[
\text{Ann } \bigwedge^r dS = \left[\frac{dy}{y_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \frac{dy}{y_\ell} \mid y_1, \ldots, y_\ell \in F^p(a_1, \ldots, a_k)^*\right] \nu_{n-\ell}(F),
\]

and for $r > k$ we have $\text{Ann } \bigwedge^r dS = \nu_n(F)$.

**Remark 3.4** (a) Unfortunately our methods do not apply for the computation of the intersection of $\sum_{i=1}^r da_i \wedge \ldots \wedge da_{ik} \wedge \Omega^{n-k}(F)$ with $\nu_n(F)$, even if the set $\{a_{ij} \mid i = 1, \ldots, r, j = 1, \ldots, k\}$ is $p$-independent. However a general additive behavior of the $\nu$-annihilator as described in [2] for the $\Omega$-annihilator can be easily disproved by a counterexample.

(b) In Theorem 3.3 (a), if we additionally assume $p\text{-deg}(S_{r+1}) = 1$ and rename $e_1$ as $a_{r+1}$, we get

\[
\text{Ann } \bigwedge^r dS \wedge \left[\frac{dy}{y_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \frac{dy}{y_\ell} \mid y_1, \ldots, y_\ell \in F^p(a_1, \ldots, a_{r+1})^*\right] \nu_{n-\ell}(F).
\]

Under these assumptions and additionally assuming $p = 2$ and $S_i = \{a_i\}$, this annihilator was already known and can be found in [2] Theorem 4.1 but was derived using quite different methods.
(c) The case \( r = 1 \) in Theorem 3.3(b) gives us

\[
\text{Ann } \nu^p_F (dS) = \left[ \frac{dy_1}{y_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \frac{dy_k}{y_k} \mid y_1, \ldots, y_k \in F^p(a_1, \ldots, a_k)^* \right] \wedge \nu_{n-k}(F).
\]

This annihilator, even if not stated directly, can be easily derived from the results given in [5] (combine Proposition 7.2 with the arguments of Section 9).

4. ANNihilATORS AND KERNELS OF ALGEBRAIC FIELD EXTENSIONS

Apart from being of interest of its own, annihilators seem to have an interesting connection to specific kernels of field extensions and can be used to determine yet unknown kernels. In this last section, our goal is to present this connection and interpret some known kernels as annihilators.

To start of, for a field extension \( K/F \) we will shortly write \( \omega_K \) for a form \( \omega \in \Omega^n(F) \) interpreted as a form in \( \Omega^n(K) \) and define

\[
\Omega^n(K/F) = \{ \omega \in \Omega^n(F) \mid \omega_K = 0 \text{ in } \Omega^n(K) \},
\]

\[
\nu_n(K/F) = \{ \chi \in \nu_n(F) \mid \chi_K = 0 \text{ in } \nu_n(K) \} = \Omega^n(K/F) \cap \nu_n(F).
\]

Furthermore let \( \overline{F} \) be an algebraic closure of \( F \) and \( \alpha \in \overline{F} \). We write \( \min_{F, \alpha} \) for the monic irreducible polynomial with root \( \alpha \) over \( F \). Set

\[
C_F(\alpha) := \left\{ c_i \in F \mid \min_{F, \alpha} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} c_i X^i \right\} \setminus \{0\}.
\]

With this the kernel of an arbitrary simple algebraic field extension can be described as follows.

**Proposition 4.1** [5, Proposition 7.2] Let \( \overline{F} \) be an algebraic closure of \( F \), \( \alpha \in \overline{F} \) and set \( K = F(\alpha) \).

(a) If \( \alpha \) is separable over \( F \), then \( \Omega^n(K/F) = \{0\} = \nu_n(K/F) \).

(b) If \( \alpha \) is not separable over \( F \), then

\[
\Omega^n(K/F) = \text{Ann } \Omega^n_F(dC_F(\alpha)) \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_n(K/F) = \text{Ann } \nu^n_F(dC_F(\alpha))
\]

and both annihilators can be precisely described using Proposition 2.3 and Theorem 3.3.

Since the case of a simple algebraic extension is covered with Proposition 4.1 let us now move on to non-simple extensions. For that recall that if \( \{b_1, \ldots, b_r\} \subset F \) is \( p \)-independent over \( F \), then for any \( m_1, \ldots, m_r \in \mathbb{N} \) the set \( \{ \sqrt[p^{m_1}]{b_1}, \ldots, \sqrt[p^{m_r}]{b_r} \} \) is \( p \)-independent over \( F \left( \sqrt[p^{m_1}]{b_1}, \ldots, \sqrt[p^{m_r}]{b_r} \right) \). With this it is an easy exercise to prove the following well known lemma.

**Lemma 4.2** Let \( \{b_1, \ldots, b_r\} \subset F \) be \( p \)-independent and \( m_1, \ldots, m_r \in \mathbb{N} \). Let \( B \) be a \( p \)-basis of \( F \) containing the \( b_i \). Set \( E = F(\sqrt[p^{m_1}]{b_1}, \ldots, \sqrt[p^{m_r}]{b_r}) \), \( \beta_i = \sqrt[p^{m_i}]{b_i} \), \( T = \times_{i=1}^r \{1, \ldots, p^{m_i} - 1\} \) and \( B' = B \setminus \{b_1, \ldots, b_r\} \). Then \( \Omega^n(E) \) is given by

\[
\Omega^n(E) = \bigoplus_{(i_1, \ldots, i_r) \in T} \beta_1^{i_1} \ldots \beta_r^{i_r} \text{d} \beta_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \text{d} \beta_r \wedge \Omega^n_{B'^r}(F)
\]

for \( s=0, \ldots, r \) with \( j_1 < \ldots < j_s \).
where the direct sum is taken as a sum of \(F\)-vector spaces and both sides of the equation are viewed as \(F\)-vector spaces.

So with this, we will now take a closer look on purely inseparable extensions and start with so called modular purely inseparable extensions, i.e. with purely inseparable extensions \(E/F\), such that we can find \(p\)-independent elements \(b_1, \ldots, b_r \in F\) and \(m_1, \ldots, m_r \in \mathbb{N}\) with \(E = F\left(\sqrt[p]{v_1}, \ldots, \sqrt[p]{v_r}\right)\). Using [9, Theorem 4.1] and combining it with Proposition 2.4 resp. with Theorem 3.3 we get the following result.

**Proposition 4.3** Let \(\{b_1, \ldots, b_r\} \subset F\) be \(p\)-independent, \(m_1, \ldots, m_r \in \mathbb{N}\) and set \(E = F\left(\sqrt[p]{v_1}, \ldots, \sqrt[p]{v_r}\right)\). Then

\[
\Omega^n(E/F) = \sum_{i=1}^r db_i \land \Omega^{n-1}(F) = \text{Ann} \Omega^n_F (db_1 \land \ldots \land db_r),
\]

\[
\nu_n(E/F) = \text{Ann} \nu^n_F (db_1 \land \ldots \land db_r)
\]

and for \(F^{p-1} = F\) we have

\[
\nu_n(E/F) = \left[\frac{dx}{x} | x \in F^p(b_1, \ldots, b_r)^*\right] \land \nu_{n-1}(F).
\]

For non-modular purely inseparable extensions, the kernels are far more difficult to determine. However with the use of annihilators, we can get a first insight on the structure of these kernels.

**Theorem 4.4** Let \(b_1, \ldots, b_r, b \in F \setminus F^p\) be such that \(\{b_1, \ldots, b_r\}\) is \(p\)-independent and \(b \in F^p(b_1, \ldots, b_r)\). For \(m_1, \ldots, m_r, m \in \mathbb{N}\) with \(m \leq m_1, \ldots, m_r\) set \(E = F\left(\sqrt[p]{v_1}, \ldots, \sqrt[p]{v_r}, \sqrt[p]{b}\right)\).

(a) If there exists \(t \in \mathbb{N}\) with \(b \in F^{p^t}(b_1, \ldots, b_r)\), then \(E/F\) is modular with \(E = F\left(\sqrt[p]{v_1}, \ldots, \sqrt[p]{v_r}, \sqrt[p]{b}\right)\) and the kernels \(\Omega^n(E/F)\) and \(\nu_n(E/F)\) can be described using Proposition 4.3.

(b) Assume (a) does not hold and choose \(t \in \{1, \ldots, m-1\}\) maximal with \(b \in F^{p^t}(b_1, \ldots, b_r)\). Write \(b = \sum_{i=(i_1, \ldots, i_r) \in T} x_i^{p^{t_i} b_1^{i_1} \ldots b_r^{i_r}}\) with \(T = \{0, \ldots, p^{t-1}\}^r\) and set \(S := \{x_i | i \in T\}\). Then

\[
\Omega^n(E/F) = \text{Ann} \Omega^n (db_1 \land \ldots \land db_r \land dS)
\]

and

\[
\nu_n(E/F) = \text{Ann} \nu^n_F (db_1 \land \ldots \land db_r \land dS)
\]

and both annihilators can be precisely described using Proposition 2.4 and Theorem 3.3.

**Proof:** Set \(M = F\left(\sqrt[p]{v_1}, \ldots, \sqrt[p]{v_r}\right)\). Let us start by proving (a) and assume we find \(t \geq m\) with

\[
b = \sum_{i=(i_1, \ldots, i_r) \in T} x_i^{p^{t_i} b_1^{i_1} \ldots b_r^{i_r}} \in F^{p^t}(b_1, \ldots, b_r).
\]

Then by \(m \leq m_1, \ldots, m_r\) and \(t - m > 0\) we get

\[
\sqrt[p]{b} = \sum_{(i_1, \ldots, i_r) \in T} x_i^{p^{t-m} \left(\sqrt[p]{v_1}\right)^{i_1} \ldots \left(\sqrt[p]{v_r}\right)^{i_r}} \in M
\]
from which we conclude \( E = M \) as claimed.

Let us now consider the case (b) and say we have a maximal \( t \in \{1, \ldots, m-1\} \) with \( b \in F^p(b_1, \ldots, b_r) \). We start by showing the inclusion \((\geq)\). Assume \( db_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge db_r \wedge dS = \{0\} \). By the \( p \)-independence of the \( b_1, \ldots, b_r \), we get \( S \subseteq F^p(b_1, \ldots, b_r) \), so for every \( x_i \in S \) we find \( z_{ij} \in F \), \( j = (j_1, \ldots, j_r) \in \{0, \ldots, p-1\}^r \) with

\[
x_i = \sum_{j=(j_1, \ldots, j_r) \in \{0, \ldots, p-1\}^r} z^p_{ij} b_1^{j_1} \ldots b_r^{j_r}.
\]

Inserting this in the representation of \( b \) we get

\[
b = \sum_{i \in T} x_i b_1^{i_1} \ldots b_r^{i_r} = \sum_{i \in T} \sum_{j=(0, \ldots, p-1)} z^{p+1}_{ij} b_1^{i_1+j_1} \ldots b_r^{i_r+j_r} \in F^{p+1}(b_1, \ldots, b_r)
\]

which contradicts \( t \) being maximal. Thus we have \( db_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge db_r \wedge dS = \{0\} \).

Now choose \( e_1, \ldots, e_\ell \in F \) such that \( \{b_1, \ldots, b_r, e_1, \ldots, e_\ell\} \) is \( p \)-independent with \( F^p(b_1, \ldots, b_r)(S) = F^p(b_1, \ldots, b_r)(e_1, \ldots, e_\ell) \). By Proposition 2.6 to prove the first inclusion it is enough to check that all of the forms \( db_1, \ldots, db_r \) and \( de_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge de_\ell \) become zero forms over \( E \). Since \( b_1, \ldots, b_r \in E \), this is obvious for the forms \( db_1, \ldots, db_r \). So let us now check the last form. Because of \( t < m \leq m_1, \ldots, m_r \), we get \( \sqrt[p]{b}, \sqrt[p]{b_1}, \ldots, \sqrt[p]{b_r} \in E \) and over \( E \) we have

\[
0 = d\left( \sqrt[p]{b} \right) = d\left( \sum_{(i_1, \ldots, i_r) \in T} x_i \left( \sqrt[p]{b_1} \right)^{i_1} \ldots \left( \sqrt[p]{b_r} \right)^{i_r} \right)
= \sum_{(i_1, \ldots, i_r) \in T} \left( \sqrt[p]{b_1} \right)^{i_1} \ldots \left( \sqrt[p]{b_r} \right)^{i_r} \ dx_i.
\]

Since \( x_i \in S \subset S \cup \{b_1, \ldots, b_r\} \subset F^p(b_1, \ldots, b_r, e_1, \ldots, e_\ell) \), we find (unique) \( \lambda_{ik}, \mu_{iq} \in F \) for \( k = 1, \ldots, r, q = 1, \ldots, \ell \) with

\[
dx_i = \sum_{k=1}^r \lambda_{ik} \ db_k + \sum_{q=1}^\ell \mu_{iq} \ de_q.
\]

Inserting this representation of \( dx_i \) into Equation (3) and using \( (db_k)_E = 0 \), we get

\[
0 = \sum_{q=1}^\ell \left( \sum_{(i_1, \ldots, i_r) \in T} \left( \sqrt[p]{b_1} \right)^{i_1} \ldots \left( \sqrt[p]{b_r} \right)^{i_r} \mu_{iq} \right) \ de_q
\]

over \( E \). Now assume \( \mu_{iq} = 0 \) for all \( i \in T \) and \( q \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\} \). Thus by Equation (4) we get \( dx_i \in \text{span}_F(db_1, \ldots, db_r) \) hence \( x_i \in F^p(b_1, \ldots, b_r) \) for all \( i \in T \) but this was already shown to be wrong at the beginning of the proof. So there is at least one \( \mu_{iq} \neq 0 \). Since \( \{b_1, \ldots, b_r\} \) is \( p \)-independent, the elements \( \left( \sqrt[p]{b_1} \right)^{i_1} \ldots \left( \sqrt[p]{b_r} \right)^{i_r} \) with \( (i_1, \ldots, i_r) \in T \) are all pairwise distinct and part of the canonical \( F \)-basis of \( M \), hence \( F \)-linear independent. Thus there is at least one \( q \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\} \) with \( \sum_{(i_1, \ldots, i_r) \in T} \left( \sqrt[p]{b_1} \right)^{i_1} \ldots \left( \sqrt[p]{b_r} \right)^{i_r} \mu_{iq} \neq 0 \) and Equation (5) gives a non-trivial linear representation of zero using the vectors \( de_1, \ldots, de_\ell \) over \( E \) which means the forms \( de_1, \ldots, de_\ell \) are linearly dependent over \( E \) and by Lemma 1.1, finally see \( (de_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge de_\ell)_E = 0 \).
Let us now prove the inclusion (⊆). Set \( U = \bigwedge_{j=1}^{r} \{ 0, \ldots, p^{m_j} - 1 \} \). We obviously have \( E = M(\sqrt[p]{b}) = M(\sqrt[p]{b_0}) \) with
\[
(6) \quad b_0 = \sqrt[p]{b} = \sum_{(i_1, \ldots, i_r) \in T} x_i (\sqrt[p]{b_1})^{i_1} \cdots (\sqrt[p]{b_r})^{i_r} \in M.
\]
Assume \( b_0 \in M^p \), then for suitable \( z_u \in F \), \( u = (u_1, \ldots, u_r) \in U \), we have
\[
b_0 = \left( \sum_{u \in U} z_u \left( \sqrt[p]{b_1}_1 \cdots \sqrt[p]{b_r}^{u_r} \right)^p \right) = \sum_{u \in U} z_u \left( \sqrt[p]{b_1}^{p^u_1} \cdots \sqrt[p]{b_r}^{p^u_r} \right)
\]
and inserting this into the relation \( b_0^{p^t} = b \), we get
\[
b = b_0^{p^t} = \sum_{u \in U} z_u^{p^t+1} \left( \sqrt[p]{b_1}^{p^t+1 u_1} \cdots \sqrt[p]{b_r}^{p^t+1 u_r} \right).
\]
Comparing coefficients in Equation (7) then leads to \( b \in F^{p^{t+1}}(b_1, \ldots, b_r) \) which contradicts the maximality of \( t \). Thus \( b_0 \in M \setminus M^p \) and in particular we have \([E : F] = p^{m_1+\ldots+m_r-m+t}\) as well as \( \Omega^n(E/M) = \text{Ann} \Omega^n_M(db_0) \) by Proposition 4.3.

Now let \( \omega \in \Omega^n(E/F) \). Then \( \omega_M \in \Omega^n(E/M) \) and \( \omega_M \wedge db_0 = 0 \) in \( M \) so by using the representation of \( b_0 \) given in (6) together with \( t < m_1, \ldots, m_r \), we get
\[
0 = \omega_M \wedge db_0 = \sum_{(i_1, \ldots, i_r) \in T} (\sqrt[p]{b_1})^{i_1} \cdots (\sqrt[p]{b_r})^{i_r} \omega_M \wedge dx_i.
\]
and Lemma 4.2 applied to \( M \) then leads to
\[
\omega_M \wedge dx_i = 0 \quad \text{for all } i = (i_1, \ldots, i_r) \in T.
\]
Since \( x_i \in F \) for all \( i = (i_1, \ldots, i_r) \in T \), we have
\[
\omega \wedge dx_i \in \Omega^{n+1}(M/F) \quad \text{Ann} \Omega^{n+1}_F(db_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge db_r) \quad \text{for all } i = (i_1, \ldots, i_r) \in T
\]
which finally shows
\[
\omega \wedge dx_i \wedge db_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge db_r = 0 \quad \text{over } F \quad \text{for all } i = (i_1, \ldots, i_r) \in T.
\]

The kernel \( \Omega^n(E/F) \) stated in Theorem 4.4 in the case \( p = 2 \) was simultaneously and independently discovered by Aravire, Laghribi and O’Ryan and can be found in [8, Theorem 5.5] where the same kernel is given using a different representation without usage of annihilators (see for comparison the PhD thesis of the author from 2017 [8, Theorem 6.5]). But it appears that the representation of \( \Omega^n(E/F) \) given in [8, Theorem 5.5] is not applicable to all cases since the coefficients used in the description of the kernel do not need to lie in the basefield \( F \).

Remark 4.5 (a) Note that the condition \( m \leq m_1, \ldots, m_r \) becomes obsolete in Theorem 4.4 if \( db = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \text{db}_j \) with \( \lambda_j \neq 0 \) for all \( j \). In case \( \{ \{ b_1, \ldots, b_r \} \setminus \{ b_i \} \} \cup \{ b_i \} \) is \( p \)-independent for all \( j \in \{ 1, \ldots, r \} \) with \( b_j \in F^{p^t}(b_1, \ldots, b_{j-1}, b_{j+1}, \ldots, b_r) \).

So in this case the condition \( m \leq m_1, \ldots, m_r \) may be assumed without loss of generality. Also note that this condition can always be assumed to be true for \( r = 1 \) (see [8]).
We would like to point out that Theorem 4.4 gives a complete characterization of kernels $\Omega^n(E/F)$ for purely inseparable extensions generated by two elements. The modular case is already known and for the non-modular case note that for a $p$-dependent set $\{a, b\} \subset F \setminus F^p$ and $E = F\left(\sqrt[p]{a}, \sqrt[p]{b}\right)$ we may assume $s \leq t$ without loss of generality, since $a \in F^p(b)$ is equivalent to $b \in F^p(a)$.

(c) Note that if we set $m = 2, \ldots, m_r$ then the first description of $\Omega$ differs from the second description given in Remark 4.6(a). The modular case is already known and for the non-modular case note that for

$$E/F, x_0, \ldots, x_r$$

the kernel as

$$\Omega^n(E/F) = \text{Ann} \Omega^n(x_0 \wedge \ldots \wedge x_r).$$

Set $\beta_i = \sqrt[p]{v_i}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$ and $F_0 = F$. Note that since the elements $b_1, \ldots, b_r$ are $p$-independent, we have $\min_{F, \beta_i} = X^{p^{m_i}} - b_i \in F[X]$ and $\min_{F, \beta_i} = \min_{F_0, \beta_i}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$ (To be precise, this property is equivalent for the $b_1, \ldots, b_r$ to be $p$-independent). Thus we can also rewrite the kernel as

$$\Omega^n(E/F) = \text{Ann} \Omega^n_F \left(d\mathcal{C}_F (\sqrt[p]{v_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \sqrt[p]{v_r})\right).$$

(b) Now we use the results and the notations from the proof of Theorem 4.4 and set $\beta_i = \sqrt[p]{v_i}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$ as well as $\beta = \sqrt[p]{v}$. Then $\min_{F, \beta} = X^{p^{m}} - b_0$, so the set $S$ consists of all the coefficients needed to represent $b_0$ using the canonical $F$-basis $\{\beta_1^{\nu_1} \ldots \beta_r^{\nu_r} \mid (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_r) \in \mathbb{N}_r \}$ of $M$.

(c) Note that if we set $p = 2$, then by using Kato’s Theorem [7, Theorem p. 494] we are able to translate all the results for the $\nu$-kernels and the $\nu$-annihilators to the theory of bilinear forms over $F$. The annihilated sets $dS_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dS_r$ with $S_i \subset F$ can be transported as a set of so called bilinear Pfister forms. Since this transfer is a standard procedure by now, we leave it to the reader to fill in the details and refer to [6, Section 9] or [9, Section 5] for additional comments (or to [8, Kapitel 8] for a rather detailed description).

Comparing the interpretations given in Remark 4.6(a)+(b) and reviewing the results above, the following questions come to mind:

**Question 1**: Let $K = F(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r)/F$ be an algebraic $r$-fold field extension such that $\alpha_i$ is not separable over $F$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$ (being an $r$-fold extension means
$K$ cannot be written with less than $r$ algebraic generators over $F$). Can we find suitable sets $S_i \subset F$ such that

$$\Omega^n(K/F) = \text{Ann} \ \Omega^n_F(dS_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dS_r)?$$

**Question 2:** Set $K = F(\alpha, \beta)$ such that $K/F$ is a 2-fold extension with $\alpha, \beta$ both being algebraic and non-separable over $F$. Set $[F(\alpha) : F] = s$ and $\mathcal{C}_{F(\alpha)}(\beta) = \{b_1, \ldots, b_k\}$. Now write $b_j = \sum_{i=1}^{s} a_{ij} \alpha^i$ for $j = 1, \ldots, k$ with $a_{ij} \in F$. Do we have

$$\Omega^n(K/F) = \text{Ann} \ \Omega^n_F(d\mathcal{C}_F(\alpha) \wedge d\{a_{ij} \mid i = 1, \ldots, s, j = 1, \ldots, k\})?$$

Note that both questions have a positive answer for all kernels which are known so far.

This paper is based on results of the PhD thesis of the author.
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