Extension of a residually finite group by a residually finite group is weakly sofic.
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Abstract

We show that residually finite by residually finite extensions are weakly sofic.
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1 Introduction

In [1, 2], sofic groups have been defined in relation with the Gottschalk surjunctivity conjecture. It is an open question if all groups are sofic. There is a hope that a non-sofic group may be constructed as an extension of a residually finite group by a finite one, [3, 4]. (Notice, however, that an extension of an amenable group by a sofic group is sofic, [5].) The main result of [6] is an example of a non approximable by \((U(n), \| \cdot \|_2)\) group. This example is a residually-finite-by-finite extension. It is a kind of subtle support to above mentioned hope as sofic groups may be defined through metric approximation by symmetric groups [7]. Here, in contrast, we prove that every residually-finite-by-residually-finite extension is weakly sofic. The weakly sofic groups are groups metric approximable by finite ones, see [8].

Theorem 1. Let \(H\) be a normal subgroup of a group \(K\). If \(H\) and \(G = K/H\) are residually finite then \(K\) is weakly sofic.

Let us describe our approach to proving Theorem1. W.l.g. we may consider finitely generated \(H\) and \(G\). Then, as any extension of \(G\) by \(H\) is in the wreath product \(H \wr G\), it suffices to show that \(H \wr G\) is weakly sofic. (Recall that a wreath product \(H \wr G\) is a semidirect product \(H^G \ltimes G\) with an action \((g,f)(x) = f(xg)\), for \(g \in G\) and \(f \in H^G\). Particularly, \((f,g)(f',g') = (f(g.f'), gg')\).) Third, a morphism \(H_1 \to H_2\) naturally defines a morphism \(H_1 \wr G \to H_2 \wr G\). Moreover, residually weakly sofic group is weakly sofic. So, it suffices to show that \(H \wr G\) is weakly sofic for finite \(H\) and residually finite \(G\). To this end we use the following characterization of weakly sofic groups, see [9].
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Theorem. A group $K$ is weakly sofic if and only if every system of equations solvable in all finite groups is solvable over $K$.

Let $\text{Sys}(\text{Fin})$ be the set of systems of equations solvable in all finite groups, see Definition \[1\] for details. Now we are ready to formulate the main technical result that implies Theorem \[1\].

Theorem 2. Let $H$ be a finite and $G$ finitely generated residually finite groups. Let $\bar{\omega} \in \text{Sys}(\text{Fyn})$. Then $\bar{\omega}$ is solvable over $H \wr G$.

The rest of the paper is devoted to a proof of Theorem 2. Let $\hat{G}$ be a profinite completion of $G$ and $(\bar{f},\bar{a}) \in (H \wr G)^k$. We find a solution of $\bar{\omega}((\bar{f},\bar{a}),\bar{x}) = 1$ in $H \wr \hat{G}$, where $H \wr \hat{G}$ is an abstract wreath product (we consider as well discontinuous functions.) Precisely, we find a solution in $H \wr \Gamma$ where $\Gamma < \hat{G}$ is a finitely generated group. Our proof somehow topological and uses different topologies. First, we show the existence of an $(H,\bar{a})$-universal solution for $\bar{\omega}$, see Definition \[2\] This uses the profinite structure of $\hat{G}$. Then $\Gamma$ is generated by $G$ and an $(H,\bar{a})$-universal solution $\bar{u} = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$. To show the existence of a solution in $H \wr \Gamma$ we use the Tichonov (direct product) topology on $H^\Gamma$.

We finish the introduction by describing the structure of the paper. Section \[2\] (Section \[3\]) recall some definitions and results about group equations (profinite groups), respectively, and establish notations and terminology we are using. In Section \[4\] we define $(H,\bar{a})$-universal solution and prove its existence. In Section \[5\] we discuss “locality” of wreath products. The main difficulty we have to overcome for the proof of Theorem \[2\] is that a morphism $G_1 \to G_2$ does not define a morphism $H \wr G_1 \to H \wr G_2$. (Generally, the pullback of $G_1 \to G_2$ defines a morphism $H^{G_2} \to H^{G_1}$. So, the components of $H \wr G_1$ are sent in opposite directions.) Still, some times, it is possible to construct a map $H \wr G_1 \to H \wr G_2$ which behaves like a “local” morphism around some $x \in G_1$. In Section \[6\] we finish the proof of Theorem \[2\].

2 Group equations

For a set $X$ we use notation $X^* = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X^n$. Let $\bar{y} = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_j, \ldots)$ and $\bar{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_j, \ldots)$ be countable sets of symbols for constants and variables, respectively. Let $F = F(\bar{a}, \bar{x})$ be the free group freely generated by $\bar{y}$ and $\bar{x}$. Let $\bar{w} \in F^*$. Notice that $\bar{w} \in F^r(y_1, \ldots, y_k, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ for some $k, n, r \in \mathbb{N}$. By substitution $\bar{w}$ defines a map $G^k \times G^n \to G^r$. Consider the system of equations $\bar{w} = 1$.

Definition 1. We say that $\bar{w}$ is solvable in a group $G$ if the sentences

$$\forall \bar{a} \exists \bar{x} \bar{w}(\bar{a}, \bar{x}) = 1$$

is valid in $G$. We say that a system $\bar{w}$ is solvable over group $G$ if for some $H > G$ the sentence

$$\forall \bar{a} \in G^* \exists \bar{x} \in H^* \bar{w}(\bar{a}, \bar{x}) = 1$$

is valid.
Denote by $\text{Sys}(G) \subseteq F^*$ the set of all finite systems of equations solvable in $G$. Let $\text{Sys}(\text{Fin}) = \bigcap_{|G| < \infty} \text{Sys}(G)$. Specifying Corollary 19 of [9] for $K = \text{Fin}$ we get a characterization of weakly sofic groups.

**Theorem.** A group $K$ is weakly sofic if and only if every system $\bar{w} \in \text{Sys}(\text{Fin})$ is solvable over $K$.

### 3 Profinite completion

Let $G$ be a finitely generated residually finite group. Let $\mathcal{M} = \{ N \trianglelefteq G \mid G/N$ is finite $\}$, the set of co-finite normal subgroups of $G$. The order $N \preceq M \iff N \supseteq M$ turns $\mathcal{M}$ to a directed partially ordered set, see [10] for details. For $N \in \mathcal{M}$ we denote $G_N = G/N$. For $N,M \in \mathcal{M}$, $N \supseteq M$, let $\eta_{M,N} : G_M \to G_N$ be natural homomorphisms. So, $I = (G_N, \eta_{M,N}, \mathcal{M})$ is an inverse projective system of finite groups. Its inverse limit $\hat{G} = \lim_{\leftarrow I} G_N$ is the profinite completion of $G$, see [10]. A group $\hat{G}$ comes naturally with compatible epimorphisms $\eta_N : \hat{G} \to G_N$ and inclusion $G \hookrightarrow \hat{G}$. The restriction of $\eta_N$ on $G$ is just a natural map $G \to G/N = G_N$; compatibility means that $\eta_M = \eta_{N,M} \circ \eta_N$ for every $N \subseteq M$. We will use the following notations. For $g \in \hat{G}$ ($g \in G_{M}$) let $g_N = \eta_N(g)$ ($g_N = \eta_{M,N}(g)$), respectively. If $\bar{g} = (g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_k) \in \hat{G}^k$ we denote by $\bar{g}_N = ((g_1)_N, \ldots, (g_k)_N)$; if $\bar{f} = (f_1, \ldots, f_k)$ we denote $(\bar{f}, \bar{g}) = ((f_1, g_1), \ldots, (f_k, g_k))$. We will often use it in the situation when $\bar{g} \in H_{G_N}^k$ and $\bar{f} \in (H_{G_N})^k$, so, $(\bar{f}, \bar{g}) \in (H \wr G_N)^k$.

Let $\bar{w} \in (F(\bar{g}, \bar{x}))^r$, $|\bar{g}| = k$ and $|\bar{x}| = n$.

We will use a consequence of the fact that $\hat{G}$ is a topological group.

**Lemma 3.** Let $\bar{a} \in \hat{G}^k$, $\bar{u} \in \hat{G}^n$ be such that $\bar{w}(\bar{a}_N, \bar{u}_N) = 1$ for every $N \in \mathcal{M}$. Then $\bar{w}(\bar{a}, \bar{u}) = 1$.

### 4 $(H, \bar{a})$-universal solution

Fix $\bar{w} \in F^r(\bar{y}, \bar{x}) \cap \text{Sys}(\text{Fin})$ with $|\bar{y}| = k$, $|\bar{x}| = n$ and $|\bar{w}| = r$. Let $H$ be a finite group and $\bar{a} \in \hat{G}^k$.

**Definition 2.** $\bar{u} \in \hat{G}^n$ is called $(H, \bar{a})$-universal solution of $\bar{w}$ if the following statement is true

$$\forall N \in \mathcal{M} \forall \bar{f} \in (H_{G_N}^G)^k \exists \bar{\phi} \in (H_{G_N}^G)^n \; \bar{w}((\bar{f}, \bar{a}_N), (\bar{\phi}, \bar{u}_N)) = 1$$

**Lemma 4.** $\bar{w}$ has an $(H, \bar{a})$-universal solution $\bar{u} \in \hat{G}^n$.

**Proof.** For $N \in \mathcal{M}$ we use notation $\mathcal{M}_N = \{ M \in \mathcal{M} \mid N \subseteq M \}$. Particularly, $\mathcal{M}_N$ is finite and $N \in \mathcal{M}_N$. Let

$$X_N = \{ \bar{u} \in (G_N)^n \mid \forall M \in \mathcal{M}_N \forall \bar{f} \in (H_{G_M}^G)^k \exists \bar{\phi} \in (H_{G_M}^G)^n \; \bar{w}((\bar{f}, \bar{a}_M), (\bar{\phi}, \bar{u}_M)) = 1 \}$$
By definition, $\eta_{N,M}(X_N) \subseteq X_M$ for $N \subseteq M$. Notice that $\bar{u} \in X = \lim_{\rightarrow \infty} X_N$ would provide a proof of the lemma. So, it suffices to show that $X \neq \emptyset$, or, the same (by properties of inverse limits of finite sets) that $X_N \neq \emptyset$ for all $N \in \mathcal{M}$. Fix $N \in \mathcal{M}$. The rest of the proof is devoted to show that $X_N \neq \emptyset$.

Let $\bar{D}_M = (H^G)^m$ with $m = |H|^{|G|}$. Let $D_N = \prod_{M \in \mathcal{M}} \bar{D}_M$. A group $G_N$ has a natural action on $H^G$ for $M \in \mathcal{M}_N$:

$$(g.f)(x) = f(xg), \quad \text{where} \quad g \in G_N, \quad f \in H^G.$$ 

So, $G_N$ has an action on $D_N$ defined componentwise as above. Consider the corresponding semidirect product $D_N \rtimes G_N$. As $D_N$ has $|H|^{|G_M|}$ different projection on $H^{|G_M|}$ we may choose a “universal” $\bar{f} \in D_N^k$. “Universal” means that for every $M \in \mathcal{M}_N$ each element of $(H^G)^k$ appears as a projection of $\bar{f}$. Notice that the set

$$\bar{X}_N = \{((\bar{\phi}, \bar{u}) \in D_N \rtimes G_N | \bar{w}(f, \bar{a}_N), (\bar{\phi}, \bar{u})) = 1\}$$

is nonempty as $D_N \rtimes G_N$ is a finite group and $\bar{w} \in Sys(Fin)$. On the other hand, $X_N$ is the projection of $\bar{X}_N$ on $\bar{u}$ by the universality of $\bar{f}$. \hfill\Box

5 Locality of wreath product

Let $A, B, H$ be groups, $F = F(y, x)$ be a free group on $y \cup x$ with $|y| = k$ and $|x| = n$. Let $p \in F$. One may consider $p$ as a reduced word. Denote by $Suf(p)$ the set of all suffixes (initial subwords) of $p$. For example, $Suf(x^2yx^{-1}) = \{1, x, x^2, x^2y, x^2yx^{-1}\}$. For $S \subseteq F$ and $\bar{a} \in (A)^{k+n}$ let $S(\bar{a}) = \{p(\bar{a}) | p \in S\} \subseteq A$.

Let $\gamma : A \rightarrow B$ be a surjective homomorphism and $T_\gamma$ its section, that is, $\gamma_{T_\gamma} : T_\gamma \rightarrow B$ is a bijection. Restriction to $T_\gamma$ and pullback by $\gamma$ defines a map $H^A \rightarrow H^B$, $\phi \rightarrow \phi_\gamma$. In other words $\phi_\gamma(\gamma(x)) = \phi(x)$ for $x \in T_\gamma$. This defines a map $H_1A \rightarrow H_1B$ as $(\phi, \alpha) \rightarrow (\phi_\gamma, \alpha_\gamma)$. Here we use a notation $\alpha_\gamma = \gamma(\alpha)$. Let $((\bar{\phi}, \bar{a}) \in (H \rtimes A)^{k+n}$. Then $p(\bar{\phi}, \bar{a}) = (\psi, p(\bar{a}))$ for some $\psi \in H^A$. Similarly, $p(\bar{\phi}, \bar{a}) = (\psi, (p(\bar{a}))_\gamma)$ for some $\psi \in H^B$. Let $S = Suf(p)$.

Lemma 5. If $x \in A$ satisfies $xS(\bar{a}) \subseteq T_\gamma$ then $\psi(x) = \bar{\psi}(x_\gamma)$.

This lemma is a manifestation of locality of a wreath product in the sense that $\psi(x)$ depends on values of $\bar{\phi}$ on a finite set $xS(\bar{a})$.

Proof. Let $f \in H^A$ and $x, xg \in T_\gamma$. Then

$$(g.f)(x) = f(xg) = f_\gamma(x_\gamma g_\gamma) = (g_\gamma, f_\gamma)(x_\gamma). \quad (1)$$

Now,

$$\psi(x) = (f_1^1(g_1^1.f_2^1)(g_2^1.f_3^1)\ldots g^{m-1}.f^m)(x), \quad \text{where} \quad g^i \in S(\bar{a}) \text{ and } (f^i)_{\pm 1} \in \bar{\phi}$$

Similarly,

$$\bar{\psi}(x_\gamma) = (f_\gamma^1(g_\gamma^1.f_\gamma^2)\ldots g^{m-1}_\gamma.f^m_\gamma)(x_\gamma).$$

Lemma follows by Eq.1. \hfill\Box
6 Proof of Theorem 2

Let \( \bar{u} = (u_1, \ldots, u_n) \in \hat{G}^n \) be an \((H, \bar{a})\)-universal solution for \( \bar{w} \in \text{Sys}(\text{Fin}) \). Let \( \Gamma = \langle G, \bar{u} \rangle \leq \hat{G} \). For \((\bar{f}, \bar{a}) \in (H \wr G)^k \) we going to find a solution of \( \bar{w}((\bar{f}, \bar{a}), \bar{x}) = 1 \) in \( H \wr \Gamma \). The solution we are looking for is in the form \( \bar{x} = (\bar{\phi}, \bar{u}) \) for some \( \bar{\phi} \in (H^\Gamma)^n \).

Notice that any function \( f : G \to H \) may be extended to a function \( \Gamma \to H \) by putting \( f(x) = 1 \) for \( x \in \Gamma \setminus G \). This defines a natural inclusion \( H \wr G \to H \wr \Gamma \).

Recall that \( \Gamma \) is finitely generated, particularly, it is countable. Also, for every finite \( \Phi \leq \Gamma \) there exists \( N \in \mathcal{M} \) such that \( \eta_N|_\Phi \) is an injection. So, we may inductively construct a chain \( \mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{M} \) with an anti-chain function \( \mathcal{O} \to 2^\Gamma, N \to \Phi_N \) such that \( \Phi_N \) is a section for \( \eta_N \) and \( \bigcup_{N \in \mathcal{O}} \Phi_N = \Gamma \). Till the end of the article we fix such an \( \mathcal{O} \) with such a map \( N \to \Phi_N \). Precisely, we have the following:

1. For every \( N, M \in \mathcal{O} \) either \( N \subseteq M \) or \( M \subseteq N \) (\( \mathcal{O} \) is a chain);
2. \( \eta_N|_{\Phi_N} : \Phi_N \to G_N \) is a bijection (\( \Phi_N \) is a section for \( \eta_N : \Gamma \to G_N \));
3. \( \Phi_N \subseteq \Phi_M \) for \( M \subseteq N \) (anti-chain map);
4. \( \Gamma = \bigcup_{N \in \mathcal{O}} \Phi_N \).

For \( N \in \mathcal{O} \) the composition of restriction to \( \Phi_N \) and pullback by \( \eta_N \) defines a map \( H^\Gamma \to H^{G_N}, f \to f_N, (f_N(x_N) = f(x), \) for \( x \in \Phi_N \). The map \( f \to f_N \) defines a map \( H \wr \Gamma \to H \wr G_N \). This is the same construction as in Section 5.

For \( M \in \mathcal{O} \) fix \( \bar{\psi}^M \in (H^{G_M})^n \) satisfying \( \bar{w}((\bar{f}_M, \bar{a}_M), (\bar{\psi}^M, \bar{u}_M)) = 1 \). Define \( \bar{\phi}^M \in (H^\Gamma)^n \) such that \( \bar{\phi}^M(x) = \bar{\psi}^M(x_M) \) for \( x \in \Phi_M \). Let \( \bar{\phi} \) be a limit point of the sequence \( \bar{\phi}^M \) (with respect to direct product (Tichonov) topology on \( (H^\Gamma)^n \)). Now, \( \bar{x} = (\bar{\phi}, \bar{u}) \) gives a solution we are looking for.

**Lemma 6.** \( \bar{w}((\bar{f}, \bar{a}), (\bar{\phi}, \bar{u})) = 1 \).

**Proof.** We start with some preliminary considerations. As \( \eta_N = \eta_{M,N} \circ \eta_M \) for \( M \subseteq N \) we get that \( \eta_M(\Phi_N) \) is a section for \( \eta_{M,N} : G_M \to G_N \). As above, the restriction to \( \eta_M(\Phi_N) \) and pullback by \( \eta_{M,N} \) defines a map \( H^{G_M} \to H^{G_N}, \psi \to \psi_N \). In other words \( \psi_N(x_N) = \psi(x) \) for \( x \in \eta_M(\Phi_N) \). This defines a map \( H \wr G_M \to H \wr G_N \).

By Lemma 3 we get \( \bar{w}((\bar{f}, \bar{a}), (\bar{\phi}, \bar{u})) = (\bar{\delta}, 1) \). Given \( x \in \Gamma \) we need to show that \( \bar{\delta}(x) = 1 \). Let \( S = \bigcup_{\bar{w} \in \bar{w}} \text{Suf}(w) \). Take \( N \in \mathcal{M} \) such that \( xS(\bar{a}, \bar{u}) \subseteq \Phi_N \). By construction of \( \bar{\phi} \) it follows that \( \bar{\phi}|_{\Phi_N} = \bar{\phi}^M|_{\Phi_N} \) for some \( M \in \mathcal{O}, M \subseteq N \). So, \( \bar{\phi}_N = \bar{\psi}_N^M \) (the definition of \( \bar{\psi}^M \) is in the construction of \( \bar{\phi} \)). Let \( \bar{w}((\bar{f}_N, \bar{a}_N), (\bar{\psi}_N^M, \bar{u}_N)) = (\bar{\delta}, 1) \). By the above consideration and Lemma 5 we obtain that \( \bar{\delta}(x_N) = \delta(x) \).

On the other hand, \( x_M S(\bar{a}_M, \bar{u}_M) \subseteq \eta_M(\Phi_N) \) and \( \bar{w}((\bar{f}_M, \bar{a}_M), (\bar{\psi}_M^M, \bar{u}_M)) = 1 \) by definition of \( \psi^M \). Another application of Lemma 5 implies \( \bar{\delta}(x_N) = 1 \). \( \square \)

7 Concluding remarks

The question "if residually-finite-by-residually finite extensions are sofic" remains unanswered. Although there is similar characterization of sofic groups: A group \( G \) is
sofic if and only if every equation solvable in all permutation groups is solvable over $G$. The problem is that solvability in permutation groups is not enough to prove, say, the existence of universal solutions.

References


