Effect of Serum Starvation on Rheology of Cell Monolayers
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The rheological properties of cells and tissues are central to embryonic development and homoeostasis in adult tissues and organs and are closely related to their physiological activities. In this work, we present our study of rheological experiments on cell monolayer under serum starvation compared to that of healthy cell monolayer with full serum. The normal functioning of cells depends on the micronutrient supply provided by the serum in the growth media. Serum starvation is one of the most widely used procedures in cell biology. Serum deficiency may lead to genomic instability, variation in protein expression, chronic diseases, and some specific types of cancers. However, the effect of deprivation of serum concentration on the material properties of cells is still unknown. Therefore, we performed the macro-rheology experiments to investigate the effect of serum starvation on a fully confluent Madin Darby Canine Kidney (MDCK) cell monolayer. The material properties such as storage modulus ($G'$) and loss modulus ($G''$), of the monolayer, were measured using oscillatory shear experiments under serum-free (0% FBS) and full serum (10% FBS) conditions. Additionally, the step strain experiments were performed to gain more insights into the viscoelastic properties of the cell monolayer. Our results indicate that without serum, the loss and storage moduli decrease and do not recover fully even after small deformation. This is because of the lack of nutrients, which may result in many permanent physiological changes. Whereas, the healthy cell monolayer under full serum condition, remains strong & flexible, and can fully recover even from a large deformation at higher strain.
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I. Introduction

The mechanical properties of the active gels, cells, and tissues have been an emerging field of research over the past few decades. The deformability is one of the crucial features of the cells & tissues that are well-acknowledged but poorly understood1. The cells are deformed by using micro or macro-rheology techniques, and their mechanical response as a result of deformation is measured. Most of the studies report the rheology of a single cell using micro-rheology techniques2-7 or cell monolayers8-10. The micro-rheology techniques include active force probe optical/magnetic tweezers, passive two-point bead tracking via image-based software, and localized dynamic material deformation through an atomic force microscope (AFM). On the other hand, the macro-rheology is usually performed using an oscillatory rheometer11-13. The material properties reported by micro-rheology techniques at cellular or subcellular levels underestimate the bulk properties of cells and tissues14,15 because the cells are highly heterogeneous, so the viscoelastic parameters obtained from
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micro-rheology experiments are local. On the other hand, the macro-rheology can probe the bulk properties, averaging out the heterogeneities for one cell and over a large collection of cells in the monolayers\(^{12,13}\).

The living cells are highly complex machines on the planet as they can remodel their cytoskeleton (CSK) to perform various functions like spreading, growth, migration, division, contraction, and metastasis\(^{16}\). Hence, instead of structural architecture, the cytoskeleton's dynamic nature fundamentally separates the active cells from passive non-living materials\(^{17}\). Further, the disruption of the cell's CSK dynamics via drugs\(^{8,18-20}\) or mechanical distending\(^{21,22}\) changes the rheological properties of the cells. As per well-established literature, two fundamental principles that govern the properties of cells are (1) The pre-existing tension (pre-stress) borne by the cytoskeleton, and (2) The weak power-law response of cells to externally applied stress\(^{23}\). Ingber’s model describes the cell pre-stress\(^{24,25}\), while the soft glass rheology (SGR) model\(^{26-29}\) explains the power-law response of the cells. Although both models are quite different from each other; together, they capture the cell’s actual response to the applied deformation\(^{30,31}\).

The Cell Monolayer Rheology (CMR) is a well known macro-rheology technique used to investigate the material properties of the cell monolayer. These properties may vary due to the progression of various diseases like cytotoxicity, malignancy, and other abnormalities. Most of the rheological studies of cells were performed on a discrete cell monolayer, where a large number of single fibroblasts or HeLa cells are attached to both plates of the rheometer\(^{3,12,13}\). Cell monolayer rheology can probe a vast number of cells and a better average of mechanical properties. This study uses a similar technique as CMR to probe the cell monolayer of MDCK epithelial cells. These cells form a continuous cell monolayer, unlike cell monolayer of many disconnected fibroblasts or HeLa cells\(^{10}\). For the CMR, we have used a rheo-microscope (microscope module) of a commercial rheometer.

Further, the micronutrients (proteins and minerals) are essential for regulating cell viability, homeostasis, and DNA metabolic pathways\(^{32,33}\). The serum is the only source in the culture media to provide the micronutrients to the cells. Generally, the concentration of serum is only 10% of the complete media\(^{34}\). The lack of micronutrients can cause genomic instability, protein expression variations, disruptions in signalling pathways, and deprivation of growth factors in the cells\(^{32,33}\). It should also be noted that the effect of serum starvation depends on the protocol and the cell type\(^{32,33}\). With time, the cells consume the micronutrients and their concentration decay in the culture media. Recently, Miyaoka et al.\(^ {35}\) performed micro-rheological experiments using AFM on NIH3T3 fibroblasts under serum starvation. In their study, the cells were incubated with 0.1% FBS for 24 hrs and then placed in microarray for another 24 hrs before the AFM study was done. They found that under serum starvation at 0.1% FBS the storage modulus (\(G'\)) and loss modulus (\(G''\)) show a weak power-law behaviour with the frequency, but the dependence of loss modulus (\(G''\)) on frequency increases after \(\leq 10\) Hz. However, from the above study, it is utmost important to understand the complete mechanical bulk properties of the cell monolayers with the effects of serum concentration, which is the main objective of our present paper.

In this study, we present the bulk rheology of fully confluent epithelial cell monolayer of MDCK cells with full serum at 10% FBS and without serum at 0% FBS, using the Cell Monolayer Rheology (CMR) technique. The cell monolayer was subjected to standard rheological experiments including (1) oscillatory shear which comprises of amplitude and frequency sweep, and (2) step strain at a specified shear rate to study the recovery of the properties of the cell monolayer.

Our experimental observations reveal that the cell monolayer's rheological properties depend not only on the applied strain but also on the serum concentration. This work aims to investigate the macro-rheology of MDCK cell monolayer and determine the effect of serum starvation on its rheological properties.
II. Material and Methods

A. Cell Culture

We used Madin Darby Canine Kidney (MDCK) II epithelial cells, which are stably transfected with E-Cadherin Green Fluorescent Protein (J. W. Nelson Lab, Stanford University). We cultured these cells in a growth medium made of 90% Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM), low glucose (1% g/L), pyruvate (Cat No: 11885092, ThermoFisher Scientific) containing 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) and antibiotics at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ in a humidified incubator. The cells were replated on a rectangular glass-coverslip (Blue star). When the cell monolayer became 90-100% confluent (Fig. 1), then the growth medium was replaced with Gibco Leibovitz’s L15 medium (Cat No: 11415049, ThermoFisher Scientific) with Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) and antibiotics. Then these cultures were incubated at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ humidified incubator for 8 hours.

Serum-free and full serum conditions were created when the cells are incubated with Gibco Leibovitz’s L15 medium with 0% and 10% FBS at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ humidified incubator for 8 hrs, respectively. After this, the cells adhered to the coverslip were used for the rheological experiments Fig. 2.

B. Experimental Setup

The experiments were conducted with the rheo-microscope (microscope module) of the Modular Compact Rheometer (MCR-702) from Anton Paar GmbH, Germany, which has a quartz glass-bottom plate of 65 mm diameter. For the upper plate, we used the 25mm diameter steel probe, which is attached to 25mm glass coverslip to ensure the smooth surface. This is done by a PDMS layer deposited using the spin coater on the glass coverslip by operating at 1000 RPM for 1 minute. This coated coverslip was then carefully placed onto the upper probe such that no air bubbles get trapped between them. This probe was left at room temperature for 24 hours, followed by heating in the oven for 2 hours at 65°C. This procedure glued the glass coverslip with the rheometer’s upper steel plate and made it’s surface smooth. A similar setup was also used for Cell Monolayer Rheology by Chen et al., Fernandez et al., Dakhil et al. in their studies of bulk rheology of a large collection of single cells, such as fibroblasts and HeLa cells. In this study, we are using a confluent epithelial cell monolayer with cell-cell contacts.

The two major challenges faced in the thin gap rheometry are the parallelism of the upper and lower plates of the rheometer and the contact of the upper plate with the material (i.e. cell monolayer in our case). We managed to overcome both these difficulties (see SI). To ensure the parallelism of the two plates, we first made marks on the bottom plate and then focus on those marks using the microscope with 20X objective. We then fix the focus, which in turn fix the z-direction. Then, we scan the bottom plate marks from the outer diameter to the centre of the plate (by changing the x and y position) and observe whether any defocussing is happening. We did not observe any defocussing (see SI). This ensures that the bottom plate is flat and horizontal. We repeat the same procedure of focussing on the glass coverslip of upper plate and scan for any points/areas of defocussing. We did not observe any defocussing of the upper plate’s glass coverslip (see SI), which ensures that the upper glass coverslip is also flat and parallel to the lower glass plate.

We have grown the confluent cell monolayer in a 25 mm dia. circle to fully cover the cell monolayer covered by the upper plate of the rheometer. To grow the circular cell monolayer, we created a circular well using the PDMS ring of the inner diameter of 25 mm on the rectangular coverslip as shown in Fig. 1A and Fig. 2a. The cells were seeded in this well and placed in the incubator (at 37°C in a 5% CO₂). When the fully confluent monolayer is formed, the old media was removed, and the cells were prepared for the experiment by supplying the fresh (0% FBS or 10% FBS) media. We supplied the media with 0% FBS for the experiments with serum-starved cell monolayer and incubated the cell monolayer at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ in a humidified incubator for 8 hours. The next step is to remove the PDMS well confining the cell monolayer, and rinse the attached cell monolayer with serum-free media. Thus prepared, the cell monolayer is now ready for the rheology experiments (Fig. 2b). For the experiments with healthy cells, we incubated the cell monolayer with
full serum (10% FBS) media for 8 hrs then remove the PDMS well and rinse it with full serum media and take it under the rheometer for experiments. We remove the PDMS ring when the cell monolayer becomes confluent (Fig. 2b). The rectangular glass coverslip with cell monolayer is fixed firmly on the glass bottom plate with the help of strong adhesive tapes which are mounted on the sides as shown in Fig. 2c. This setup with the rectangular coverslip with circular cell monolayer firmly mounted on the glass-bottom plate is then mounted on the rheometer’s microscope module (Fig. 2d).

The shear rheology was performed by maintaining the initial normal force exerted by the upper plate on the material at $\sim 0.1$ N. This ensures that the cell monolayer is being sheared (see SI).

**Figure 1:** Schematic representation of the setup to perform rheology of the cell monolayer. (A) preparation of circular cell monolayer using PDMS strip. (B) setup of rheometer for CMR

To check, whether we are actually shearing the cell monolayer, we capture the movies of the cell monolayer during the shearing experiments (see SI). The cell monolayer deformation is distinctly visible, which confirms that the upper plate is touching the cell monolayer and applying the shear on it.

Following previous studies of Fernandez et al. $^{12}$, Dakhil et al. $^{13}$, we conducted all the experiments at 25°C. The effect of temperature on cell monolayer will be the same for all conditions i.e. with and without serum. In the current study, we assumed that if the temperature is the same for all conditions, its effect on rheological properties may be the same for all rheological experiments and can be factored out. Also, to maintain the cell number density constant, we observe the confluent cell monolayer under a fluorescence microscope and measure the cell number density. When the cell number density reaches approximately at $\sim 2.34 \times 10^6$ cells for a circular cell monolayer of 24 mm diameter, we prepare the coverslip with circular cell monolayer for rheological experiments.
III. Results

A. Harmonic oscillatory shear

We start our study of the material properties of cell monolayer by applying sinusoidal strain oscillations. For viscoelastic materials we use

\[
\gamma(\omega t) = \gamma_0 \sin(\omega t) \quad (1)
\]

\[
\sigma(\omega t) = G' \gamma_0 \sin(\omega t) + G'' \gamma_0 \cos(\omega t) \quad (2)
\]

\[
\tan(\delta) = \frac{G''}{G'} \quad (3)
\]

where \( \omega \) is the angular frequency of the oscillatory shear \( \gamma(\omega t) \), \( t \) is the time, \( \gamma_0 \) is the amplitude of the oscillatory shear, \( \sigma(\omega t) \) is the stress, \( G' \) is the storage modulus, and \( G'' \) is the loss modulus, and \( \delta \) is the loss tangent. We aim to explore the linear and non-linear response of this living system.

In all our experiments, the total gap between the rheometer plates is the sum of the thickness of glass coverslip and the cell monolayer, which is \( \sim 200 \mu m \). The bottom glass plate is used to set the zero for the rheometer before starting the experiments. After that, we fix the glass coverslip with circular cell monolayer (Fig. 2b) on the bottom glass plate as shown in Fig. 2c and Fig. 2d. The actual shear strain on the cell monolayer will now be estimated by scaling the shear strain readings from the instrument, \( \gamma\% \), by initial \( \gamma_0 \). We scaled the shear strain \( \gamma\% \) as:

\[
\hat{\gamma} = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma_0} \quad (4)
\]
1. Amplitude sweep

For the amplitude sweep experiments, the shear strain (γ) was applied from 0.01% to 100% which corresponds to scaled shear strain \( \hat{\gamma} \) from 1 to 10,000 at a frequency of \( \omega = 5 \) rad/s on the cell monolayer for both cases (a) without serum (FBS = 0%) and (b) with serum (FBS = 10%). Moreover, in both cases, the value of storage modulus \( (G') \) and loss modulus \( (G'') \) remains constant up to \( \hat{\gamma} \sim 8\% \). This is known as the linear viscoelastic (LV) range, and then both moduli keep on decreasing with the increase of the shear strain (Fig. 3). The values of \( G' \) and \( G'' \) are slightly higher for cell monolayer with serum (FBS = 10%) than that of without serum (FBS = 0%). This may be because, under nutrient-deficient conditions, the biopolymers in the cytoskeleton of cells lost their ability to restructure in response to external deformation or strain. Also, the cell-cell contacts in cell monolayer may be weaker than the healthy cells due to lack of serum.

After the linear viscoelastic (LV) range, the storage modulus \( G' \) starts decreasing. The \( G' \) decays with a higher rate as compared to \( G'' \), and a tipping or crossover point occurs after which \( G'' \) becomes higher than \( G' \). This crossover occurs at \( \hat{\gamma} \sim 210\% \) for starving cell monolayer i.e. at FBS = 0% (Fig. 3a) and \( \hat{\gamma} \sim 450\% \) for healthy cell monolayer i.e. at FBS = 10% (Fig. 3b). Before the crossover point, the cell monolayer behaves like an elastic solid (storage modulus dominant over loss modulus). However, after the crossover point, it becomes like a viscous fluid (loss modulus dominant over storage modulus). The transition from elastic solid-like to viscous fluid-like behaviour is observed at a sufficiently high value of strain. As for small strain values, the cell monolayer may be stretching without significant remodelling of the cell cytoskeleton (biopolymer network in the cell). However, with the increase of strain values, there may be a significant restructuring of the cytoskeleton in the cells (biopolymer network in the cells start breaking), resulting in the decrease of both the storage and loss moduli. This can be attributed to the fact that biopolymer linking has distributed strength. First, the links with the smallest strength start breaking, resulting in the reduction of the rheological properties of the monolayer.

Furthermore, as shown in Fig. 3, the storage and loss moduli show power-law dependence on the applied strain after the crossover. The loss modulus, \( G'' \), shows the power-law exponents of -0.61 and -0.79 for both cases of the cell monolayer with (10% FBS) and without serum (0% FBS), respectively. The storage modulus \( G' \) shows the stronger power-law dependence with the exponents of -0.86 and -1.23 for cell monolayer with 10% FBS and 0% FBS, respectively. The rate of reduction of the value of the storage modulus is more than the loss modulus for both cell monolayer cases with and without serum (Fig. 3), as some of the broken contacts of biopolymers may help in maintaining the frictional losses (increases the loss modulus). Hence, the loss modulus starts dominating over the storage modulus after a particular strain value.

As the serum concentration is increased, the dynamic linking of biopolymers increases, resulting in higher strength and restructuring capacity of the monolayer (see Fig. 3). Serum starvation reduces the ability of the cell to form new links in the structure of cytoskeleton, and when these links are broken due to deformation of the cells, they are not rebuilding as effectively as a healthy cell monolayer with 10% FBS. This results in the early transition of serum-starved cell monolayer from elastic solid-like to viscous fluid-like behaviour.

Further insight into the material behaviour can be investigated by plotting the normalized storage modulus \( (\tilde{G}' = G'/G'(0)) \) and the normalized loss modulus \( (\tilde{G}'' = G''/G''(0)) \) as a function of applied strain\textsuperscript{36,37}. As seen in Fig. 4, the cell monolayer shows a pronounced local maximum in the loss modulus \( (\tilde{G}'') \). This type of behaviour is called as weak strain overshoot and is the signature behaviour of soft glassy materials\textsuperscript{36,37}. Hyun et al.\textsuperscript{37} and Sim, Ahn, and Lee\textsuperscript{38} explained the possible mechanism of this behaviour by using a network model composed of segments and junctions. A segment is defined as a part of a macromolecular chain or a microstructure joining two successive junctions. The junctions are defined as the points where the intra- or intermolecular interactions are localized and may be regarded as the crosslinking points. The segments are created and lost during the flow and the distribution of junctions is given by their creations and loss rates. The weak strain overshoot behaviour is the result of positive creation and loss parameters, with
Figure 3: Amplitude sweep of the cell monolayer at a frequency of 5 rad/s. The shear moduli ($G'$ & $G''$) of monolayer with (a) 0% FBS; (b) 10% FBS concentration as a function of strain ($\dot{\gamma}$).

creation parameter smaller than the loss parameter. With this range of parameters, the creation and the loss terms increases with the strain amplitude and the destruction rate grows faster than that of creation. The positive creation parameter accounts for the increased connectivity of the network (or other microstructure arising from interactions) and leads to the increased dissipation, while the loss term becomes dominant at higher strains, which results in overall decrease of both storage ($G'$) and loss ($G''$) moduli. The local maximum of the loss modulus $G''$ may be the result of the balance between the formation and the destruction of the network junctions.

The strain overshoot behaviour in the loss modulus $G''$ is highly depends on the class of soft material. For the cell monolayer, the increase of $G''$ is partly related to the destruction of the microstructures developed during the imposed oscillatory shear strain, and the overshoot behaviour is caused by the reformation process of the microstructures. The local maximum in loss modulus is more pronounced for the healthy cell monolayer with 10% FBS (Fig. 4b) than for the starved cell monolayer (Fig. 4a), again confirming that in the absence of the serum, the cell monolayer loses the ability to restructure itself.

This can also be seen in the graph of $\tan(\delta) = G''/G'$ in Fig. 5. After the crossover point, the values of $\tan(\delta)$ become more than unity and keep on increasing afterwards (Fig. 5). The values of $\tan(\delta)$ for serum-starved (0% FBS) cell monolayer are always higher than that of healthy (10% FBS) cell monolayer throughout the range of applied strain. Healthy cells have dynamic cytoskeleton with many different kinds of protein fibres such as actin,
myosin, microtubules, intermediate filaments, which gives rigidity and structure to the cells. In the absence of the serum, cells lack the proteins and other nutrients to form the dynamic filaments, thus reducing the load-bearing capacity and the restructuring capabilities of the cells and the monolayer, which results in the more fluidic nature of the starved cell monolayer at larger strain values, which results in higher ratios of loss to storage modulus (or tan δ) for starving cell monolayer (with 0% FBS), than that for healthy cell monolayer (with 10% FBS).

Many studies such as Pourati et al. 39, Wang et al. 40, Fernandez, Pullarkat, and Ott 41 have shown that cells become stiff with the increasing strain and some others have shown that cells become soft on stretching 29,42. Wolff, Fernandez, and Kroy 43 have discussed the paradox of stiffening and softening in cells and reconstituted cytoskeleton gels. Their work has shown that the reconstituted cytoskeleton network (F-actin/HMM) shows a similar stiffening-softening behaviour. They proposed the inelastic Glassy wormlike chain (iGWLC) model to explain this peculiar behaviour of cells. They attribute stiffening behaviour to the non-linear stretch of the individual semiflexible biopolymer, which causes the viscoelastic response to the applied stress. The softening is caused by the dynamical evolution of the mutual bonds between the biopolymers due to the applied strain, characterized as inelastic fluidization. In our work, we believe, that the softening emerges as the characteristic response to the applied strain because of the evolution of the bonds between the biopolymers and cell-cell contacts in the monolayer.

Figure 4: Amplitude sweep of the cell monolayer at a frequency of 5 rad/s. The normalized shear moduli ($\hat{G}'$ & $\hat{G}''$) of monolayer with (a) 0% FBS; (b) 10% FBS concentration as a function of strain ($\hat{\gamma}$).
2. Frequency sweep

The frequency sweep experimental data is shown in Fig. 6 for 0% FBS and Fig. 7 for 10% FBS. We have applied the frequency sweep in the linear and non-linear viscoelastic regime for both cases. We apply the frequency of $\omega = 100$ to 1 rad/s at a strain amplitude of $\hat{\gamma} = 5$ in linear regime (Fig. 6a) and $\hat{\gamma} = 500$ (Fig. 6b) and 5000 (Fig. 6c) in non-linear regime. This procedure is repeated for both cases of the cell monolayer with and without serum.

The values of both $G'$ and $G''$ are decreasing as we increase the strain from $\hat{\gamma} = 5$ to $\hat{\gamma} = 500$ and $\hat{\gamma} = 5000$. In the linear regime at $\hat{\gamma} = 5$, the storage modulus $G'$ is higher than the loss modulus $G''$ throughout the whole frequency range from $\omega = 100$ to 1 rad/s. Whereas in the non-linear regime at $\hat{\gamma} = 500$ and $\hat{\gamma} = 5000$, the $G'$ is lower than $G''$, which shows the fluid-like behaviour of the cell monolayer in the non-linear regime.

The cell monolayer without serum shows the weak power-law dependence of the storage modulus, $G'$ and loss modulus, $G''$ with an exponent of $\approx 0.15$ and $\approx 0.14$ in the linear viscoelastic regime with $\hat{\gamma} = 5$ throughout the full frequency range (Fig. 6a), respectively. However, in the non-linear regime, we observe the stronger dependence of storage and loss moduli at higher frequencies. For $\hat{\gamma} = 500$, the power-law exponent is 0.12 and 0.13 for $G'$ and $G''$ at low frequencies ($\omega \leq 20$ rad/s), respectively. Both $G'$ and $G''$ show stronger dependence on the frequency at higher frequencies ($\omega \geq 30$ rad/s). However, $G'$ shows stronger dependence on the frequency with the power-law exponent of 0.42, while the loss modulus $G''$ is having exponent of 0.26 (Fig. 6b). Fig. 6c shows that both moduli at even higher strain ($\hat{\gamma} = 5000$) show stronger dependence on frequencies than lower strains. At low frequencies below $\omega \leq 20$ rad/s, $G'$ and $G''$ are having power-law exponent of 0.27 and 0.26, respectively. At higher frequencies $\omega \geq 30$ rad/s, $G'$ shows more dependence than $G''$ with power-law exponents of 0.82 and 0.63, respectively.

Our results are consistent with Kollmannsberger and Fabry\textsuperscript{17}. They showed that the frequency response of the cells follows a weak power-law over a large range of frequency irrespective of cell type or experimental technique. Miyaoka et al.\textsuperscript{35} reported the micro-rheological properties of serum-starved NIH3T3 fibroblasts using AFM. They reported the weak power-law dependence of $G'$ on frequency for serum-starved cells, a common characteristic of many other different types of cells. In our study, at the macroscopic level, for serum-starved cell monolayer at 0% FBS, we observe the weak power-law behaviour of $G'$&$G''$ at lower frequencies which becomes more pronounced at higher frequencies (Fig. 6), especially in the non-linear viscoelastic regime.

Fig. 6d shows the variation of $\tan(\delta) = G''/G'$ with frequency for $\hat{\gamma} = 5$, 500 and 5000. In the linear viscoelastic regime at $\hat{\gamma} = 5$, $\tan(\delta)$ is lowest and does not show dependence with the frequency throughout the applied frequency range $\omega = 100$ to 1 rad/s. Also, in the non-linear regime, $\tan(\delta)$ does not depend on applied frequency, but the values are close to
Figure 6: Frequency sweep of the cell monolayer at a constant strain, (a) $\gamma = 0.05\%$, (b) $\gamma = 5\%$, (c) $\gamma = 50\%$. (d) $\tan \delta$. The shear moduli ($G'$ & $G''$) of the monolayer with 0% FBS concentration as a function of frequency, $\omega$ (rad/s).

1 and 10 for $\hat{\gamma} = 500$ and 5000, respectively. This may be attributed to the fluidic nature of starved cell monolayer at large deformations.

For full serum case (10% FBS), the storage modulus $G'$ and the loss modulus $G''$ show a weak dependence on frequency in the linear and non-linear viscoelastic regime throughout the range of applied frequencies. We apply the frequency of $\omega = 100$ to 1 rad/s at a strain amplitude of $\hat{\gamma} = 5$ in linear regime (Fig. 7a) and $\hat{\gamma} = 500$ (Fig. 7b) and 5000 (Fig. 7c) in non-linear regime.

In linear viscoelastic regime at $\hat{\gamma} = 5$, (Fig. 7a) the loss modulus $G''$ shows a stronger dependence at high frequencies ($\omega \geq 20$ rad/s) with a power-law exponent of 0.27. However, at lower frequencies ($\omega \leq 20$ rad/s), $G''$ shows weak power-law dependence with an exponent of and 0.12. Whereas, the storage modulus $G'$ shows the weaker power-law dependence throughout the applied frequency range with an exponent of 0.13. In the non-linear viscoelastic regime, at $\hat{\gamma} = 500$ (Fig. 7b) the loss modulus shows similar behaviour in the linear regime, but with lower values. The power-law dependence is weaker at lower frequencies with an exponent of 0.12 and stronger with an exponent of 0.46 at higher frequencies. The storage modulus $G'$ also shows the weak dependence with much lower values but with the same power-law exponent of 0.08. The loss modulus is higher than storage modulus, indicating the fluidic nature of the material.

At even higher strain at $\hat{\gamma} = 5000$ (Fig. 7c) in the non-linear regime, the storage and loss moduli show very weak dependence on frequencies throughout the applied range. Except for loss modulus, which shows the power-law dependence with an exponent of 0.57 at very
high frequencies. The storage modulus values are slightly higher at low frequencies ($\omega \leq 20$) and low values at $\omega \geq 20$, but the exponent of power-law is -0.09.

Serum-starved cell monolayer shows a strong power-law dependency at a large strain value ($\hat{\gamma} = 5000$) and large frequency values (see Fig. 6). This indicates that the serum-starved cell monolayer becomes stiff at large values of strain at high frequency. Whereas, the cell monolayer with FBS 10% remain flexible even at large values of strain and at a large frequency, as power law dependency remains weak for the cell monolayer with 10% FBS.

The ratio of loss modulus and storage modulus, $\tan \delta$ is shown in Fig. 7d for healthy cell monolayer with 10% FBS. Similar to the starved cell monolayer at 0% FBS, the $\tan \delta$ in the linear viscoelastic regime at $\hat{\gamma} = 5$ does not depend on the applied frequency. Similar behaviour can be seen for higher deformation at $\hat{\gamma} = 500$ and 5000. The values of $\tan \delta$ for $\hat{\gamma} = 5$ are lowest compared to $\hat{\gamma} = 500$ and 5000. However, in case of healthy cell monolayer the values of $\tan \delta$ for $\hat{\gamma} = 500$ and 5000 much closer and approximately close to 4 (Fig. 7d), whereas, for starved cell monolayer the values $\tan \delta$ for $\hat{\gamma} = 500$ and 5000 are close to 1 and 10 (Fig. 6d), respectively. This difference between starved cell monolayer at 0% FBS and healthy cell monolayer at 10% FBS is due to the presence of serum which provides the necessary nutrients and proteins to the cells for restructuring the cytoskeleton inside the cells and the contacts with other cells in the cell monolayer. In the absence of the serum, a cell is unable to form necessary proteins and contacts, thus becoming more fluidic at large deformations.
B. Step Strain Experiments

Next, we applied a step strain to the cell monolayer to probe more into the bulk rheology of the cell monolayer. Here we start by applying strain of $\dot{\gamma} = 2$ for 60 s. and then apply a step increase in strain at $\dot{\gamma} = 8$ in the linear viscoelastic regime for next 300 s and then decrease the strain to the initial value of $\dot{\gamma} = 2$ for next 600 s. For non-linear viscoelastic regime, we apply $\dot{\gamma} = 500$ for 300 s. after the initial strain of 2 and then decrease the strain to the initial value of $\dot{\gamma} = 2$ for next 600 s. This procedure is repeated for both cases 1) serum-free (0% FBS) and 2) with serum (10% FBS).

Healthy cells, with 10% FBS, completely recover small deformation immediately as shown in Fig. 9a, confirming elastic behaviour of healthy monolayer cells in the linear viscoelastic regimes ($\dot{\gamma} = 2$ to 8). In non-linear viscoelastic regime ($\dot{\gamma} = 2$ to 500) as shown in Fig. 9b, we see almost full recovery with a small time lag. This may happen as the cell monolayer is deformed beyond the elastic limit, and deformation may reach a viscoplastic regime where the loss modulus $G''$ is larger than the storage modulus $G'$. In this large deformation limit, some link of cytoskeleton biopolymers might be broken permanently. However, after a long time, it is expected to regain the broken link, and material properties should return to the original value. Further confirming that healthy cell monolayers are flexible and capable of instant complete recovery of material properties in case of small deformation/strain and delayed recovery in large deformation (Fig. 10b). Whereas serum-free cell monolayer at 0% FBS does not show complete recovery even at a small value of strain ($\dot{\gamma} = 2$ to 8) as shown in Fig. 8a, confirming inability of starved cell monolayer for reconciliation in cell structure and cell monolayer morphology. The step strain of higher
value ($\dot{\gamma} = 2$ to 500) alter the rheological properties of serum-starved cell monolayer (Fig. 8b). The cell monolayer doesn’t full recovery at 0% FBS (Fig. 10a). This may happen as the crosslinking of the biomolecules inside the cells and at the cell-cell junction in the cell monolayer is once broken it will be unable to rebuild these crosslinks due to lack of serum. Hence rheological properties of starved cell monolayer at 0% FBS after deformation reduces permanently.
In Fig. 11, the values of stress $\sigma$ are shown for the step strain experiments. In the linear viscoelastic regime ($\dot{\gamma} = 2$ to 8) as shown in Fig. 11a, the stress for starved cell monolayer increases instantly as the step deformation is applied and then decreases, whereas the stress in healthy cell monolayer increases with the step strain, but remain much lower than that of the starved cell monolayer, and then smoothly increases during the applied step strain. At the end of the step strain, the values of stress in cell monolayer with and without serum becomes equal. As the step strain is removed, the stress in healthy cell monolayer returns to initial stress before the step strain and remains constant with time, but the stress in the starved cell monolayer shows some variations with time after the step strain is removed. This observation shows that healthy cell monolayer can effectively distribute the stress due to small deformation and prevent the stresses from increasing abruptly in the cell monolayer. This may be because of the many dynamic protein filaments in the cell cytoskeleton responsible for rigidity and restructuring of the cells, redistributing the stress effectively in the cytoskeleton and throughout the cell monolayer. Whereas, in the starving cell monolayer, the cell cytoskeleton may not form these fibrous proteins and thus unable to distribute the stress effectively.

For large deformations ($\dot{\gamma} = 2$ to 500), as shown in Fig. 11b, the stress in a healthy cell monolayer is three times higher than for starved cell monolayer. The stress return to its initial value after the step strain for cell monolayer with and without serum. The starved cell monolayer shows a slight decrease in stress with time after the step strain, whereas the stress remains constant in the healthy cell monolayer.

IV. Discussion and Conclusions

Serum starvation is standard procedure in cell biology, but its effect on mechanical or rheological properties of the cells has not been extensively studied. Miyaoka et al. reported the micro-rheological properties of serum-starved 3T3 fibroblasts using AFM. They starved the cells at 0.1% FBS for 24 hours and conducted the AFM study on single fibroblasts cells in a micro-fabricated glass substrate. Whereas, in our study, we report the macro-rheological properties of cell monolayer with and without serum at 10% and 0% FBS, respectively. Our study has shown the significant difference in the rheology of the cell monolayer for both the case a) with serum (10% FBS) and b) without serum (0% FBS) as well as at a) low shear strain and b) high shear strain. We can infer that the rheological properties of cell monolayer depend on shear strain and concentration of serum in growth media. The experiments were conducted by placing the cell monolayer between two parallel plates.

First, in the oscillatory shear experiments, we found that for low shear strain ($\dot{\gamma} \leq 8$), cell monolayer behaves like an elastic solid and both moduli, $G'$ and $G''$, remains constant for cell monolayer with and without serum. As the strain is increased, interestingly both storage and loss moduli decrease, and after a certain strain value, loss modulus ($G''$) becomes larger than the storage modulus indicating the transition from an elastic solid-like to the viscous fluid-like behaviour of the cell monolayer. This crossover occurs at $\dot{\gamma} \sim 210$ for the serum-starved cell monolayer (Fig. 3a) and $\dot{\gamma} \sim 450$ for healthy cell monolayer (Fig. 3b). This slightly delayed crossover of the healthy cell monolayer indicates that under full serum conditions, cell monolayer stays elastic solid-like at higher strain values than that of the cell monolayer without serum. The slightly delayed transition from an elastic solid-like to the viscous fluid-like behaviour in healthy cell monolayer with 10% serum is attributed to the highly active or dynamic nature of the cytoskeleton of it's cells. Under full serum conditions, cell cytoskeleton can restructure effectively in response to external deformations. However, without serum, many dynamic processes in the cell stop and the cytoskeleton become less active. Serum starvation reduces the ability of the cell to form new links in the structure of cytoskeleton, and when these links are broken due to deformation of the cells, they are not rebuilding as effectively as a healthy cell monolayer with 10% FBS. This results in the early transition of serum-starved cell monolayer to fluid-like behaviour. Also, serum-starved cell monolayer cannot recover from even the small deformation (Fig. 10a), whereas, a healthy cell monolayer with full serum can recover from even large deformations (Fig. 10b). Our values of storage and loss moduli are consistent with the data of
Dakhil et al.\textsuperscript{13} on HeLa cells at the gap of 15 µm.

Our results show the strain-softening of the cell monolayer similar to many other studies on cells\textsuperscript{29,42}, but some other studies on cells reported strain-stiffening\textsuperscript{39–41}. This paradox of strain stiffening and softening was studied by Wolff, Fernandez, and Kroy\textsuperscript{43} on minimal cytoskeleton model system (F-actin/HMM) which shows similar softening-stiffening behaviour. They proposed that the stiffening is a direct viscoelastic response to applied stress and caused by the non-linear stretch resistance of individual semiflexible biopolymers, whereas, the softening is characterized as inelastic fluidization which is caused by the dynamical evolution of the mutual bonds between the biopolymers due to the applied strain. In our work, we believe, that the softening emerges as the characteristic response to the applied strain because of the evolution of the bonds between the biopolymers and cell-cell contacts in the monolayer. Our results also shows the soft glassy behaviour of the cell monolayers (Fig. 4). The soft glassy nature for the healthy cell monolayer with 10% FBS (Fig. 4b) is more pronounced than for the starved cell monolayer (Fig. 4a), again confirming that in the absence of the serum, the cell monolayer looses the ability to restructure itself.

In frequency sweep experiments, our findings suggest that for small deformation in the linear viscoelastic regime, the cell monolayer with and without serum shows weak power-law dependence on the applied frequency. Our observation of macro-rheological storage modulus ($G'$) and loss modulus ($G''$) of the cell monolayer without serum at 0% FBS for the small deformation ($\dot{\gamma} = 5$) in linear viscoelastic regime shows a weak power-law dependence with an exponent of 0.15 and 0.14 throughout the applied frequencies range, respectively. However, for healthy cell monolayer with 10% FBS, only the storage modulus ($G'$) shows the weak power-law dependence (exponent = 0.13) throughout the applied frequency range. Whereas, the loss modulus ($G''$) shows weak dependence (exponent = 0.13) for low frequencies ($\omega \leq 20\text{rad/s}$) and a stronger power-law dependence with an exponent of 0.27 at higher frequencies ($\omega \geq 20\text{rad/s}$).

In the non-linear viscoelastic regime, at high deformations with $\dot{\gamma} = 500$ and 5000, sera starved cell monolayer shows a strong power-law dependency for high frequency ($\omega \geq 20\text{rad/s}$ (Fig. 6)). This indicates that serum-starved monolayer becomes stiff at the large values of strains at high frequency. Whereas, the cell monolayer with full serum at 10% FBS remains flexible even at the large values of strain and at high frequencies, as power-law dependency remains weak for the cell monolayer with 10% FBS (Fig. 7). Miyaoaka et al.\textsuperscript{35} also reported that for starved NIH3T3 cells (0.1% FBS for 24 hrs) the local storage modulus ($G'$) inside the cytoskeleton of NIH 3T3 cells shows the similar dependence with frequency. They reported a weak power-law dependence at lower frequencies, and at higher frequencies, the power-law exponent increases. We can infer that, under serum starvation, macro and micro storage modulus tend to show a similar frequency dependence.

Next, we performed the step strain experiments to study the recovery of applied deformation to the cell monolayer. We applied steps of (a) $\dot{\gamma} = 5$ in linear viscoelastic regime and (b) $\dot{\gamma} = 500$ in non-linear viscoelastic regime. Our study reveals that a healthy cell monolayer with 10% FBS shows a complete recovery of storage and loss moduli even at large deformation (step strain from $\dot{\gamma} = 2$ to 500, (Fig. 9b)), whereas a starving cell monolayer doesn’t recover completely even for small deformations in linear viscoelastic regime (step strain from $\dot{\gamma} = 2$ to 8, (Fig. 8a)). Also, the stress in healthy cell monolayer increases with very low gradient during the small deformation, but the stress in the serum-free cell monolayer shows up instantly at a much higher value and then decreases with steep gradient during the step strain Fig. 11a. For high step strain with $\dot{\gamma} = 500$, the stress in a healthy cell monolayer is higher than that of the serum-free cell monolayer. In both cases, stress decreases during the applied step strain and recovers instantly when the step strain was removed. The stress shows a slight decrease in values for the serum-free cell monolayer after the step strain. This observation shows that healthy cell monolayer can distribute stress more effectively than that of the serum-free or starved cell monolayer. This may be because of the many dynamic protein filaments in the cell cytoskeleton such as actomyosin network, microtubules, intermediate filaments responsible for rigidity and restructuring of the cells,
redistributing the stress effectively in the cytoskeleton and throughout the cell monolayer. Whereas, in the starving cell monolayer, the cell cytoskeleton may not form these fibrous proteins and cannot distribute the stress effectively.

Harris et al. \(^{45}\) has shown that the monolayer mechanical properties are strongly dependent on the actin cytoskeleton, myosin, and intercellular adhesions interfacing adjacent cells. In their study they harvested the cell monolayer and did the stretching experiments to access the mechanical properties of the monolayer. Their study revealed the role of keratin filaments in cell monolayer mechanics. In another study by Helfand et al. \(^{46}\), it is shown that serum starvation has significant effect on vimentin intermediate filaments organization and strongly affect the lamellipodia formation in 3T3 fibroblasts.

Our study of the bulk rheology of the cell monolayers reveals that the individual cells cytoskeleton, intermediate filaments, and intercellular adhesions play a defining role in the rheological properties of the cell monolayer. The cytoskeleton, comprising of various biopolymers, and active proteins, can restructure itself by making and breaking filamentous biopolymers. The cell cytoskeleton helps the cells and the cell monolayer as a whole to reorient and restructure itself in the direction of applied strain. Serum starvation leads to reduced protein synthesis and hence reduced cell growth\(^{32,46}\). This lead to less active cells with the less dynamic cytoskeleton of the cells. In the absence of serum, which contains all the nutrients and growth factors, cells become less active, and its cytoskeleton does not effectively restructure itself in response to the applied strain. In our experiments, we have shown that the cell monolayer, under serum-free conditions, appears to be softer than the monolayer which is supplied with all the nutrients and growth factor, i.e. with 10% FBS. In the presence of serum, cells are healthy and more dynamic or active, and can effectively restructure its cytoskeleton under given strain or stress. This leads to its increased strength and more dynamic behaviour.
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1 Supplementary Information

1.1 Experimental Setup and Protocols

Fig. 1: The arrow shows the cell monolayer which is showing the movement of cell monolayer during the shearing experiment in the accompanying video with this SI.

Fig. 2: Fluorescence image of MDCK II cells. These cells are stably transfected with GFP-E-cadherin.

Fig. 3 shows the focused images of the bottom glass plate of microscope module of the rheometer. We focused on the upper surface of bottom glass plate using 20X objective on the microscope module of the rheometer which is seen in Fig. 3. Without changing the focus (z-axis), we track the surface from outer side of the glass bottom plate towards the
Figure 1: Cell Monolayer during Oscillatory Shearing Experiment

Figure 2: Fluorescence image of MDCK GFP-E-Cadherin cell monolayer before experiment
Figure 3: Focusing of bottom glass plate: (a) Bottom Glass Plate (outer part at the edge), (b) Bottom Glass Plate (outer part far from edge) (c) Bottom Glass Plate (central part). Focused using 20X microscope of the microscope module of the rheometer.

centre. Fig. 3a is the focused image of the surface at the periphery or outer side of the glass plate. Fig. 3b is the images at the outer side far from the edges and Fig. 3c is at the centre of the bottom glass plate.

Fig. 4 shows the focused images of the upper glass coverslip which is attached to the upper steel probe. To focus on the upper glass coverslip, we make lines on the glass coverslip using a marker. Then we focus in this edge of this marker and track the edges across the glass coverslip using 20X objective on microscope module of the rheometer. Without changing the focus (z-axis), we track the edges from outer side of the glass coverslip towards the centre. Fig. 4a is the focused image of the mark’s edge at the periphery or outer side of the glass coverslip. Fig. 4b is the images at the outer side far from the edges and Fig. 4c is at the centre of the upper glass coverslip.
Figure 4: Focusing of upper glass coverslip: (a) Upper Glass Coverslip (outer part at the edge), (b) Upper Glass Coverslip (outer part far from edge) (c) Upper Glass Coverslip (central part). Focused using 20X microscope of the microscope module of the rheometer.
Protocol to perform thin gap rheology of cell monolayer:

1. We set the zero gap on bottom glass plate of 65 mm diameter of the microscope module (Rheo-Microscope) of the rheometer. For this we use the 25mm steel upper plate which is already glued with the 25mm circular glass coverslip and the glass bottom plate.

2. We fix the rectangular glass coverslip on which we have already grown a confluent circular cell monolayer

3. We lower the upper probe/plate to the gap width of 300 µm.

4. We then use the microscope to get the live video of cell monolayer. And keep lowering the upper plate to reduce the gap.

5. We also monitor the normal force sensed by the upper plate. It shows 0 until the upper probe touches the material (cell monolayer).

6. As soon as the upper probe touches the cell monolayer the normal force increases.

7. We set the gap when the normal force is almost constant at 0.1 N.

8. Also through the microscope, we can observe the slight change in shapes of the cells in the monolayer as it is squeezed by the upper plate.

9. At this gap height, we are ready to perform our experiments.

1.2 Videos

- **SI_mov1_CellMonolayer_Shearing.mp4** – This video shows the cell monolayer as it is being sheared during the oscillatory shearing experiment. The video was captured using the microscopic module of the MCR-702 Rheometer from Anton-Paar at 20X.