Fast microscale acoustic streaming driven by a temperature-gradient-induced non-dissipative acoustic body force
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We study acoustic streaming in liquids driven by a non-dissipative acoustic body force created by light-induced temperature gradients. This thermoacoustic streaming produces a velocity amplitude approximately 50 times higher than boundary-driven Rayleigh streaming and 90 times higher than Rayleigh-Bénard convection at a temperature gradient of 10 K/mm in the channel. Further, Rayleigh streaming is altered by the acoustic body force at a temperature gradient of only 0.5 K/mm. The thermoacoustic streaming allows for modular flow control and enhanced heat transfer at the microscale. Our study provides the groundwork for studying microscale acoustic streaming coupled with temperature fields.

Acoustic streaming describes the steady time-averaged fluid motion that takes place when acoustic waves propagate in viscous fluids. The streaming flow is driven by a nonzero divergence in the time-averaged acoustic momentum-flux-density tensor \[ \mathbf{f}_{ac} \]. Conventionally in a homogeneous fluid, this nonzero divergence arises from two dissipation mechanisms of acoustic energy. The first case is the so-called boundary-driven Rayleigh streaming \[ 2 \], in which acoustic energy is dissipated in viscous boundary layers where the velocity of the oscillating fluid changes to match the surface velocity of the channel walls \[ 3, 4 \] or of suspended objects \[ 5–8 \]. This gives rise to velocity gradients that drive the flow \[ 9 \], and is typically observed in standing wave fields in systems of a size comparable to the wavelength \[ 10 \]. In the second type of streaming, known as quartz wind or bulk-driven Eckart streaming \[ 11 \], high acoustic wave attenuation yields the gradients that drive the streaming flow, which is typically associated with high-frequency traveling waves \[ 11–13 \]. Both cases have been extensively studied, and the phenomenon of acoustic streaming continues to attract attention due its importance in processes related to medical ultrasound \[ 14–17 \], thermoacoustic engines \[ 18, 19 \], acoustic levitation \[ 7, 20 \], and manipulation of particles and cells in microscale acoustofluidics \[ 21–27 \].

Recently, we discovered that boundary-driven streaming can be significantly suppressed in inhomogeneous media formed by different solute molecules \[ 28, 29 \]. This is attributed to the acoustic body force \[ \mathbf{f}_{ac} \], also originating from the nonzero divergence in the time-averaged momentum-flux-density tensor, due to gradients in density and compressibility in the fluid \[ 30, 31 \], which competes with the boundary-layer streaming stress. The streaming rolls are confined to narrow regions near the channel walls, before the inhomogeneity smears out due to diffusion and advection of the solute. This effect enables the acoustic manipulation of submicrometer particles \[ 32 \] of biological relevance such as bacteria \[ 33 \], as well as trapping of hot plasma in gasses \[ 34 \].

In this Letter, we investigate microscale acoustic streaming in a liquid, in which the temperature-dependent compressibility and density have been made inhomogeneous by introducing a sustained temperature gradient. We generate this gradient by light irradiation and absorption, and subsequently measure the streaming driven by the temperature-gradient-induced acoustic body force and call it thermoacoustic streaming. Using our newly developed model for thermoviscous acoustofluidics \[ 35 \], the experimental results are validated and the mechanisms responsible for the thermoacoustic streaming are explained.

Our main findings are: (i) The thermoacoustic streaming begins to disturb the boundary-driven Rayleigh streaming with a temperature gradient as small as 0.5 K/mm, resulting in streaming rolls with complex three-dimensional (3D) patterns. (ii) With a temperature gradient of 10 K/mm, the thermoacoustic streaming velocity is about 50 and 90 times higher than that of the boundary-driven Rayleigh streaming and of the Rayleigh-Bénard convection, respectively. (iii) In contrast to other types of acoustic streaming, the mechanism driving the thermoacoustic streaming is non-dissipative.

The thermoacoustic streaming should be of considerable fundamental interest to a broad community of researchers working in nonlinear acoustics, thermoacoustics, microscale acoustofluidics, as well as heat transfer. For a microsystem, the advective streaming flow is remarkably high compared to the rate of thermal diffusion, and with a Pécelt number \( Pe \approx 1 \), heat transfer is strongly enhanced. Further, our findings pave the way for transient or steady control of the streaming through modulations of the temperature or the acoustic field.

**Experimental method.**—The experiments were performed using a long straight microchannel of width \( W = 760 \mu m \) and height \( H = 370 \mu m \) in a glass-silicon-glass chip with a piezoelectric transducer glued underneath. The temperature gradient inside the channel was generated by directing the focused light from a 488-nm
light-emitting-diode (LED) through water with a small amount of added dye (0.1 wt% Orange G) that has an overlapping absorption peak with the LED, see Fig. 1, which results in 99% of the LED light being absorbed in the liquid. The transducer was driven at a frequency of 953 kHz with an input power of 88 mW, which produced a standing half-wave pressure field \( p_1 \) (purple) at 953 kHz. A green LED shines light from above to excite the red fluorescent light from the tracer particles, which allows the optical recording of the tracer bead motion in a part of the channel mid-height \( H = 370 \) µm, and height \( H = 370 \) µm.

![Diagram of the acousto-optical system](image)

**FIG. 1.** Sketch of the acousto-fluidic silicon chip (light gray) sandwiched between two glass layers (white) that allows optical transparency for both heating and particle tracking. The light emitted from a blue LED below the chip is absorbed by the water containing dye molecules and a temperature gradient forms from low (orange) to high (yellow) temperature in the channel. The piezoelectric transducer (dark brown) excites the resonant half-wave pressure field \( p_1 \) at 953 kHz. A green LED shines light from above to excite the red fluorescent light from the tracer particles, which allows the optical recording of the tracer bead motion in a part of the channel mid-height \( H = 1300 \) µm of the channel of width \( W = 760 \) µm, and height \( H = 370 \) µm.

The generation of this fast streaming can be explained by the acoustic body force \( f_{ac} \) due to the temperature field induced by the blue LED. In this experiment, the LED heats the fluid from beneath, while the silicon walls efficiently transport the heat away, thus cooling the sides of the channel. Temperature gradients are therefore induced in all directions: In the \( x-y \) plane by the Gaussian profile of the light intensity and by the cooling from the silicon sidewalls, and in the \( z \)-direction by light absorption fulfilling the Beer-Lambert law. The resulting temperature field is highest at the center of the channel bottom, as shown by the measured and simulated temperature fields in the horizontal \( x-y \) plane around channel mid-height \( z = 0 \) µm in Fig. 2(f), and by the simulated temperature field in the vertical \( y-z \) cross section at \( x = 0 \) µm in Fig. 2(g). The acoustic body force \( f_{ac} \) depends on the gradients in compressibility and density,
The vector plot (magenta) in a given plane is the in-plane velocity and the color plot is its magnitude from 0 (dark blue) to 1042 µm s⁻¹ (yellow). The simulation is performed at $E_{ac} = 23$ J/m³. Spatial bins with no experimental data are excluded (gray). The pair of curved white lines in (b) and (d) represent the centerlines of the two counter-rotating deformed 3D cylindrical streaming flow rolls. The two line-plot insets show the measured (purple) and the simulated (green) $v_x$ (or $v_y$) component of the velocity along the red lines. (f) Color plot from 25.0 °C (black) to 30.1 °C (white) of the measured and simulated temperature $T_0$ in the horizontal $x$-$y$ plane at $z = 0$, see more details in the Supplemental Material [38]. The regions where the fluorescence intensity is affected by the channel sidewalls are excluded (gray). (g) $T_0$ as in panel (f) but for the vertical $y$-$z$ plane at $x = 0$, and only the simulation results are shown.

FIG. 2. (a)-(e) The measured (exp, left half) and simulated (sim, right half) streaming patterns for $G = 9.76$ K/mm ($\Delta T_0 = 3.71$ K across the channel width $W$), observed in different planes $x$-$y$ horizontally, $x$-$z$ vertically, and $y$-$z$ vertically. The vector plot (magenta) in a given plane is the in-plane velocity and the color plot is its magnitude from 0 (dark blue) to 1042 µm s⁻¹ (yellow). The simulation is performed at $E_{ac} = 23$ J/m³. Spatial bins with no experimental data are excluded (gray). The pair of curved white lines in (b) and (d) represent the centerlines of the two counter-rotating deformed 3D cylindrical streaming flow rolls. The two line-plot insets show the measured (purple) and the simulated (green) $x$ (or $y$) component $v_x$ (or $v_y$) of the velocity along the red lines. (f) Color plot from 25.0 °C (black) to 30.1 °C (white) of the measured and simulated temperature $T_0$ in the horizontal $x$-$y$ plane at $z = 0$, see more details in the Supplemental Material [38]. The regions where the fluorescence intensity is affected by the channel sidewalls are excluded (gray). (g) $T_0$ as in panel (f) but for the vertical $y$-$z$ plane at $x = 0$, and only the simulation results are shown.

the acoustic pressure $p_1$, and the acoustic velocity $v_1$ [30]. When the inhomogeneities are created by a temperature field, $f_{ac}$ can be expressed as,

$$f_{ac} = -\frac{1}{4}|p_1|^2 \nabla T_{T,0} - \frac{1}{4}|v_1|^2 \nabla \rho_0$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4} \left[ |p_1|^2 \left( \frac{\partial \kappa_T}{\partial T} \right)_{T_0} + |v_1|^2 \left( \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial T} \right)_{T_0} \right] \nabla T_0. \quad (1)$$

Three factors determine the action of $f_{ac}$ on the fluid. (i) Both the compressibility and density decrease with temperature, thus $f_{ac}$ points towards the high temperature region here the center of the channel heated by the LED. (ii) At room temperature, $\kappa_T |p_1|^2 \approx \rho |v_1|^2$ and $\frac{1}{\kappa_T} |\partial T \rho| \gg \frac{1}{\rho} |\partial T \rho|$, so $f_{ac}$ is dominated by the $|p_1|^2$ compressibility term and thus is strongest at the pressure anti-nodes at the sides of the channel. (iii) As shown in Fig. 2(g), the temperature gradient is larger at the bottom than at the top of the channel resulting in a stronger $f_{ac}$ at the bottom. Consequently, in the bottom part of the LED spot, $f_{ac}$ pulls the fluid horizontally inward to the vertical $x$-$z$ center plane at $y = 0$ and by mass conservation lets it escape outward along the axial $x$-direction and upward along the vertical $z$-direction. The resulting streaming flow contains the two aforementioned deformed 3D cylindrical flow rolls, which when projected onto horizontal and vertical planes appear as the four horizontal streaming rolls in Fig. 2(a)-(e) strongest in the center-plane $z = 0$, and as the two vertical streaming rolls in Fig. 2(d)-(e).

In contrast to the inhomogeneity created by diffusing solute molecules out of equilibrium [28, 29], the temperature gradients here are sustained because the system is run in steady state driven by the PZT transducer and the LED light. The resulting streaming is more than one order of magnitude faster than the boundary-driven Rayleigh streaming, and it is mainly due to the large non-
dissipative $f_{ac}$. The thermoacoustic driving mechanism is fundamentally different from the dissipation mechanism of the conventional forms of acoustic streaming.

The transition from boundary-driven Rayleigh streaming to thermoacoustic streaming is studied in the vertical $y$-$z$ cross section ($-100 \mu m < x < 100 \mu m$) by gradually increasing the output power of the LED. Following Refs. [28, 29], we quantify the streaming evolution by the vortex size $\Delta$, defined as the average of the distance from the center of each of the two upper flow rolls to the channel ceiling at $z = \frac{1}{2}H$. Figure 3 shows that at a zero temperature gradient $G$, the streaming is governed by the four conventional boundary-driven Rayleigh streaming rolls, whereas at high gradient $G \approx 3.6 K/mm$ ($\Delta T_0 = 1.36 K$), only two big temperature-gradient induced flow rolls driven by the relatively large $f_{ac}$ is present, occupying the whole channel cross section. In transitioning from the former to the latter situation, the two top Rayleigh flow rolls appear to expand downwards squeezing the bottom rolls against the channel floor at $z = -\frac{1}{2}H$. This phenomenon can be explained by the fact that the two top (bottom) Rayleigh flow rolls have the same (opposite) rotation direction as the two temperature-gradient induced flow rolls. Already at $G = 0.5 K/mm$ ($\Delta T_0 = 0.170 K$), $f_{ac}$ is large enough to distort the four-flow-roll Rayleigh streaming pattern. When $\Delta T_0$ further increases, the two-flow-roll thermoacoustic streaming pattern dominates, and eventually remains unchanged, while the velocity amplitude increases almost linearly for the investigated range, see Fig. 3(b).

The observation that thermoacoustic streaming occurs already at temperature gradients below $0.5 K/mm$ calls for caution when combining acoustofluidic devices with optical systems. For an absorbing liquid, the light in a standard microscope is enough to induce strong velocity fields that can interfere with the study object. While we did not record the transient build-up of the streaming field upon activating the light, it can be noted that the development of the temperature field, and thus the streaming field, occurs within a few hundred milliseconds at the studied length scale which opens for rapid modulation of local streaming fields through fast re-configurable optical fields. Even so, the induced streaming velocity is high enough to match the thermal diffusion time and thereby impact the heat transfer in the structure.

Conclusion.—This letter describes a comprehensive experimental and numerical study of the thermoacoustic streaming in liquids induced by temperature gradients generated by light absorption in a microchannel. We have obtained a good match between measured and simulated velocity fields in 3D. As summarized by the main findings (i)-(iii) in the introduction, the thermoacoustic streaming, driven by the non-dissipative acoustic body force, has a markedly different origin than that of the conventional acoustic streaming associated with energy dissipation. Moreover, it reaches much higher velocity amplitudes compared to the boundary-driven Rayleigh streaming and the Rayleigh-Bénard convection under comparable conditions. The acoustic body force relies on the acoustic field and the gradients in compressibility and density, which is analogous to the driving force of the classical Rayleigh-Bénard convection relying on the gravitational field and the gradient in density.

By including the temperature dependence of both density and compressibility in this work through our theory in Ref. [35], our analysis of thermoacoustic streaming in terms of the acoustic body force is valid for both liquids and gases. Thus, we have extended previous related work on gases, where compressibility effects are unimportant and therefore neglected [19, 40–47].

This study is fundamental in scope, but also demonstrates a method with clear potential for controlling lo-
cal flows in microchannels. Further, we highlight im-
portant implications of this phenomenon relating to heat
transfer and integration of optical fields with microscale
acoustofluidic devices.
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